KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 64(2024), 417-421 https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2024.64.3.417 pISSN 1225-6951 eISSN 0454-8124 © Kyungpook Mathematical Journal

A Note on Noetherian Polynomial Modules

Jung Wook Lim

Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, 41566, Republic of Korea

e-mail: jwlim@knu.ac.kr

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R -module. In this note, we give a brief proof of the Hilbert basis theorem for Noetherian modules. This states that if R contains the identity and M is a Noetherian unitary R-module, then $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module. We also show that if $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module, then M is a Noetherian R-module and there exists an element $e \in R$ such that $em = m$ for all $m \in M$. Finally, we prove that if $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module and $\text{ann}_R(M) = (0)$, then R has the identity and M is a unitary R -module.

1. Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring and let $R[X]$ be the polynomial ring over R. For an R-module M, let $M[X]$ be the set of polynomials in an indeterminate X with coefficients in M. Then $M[X]$ is an $R[X]$ -module under the usual addition and the scalar multiplication as follows: For $f = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i X^i$, $g = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i X^i \in M[X]$ with $m \geq n$ and $h = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} r_i X^i \in R[X],$

$$
f + g := \sum_{i=0}^{n} (a_i + b_i)X^i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m} a_i X^i
$$

and

$$
hf := \sum_{i=0}^{\ell+m} c_i X^i,
$$

where $c_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i} r_k a_{i-k}$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, \ell+m$ (cf. [2, Chapter 2, Exercise 6]). We call $M[X]$ the polynomial $R[X]$ -module.

Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R-module. Recall that M is a Noetherian module if it satisfies the ascending chain condition on R-submodules of M (or equivalently, every R-submodule of M is finitely generated); and R is a *Noetherian ring* if R is a Noetherian R -module. It is well known as Hilbert basis theorem for Noetherian modules that if R is a commutative ring with identity and M is a Noetherian unitary R-module, then $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module [2, Chapter 7, Exercise 10. When $M = R$, it recovers the well-known Hilbert basis

Received November 2, 2023; revised January 26, 2024; accepted January 30, 2024. 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13E05, 13F20.

Key words and phrases: Noetherian module, Hilbert basis theorem, Nagata's idealization.

theorem which states that if R is a Noetherian ring, then $R[X]$ is also a Noetherian ring $[2, Chapter 7, Theorem 7.5]$ (or $[4, Theorem 69]$).

In this note, we study Hilbert basis theorem for Noetherian modules. We first give a brief proof of Hilbert basis theorem for Noetherian modules. We next consider the converse of Hilbert basis theorem for Noetherian modules. More precisely, we show that if $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module, then M is a Noetherian R-module and there exists an element $e \in R$ such that $em = m$ for all $m \in M$. We also prove that if $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module and $ann_R(M) = (0)$, then R contains the identity and M is a unitary R -module.

2. Main Results

We start this section with Hilbert basis theorem for Noetherian modules. While the result appears in [2, Chapter 7, Exercise 10], we insert a brief proof for the sake of reader's easy understanding.

Theorem 1. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let M be a unitary R module. If M is a Noetherian R-module, then $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $M[X]$ is not a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module. Then there exists an R[X]-submodule N of $M[X]$ which is not finitely generated. Let f_1 be a nonzero element of least degree in N. Then $\langle f_1 \rangle \subsetneq N$. Let f_2 be an element of least degree in $N \setminus \langle f_1 \rangle$. Then $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \subsetneq N$. By repeating this process, for all integers $k \geq 1$, there exists an element f_{k+1} of least degree in $N \setminus \langle f_1, \ldots, f_k \rangle$. For each integer $i \geq 1$, let a_i be the leading coefficient of f_i and let d_i be the degree of f_i . Then $d_i \leq d_{i+1}$ for all integers $i \geq 1$. Since M is a Noetherian R-module, the ascending chain $\langle a_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \subseteq \cdots$ of R-submodules of M is stationary; so there exists an integer $\ell \geq 1$ such that $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_\ell \rangle = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{\ell+1} \rangle$. Therefore $a_{\ell+1} \in \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{\ell} \rangle$. Write $a_{\ell+1} = r_1 a_1 + \cdots + r_{\ell} a_{\ell}$ for some $r_1, \ldots, r_{\ell} \in R$. Let $g = f_{\ell+1} - ((r_1 X^{d_{\ell+1}-d_1}) f_1 + \cdots + (r_{\ell} X^{d_{\ell+1}-d_{\ell}}) f_{\ell}).$ Then $g \in N \setminus \langle f_1, \ldots, f_{\ell} \rangle$ and $deg(g) < deg(f_{\ell+1})$. This is a contradiction to the choice of $f_{\ell+1}$. Thus $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module. \square

We next consider the converse of Hilbert basis theorem for Noetherian modules. In order to study the converse of Hilbert basis theorem for Noetherian modules, we give a result which is required to prove the main theorem.

Lemma 2. Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R-module. If $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module, then the following assertions hold.

- (1) M is a Noetherian R-module.
- (2) For each $m \in M$, there exists an element $r \in R$ such that $rm = m$.

Proof. (1) Let N be an R-submodule of M. Then $N[X]$ is an $R[X]$ -submodule of $M[X]$. Since $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module, there exist $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in N[X]$ such that $N[X] = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$. Let $n \in N$. Then we obtain

$$
n = f_1g_1 + \cdots + f_kg_k + \ell_1g_1 + \cdots + \ell_kg_k
$$

for some $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in R[X]$ and $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, k$, write $f_i =$ $\sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_i} r_{ij} X^j$ and $g_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\beta_i} n_{ij} X^j$. Then we have

$$
n = r_{10}n_{10} + \cdots + r_{k0}n_{k0} + \ell_1 n_{10} + \cdots + \ell_k n_{k0};
$$

so $N = \langle n_{10}, \ldots, n_{k0} \rangle$. Hence N is a finitely generated R-submodule of M. Thus M is a Noetherian R-module.

(2) Let $m \in M$. Then $\langle m \rangle \subseteq \langle m, mX \rangle \subseteq \langle m, mX, mX^2 \rangle \subseteq \cdots$ is an ascending chain of $R[X]$ -submodules of $M[X]$. Since $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module, there exists a nonnegative integer q such that $\langle m, \ldots, mX^q \rangle = \langle m, \ldots, mX^{q+1} \rangle$; so $mX^{q+1} \in \langle m, \ldots, mX^q \rangle$. Therefore we have

$$
mX^{q+1} = f_0m + \dots + f_q(mX^q) + \ell_0m + \dots + \ell_q(mX^q)
$$

for some $f_0, \ldots, f_q \in R[X]$ and $\ell_0, \ldots, \ell_q \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $i = 0, \ldots, q$, write $f_i =$ $\sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_i} r_{ij} X^j$. By comparing the coefficients of X^{q+1} in both sides, we obtain

$$
m = r_{0q+1}m + \dots + r_{q1}m
$$

= $(r_{0q+1} + \dots + r_{q1})m.$

Note that $r_{0q+1} + \cdots + r_{q1} \in R$. Thus the proof is done. \Box

Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R-module. Then $\operatorname{ann}_R(M) := \{r \in$

 $R | rM = \{0\}\}\$ is an ideal of R and is called the *annihilator* of M in R. We are now ready to give the main result in this note.

Theorem 3. Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R-module. If $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module, then the following conditions hold.

- (1) There exists an element $e \in R$ such that $em = m$ for all $m \in M$.
- (2) If $\text{ann}_R(M) = (0)$, then R contains the identity and M is a unitary R-module.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module. Then by Lemma 2(1), M is a Noetherian R-module; so $M = \langle m_1, \ldots, m_n \rangle$ for some $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in M$. By Lemma 2(2), for each $i = 1, ..., n$, there exists an element $r_i \in R$ such that $r_i m_i = m_i$. Let $e_1 = r_1 + r_2 - r_1r_2$ and for each $i \in \{2, ..., n-1\}$, let $e_i = e_{i-1} + r_{i+1} - e_{i-1}r_{i+1}$. Then $e_1m_i = m_i$ for all $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that there exists an index $k \in \{1, ..., n-2\}$ such that $e_k m_i = m_i$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., k+1\}$. Then we have

$$
e_{k+1}m_i = (e_k + r_{k+2} - e_kr_{k+2})m_i
$$

= $e_km_i + r_{k+2}m_i - e_kr_{k+2}m_i$
= m_i

for all $i = 1, \ldots, k + 1$. Also, we obtain

$$
e_{k+1}m_{k+2} = (e_k + r_{k+2} - e_kr_{k+2})m_{k+2}
$$

= $e_k m_{k+2} + r_{k+2}m_{k+2} - e_kr_{k+2}m_{k+2}$
= m_{k+2} .

Therefore $e_{k+1}m_i = m_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k+2$. Hence by the induction, $e_{n-1}m_i = m_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $m \in M$. Then we have

$$
m = s_1m_1 + \dots + s_nm_n + \ell_1m_1 + \dots + \ell_nm_n
$$

for some $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in R$ and $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we obtain

$$
e_{n-1}m = e_{n-1}(s_1m_1 + \dots + s_nm_n + \ell_1m_1 + \dots + \ell_nm_n)
$$

= $s_1e_{n-1}m_1 + \dots + s_ne_{n-1}m_n + \ell_1e_{n-1}m_1 + \dots + \ell_ne_{n-1}m_n$
= $s_1m_1 + \dots + s_nm_n + \ell_1m_1 + \dots + \ell_nm_n$
= m .

(2) Let a be any element of R. By (1), there exists an element $e \in R$ such that $em = m$ for all $m \in M$; so $aem = am$ for all $m \in M$, which indicates that $(ae - a)m = 0$ for all $m \in M$. Therefore $(ae - a)M = \{0\}$. Since $\text{ann}_R(M) = (0)$, $ae - a = 0$. Hence $ae = a$. Thus e is the identity of R, which also shows that M is a unitary R -module. \Box

As an immediate consequence of Theorems 1 and $3(2)$ and Lemma $2(1)$, we have

Corollary 4. Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R-module with $ann_R(M)$ = (0). Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) R contains the identity and M is a Noetherian unitary R-module.
- (2) $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module.

By applying $M = R$ to Theorem 3(1), we regain

Corollary 5. ([3, Theorem]) Let R be a commutative ring. If $R[X]$ is a Noetherian ring, then R contains the identity.

We next give two examples which show that the converse of Theorem $3(2)$ is not true in general and the annihilator condition in Theorem 3(2) is essential.

Example 6. Let $M = \{0, 2, 4\}$ be a \mathbb{Z}_6 -submodule of \mathbb{Z}_6 . Then \mathbb{Z}_6 contains the identity and M is a unitary \mathbb{Z}_6 -module. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_6[X]$ is a Noetherian $\mathbb{Z}_6[X]$ module by Theorem 1 (or [2, Chapter 7, Exercise 10]) and $M[X]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_6[X]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_6[X]$. Hence $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $\mathbb{Z}_6[X]$ -module. However, $\text{ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_6}(M) = \{0,3\}$.

Example 7. Let $R = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$ be a subring of \mathbb{Z}_{12} and let $M = \{0, 4, 8\}$ be an R-submodule of \mathbb{Z}_{12} . Then R is a commutative ring without identity and M is a nonunitary R-module with $\text{ann}_R(M) = \{0, 6\}$. Also, $4m = m$ for all $m \in M$. Finally, $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module. (To see this, suppose to the contrary that $M[X]$ is not a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module. Then there exists an $R[X]$ -submodule N of $M[X]$ which is not finitely generated. Let f_1 be a nonzero element of least degree in N. Then $\langle f_1 \rangle \subsetneq N$; so there exists an element f_2 of least degree in $N \setminus \langle f_1 \rangle$. For all $i = 1, 2$, let a_i be the leading coefficient of f_i and let d_i be the degree of f_i . Then $d_1 \leq d_2$. If $a_1 = a_2 = 4$ or $a_1 = a_2 = 8$, then we let $g = f_2 - (4X^{d_2-d_1})f_1$. Then $g \in N \setminus \langle f_1 \rangle$ and $\deg(g) < \deg(f_2)$. This is a contradiction to the choice of

f₂. If $a_1 = 4$ and $a_2 = 8$, then we let $g = f_2 - (2X^{d_2-d_1})f_1$. Then $g \in N \setminus \langle f_1 \rangle$ and $\deg(g) < \deg(f_2)$. This is impossible because of the choice of f_2 . Similarly, if $a_1 = 8$ and $a_2 = 4$, then we let $g = f_2 - (2X^{d_2-d_1})f_1$. Then $g \in N \setminus \langle f_1 \rangle$ and $deg(g) < deg(f_2)$. This is also absurd because of the minimality of the degree of f_2 in $N \setminus \langle f_1 \rangle$. Hence $M[X]$ is a Noetherian $R[X]$ -module.)

Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R-module. Then the Nagata's *idealization* of M in R (or the *trivial extension* of R by M) is a commutative ring

$$
R(+)M := \{(r, m) | r \in R \text{ and } m \in M\}
$$

with usual addition and multiplication defined by $(r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2) = (r_1r_2, r_1m_2 +$ r_2m_1) for all $(r_1, m_1), (r_2, m_2) \in R(+)M$. It is routine to check that $(R(+)M)[X]$ is isomorphic to $R[X](+)M[X]$ (cf. [1, Corollary 4.6(1)]). It was shown that if R contains the identity and M is a unitary R-module, then $R(+)M$ is a Noetherian ring if and only if R is a Noetherian ring and M is finitely generated $[1,$ Theorem 4.8] (or [5, Corollary 3.9]).

Corollary 8. Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R-module. If $R[X](+)M[X]$ is a Noetherian ring, then R contains the identity and M is a unitary R -module.

Proof. Suppose that $R[X](+)M[X]$ is a Noetherian ring. Since $R[X](+)M[X]$ is isomorphic to $(R(+)M)[X]$, $(R(+)M)[X]$ is a Noetherian ring. Hence by Corollary 5, $R(+)M$ contains the identity.

Let (a, n) be the identity of $R(+)M$. For each $r \in R$, $(a, n)(r, 0) = (r, 0)$; so $ar = r$. Thus a is the identity of R. Let $m \in M$. Then $(a, n)(0, m) = (0, m)$; so $am = m$. Thus M is a unitary R-module. \square

Acknowledgements. The author sincerely thanks the referee for several valuable comments. This research was supported by Kyungpook National University Research Fund, 2023.

References

- [1] D. D. Anderson and M. Winders, Idealization of a module, J. Commut. Algebra $1(2009), 3-56.$
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. MacDonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra, Addison-Wesley Series in Math., Westview Press, 1969.
- [3] R. W. Gilmer, If $R[X]$ is Noetherian, R contains an identity, Amer. Math. Monthly, 74(1967), 700.
- [4] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey, 1994.
- [5] J. W. Lim and D. Y. Oh, S-Noetherian properties on amalgamated algebras along an ideal, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 218(2014), 1075–1080.