

## ALMOST MULTIPLICATIVE SETS

HYUNG TAE BAEK AND JUNG WOOK LIM\*

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $R$ . We define  $S$  to be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$  if for each  $a, b \in S$ , there exist integers  $m, n \geq 1$  such that  $a^m b^n \in S$ . In this article, we study some utilization of almost multiplicative subsets.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 13A15, 13B30, 13E99.

*Key words and phrases* : Almost multiplicative set, anti-Archimedean,  $S$ -finite,  $S$ -Noetherian ring.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $R$ . Recall that  $S$  is a *multiplicative subset* of  $R$  if for each  $a, b \in S$ ,  $ab \in S$ ; and a multiplicative subset  $S$  of  $R$  is *saturated* if whenever  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in S$ , both  $a$  and  $b$  belong to  $S$ . In commutative algebra, multiplicative sets have been very useful to study many algebraic properties. Especially, multiplicative subsets are related to prime ideals. For example, multiplicative subsets are used to construct prime ideals and to express radical ideals by the intersection of prime ideals. The simplest fact is that an ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $R \setminus P$  is a multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Motivated by this result, Krull showed that if  $P$  is an ideal of  $R$  maximal with respect to the exclusion of a multiplicative subset of  $R$ , then  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  [6, Theorem 1]. Also, multiplicative subsets are very important tools to construct quotient rings. It is well known that if  $S$  is a multiplicative subset of  $R$ , then  $R_S$  becomes a commutative ring with identity which shares ideal structures with  $R$ . Another application of a multiplicative subset is the study of  $S$ -Noetherian rings as a generalization of Noetherian rings.

The purpose of this article is to define a concept of almost multiplicative subsets and to study some applications. (The definition of almost multiplicative subsets will be introduced in the next section.) While our new notion is a weaker version than multiplicative subsets, it plays similar roles as multiplicative

---

Received March 18, 2021. Revised April 23, 2021. Accepted May 3, 2021. \*Corresponding author.

subsets. In Section 2, we recover the Krull's result by using almost multiplicative subsets. We also construct quotient rings and compare ideal structures with the base ring. Finally, we study  $S$ -Noetherian rings in terms of almost multiplicative subset  $S$ .

## 2. Main results

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $R$ . We say that  $S$  is an *almost multiplicative subset* of  $R$  if for each  $a, b \in S$ , there exist integers  $m, n \geq 1$  such that  $a^m b^n \in S$ . If we can always take  $m = n = 1$ , then the concept of almost multiplicative subsets is precisely the same as that of multiplicative subsets. Also, it is clear that every multiplicative subset of  $R$  is an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$  but not vice versa. For example, if  $S = \{2^{2n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ , then  $S$  is an almost multiplicative subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  which is not a multiplicative subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is the set of nonnegative integers and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the ring of integers.

Our first result in this paper is a slight generalization of [6, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . If  $P$  is an ideal of  $R$  maximal with respect to the exclusion of  $S$ , then  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $P$  is not a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then there exist  $a, b \in R \setminus P$  such that  $ab \in P$ . Note that  $P + (a)$  and  $P + (b)$  are ideals of  $R$  properly containing  $P$ ; so by the maximality of  $P$ ,  $(P + (a)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $(P + (b)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $s_1 \in (P + (a)) \cap S$  and  $s_2 \in (P + (b)) \cap S$ . Then  $s_1 = p_1 + ax$  and  $s_2 = p_2 + by$  for some  $p_1, p_2 \in P$  and  $x, y \in R$ . Since  $S$  is an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ , there exist positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $s_1^m s_2^n \in S$ . Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_1^m s_2^n &= (p_1 + ax)^m (p_2 + by)^n \\ &= \left( (ax)^m + \sum_{i=1}^m p_1^i (ax)^{m-i} \right) \left( (by)^n + \sum_{j=1}^n p_2^j (by)^{n-j} \right) \\ &= a^m b^n x^m y^n + \sum_{j=1}^n (ax)^m p_2^j (by)^{n-j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m (by)^n p_1^i (ax)^{m-i} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n p_1^i p_2^j (ax)^{m-i} (by)^{n-j} \\ &\in P. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $P \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction to the choice of  $P$ . Thus  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. For an almost multiplicative subset  $S$  of  $R$ ,  $\langle S \rangle$  denotes the smallest multiplicative subset of  $R$  containing  $S$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ .

(1) Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  which is maximal with respect to the exclusion of  $S$ . If  $P \cap \langle S \rangle \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists an element  $a \in P \cap \langle S \rangle$ . Write  $a = s_1 \cdots s_m$  for some  $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$ . Since  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ ,  $s_i \in P$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Therefore  $P \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . This is absurd. Hence  $P \cap \langle S \rangle = \emptyset$ . Also, let  $Q$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  such that  $P \subseteq Q$  and  $Q \cap \langle S \rangle = \emptyset$ . Since  $S \subseteq \langle S \rangle$ ,  $Q \cap S = \emptyset$ ; so  $P = Q$  by the maximality of  $P$ . Thus  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  which is maximal with respect to the exclusion of  $\langle S \rangle$ .

(2) Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  which is maximal with respect to the exclusion of  $\langle S \rangle$ . Then a similar argument as in (1) shows that  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  which is maximal with respect to the exclusion of  $S$ .

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . We say that  $S$  is *saturated* if whenever  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in S$ , both  $a$  and  $b$  belong to  $S$ ; and  $S$  is *almost saturated* if whenever  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in S$ , there exist positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  (depending on  $a$  and  $b$ ) such that  $a^m \in S$  and  $b^n \in S$ . It is clear that every saturated almost multiplicative set is almost saturated. However, the converse is not generally true. For example, if  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$  and  $S = \{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2)\}$ , then  $S$  is an almost saturated almost multiplicative subset of  $R$  which is not saturated. Also, it is easy to check that  $\{2^{2n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is an almost multiplicative subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  which is not almost saturated.

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ .

(1) It is easy to see that if  $S$  is saturated, then  $S$  is a (saturated) multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Hence  $S$  is a saturated almost multiplicative subset of  $R$  if and only if the complement of  $S$  in  $R$  is a union of prime ideals of  $R$  [6, Theorem 2].

(2) The condition ‘saturated multiplicative’ in [6, Theorem 2] cannot be replaced by ‘almost saturated almost multiplicative’. For instance, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$  and  $S = \{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2)\}$ . Then  $S$  is an almost saturated almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . If  $R \setminus S$  is a union of prime ideals of  $R$ , then there exists a prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  such that  $P \cap S = \emptyset$  and  $(1, 4) \in P$ ; so  $(1, 2) \in P \cap S$ . This is a contradiction. Hence the complement of  $S$  in  $R$  cannot be a union of prime ideals of  $R$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Then the relation  $\sim$  defined on  $R \times S$  by

$$(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2) \text{ if and only if } t(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1) = 0 \text{ for some } t \in S$$

is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Let  $(r_1, s_1), (r_2, s_2), (r_3, s_3) \in R \times S$ . Then it is obvious that  $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_1, s_1)$ . Also, it is easy to see that if  $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2)$ , then  $(r_2, s_2) \sim (r_1, s_1)$ . Suppose that  $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_2, s_2)$  and  $(r_2, s_2) \sim (r_3, s_3)$ . Then there exist  $t_1, t_2 \in S$  such that

$$t_1(r_1s_2 - r_2s_1) = 0 \text{ and } t_2(r_2s_3 - r_3s_2) = 0;$$

so by a routine calculation,  $t_1t_2s_2(r_1s_3 - r_3s_1) = 0$ . Since  $S$  is an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ , there exist positive integers  $\ell, m, n$  such that  $t_1^\ell t_2^m s_2^n \in S$ . Therefore  $t_1^\ell t_2^m s_2^n (r_1s_3 - r_3s_1) = 0$ . Hence  $(r_1, s_1) \sim (r_3, s_3)$ . Thus the relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $R \times S$ .  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Then the equivalence relation  $\sim$  defined in Lemma 2.2 gives the partition of  $R \times S$  into equivalence classes. For an element  $(r, s) \in R \times S$ ,  $\frac{r}{s}$  denotes the equivalence class of  $(r, s)$  under  $\sim$ ; and  $R_S$  stands for the set of equivalence classes in  $R \times S$  under  $\sim$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . If  $\frac{r}{s} \in R_S$ , then for any  $t \in R$  with  $st \in S$ ,  $\frac{r}{s} = \frac{rt}{st}$ .

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . In order to make the set  $R_S$  be a ring, we need to define addition and multiplication on  $R_S$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Define addition and multiplication on  $R_S$  by

$$\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1s_1^{m-1}s_2^n + r_2s_1^m s_2^{n-1}}{s_1^m s_2^n} \text{ and } \frac{r_1}{s_1} \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1r_2s_1^{m-1}s_2^{n-1}}{s_1^m s_2^n}$$

for all  $\frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2} \in R_S$ , where  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers satisfying  $s_1^m s_2^n \in S$ . Then  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are binary operations on  $R_S$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\frac{a_1}{s_1} = \frac{b_1}{t_1}$  and  $\frac{a_2}{s_2} = \frac{b_2}{t_2}$  in  $R_S$ . Then there exist  $u_1, u_2 \in S$  such that

$$u_1(a_1t_1 - b_1s_1) = 0 \text{ and } u_2(a_2t_2 - b_2s_2) = 0.$$

Let  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  be positive integers such that  $u_1^{\ell_1} u_2^{\ell_2} \in S$ . Then we have

$$u_1^{\ell_1} u_2^{\ell_2} (a_1t_1 - b_1s_1) = 0 \text{ and } u_1^{\ell_1} u_2^{\ell_2} (a_2t_2 - b_2s_2) = 0.$$

Let  $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2$  be positive integers satisfying  $s_1^{m_1} s_2^{m_2}, t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} \in S$ . Then we have

$$\frac{a_1}{s_1} + \frac{a_2}{s_2} = \frac{a_1s_1^{m_1-1}s_2^{m_2} + a_2s_1^{m_1}s_2^{m_2-1}}{s_1^{m_1}s_2^{m_2}} \text{ and } \frac{b_1}{t_1} + \frac{b_2}{t_2} = \frac{b_1t_1^{n_1-1}t_2^{n_2} + b_2t_1^{n_1}t_2^{n_2-1}}{t_1^{n_1}t_2^{n_2}}.$$

Let  $k_1 = s_1^{m_1-1}s_2^{m_2}t_1^{n_1-1}t_2^{n_2}$  and  $k_2 = s_1^{m_1}s_2^{m_2-1}t_1^{n_1}t_2^{n_2-1}$ . Then we have

$$u_1^{\ell_1} u_2^{\ell_2} (k_1(a_1t_1 - b_1s_1) + k_2(a_2t_2 - b_2s_2)) = 0.$$

Hence  $\frac{a_1}{s_1} + \frac{a_2}{s_2} = \frac{b_1}{t_1} + \frac{b_2}{t_2}$ . Note that

$$\frac{a_1}{s_1} \frac{a_2}{s_2} = \frac{a_1a_2s_1^{m_1-1}s_2^{m_2-1}}{s_1^{m_1}s_2^{m_2}} \text{ and } \frac{b_1}{t_1} \frac{b_2}{t_2} = \frac{b_1b_2t_1^{n_1-1}t_2^{n_2-1}}{t_1^{n_1}t_2^{n_2}}.$$

Since  $u_1^{\ell_1} u_2^{\ell_2} (a_1t_1 - b_1s_1) = 0$  and  $u_1^{\ell_1} u_2^{\ell_2} (a_2t_2 - b_2s_2) = 0$ , we have

$$u_1^{\ell_1} u_2^{\ell_2} (a_1 a_2 t_1 t_2 - b_1 b_2 s_1 s_2) = 0.$$

Let  $k = s_1^{m_1-1} s_2^{m_2-1} t_1^{n_1-1} t_2^{n_2-1}$ . Then we have

$$u_1^{\ell_1} u_2^{\ell_2} (k(a_1 a_2 t_1 t_2 - b_1 b_2 s_1 s_2)) = 0.$$

Hence  $\frac{a_1}{s_1} \frac{a_2}{s_2} = \frac{b_1}{t_1} \frac{b_2}{t_2}$ . Thus  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are binary operations on  $R_S$ . □

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Then  $R_S$  is a commutative ring with identity under binary operations defined in Lemma 2.3.*

*Proof.* It is routine to check that  $R_S$  is a commutative ring with identity. □

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Then  $R_S$  is isomorphic to  $R_{\langle S \rangle}$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to show that the map  $\phi : R_S \rightarrow R_{\langle S \rangle}$  given by  $\frac{r}{s} \mapsto \frac{r}{s}$  is a ring isomorphism. □

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . For an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , let  $IR_S = \{\frac{r}{s} \mid r \in I \text{ and } s \in S\}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $IR_S$  is an ideal of  $R_S$ . For an element  $s \in S$ , let  $\psi_s : R \rightarrow R_S$  be the map defined by  $r \mapsto \frac{rs}{s}$ . Then it is routine to check that  $\psi_s$  is a ring homomorphism and  $\psi_s = \psi_t \frac{s}{t}$  for all  $s, t \in S$ . From now on,  $\psi_S$  represents the map  $\psi_s$  for a fixed  $s \in S$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *If  $A$  is an ideal of  $R_S$ , then  $A = IR_S$  for some ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .*
- (2) *If  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  with  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $PR_S$  is a prime ideal of  $R_S$ .*
- (3) *If  $Q$  is a prime ideal of  $R_S$ , then  $Q = PR_S$  for some prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  with  $P \cap S = \emptyset$  and  $\psi_S^{-1}(PR_S) = P$ .*
- (4) *There is a one-to-one order-preserving correspondence between the set of prime ideals of  $R$  which are disjoint from  $S$  and the set of prime ideals of  $R_S$ , given by  $P \mapsto PR_S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : R_S \rightarrow R_{\langle S \rangle}$  be the isomorphism given by  $\phi(\frac{r}{s}) = \frac{r}{s}$  for all  $\frac{r}{s} \in R_S$ .

(1) Let  $A$  be an ideal of  $R_S$ . Then  $\phi(A)$  is an ideal of  $R_{\langle S \rangle}$ ; so  $\phi(A) = IR_{\langle S \rangle}$  for some ideal  $I$  of  $R$  [5, Chapter III, Lemma 4.9(ii)]. Hence  $A = \phi^{-1}(IR_{\langle S \rangle})$ . We now claim that  $IR_S = \phi^{-1}(IR_{\langle S \rangle})$ . Note that  $\phi(IR_S) \subseteq IR_{\langle S \rangle}$ ; so  $IR_S \subseteq \phi^{-1}(IR_{\langle S \rangle})$ . For the reverse containment, let  $\frac{a}{s} \in \phi^{-1}(IR_{\langle S \rangle})$ . Then  $\phi(\frac{a}{s}) = \frac{i}{t}$  for some  $\frac{i}{t} \in IR_{\langle S \rangle}$ . Since  $S$  is an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ , we can take an element  $x \in R$  such that  $tx \in S$ ; so  $\frac{a}{s} = \frac{ix}{tx}$  in  $R_{\langle S \rangle}$ . Therefore there exists an element  $u \in \langle S \rangle$  such that  $u(atx - ix) = 0$ . Since  $S$  is an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ , there exists an element  $y \in R$  such that  $uy \in S$ ; so

$uy(atax - ix) = 0$ . Hence  $\frac{a}{s} = \frac{ix}{tx}$  in  $R_S$ , which implies that  $\frac{a}{s} \in IR_S$ . Thus  $\phi^{-1}(IR_{\langle S \rangle}) \subseteq IR_S$ . Consequently,  $A = IR_S$ .

(2) Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  with  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then  $P \cap \langle S \rangle = \emptyset$  by an argument in Remark 2.1(1); so  $PR_{\langle S \rangle}$  is a prime ideal of  $R_{\langle S \rangle}$  [5, Chapter III, Lemma 4.9(iii)]. Note that  $\phi^{-1}(PR_{\langle S \rangle}) = PR_S$  by the proof of (1). Thus  $PR_S$  is a prime ideal of  $R_S$ .

(3) Let  $Q$  be a prime ideal of  $R_S$ . Then  $\phi(Q)$  is a prime ideal of  $R_{\langle S \rangle}$ ; so  $\phi(Q) = PR_{\langle S \rangle}$  for some prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  with  $P \cap \langle S \rangle = \emptyset$  [5, Chapter III, Theorem 4.10]. Hence by the proof of (1),  $Q = \phi^{-1}(PR_{\langle S \rangle}) = PR_S$ . Obviously,  $P \cap S = \emptyset$  because  $S \subseteq \langle S \rangle$ .

Note that  $\phi \circ \psi_S : R \rightarrow R_{\langle S \rangle}$  is a ring homomorphism and by the proof of (1),  $\phi(PR_S) = PR_{\langle S \rangle}$ ; so  $\psi_S^{-1}(PR_S) = (\phi \circ \psi_S)^{-1}(PR_{\langle S \rangle}) = P$  [5, Chapter III, Lemma 4.9(iii)].

(4) The result follows directly from (2) and (3).  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . We say that an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is *S-finite* if there exist an element  $s \in S$  and a finitely generated ideal  $J$  of  $R$  such that  $sI \subseteq J \subseteq I$ ; and  $R$  is an *S-Noetherian ring* if every ideal of  $R$  is *S-finite*. These concepts generalize those of *S-finiteness* and *S-Noetherian rings* for multiplicative sets  $S$ . For more on *S-finiteness* and *S-Noetherian rings* for multiplicative sets, the readers can refer to [3, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity,  $S$  an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$  and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$  is *S-finite* if and only if  $I$  is  $\langle S \rangle$ -finite.*

*Proof.* The “only if” part is clear, because  $S \subseteq \langle S \rangle$ . For the converse, suppose that  $I$  is an  $\langle S \rangle$ -finite ideal of  $R$ . Then there exist an element  $t \in \langle S \rangle$  and a finitely generated ideal  $J$  of  $R$  such that  $tI \subseteq J \subseteq I$ . Note that  $t = s_1 \cdots s_m$  for some  $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$ . Since  $S$  is an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ , there exist positive integers  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  such that  $s_1^{n_1} \cdots s_m^{n_m} \in S$ . Thus  $s_1^{n_1} \cdots s_m^{n_m} I \subseteq J \subseteq I$ , which means that  $I$  is an *S-finite* ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

By Proposition 2.7, we have

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Then  $R$  is an *S-Noetherian ring* if and only if  $R$  is an  $\langle S \rangle$ -Noetherian ring.*

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an (almost) multiplicative subset of  $R$ . We say that  $S$  is an *anti-Archimedean subset* of  $R$  if  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} s^n R \cap S \neq \emptyset$  for all  $s \in S$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Then  $S$  is an *anti-Archimedean subset* of  $R$  if and only if  $\langle S \rangle$  is an *anti-Archimedean subset* of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $t \in \langle S \rangle$ . Then  $t = s_1 \cdots s_m$  for some  $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$ . Since  $S$  is an anti-Archimedean subset of  $R$ ,  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} s_i^n R \cap S \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , choose any element  $\alpha_i \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} s_i^n R \cap S$ . Then  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m \in t^n R \cap \langle S \rangle$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} t^n R \cap \langle S \rangle \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $\langle S \rangle$  is an anti-Archimedean subset of  $R$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\langle S \rangle$  is an anti-Archimedean subset of  $R$  and let  $s \in S$ . Then there exists an element  $t \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} s^n R \cap \langle S \rangle$ . Write  $t = s_1 \cdots s_m$  for some  $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$ . Since  $S$  is an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ , there exist positive integers  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  such that  $s_1^{n_1} \cdots s_m^{n_m} \in S$ . Note that  $s_1^{n_1} \cdots s_m^{n_m} = t s_1^{n_1-1} \cdots s_m^{n_m-1} \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} s^n R$ . Hence  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} s^n R \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $S$  is an anti-Archimedean subset of  $R$ .  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $R[X]$  be the polynomial ring over  $R$ . For an element  $f \in R[X]$ ,  $c(f)$  denotes the *content ideal* of  $f$ , i.e., the ideal of  $R$  generated by the coefficients of  $f$ . Let  $U = \{f \in R[X] \mid f \text{ is monic}\}$  and let  $N = \{f \in R[X] \mid c(f) = R\}$ . Then  $U$  is a multiplicative subset of  $R[X]$  and  $N$  is a saturated multiplicative subset of  $R[X]$ . Also, the quotient ring  $R[X]_U$  is called the *Serre's conjecture ring* of  $R$  and the quotient ring  $R[X]_N$  is called the *Nagata ring* of  $R$ . The readers can refer to [2, 8, 9] for the Serre's conjecture ring and to [1, 2, 4] for the Nagata ring.

**Corollary 2.10.** (cf. [11, Theorem 3]) *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and let  $S$  be an almost multiplicative subset of  $R$ . If  $S$  is an anti-Archimedean subset of  $R$ , then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $R$  is an  $S$ -Noetherian ring.
- (2)  $R[X]$  is an  $S$ -Noetherian ring.
- (3)  $R[X]_U$  is an  $S$ -Noetherian ring.
- (4)  $R[X]_N$  is an  $S$ -Noetherian ring.

*Proof.* These equivalences come directly from Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 2.9.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement:** We would like to thank the referee for several valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. D.D. Anderson, *Some remarks on the ring  $R(X)$* , Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **26** (1977), 137-140.
2. D.D. Anderson, D.F. Anderson, and R. Markanda, *The rings  $R(X)$  and  $R\langle X \rangle$* , J. Algebra **95** (1985), 96-115.
3. D.D. Anderson and T. Dumitrescu,  *$S$ -Noetherian rings*, Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), 4407-4416.
4. J.T. Arnold, *On the ideal theory of the Kronecker function ring and the domain  $D(X)$* , Canadian J. Math. **21** (1969), 558-563.
5. T.W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Grad. Texts in Math. vol. **73**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

6. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey, revised edition, 1994.
7. D.K. Kim and J.W. Lim, *The Cohen type theorem and the Eakin-Nagata type theorem for  $S$ -Noetherian rings revisited*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **50** (2020), 619-630.
8. T.Y. Lam, *Serre's Conjecture*, Lect. Notes in Math. vol. **635**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1978.
9. L.R. Le Riche, *The ring  $R\langle X \rangle$* , J. Algebra **67** (1980), 327-341.
10. J.W. Lim, *A note on  $S$ -Noetherian domains*, Kyungpook Math. J. **55** (2015), 507-514.
11. J.W. Lim and J.Y. Kang, *The  $S$ -finiteness on quotient rings of a polynomial ring*, to appear in J. Appl. Math. & Inform..
12. J.W. Lim and D.Y. Oh,  *$S$ -Noetherian properties on amalgamated algebras along an ideal*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218** (2014), 1075-1080.
13. J.W. Lim and D.Y. Oh,  *$S$ -Noetherian properties of composite ring extensions*, Comm. Algebra **43** (2015), 2820-2829.
14. J.W. Lim and D.Y. Oh, *Chain conditions on composite Hurwitz series rings*, Open Math. **15** (2017), 1161-1170.

**Hyung Tae Baek** received B.A. from Seowon University. He is currently an M.S. candidate at Kyungpook National University. His research interest is commutative algebra.

Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea.

e-mail: [htbaek5@gmail.com](mailto:htbaek5@gmail.com)

**Jung Wook Lim** received Ph.D. from Pohang University of Science and Technology. He is currently a professor at Kyungpook National University since 2013. His research interests are commutative algebra and combinatorics.

Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea.

e-mail: [jwlim@knu.ac.kr](mailto:jwlim@knu.ac.kr)