Research Article

Sodium Hydrosulfide Enhances Drought Tolerance by Alleviating Oxidative Stress and Promoting Proline Accumulation in *Brassica napus* L.

Septi Anita Sari, Muchamad Muchlas, Bok-Rye Lee, Md Al Mamun and Tae-Hwan Kim*

Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Republic of Korea

ABSTRACT

Drought is one of the environmental factors inhibiting plant productivity and growth, leading to oxidative damage. This study aims to identify the role of sodium hydrosulfide (NaHS) as a hydrogen sulfide (H2S) donor in drought stress tolerance in *Brassica napus*. Drought-induced stress symptoms appeared eight days after treatment, showing wilted leaves and a significant reduction of leaf water potential. Drought-induced increase of lipid peroxidation was significantly reduced by NaHS application. NaHS-treated plants mitigated stress symptoms under drought conditions by reducing hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) content, confirmed with H_2O_2 localization in situ. Furthermore, NaHS promotes photosynthetic activity by maintaining chlorophyll and carotenoid content, thereby supporting plant growth under drought conditions. Pyrroline-5-carboxylate and proline contents were significantly increased by drought but further enhanced by NaHS treatment, indicating the important roles of proline accumulation in drought stress tolerance. In conclusion, this study provides valuable insight into the roles of NaHS in alleviating drought stress by reducing oxidative stress and promoting proline accumulation. Therefore, NaHS may serve as an effective strategy to enhance crop production under drought-stress conditions.

(Key words: *Brassica napus*, Drought stress, Proline, Sodium hydrosulfide)

Ⅰ. INTRODUCTION

Abiotic stress is a worldwide environmental factor that limits plant productivity, particularly drought stress (Kour et al., 2020). Climate change is raising global temperature thus increasing savior drought conditions. Oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) is a major oil source for industrial uses in the world (Kirkegaard et al., 2021). Drought stress is one abiotic stress factor that limits the global production of *B. napus*. Besides, drought stress caused the highest yield reduction in several growth stages (Bilibio et al., 2011). Furthermore, enhancing drought tolerance in agricultural crops, specifically *B. napus*, is not only essential for oilseed production but also has implications for forage plants and grassland ecosystems, thus supporting livestock and maintaining ecological balance (Hopkins and Del Prado, 2007).

Plants exposed to drought stress provoke water loss, commonly generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) (La et al., 2019). Accumulation of $H₂O₂$ leads to cellular damage in plant cells, thus reducing plant growth and productivity. In addition, malondialdehyde (MDA) is also known to induce damage in plant cells or activate plant protection depending on cellular production, scavenging, and signaling modulation (Morales et al., 2019).

Plants produce ROS due to defense mechanisms under stressful environmental conditions, thus producing osmoprotectants and antioxidants to maintain cellular homeostasis. Proline, widely known as an osmoprotectant functions osmotic pressure balance and maintains cellular membrane stability (Rejeb et al., 2014; Hasan et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2022). Several reports indicate that proline accumulation is associated with drought stress tolerance to reduce oxidative damage. Several environmental cues regulate proline biosynthesis through the glutamate pathway, where Δ^1 -pyrroline-5-carboxylate (P5C) acts as a critical intermediate (Kavi and Sreenivasulu, 2014).

Recently, gaseous signaling molecules have been reported to contribute to stress tolerance, particularly drought stress. Sodium hydrosulfide (NaHS), widely known as an H₂S donor improves plant growth and induces stress tolerance (Banerjee and Roychoudhury, 2021). Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) was reportedly

^{*}Corresponding author: Tae-Hwan Kim, Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186,

Tel: +82-62-530-0217, E-mail: grassl@chonnam.ac.kr

involved in stress tolerance by modulating antioxidant activity (Lai et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2021). H_2S could activate plant defense mechanisms by modulating osmoprotectants, particularly proline (Azhar et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2023). Proline production could be a stress marker and or stress tolerance parameter in plants, thus mitigating oxidative damage and protecting membranes from peroxidation (Rhaman et al., 2024).

This study aims to investigate the role of NaHS in alleviating drought stress in *B. napus*. NaHS as an H₂S donor induces proline accumulation thereby inducing drought stress tolerance and promoting plant photosynthetic under drought conditions further improving livestock productivity.

Ⅱ. MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Plant materials and treatments

Oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L. cv Mosa) was grown under greenhouse conditions for four weeks in 2-L pots containing a mixture of soil (70%) and perlite (30%). Four-leave stages plants were chosen and divided based on morphological similarity into three groups: (1) Control plants (200 mL water per day), (2) Drought-stress plants (50 mL water per day), and (3) NaHS + Drought plants (50 mL of 500 μ M NaHS per day). Three biological replications for each treatment were used in this experiment. The samples were collected on day eight following treatment.

2. Determination of leaf water potential and lipid peroxidation

Leaf water potential (LWP) was determined by Lee et al. (2023) using a pressure chamber (PMS Instrument, Corvallis, OR USA). Malondialdehyde (MDA), a represented lipid peroxidation, was determined by extracting a sample of fresh leaves (200 mg) with 0.1% trichloroacetic acid. After 10 min, samples were centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ rpm for 10 min. Supernatants were mixed with 0.5% thiobarbituric acid in 20% trichloroacetic acid. Samples were gently mixed with vortex and incubated at 95°C. After 30 min, the reaction stopped in the ice and centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ rpm for 15 min. Absorbance was measured at 532 nm, and the content of the MDA was calculated using the extinct coefficient 155 mM⁻¹cm⁻¹ (Hodges et al., 1999).

3. Determination of H_2O_2 content and H_2O_2 visualization

Fresh leaf samples (200 mg) were extracted using 1mL of 100 mM phosphate buffer and centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 min. The supernatant was mixed with 0.1% titanium chloride (TiCl₄) in 20% sulfuric acid and centrifuged at $6,000 \times g$ for 3 min. Absorbance was read at 410 nm and calculated using the extinct coefficient of 0.28 μ M⁻¹cm⁻¹ (Lin and Kao, 2001). H2O2 visualization was described by Lee et al. (2013) using the DAB (3,3-diaminobenzidine) staining method. Leaves were immersed in DAB solutions in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7) overnight. After that, the leaves were immersed in ethanol and boiled (100°C) to bleach the chlorophyll.

4. Determination of chlorophyll and carotenoid content

Chlorophyll and carotenoid content were determined using the method described by Richardson et al. (2002). Fresh leaves (approximately 100 mg) were immersed in 10 mL of DMSO and incubated for 48 h. After 48 h, supernatants were read in absorbance 645 nm and 663 nm for chlorophyll content, and 480 nm and 510 nm for carotenoid content using a microplate reader (Synergy H1 Hybrid reader; Biotech, Korea).

5. Determination of proline and P5C content

Leaf samples (200 mg) were extracted with 3% sulfosalicylic acid and centrifuged for 10 min at $12,000 \times g$ to determine the contents of proline and pyrroline-5-carboxylate (P5C). Afterward, supernatants were mixed with ninhydrin and $6M$ H₃PO₄ and incubated at 100°C. After 1 h, samples were cooled in the ice and added toluene. Samples were strongly vortexed and incubated overnight. Absorbance was determined at 520 nm and calculated using a proline standard curve. P5C content was determined by adding 10 mM 2-amino benzaldehyde into the supernatant and incubated for 2 h. Absorbance was recorded at 440 nm and P5C content was calculated using the extinction coefficient $2.58 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ (Lee et al., 2009).

Ⅲ. RESULTS

1. Physiological symptoms of plants

Plants subjected to drought stress for eight days, as outlined

in the experimental design (Fig. 1A). After eight days of treatment, drought-stressed plants showed wilting leaves due to water loss and reduced turgor pressure, there was a noticeable reduction in leaf sizes and stunted growth. NaHS-treated plants showed fewer wilting leaves and promoted better growth and plant vigor compared to drought-stressed plants (Fig. 1B)

Fig. 1. Treatments during the experimental period (A) and plant morphology (B) after treatments.

2. Leaf water potential and MDA content

Drought stress significantly reduced leaf water potential (-1.38 MPa) compared to control plants. In contrast, the addition of NaHS under drought treatment induced leaf water potential by 63% compared to drought treatment (Fig. 2A). The concentration of malondialdehyde (MDA), a represented lipid peroxidation, in drought-stressed plants is 6.604μ mol g⁻¹ FW was significantly higher than the control. NaHS-treated plants reduced MDA content compared to drought stress (4.53 µmol g^{-1} FW) (Fig. 2B).

3. H_2O_2 visualization and H_2O_2 content

Drought-stressed plants show deep brown spot accumulation in DAB-stained leaves, while NaHS treatment plants reduce deep brown spots (Fig. 3A). Drought-stressed plants showed significant increases in the concentration of H_2O_2 by 56.67 nmol g^{-1} FW. In contrast, NaHS-treated plants significantly reduced H_2O_2 content (48.9 nmol g^{-1} FW) compared to drought-stressed plants (Fig. 3B).

4. Chlorophyll and carotenoid content

Total chlorophyll and total carotenoid significantly decreased under drought-stressed treatment. In contrast, NaHS-treated plants induced chlorophyll and carotenoid content (compared to drought stress) under drought conditions (Fig. 4A and B)

Fig. 2. Changes in leaf water potential (LWP, A) and MDA (B) in the leaves of Brassica napus under control, drought, or drought with NaHS treatments. The data is represented as mean \pm SE for n=3. Means denoted by the same different letter are significantly different at the $p(0.05)$ level according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Fig. 4. Changes in the content of total carotenoid (A) and total chlorophyll (B) in the leaves of Brassica napus under control, drought, or drought with NaHS treatments. The data is represented as mean \pm SE for n=3. Means denoted by the same different letter are significantly different at the $p(0.05)$ level according to Duncan's multiple range test.

5. Proline and P5C content

Drought stress upregulated P5C content (0.467 µmol g^{-1} FW) significantly higher compared to control. A similar response was found in NaHS-treated plants with P5C content $(0.479 \text{ µmol g}^{-1} \text{ FW})$ significantly higher compared to control, but no significant difference compared to drought-stressed plants (Fig. 5A). Proline content is upregulated under drought stress (125.39 μ g g⁻¹ FW) and much higher in NaHS treated plants (166.31 µg g^{-1} FW) (Fig. 5B).

Fig. 5. Changes in the content of pyrroline-5-carboxylate (P5C, A) and proline (B) in the leaves of Brassica napus under control, drought, or drought with NaHS treatments. The data is represented as mean \pm SE for n=3. Means denoted by the same different letter are significantly different at the $p(0.05)$ level according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Ⅳ. DISCUSSION

Drought stress-induced oxidative damage in plant cells triggers protective responses to maintain cellular homeostasis by producing antioxidants and osmoprotectants (Hasanuzzaman and Fujita, 2022). Proline is widely recognized as an osmoprotectant involved in plant stress responses, with its accumulation playing a role in drought tolerance (La et al., 2020). However, proline accumulation can shift from being a hypersensitive response to serving as a stress tolerance parameter. In the present study, drought-induced stress symptoms were alleviated by NaHS treatment through additional proline accumulation. Moreover, NaHS-treated plants promote plant growth under drought conditions.

In this study, drought stress significantly decreased the leaf water potential compared to the control. In contrast, NaHS increased leaf water potential by 63% compared to drought (Fig. 2A). The reduction in leaf water potential caused by water deficit has proven to be a water stress indicator such as drought (Azhar et al., 2018; Park et al., 2021). Drought-induced MDA and H_2O_2 accumulation were alleviated by the addition of NaHS (Figs. 2B and 3A-B). NaHS may play an important role in alleviating drought stress by reducing stress symptoms and ROS accumulation. Moreover, drought inhibits plant growth by reducing chlorophyll and carotenoid content, while NaHS-treated plants induce chlorophyll and carotenoid production under drought (Fig. 4A and B). Drought stress can inhibit plant growth by reducing nutrient uptake, leading to elemental deficiencies and decreased photosynthesis activity (Salehi and Bakhshayeshan, 2016; Yang et al., 2021a).

Proline is well-documented as a cell membrane protector from oxidative stress damage caused by environmental stress, particularly drought (Yang et al., 2021b). Proline accumulation induces antioxidant activity and minimizes cell damage (Szabados and Savore, 2010). Several studies have also reported that proline helps plants maintain intercellular water content under water deficit and stabilizes plant cell membranes to maintain cellular integrity, functions, and structure. Proline can act as both a stress marker indicator and a tolerance mechanism (Venekamp et al., 2006; Szabadoz and Savore, 2010; Rehman et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021b). In the present study, drought stress induced proline accumulation compared to control, while much higher proline content was observed in NaHS-treated plants (Fig. 5B). NaHS

may be involved in proline production, which in turn reduces $H₂O₂$ and MDA levels, further alleviating drought stress symptoms. Additionally, the elevated proline levels in NaHS-treated plants may be associated with an increase in chlorophyll and carotenoid levels, thereby improving growth under stress conditions. According to Banerjee and Roychoudhury (2021), proline production is likely a plant defense mechanism and induces plant stress tolerance. Proline accumulation is mainly regulated by key biosynthesis enzymes, pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase (P5CS). Recent studies reported that the overexpression of P5CS in tobacco plants increases proline content, leading to osmotic stress tolerance in plants (Julia et al., 2014). In the present study, NaHS-induced P5C content further contributed to proline production, promoting drought tolerance.

In conclusion, NaHS, an H2S donor, alleviated drought-induced stress symptoms through the induction of additional proline accumulation. This study provides evidence of the importance of proline accumulation in drought stress tolerance, particularly in *Brassica napus*. NaHS-induced proline accumulation reduces oxidative stress, thereby enhancing plant growth under drought-stress conditions. Moreover, this study provides insight into drought stress tolerance in forage plants, which in turn supports sustainable agricultural practices and sustaining livestock production. In addition to improving drought tolerance for forage crops, NaHS applications could be an effective strategy to boost agricultural productivity in water-deficit areas.

Ⅴ. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2022R1I1A 3072357).

Ⅵ. REFERENCES

Ali, H., Mahmood, I., Ali, M.F., Waheed, A., Jawad, H., Hussain, S., Abasi, F., Zulfiqar, U., Siddiqui, M.H. and Alamri, S. 2024. Individual and interactive effects of amino acid and paracetamol on growth, physiological and biochemical aspects of *Brassica napus* L. under drought conditions. Heliyon. 10(11). doi:10.1016/

j.heliyon.2024.e31544

- Azhar, M.T. and Rehman, A. 2018. Overview on effects of water stress on cotton plants and productivity. Biochemical, physiological and molecular avenues for combating abiotic stress tolerance in plants. pp. 297-316. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-813066-7.00016-4
- Banerjee, A. and Roychoudhury, A. 2021. Roles of hydrogen sulfide in regulating temperature stress response in plants. In: Plant growth regulators: signalling under stress conditions. Springer international publishing. pp. 207-215. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-61153-8_10.
- Bilibio, C., Carvalho, J.D.A., Hensel, O. and Richter, U. 2011. Effect of different levels of water deficit on rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) crop. Ciência e Agrotecnologia. 35:672-684.
- Guo, L., Ling, L., Wang, X., Cheng, T., Wang, H. and Ruan, Y. 2023. Exogenous hydrogen sulfide and methylglyoxal alleviate cadmiuminduced oxidative stress in *Salix matsudana Koidz* by regulating glutathione metabolism. BMC Plant Biology. 23(1):73. doi:10.1186/ s12870-023-04089-y
- Hasan, H., Uzma, Gul, A., Kubra, G., tuz Zahra Khan, F., Yousaf, S., Ajmal, K. B., Naseer, H., Khan, W., Amir, R., Ali, M. and Keyani, R. 2020. Role of osmoprotectants and drought tolerance in wheat. In: Climate change and food security with emphasis on wheat, Elsevier. pp. 207-216. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-819527-7. 00013-3
- Hasanuzzaman, M. and Fujita, M. 2022. Plant oxidative stress: Biology, physiology and mitigation. Plants. 11(9):1185. doi:10.3390/plants 11091185
- Hodges, D.M., DeLong, J.M., Forney, C.F. and Prange, R.K. 1999. Improving the thiobarbituric acid-reactive-substances assay for estimating lipid peroxidation in plant tissues containing anthocyanin and other interfering compounds. Planta. 207:604-611.
- Hopkins, A., & Del Prado, A. (2007). Implications of climate change for grassland and livestock production. Grass and Forage Science. 62(2):118-126.
- Julia, G., Nathalia, B., Rosângela, M., Andrea, H., Douglas, D., Joao, B. and Luiz, V. 2014. Stress-induced ∆1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase (*P5CS*) gene confers tolerance to salt stress in transgenic sugarcane. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 36:2309-2319.
- Kavi Kishor, P.B. and Sreenivasulu, N. 2014. Is proline accumulation *per se* correlated with stress tolerance or is proline homeostasis a more critical issue? Plant, Cell, and Environment. 37(2):300-311. doi:10.1111/pce.12157
- Kirkegaard, J.A., Lilley, J.M., Berry, P.M. and Rondanini, D.P. 2021. Canola, in: Crop Physiology Case Histories for Major Crops. Elsevier, pp. 518-549. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12- 819194-1.00017-7
- Kour, D., Rana, K.L., Yadav, A.N., Sheikh, I., Kumar, V., Dhaliwal,

H.S., et al. 2020. Amelioration of drought stress in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L.) by P-solubilizing drought-tolerant microbes with multifarious plant growth-promoting attributes. Journal of Environmental Sustainability. 3(1):23-34.

- La, V.H., Lee, B.R., Islam, M.T., Mamun, M.A., Park, S.H., Bae, D.W. and Kim, T.H. 2020. Characterization of glutamate-mediated hormonal regulatory pathway of the drought responses in relation to proline metabolism in *Brassica napus* L. Plants. 9(4):512.
- La, V.H., Lee, B.R., Islam, M.T., Park, S.H., Jung, H.I., Bae, D.W., et al. 2019a. Characterization of salicylic acid-mediated modulation of the drought stress responses: Reactive oxygen species, proline, and redox state in *Brassica napus*. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 157:1-10.
- Lai, D.W., Mao, Y., Zhou, H., Li, F., Wu, M.Z., Zhang, J., He, Z.Y., Cui, W.T. and Xie, Y.J. 2014. Endogenous hydrogen sulfide enhances salt tolerance by coupling the re-establishment of redox homeostasis and preventing salt-induced K^+ loss in seedlings of *Medicago sativa*. Plant Science. 225:117-129.
- Lee, B.R., Jin, Y.L., Avice, J.C., Cliquet, J.B., Ourry, A. and Kim, T.H. 2009. Increased proline loading to phloem and its effects on nitrogen uptake and assimilation in water-stressed white clover (*Trifolium repens*). New Phytologist. 182(3):654-663.
- Lee, B.R., La, V.H., Park, S.H., Mamun, M.A., Bae, D.W. and Kim, T.H. 2022. H₂O₂-responsive hormonal status involves oxidative burst signaling and proline metabolism in rapeseed leaves. Antioxidants. 11(3):566.
- Lee, B.R., Muneer, S., Park, S.H., Zhang, Q. and Kim, T.H. 2013. Ammonium-induced proline and sucrose accumulation, and their significane in antioxidative activity and osmotic adjustment. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 35:2655-2664.
- Lee, B.R., Park, S.H., Muchlas, M., La, V.H., Mamun, M.A., Bae, D.W. and Kim, T.H. 2023. Differential response of phenylpropanoid pathway as linked to hormonal change in *two Brassica napus* cultivars contrasting drought tolerance. Physiologia Plantarum. 175(6):14115.
- Lisjak, M., Teklica, T., Wilson, I., Wood, M.E., Whiteman, M., Špol-jarević, M. and Hancock, J.T. 2013. The role of H2S in pepper leaves under salt stress conditions. Nitric Oxide Biology and Chemistry. 6:42.
- Morales, M. and Munné-Bosch, S. 2019. Malondialdehyde: Facts and artifacts. Plant Physiology. 180(3):1246-1250. doi:10.1104/pp.19. 00405
- Park, S.H., Lee, B.R., La, V.H., Mamun, M.A., Bae, D.W. and Kim, T.H. 2021. Characterization of salicylic acid- and abscisic acid-mediated photosynthesis, Ca^{2+} and H_2O_2 accumulation in two distinct phases of drought stress intensity in *Brassica napus*. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 186:104434.
- Rehman, A.U., Bashir, F., Ayaydin, F., Kota, Z., Pali, T. and Vass, I. 2021. Proline is a quencher of singlet oxygen and superoxide both in in vitro systems and isolated thylakoids. Physiologia Plantarum. 172:7-18.
- Rejeb, K., Abdelly, C. and Savoure, A. 2014. How reactive oxygen species and proline face stress together. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 80:278-284. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.04.007
- Rhaman, M.S., Rauf, F., Tania, S.S., Bayazid, N., Tahjib-ul-Arif, M., Robin, A.H.K., Hoque, M.A., Yang, X., Murata, Y. and Brestic, M. 2024. Proline and glycine betaine: A dynamic duo for enhancing salt stress resilience in maize by regulating growth, stomatal size, and oxidative stress responses. Plant Stress. 14:100563. doi:10.1016/ j.stress.2024.100563
- Richardson, A.D., Duigan, S.P. and Berlyn, G.P. 2002. An evaluation of noninvasive methods to estimate foliar chlorophyll content. New Phytologist. 153(1):185-194. doi:10.1046/j.0028-646X.2001. 00289.x
- Salehi-Lisar, S.Y. and Bakhshayeshan-Agdam, H. 2016. Drought stress in plants: Causes, consequences, and tolerance. In: M. Hossain, S. Wani, S. Bhattacharjee, D. Burritt, L.S. Tran (Eds.), Drought stress tolerance in plants. Vol 1. Springer. Cham. doi:10.1007/ 978-3-319-28899-4_1
- Szabados, L. and Savouré, A. 2010. Proline: A multifunctional amino acid. Trends in Plant Science. 15:89-97.
- Yang, D., Ni, R., Yang, S., Pu, Y., Qian, M., Yang, Y. and Yang, Y. 2021b. Functional characterization of the *Stipa purpurea* P5CS gene under drought stress conditions. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 22(17):9599.
- Yang, X., Lu, M., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, Z. and Chen, S. 2021a. Response mechanism of plants to drought stress. Horticulturae. 7(3):50.

(Received : September 11, 2024 | Revised : September 24, 2024 | Accepted : September 24, 2024)