Honam Mathematical J. 46 (2024), No. 4, pp. 606–616 https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2024.46.4.606

# STATISTICALLY  $\omega$ -FRÉCHET AND STATISTICALLY ω-SEQUENTIAL SPACES: STATISTICAL CONVERGENCES OF  $\omega$ -NETS

JUNHUI KIM

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce some modifications of statistically Fréchet and statistically sequential spaces which were defined in [5], termed statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet and statistically  $\omega$ -sequential spaces concerned with  $\omega$ -nets. Our work includes the construction of an illustrative example that distinguishes these two properties. Furthermore, we establish general relationships between statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet and statistically  $\omega$ -sequential properties, demonstrating their equivalence in the class of statistically  $\omega$ -transitive spaces.

# 1. Introduction

Fast ([1]) introduced the notion of statistical convergences of sequences of real numbers in 1951, and Kostyrko et al ([4]) extended the statistical convergence to sequences in metric spaces. In 2008, Maio and Ko $\check{c}$ inac ([5]) investigated the statistical convergence in topological and uniform spaces.

Throughout this paper, the cardinality of a given set  $A$  is denoted by  $|A|$ . For any  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote  $A(n) = \{k \in A : k \leq n\}$ . Then we say that

and

$$
\underline{\delta}(A) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A(n)|}{n}
$$

$$
\overline{\delta}(A) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A(n)|}{n}
$$

are the lower and upper asymptotic density of A respectively. When  $\delta(A)$  =  $\overline{\delta}(A),$ 

$$
\delta(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A(n)|}{n}
$$

Received February 23, 2024. Accepted July 26, 2024.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A20, 54D55, 40A35.

Key words and phrases. statistically Fréchet, statistically sequential, statistical convergence, asymptotic density.

This paper was supported by Wonkwang University in 2023.

is called the asymptotic density of A. One can prove easily that all the three densities, if they exist, are in [0, 1], and  $\delta(\mathbb{N} \setminus A) = 1 - \delta(A)$  for any  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 1.1** ([1]). A sequence  $\langle x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  in a topological space X is said to *converge statistically* (or *s-converge*) to  $p \in X$ , if for every neighborhood U of p,  $\delta(A) = 0$ , where  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \notin U\}.$ 

**Definition 1.2** ([5]). A sequence  $\langle x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  in a topological space X is said to  $s^*$ -converge to  $p \in X$  if there exists a subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $\delta(A) = 1$  such that the sequence  $\langle x_n : n \in A \rangle$  converges to p, that is, for every neighborhood U of p there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  and  $n \in A$  imply  $x_n \in U$ .

It was shown in  $[2]$  that s-convergence and s<sup>\*</sup>-convergence are equivalent when  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, Maio and Kočinac proved in [5] that they are equivalent in the class of first countable spaces.

In Section 2, we introduce two concepts pertaining to the statistical convergence of  $\omega$ -nets: s-convergence and s<sup>\*</sup>-convergence. We investigate their general relationships, establishing their equivalence in the class of first countable spaces.

Section 3 is dedicated to the study of statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet spaces and statistically  $\omega$ -sequential spaces. Specifically, we observe that every statistically ω-Fréchet space is statistically ω-sequential; however, we establish that the converse is not true. Additionally, we demonstrate that every statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet space is statistically Fréchet. We explore some characterization of the statistically  $\omega$ -sequential property. Finally, we prove an equivalence of the statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet property and statistically  $\omega$ -sequentiality in the class of statistically  $\omega$ -transitive spaces.

## 2. Statistical Convergence of  $\omega$ -Nets

In this section, we introduce the notion of statistical convergence of  $\omega$ -nets in topological spaces. All spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff,  $\omega$  is the first countably infinite ordinal, and  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  is the collection of all finite subsets of  $\omega$ . A subfamily  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  is said to be *strictly increasing* if

 $F_1 = \emptyset$ ;  $F_k \subsetneq F_{k+1}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; and  $|F_{k+1}| = |F_k| + 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For a strictly increasing subfamily  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ , let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(k) = \{F_n \in \mathcal{A} : F_n \subseteq F_k\}$ . Note that  $n \leq k$  if and only if  $F_n \subseteq F_k$ .

**Remark 2.1.** We may take A as an arbitrary subfamily of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ . In this case, we define  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(k) = \{F_n \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F} : F_n \subseteq F_k\}.$ 

Now we say that

$$
\underline{\delta}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)|}{k}
$$

and

$$
\overline{\delta}(\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F})=\limsup_{k\rightarrow\infty}\frac{|\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}(k)|}{k}
$$

are the *lower* and *upper asymptotic density* of  $A$  over  $F$  respectively. When  $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \overline{\delta}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}),$ 

$$
\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)|}{k}
$$

is called the *asymptotic density* of  $A$  over  $F$ .

All the three densities, if they exist, are in [0, 1]. Moreover, we have the following:

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ be a strictly increasing subfamily of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  and let A be a subfamily of F. Assume that  $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}})$  exists. Then  $\delta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1 - \delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}})$  where  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = c \in [0, 1]$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that  $k \geq k_0$  implies

$$
c-\epsilon<\frac{|\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}(k)|}{k}
$$

Since  $k = |\mathcal{A}_F(k)| + |\mathcal{B}_F(k)|$ , we have

$$
1 - \frac{|\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}(k)|}{k} = \frac{|\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{F}(k)|}{k}.
$$

Hence

$$
1-c-\epsilon<\frac{|\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{F}(k)|}{k}<1-c+\epsilon.
$$

 $\Box$ 

Therefore  $\delta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1 - \delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}})$ .

Let X be a topological space. An  $\omega$ -net in X is a function  $\xi : [\omega]^{<\omega} \to X$ such that  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  is directed by  $\subseteq$ . The  $\omega$ -net  $\xi$  is usually denoted by  $\langle x_F : F \in$  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ , or  $\langle x_F \rangle$  where  $x_F = \xi(F)$  for all  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ .

We say that an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in a space X converges to  $p \in X$  ([3]) if for any open neighborhood V of p in X, there exists  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that

$$
x_G \in V
$$
 for all  $G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  with  $G \supseteq F$ .

Now we introduce some concepts of statistical convergences of  $\omega$ -nets as follows:

**Definition 2.3.** An  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in a space X is said to *converge statistically* (or, s-converge) to  $p \in X$  if for every open neighborhood U of p and for every strictly increasing subfamily  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ ,

$$
\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}})=0
$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \{F_k \in \mathcal{F} : x_{F_k} \notin U\}.$ 

Note that the limit of an s-convergent  $\omega$ -net is uniquely determined in Hausdorff spaces.

**Definition 2.4.** An  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in a space X is said to  $s^*$ -converge to  $p \in X$ if for any strictly increasing subfamily  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ , there exists a subfamily  $A$  of  $F$  satisfying the following:

- $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$ ;
- for every open neighborhood U of p there exists  $F_{k_0} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F_k \supseteq F_{k_0}$  and  $F_k \in \mathcal{A}$  imply  $x_{F_k} \in U$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** If an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in a space X s<sup>\*</sup>-converges to p, then  $\langle x_F \rangle$ s-converges to p.

*Proof.* Let U be an open neighborhood of p and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ be a strictly increasing subfamily of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ . Since  $\langle x_F \rangle$  s<sup>\*</sup>-converges to p, there exists a subfamily  $\mathcal A$  of  $\mathcal F$  satisfying the following:

- $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$ ;
- for every open neighborhood U of p there exists  $F_{k_0} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F_k \supseteq F_{k_0}$  and  $F_k \in \mathcal{A}$  imply  $x_{F_k} \in U$ .

Denote  $\mathcal{B} = \{F_k \in \mathcal{F} : x_{F_k} \notin U\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \{F_1, F_2, \cdots, F_{k_0}\} \cup (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}(k) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to prove that  $\delta(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$  by using Lemma 2.2, and hence  $\delta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ . Therefore the  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  s-converges to  $\Box$ p.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $X$  be a first countable space. Then the converse of Theorem 2.5 holds.

*Proof.* We assume that an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in X s-converges to  $p \in X$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ be a strictly increasing subfamily of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  and take a countable decreasing local base  $\{U_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of p in X. For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote

$$
\mathcal{A}^i = \{ F_k \in \mathcal{F} : x_{F_k} \in U_i \}.
$$

Then it is clear that  $\mathcal{A}^1 \supseteq \mathcal{A}^2 \supseteq \mathcal{A}^3 \supseteq \cdots$  and  $\delta(\mathcal{A}^i_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Choose  $F_{k_1} \in \mathcal{A}^1$ . Then there exists  $F_{k_2} \in \mathcal{A}^2$  such that

- $F_{k_2} \supsetneq F_{k_1};$
- for every  $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F_n \supsetneq F_{k_2}$ , the following holds:

$$
\frac{|\mathcal{A}^2 \mathcal{F}(n)|}{n} = \frac{|\{F_m \in \mathcal{A}^2 : m \le n\}|}{n} > \frac{1}{2}.
$$

By induction, we obtain the family  $\{F_{k_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$  such that

- $F_{k_{i+1}} \supsetneq F_{k_i}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- $F_{k_i} \in \mathcal{A}^i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

• for every  $F_n \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that  $F_n \supsetneq F_{k_i}$ , the following holds:

$$
\frac{|\mathcal{A}^i \mathcal{F}(n)|}{n} = \frac{|\{F_m \in \mathcal{A}^i : m \le n\}|}{n} > 1 - \frac{1}{i}.
$$

Now we define a subfamily  $\mathcal A$  of  $\mathcal F$  as following:

- for each  $F_n \subseteq F_{k_1}, F_n \in \mathcal{A};$
- if  $i \geq 1$  and  $F_{k_i} \subsetneq F_n \subseteq F_{k_{i+1}}$ , then

$$
F_n \in \mathcal{A} \text{ if and only if } F_n \in \mathcal{A}^i.
$$

Then if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that  $F_{k_i} \subseteq F_n \subseteq F_{k_{i+1}}$ , then we have

$$
\frac{|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(n)|}{n} \ge \frac{|\mathcal{A}^i_{\mathcal{F}}(n)|}{n} > 1 - \frac{1}{i},
$$

and hence  $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$ .

Let U be an open neighborhood of p and let  $U_i \subseteq U$ . If  $F_n \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $F_n \supseteq F_{k_i}$ , then there exists  $k_j \geq k_i$  with  $F_{k_j} \subseteq F_n \subseteq F_{k_{j+1}}$ . Hence we have  $x_{F_n} \in U_j \subseteq U_i \subseteq U$ . Therefore the  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  s<sup>\*</sup>-converges to p.

## 3. Statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet and Statistically  $\omega$ -Sequential Spaces

In this section, we extend the definitions of  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and  $\kappa$ -sequential spaces using the framework of statistical convergence, particularly when  $\kappa = \omega$ . In [3], Hodel investigated the notions and properties of  $\kappa$ -Fréchet and  $\kappa$ -net spaces when  $\kappa$  is any infinite cardinal. The definitions are as follows: A space X is said to be  $\kappa$ -Fréchet if for every  $p \in \overline{A}$ , there exists a  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F : F \in [\kappa]^{<\omega} \rangle$ in A which converges to p. A space X is said to be a  $\kappa$ -net space if for any nonclosed subset A of X, there exist a point  $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A$  and a  $\kappa$ -net  $\langle x_F : F \in [\kappa]^{<\omega} \rangle$ in A such that  $\langle x_F \rangle$  converges to p. For convenience, we shall use the terminology " $\kappa$ -sequential" instead of " $\kappa$ -net space".

Maio and Kočinac in [5] introduced the following definitions and investigated some relevant properties. In fact, they used the term "Fréchet-Urysohn" or "FU". But we shall take the term " Fréchet" for simplicity.

**Definition 3.1** ([5]). A space X is said to be *statistically Fréchet* (or for brevity, s-Fréchet) if for each  $A \subseteq X$  and each  $p \in \overline{A}$  there is a sequence in A s-converging to  $p$ .

**Definition 3.2** ([5]). A space X is said to be *statistically sequential* (or for brevity, s-sequential) if for each non-closed  $A \subseteq X$ , there are a point  $p \in \overline{A}$  and a sequence in  $A$  s-converging to  $p$ .

We introduce the following new concepts for statistical convergences of  $\omega$ nets from our observations.

**Definition 3.3.** A space X is said to be *statistically*  $\omega$ -Fréchet (or, s $\omega$ -Fréchet) if for every  $p \in \overline{A}$ , there exists an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in A which s-converges to p.

**Definition 3.4.** A space X is said to be *statistically*  $\omega$ -sequential (or, s $\omega$ sequential) if for every non-closed subset A of X, there exist a point  $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ and an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in A which s-converges to p.

By definitions, every  $s\omega$ -Fréchet space is  $s\omega$ -sequential. But the converse does not hold.

**Example 3.5.** There exists an  $s\omega$ -sequential space which is not  $s\omega$ -Fréchet. Let  $S = \{n^2 : n \text{ is a non-negative integer}\}\$ . For any  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ , let  $\langle x_{F,G} :$  $G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  be an  $\omega$ -net in the Euclidean space R defined by

- if  $|F| \notin S$ , then  $\langle x_{F,G} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \rangle$  s-converges to  $x_F = \frac{1}{|F|+1}$ ;
- if  $|F| \in S$ , then  $\langle x_{F,G} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \rangle$  s-converges to  $x_F = |F| + 1$ .

First, we show that the  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  s-converges to 0: Let  $U = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  be an open interval for any positive real number  $\epsilon < 1$  and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  be a strictly increasing subfamily of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ . Put  $\mathcal{A} = \{F_k \in \mathcal{F} : x_{F_k} \notin U\}$ . Then

$$
\frac{|\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{F}(n)|}{n} = \frac{|\{F_k \in \mathcal{A} : k \le n\}|}{n} = \frac{|\{F_k \in \mathcal{F} : |F_k| \in S, k \le n\}|}{n} + \frac{|\{F_k \in \mathcal{F} : |F_k| \notin S, \frac{1}{|F_k|+1} \ge \epsilon, k \le n\}|}{n}.
$$

So we obtain

$$
\frac{|\{F_k \in \mathcal{F} : |F_k| \notin S, \frac{1}{|F_k|+1} \ge \epsilon, k \le n\}|}{n} \to 0
$$

because the numerator can be regarded as a constant for sufficiently large  $n$ . We also have the following from the facts that  $|F_n| = n - 1$  and  $\delta(S) = 0$ :

$$
\frac{|\{F_k \in \mathcal{F} : |F_k| \in S, k \le n\}|}{n} = \frac{|\{l^2 \in S : l^2 \le |F_n|\}|}{n}
$$

$$
< \frac{|\{l^2 \in S : l^2 \le |F_n|\}|}{|F_n|} \to 0,
$$

and hence  $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ . Thus the  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  s-converges to 0.

Now we define a topology on the set

$$
X = \{x_{F,G} : F, G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\} \cup \{x_F : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\} \cup \{0\}
$$

as follows:

(1) each point  $x_{F,G}$  is isolated;

(2) a basic open neighborhood of  $x_F$  is of the form

$$
U_F = \{x_F\} \cup O_F
$$

where  $O_F = \{x_{F,G} : \delta(\{G \in \mathcal{G} : x_{F,G} \notin U_F\}_{\mathcal{G}}) = 0$  for every strictly increasing  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq [\omega]^{<\omega}$ ;

- (3) a basic open neighborhood of 0 is of the form
	- $V = \{0\} \cup \{x_F : \delta(\{F \in \mathcal{F} : x_F \notin V\}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$

for every strictly increasing 
$$
\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\omega]^{<\omega}
$$

$$
\cup \bigcup \{O_F : x_F \in V\}
$$

where  $O_F = \{x_{F,G} : \delta(\{G \in \mathcal{G} : x_{F,G} \notin V\}_\mathcal{G}) = 0$  for every strictly increasing  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq [\omega]^{<\omega}$ .

It is clear that the space  $X$  is Hausdorff.

Claim 1: X is not  $s\omega$ -Fréchet.

Let  $A = \{x_{F,G} : F, G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}\$ . Then  $0 \in \overline{A}$ . We show that no  $\omega$ net in A s-converges to 0. Suppose, by the contrary, that an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_H =$  $x_{F_H,G_H}: H \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  in A s-converges to 0. Fix an  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ . Let  $A_F =$  ${x_{F,G} \in A : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}}$ . Since  $x_F \neq 0$ ,  $\langle y_H \rangle$  does not s-converge to  $x_F$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists an  $\omega$ -net  $\xi_F$  in  ${y_H : H \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \} \cap A_F$  such that  $\xi_F$  does not s-converge to  $x_F$ . Take a basic open neighborhood  $U_F = \{x_F\} \cup O_F$  of  $x_F$  and a strictly increasing subfamily  $\mathcal{G}_F$  of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that

$$
\delta(\mathcal{B}(F)_{\mathcal{G}_F})\neq 0
$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(F) = \{G_H \in \mathcal{G}_F : \xi_F(H) = x_{F,G_H} \notin U_F\}.$ Since  $F$  is arbitrary, we can denote

$$
O = \cup \{O_F : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{B}' = \cup \{ \mathcal{B}(F) : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \}.
$$

Then  $\mathcal{B}' = \{G_H \in \bigcup \{\mathcal{G}_F : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\} : \xi_F(H) = x_{F,G_H} \notin O\}$  and  $\delta(\mathcal{B}'_{\mathcal{G}_0}) \neq 0$ for some strictly increasing subfamily  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  (precisely,  $\delta(\mathcal{B}'_{\mathcal{G}_F}) \neq 0$  for  $\mathcal{G}_F$ chosen in the above).

Let  $V = \{0\} \cup \{x_F : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\} \cup O$ . Firstly, we show that V is a basic open neighborhood of 0. Let F be any strictly increasing subfamily of  $|\omega|^{<\omega}$ and let  $\mathcal{A} = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : x_F \notin V\}$ . Since  $x_F \in V$  for all  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ , that is,  $\{x_F : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\} = \{x_F : \delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0\}$ . It is clear that  $O = \bigcup \{O_F : x_F \in V\}$ , where  $O_F$  is the set defined in (3). Thus V is a basic open neighborhood of 0.

But  $\mathcal{B} = \{G_H \in \mathcal{G}_0 : y_H = x_{F_H, G_H} \notin V\} \supseteq \mathcal{B}'$  implies that  $\delta(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{G}_0}) \geq$  $\delta(\mathcal{B}'_{\mathcal{G}_0}) \neq 0$ , which is a contraction. Therefore X is not sw-Fréchet.

Claim 2:  $X$  is  $s\omega$ -sequential.

Let B be a non-closed subset of X and let  $C_F = \{x_{F,G} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\} \cup \{x_F\}$ for each  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ .

Case 1: When  $B \cap C_F$  is not closed in X for some  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ ,  $x_F \in \overline{B \cap C_F} \subseteq$  $\overline{B}\setminus B$ . Note that  $B\cap C_F$  can be regarded as an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_H = x_{F,G_H} : H \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \rangle$ satisfying the following:

- $\langle y_H \rangle$  consists of all members of  $B \cap C_F$ ; and
- $H \subseteq I$  if and only if  $G_H \subseteq G_I$  for each  $H, I \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ .

Then the  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_H \rangle$  in B s-converges to  $x_F$  by the definition of basic open neighborhoods of  $x_F$ .

Case 2: When  $B \cap C_F$  is closed in X for each  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ , we shall show that  $B \cap \{x_F : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}\neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $B \cap \{x_F : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\} = \emptyset$ . Notice that  $0 \in \overline{B} \setminus B$  since B is not closed in X. Also we obtain that, for each  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ ,  $C_F \setminus B$  is a non-empty open neighborhood of  $x_F$ . Then the set  $U = \{0\} \cup \bigcup \{C_F \setminus B : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}\$ is an open neighborhood of 0. Since  $B \cap U = \emptyset$ ,  $0 \notin \overline{B}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $B \cap \{x_F : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}\neq \emptyset$ .

We now consider an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_H = x_{F_H} : H \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \rangle$  satisfying following:

- $\langle y_H \rangle$  consists of all members of  $B \cap \{x_F : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}\;$  and
- $H \subseteq I$  if and only if  $F_H \subseteq F_I$  for each  $H, I \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ .

Then the  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_H \rangle$  in B s-converges to 0 by the definition of basic open neighborhoods of 0.

Therefore the space X is  $s\omega$ -sequential.

The following is a special case of a theorem given in [3].

**Theorem 3.6** ([3]). Let X be a space and let  $p \in X$ . Given any sequence  $\langle x_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  in X, there is an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_F \rangle$  in X such that the following hold:

$$
(1) \ \{y_F\} \subseteq \{x_n\};
$$

(2)  $\langle x_n \rangle$  converges to p if and only if  $\langle y_F \rangle$  converges to p.

We have a slightly different theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let X be a space and let  $p \in X$ . For any  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_F \rangle$  in X, there exists a sequence  $\langle x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  such that the following hold:

- (1)  $\{x_n\}$  ⊂  $\{y_F\}$ ;
- (2) if the  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_F \rangle$  s-converges to p, then the sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  s-converges to p;
- (3) if the  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_F \rangle$  s<sup>\*</sup>-converges to p, then the sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  s<sup>\*</sup>-converges to  $p$ .

*Proof.* For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , take  $x_n = y_{F_n}$  where

$$
F_n = \{ k \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 \le k < n - 1 \}.
$$

For example, we have  $F_1 = \emptyset$  and  $F_2 = \{0\}$ . Then (1) is obvious.

 $\Box$ 

To prove (2), let U be an open neighborhood of p and let  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} :$  $x_n \notin U$ . We must show that  $\delta(A) = 0$ . Since the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is a strictly increasing subfamily of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  and since the  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y \rangle$  s-converges to p, we have

$$
\delta(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}})=0
$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \{F_n \in \mathcal{F} : y_{F_n} \notin U\}$ . In other words, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$
k \geq k_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)|}{k} = \frac{|\{F_n \in \mathcal{A} : n \leq k\}|}{k} < \epsilon.
$$

From the fact that  $y_{F_n} \notin U$  if and only if  $x_n \notin U$ , it follows that

$$
F_n \in \mathcal{A}
$$
 if and only if  $n \in A$ .

Hence

$$
k \geq k_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{|\{n \in A : n \leq k\}|}{k} = \frac{|\{F_n \in \mathcal{A} : n \leq k\}|}{k} < \epsilon.
$$

Therefore the sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  s-converges to p.

To prove (3), we assume that the  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_F \rangle$  s<sup>\*</sup>-converges to p. Then for the strictly increasing subfamily  $\mathcal F$  which was constructed in (2), there exists a subfamily  $A$  of  $F$  satisfying the following:

•  $\delta(A_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$ :

• for every open neighborhood U of p there exists  $F_{k_0} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F_k \supseteq F_{k_0}$  and  $F_k \in \mathcal{A}$  imply  $y_{F_k} \in U$ .

Take  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : F_n \in \mathcal{A}\}.$  Then one can prove the following by the similar argument with (2):

 $\bullet$   $\delta(A) = 1$ ;

• for every open neighborhood U of p there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k \geq k_0$  and  $k \in A$  imply  $x_k \in U$ .

Therefore the sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  s<sup>\*</sup>-converges to p.

We can prove the following two corollaries by using Theorem 3.7.

Corollary 3.8. If a space X is  $s\omega$ -Fréchet, then X is s-Fréchet.

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \overline{A}$ . Since X is statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet, there exists an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle y_F \rangle$  in A which s-converges to p. By Theorem 3.7 (2), we can find a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in A which s-converges to p. Therefore X is statistically Fréchet.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.9.** Let X be a space. If for every  $p \in \overline{A}$ , there exists an  $\omega$ -net in A which s<sup>\*</sup>-converges to p, then for every  $p \in \overline{A}$ , there exists a sequence in A which  $s^*$ -converges to p.

Proof. It is immediate from Theorem 3.7 (3). $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

Recall that a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is said to be *sequentially closed* if a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in A converges to p, then p belongs to A. It is well known that a space X is sequential if and only if every sequentially closed subset of X is a closed subset.

**Definition 3.10.** A subset A of a space X is said to be *statistically*  $\omega$ sequentially closed provided that if an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in A s-converges to p, then p belongs to A.

The following is a characterization of the statistically  $\omega$ -sequential property.

**Theorem 3.11.** A space X is statistically  $\omega$ -sequential if and only if every statistically  $\omega$ -sequentially closed subset of X is a closed subset.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that A is a non-closed subset of a statistically  $\omega$ sequential space X. Then there exist a point  $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A$  and an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$ in A which s-converges to p. Since  $p \notin A$ , A is not statistically  $\omega$ -sequentially closed.

 $(\Leftarrow)$  We prove it by the way of contraposition. Suppose that X is not statistically  $\omega$ -sequential. Then there exists a non-closed subset A of X such that for every point  $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ , there is no  $\omega$ -net in A which s-converges to p. Let  $\langle x_F \rangle$  be an  $\omega$ -net in A which s-converges to a point q, then it is clear that  $q \in \overline{A}$ , but  $q \notin \overline{A} \setminus A$ . Hence  $q \in A$ . Therefore A is a non-closed subset which is statistically  $\omega$ -sequentially closed.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 3.12.** A space X is said to be *statistically*  $\omega$ *-transitive* if the following holds for every  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in X: if  $\langle x_F \rangle$  s-converges to p, and for each  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  there is an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_{F,G} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \rangle$  in X such that  $\langle x_{F,G} \rangle$ s-converges to  $x_F$ , then there is an  $\omega$ -net in  $\{x_{F,G}: F, G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}\$  that sconverges to p.

Finally, an equivalence between statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet and statistically  $\omega$ sequential properties is established in the class of statistically  $\omega$ -transitive spaces.

**Theorem 3.13.** For any space  $X$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1) X is statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet;
- (2) X is statistically  $\omega$ -sequential and statistically  $\omega$ -transitive.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) By definitions, every statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet space is statistically  $\omega$ -sequential. We shall show that X is statistically  $\omega$ -transitive. Let  $\langle x_F \rangle$  be an  $\omega$ -net in X which s-converges to p. For each  $F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ , let  $\langle x_{F,G} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \rangle$  be an  $\omega$ -net in X such that  $\langle x_{F,G} \rangle$  s-converges to  $x_F$ .

Denote  $A = \{x_{F,G} : F, G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}\$ . Then  $p \in \overline{A}$ . Since X is statistically  $ω$ -Fréchet, there exists an  $ω$ -net in A that s-converges to p.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $p \in \overline{A}$  and let L be the set of all points q in X that  $\langle x_F \rangle$ s-converges to q for some  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_F \rangle$  in A. Then  $A \subseteq L$ .

Claim : L is statistically  $\omega$ -sequentially closed.

Let  $\langle x_F \rangle$  be an  $\omega$ -net in L that  $\langle x_F \rangle$  s-converges to r. By the definition of L, we can find an  $\omega$ -net  $\langle x_{F,G} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \rangle$  in A that  $\langle x_{F,G} \rangle$  s-converges to  $x_F$ . Since X is statistically  $\omega$ -transitive, there exists an  $\omega$ -net in  $\{x_{F,G}: F, G \in$  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  (particularly, in A) that s-converges to r. So r belongs to L. Hence L is statistically  $\omega$ -sequentially closed.

Since X is statistically  $\omega$ -sequential, L is a closed subset of X. Hence  $p \in \overline{A} \subset \overline{L} = L$ . Thus there exists an  $\omega$ -net in A that s-converges to p. Therefore X is statistically  $\omega$ -Fréchet.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgement. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the reviewers for their insightful comments and valuable suggestions, which greatly contributed to the improvement of this paper.

# References

- [1] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951), 241–244.
- [2] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis 5 (1985), 301–313.
- [3] R. E. Hodel, A theory of convergence and cluster points based on κ-nets, Topology Proc. 35 (2010), 291–330.
- [4] P. Kostyrko, M. Mačaj, T. Šalát, and O. Strauch, On statistical limit points, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2000), 2647–2654.
- [5] G. D. Maio and L. D. R. Kočinac, *Statistical convergence in topology*, Topology and its Appl. 156 (2008), 28–45.

Junhui Kim

Department of Mathematics Education, Wonkwang University, 460, Iksan-daero, Iksan-si, Jeonbuk-do 54538, Republic of Korea E-mail: junhikim@wku.ac.kr