

Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications

Vol. 29, No. 3 (2024), pp. 803-823

ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

<https://doi.org/10.22771/nfaa.2024.29.03.10>

<http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa>



ON FRACTIONAL TIME-VARYING DELAY INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH MULTI-POINT MULTI-TERM NONLOCAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

K. Shri Akiladevi¹, K. Balachandran²
and Daewook Kim³

¹Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University,
Coimbatore 641046, India
e-mail: shriakiladevi@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University,
Coimbatore 641046, India
e-mail: kb.maths.bu@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics Education, Seowon University,
Cheongju 28674, South Korea
e-mail: kdw@seowon.ac.kr

Abstract. In this paper, we study the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the fractional time-varying delay integrodifferential equation with multi-point multi-term nonlocal and fractional integral boundary conditions by using fixed point theorems. The fractional derivative considered here is in the Caputo sense. Examples are provided to illustrate the results.

1. INTRODUCTION

The mathematical modeling of systems and processes in the fields of physics, aerodynamics, polymer rheology, electrodynamics of complex medium, signal processing, tomography, chaotic dynamics, statistical physics, etc. involves

⁰Received November 20, 2023. Revised March 25, 2024. Accepted March 27, 2024.

⁰2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 34A08, 34B10, 34B15.

⁰Keywords: Fractional integrodifferential equation, time varying delays, Caputo fractional derivative, multi-point nonlocal boundary conditions, fixed point theorems.

⁰Corresponding author: K. Balachandran(kb.maths.bu@gmail.com).

derivatives of fractional order. In consequence, the subject of fractional differential equations has been gaining much importance and attention among researchers. Fractional-order models incorporate implicitly memory effects that are difficult to describe using classical calculus. For the basic theory and applications of fractional differential equations, one can refer to the books [5, 16, 26, 33, 35].

In recent years, the theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlinear fractional boundary value problems has attracted the attention of many researchers. For noteworthy papers dealing with fractional boundary value problems, see [1, 2, 12, 17, 20, 25, 31, 39, 40, 41, 45] and the references therein. Integral boundary conditions have various applications in applied fields such as blood flow problems, chemical engineering, underground water flow, population dynamics, cellular systems, mathematical chemistry and so on. Also many practically important problems lead to multipoint boundary value problems which arise in many areas of applied sciences; for example, in the theory of elastic stability, heat conduction, electric power networks, electric railway systems, telecommunication lines, to name but a few.

Delay is very often encountered in many real world systems and there has been widespread interest in the study of delay differential equations for many years. For example, in modeling HIV infection of CD4⁺T-cells, the time delay describes the time between infection of CD4⁺T-cells and emission of viral particles on a cellular level [43]. In biology, the population growth depends not only on the current population size but also on the size some times ago. The same situation occurs in many economic growth models. As a result, in recent years, fractional delay differential equations begin to arouse the attention of many researchers due to their applications in various fields.

Several papers have been devoted to the study of existence results for integer order delay differential equations [4, 6, 7, 19, 30, 38]. But in the case of fractional order delay differential equations comparatively less theory is developed and few of the works can be found in [3, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34, 37, 42, 44] and the references therein.

The existence of mild solutions for a class of abstract fractional integrodifferential equation with nonlocal condition of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^q(u(t) + e(t, u(t))) = Au(t) + f\left(t, u(t), u(\alpha(t)), \int_0^t k(t, s, u(s), u(\beta(s))) ds\right), \\ u(0) + g(u) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

was studied by Balachandran *et al.* [8], where ${}^C D^q$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < q < 1$, $t \in J = [0, a]$, A is a closed linear unbounded operator in a Banach space X with dense domain $D(A)$, $u_0 \in X$ and $f :$

$J \times X^3 \rightarrow X, e : J \times X \rightarrow X, k : \Delta \times X^2 \rightarrow X, g : C(J; X) \rightarrow X, \alpha, \beta : J \rightarrow J$ are continuous with $\Delta = \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq a\}$.

Ouyang *et al.* [34] studied the existence of positive solutions to the nonlinear system of fractional order differential equations with delays of the form

$$\begin{cases} D^{\alpha_i} u_i(t) + f_i(t, u_1(\tau_{i1}(t)), \dots, u_N(\tau_{iN}(t))) = 0, t \in (0, 1), \\ u_i^{(j)}(0) = 0, j = 0, 1, \dots, n_i - 2, i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \\ u_i^{(n_i-1)}(1) = \eta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \end{cases}$$

where D^{α_i} is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha_i \in (n_i - 1, n_i]$ for some integer $n_i > 1, \eta_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, N, 0 \leq \tau_{ij}(t) \leq t$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N,$ and f_i is a nonlinear function from $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+^N$ to $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$.

The pantograph equation is a kind of delay differential equation where it gets the name from the work of Ockendon and Taylor [32] on the collection of current by the pantograph head of an electric locomotive. It arises in different fields such as electrodynamics, astrophysics, nonlinear dynamical systems, probability theory on algebraic structures, quantum mechanics, cell growth and so on. The pantograph equation is given by

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = ay(t) + by(\lambda t), 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ y(0) = y_0, \end{cases}$$

where $a, b, y_0 \in C$ and $0 < \lambda < 1.$ Properties of the analytic solution of this equation as well as numerical methods have been studied by several authors [27, 28]. Iserles and his coworkers [15, 21, 22] studied extensively on the ordinary pantograph equations. The multi-pantograph equation of the form

$$u'(t) = au(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i(t)u(\lambda_i t) + f(t), t \geq 0,$$

where $a \in C; \mu_i(t)$ and $f(t)$ are analytical functions with $0 < \lambda_m < \lambda_{m-1} < \dots < \lambda_1 < 1,$ has been receiving considerable interest among the researchers.

The generalized nonlinear multi-pantograph equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = f(t, u(t), u(\lambda_1 t), \dots, u(\lambda_m t)), 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where $u_0 \in C, f$ is a given function and $0 < \lambda_m < \lambda_{m-1} < \dots < \lambda_1 < 1,$ has been discussed by Liu and Li [29], whereas the nonlinear neutral pantograph equation

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = F(t, u(t), u(\lambda t), u'(\lambda t)), t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

was investigated by Sezer et al. [36]. Due to its interesting applications in many fields, recently, Balachandran et al. [9] studied the existence results for the fractional model of the pantograph equation

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^q u(t) = f(t, u(t), u(\lambda t)), & t \in J = [0, T], \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < q < 1$, $0 < \lambda < 1$, $u_0 \in X$ and the function $f : J \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous.

Motivated by the above works, in this paper, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the following nonlinear time-varying delay integrodifferential equation of arbitrary order $q \in (n-1, n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, $t \in J = [0, 1]$, of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^q x(t) = f(t, x(t), x(\alpha_1(t)), x(\alpha_2(t)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(t)), Kx(t)), \\ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x(\zeta_i) = 0, \quad 0 < \zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \dots < \zeta_m < 1, \\ x'(0) = x''(0) = \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \\ x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i (I_{0+}^{p_i} x)(\eta_i), \quad 0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_m < 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $Kx(t) = \int_0^t k(t, s, x(s), x(\beta_1(s)), x(\beta_2(s)), \dots, x(\beta_{r_2}(s))) ds$. The functions $f : J \times X^{r_1+2} \rightarrow X$, $k : \Omega \times X^{r_2+1} \rightarrow X$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j : J \rightarrow J$ are continuous with $0 \leq \alpha_i(t), \beta_j(t) \leq t$, $t \in J$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r_1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, r_2$, and a_i, b_i are suitably chosen real constants. $I_{0+}^{p_i}$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $p_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Here $\Omega = \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1\}$. $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space and $Z = C(J, X)$ denotes the Banach space of all continuous functions from $J \rightarrow X$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence with the norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_C$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce definitions, notations and some preliminary notions. In Section 3, we present our main results on existence and uniqueness of solutions using Krasnoselskii's, Schaefer's fixed point theorems and Banach contraction principle respectively. Examples are presented in Section 4 illustrating the applicability of the imposed conditions. No contributions exist in the literature, concerning the existence of solutions to the fractional time-varying delay integrodifferential equation with multi-point multi-term nonlocal and fractional integral boundary conditions in Banach spaces. Hence our aim in this paper is to fill this gap.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some basic definitions, notations and lemmas [26] which will be used throughout the work.

Definition 2.1. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ of order $q > 0$ is defined by

$$I_{0+}^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) ds,$$

provided the integral exists.

Definition 2.2. The Caputo fractional derivative of order $n - 1 < q \leq n$ is defined by

$${}^C D_{0+}^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-q-1} f^{(n)}(s) ds,$$

where the function $f(t)$ has absolutely continuous derivatives upto order $(n - 1)$. In particular, if $0 < q \leq 1$,

$${}^C D_{0+}^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_0^t \frac{f'(s)}{(t-s)^q} ds,$$

where $f'(s) = Df(s) = \frac{df(s)}{ds}$.

For brevity of notation, I_{0+}^q is taken as I^q and ${}^C D_{0+}^q$ is taken as ${}^C D^q$.

Lemma 2.3. ([26]) Let $p, q \geq 0, f \in L^1[a, b]$. Then $I^p I^q f(t) = I^{p+q} f(t) = I^q I^p f(t)$ and ${}^C D^q I^q f(t) = f(t)$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Definition 2.4. A function $x(t) \in C(J, X)$ is said to be a solution of (1.1) if it satisfies the equation

$${}^C D^q x(t) = f(t, x(t), x(\alpha_1(t)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(t)), Kx(t)), \quad n - 1 < q \leq n, \quad t \in J,$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x(\zeta_i) &= 0, \quad 0 < \zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \dots < \zeta_m < 1, \\ x'(0) &= x''(0) = \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \\ x(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^m b_i (I^{p_i} x)(\eta_i), \quad 0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_m < 1. \end{aligned}$$

To study the nonlinear problem (1.1), we first consider the linear problem and obtain its solution.

Lemma 2.5. For $f(t) \in C(J, X)$, the unique solution of the fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^q x(t) = f(t), \quad n-1 < q \leq n, \quad t \in J, \\ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x(\zeta_i) = 0, \quad 0 < \zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \dots < \zeta_m < 1, \\ x'(0) = x''(0) = \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \\ x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i (I^{p_i} x)(\eta_i), \quad 0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_m < 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & I^q f(t) + (A_4 - A_3 t^{n-1}) \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^q f(\zeta_i) \\ & + (A_2 - A_1 t^{n-1}) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m b_i I^{p_i+q} f(\eta_i) - I^q f(1) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i, \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \zeta_i^{n-1}, \\ A_3 &= \frac{1}{A} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \frac{\eta_i^{p_i}}{\Gamma(p_i+1)} \right), \quad A_4 = \frac{1}{A} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \frac{\eta_i^{p_i+n-1} \Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(p_i+n)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A = & \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i \frac{\eta_i^{p_i+n-1} \Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(p_i+n)} - 1 \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \zeta_i^{n-1} \right) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \frac{\eta_i^{p_i}}{\Gamma(p_i+1)} \right) \right\} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For some vector constants $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in X$, the general solution of (2.1) can be written as [26]

$$x(t) = I^q f(t) + c_0 + c_1 t + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

Using the boundary conditions $x'(0) = x''(0) = \dots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0$ in (2.3), we get

$$c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_{n-2} = 0.$$

For the boundary condition $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i x(\zeta_i) = 0$, we have

$$c_0 \sum_{i=1}^m a_i + c_{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \zeta_i^{n-1} = - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^q f(\zeta_i). \quad (2.4)$$

Next, using the boundary condition $x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i(I^{p_i}x)(\eta_i)$ and Lemma 2.3 in (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_0 \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \frac{\eta_i^{p_i}}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) - c_{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i \frac{\eta_i^{p_i+n-1} \Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(p_i + n)} - 1 \right) \\
 = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i I^{p_i+q} f(\eta_i) - I^q f(1). \tag{2.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Solving (2.4) and (2.5) for c_0 and c_{n-1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_0 &= \frac{1}{A} \left[\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \frac{\eta_i^{p_i+n-1} \Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(p_i + n)} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^q f(\zeta_i) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \zeta_i^{n-1} \right) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m b_i I^{p_i+q} f(\eta_i) - I^q f(1) \right\} \right], \\
 c_{n-1} &= \frac{-1}{A} \left[\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \frac{\eta_i^{p_i}}{\Gamma(p_i + 1)} \right) \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^q f(\zeta_i) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m b_i I^{p_i+q} f(\eta_i) - I^q f(1) \right\} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above values of c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} in (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &= I^q f(t) + (A_4 - A_3 t^{n-1}) \sum_{i=1}^m a_i I^q f(\zeta_i) \\
 &\quad + (A_2 - A_1 t^{n-1}) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m b_i I^{p_i+q} f(\eta_i) - I^q f(1) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is completed □

3. MAIN RESULTS

In view of Lemma 2.5, we transform (1.1) as

$$x = F(x), \tag{3.1}$$

where $F : Z \rightarrow Z$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(Fx)(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) ds \\
&+ (A_4 - A_3 t^{n-1}) \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\
&\times f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) ds + (A_2 - A_1 t^{n-1}) \\
&\times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i+q)} f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) ds \right. \\
&\left. - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) ds \right\}, \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in J$.

Observe that the problem (1.1) has solutions if the operator equation (3.1) has fixed points. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(A1) There exist positive constants L_f and L_k such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i)} \quad & \|f(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r_1+1}) - f(t, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{r_1+1})\| \leq L_f \sum_{i=0}^{r_1+1} \|x_i - y_i\|, \\
& t \in J, x_i, y_i \in X, i = 0, 1, \dots, r_1 + 1, \\
\text{(ii)} \quad & \|k(t, s, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r_2}) - k(t, s, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{r_2})\| \leq L_k \sum_{i=0}^{r_2} \|x_i - y_i\|, \\
& t, s \in J, x_i, y_i \in X, i = 0, 1, \dots, r_2.
\end{aligned}$$

(A2) There exist continuous nondecreasing function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\mu \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that, for each $(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r_1+1}) \in J \times X^{r_1+2}$,

$$\|f(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r_1+1})\| \leq \mu(t)\psi(\|x\|).$$

(A3) Let $\Delta_1 = L_f\{(r_1 + 1)\theta_1 + L_k(r_2 + 1)\theta_2\} < 1$, where

$$\theta_1 = \frac{1 + |A_2| + |A_1|}{\Gamma(q+1)} + (|A_4| + |A_3|)\rho_1 + (|A_2| + |A_1|)\rho_3$$

and

$$\theta_2 = \frac{1 + |A_2| + |A_1|}{\Gamma(q+2)} + (|A_4| + |A_3|)\rho_2 + (|A_2| + |A_1|)\rho_4$$

with

$$\rho_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \frac{\zeta_i^q}{\Gamma(q+1)}, \quad \rho_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \frac{\zeta_i^{q+1}}{\Gamma(q+2)},$$

and

$$\rho_3 = \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \frac{\eta_i^{p_i+q}}{\Gamma(p_i + q + 1)}, \quad \rho_4 = \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \frac{\eta_i^{p_i+q+1}}{\Gamma(p_i + q + 2)}.$$

We prove the existence of solutions to (1.1) by applying Krasnoselskii’s fixed point theorem.

Lemma 3.1. ([18]) (Krasnoselskii Theorem) *Let S be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space X . Let \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} be two operators such that*

- (i) $\mathcal{P}x + \mathcal{Q}y \in S$, whenever $x, y \in S$,
- (ii) \mathcal{P} is compact and continuous,
- (iii) \mathcal{Q} is a contraction mapping.

Then there exists $z \in S$ such that $z = \mathcal{P}z + \mathcal{Q}z$.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that the assumptions (A1) and (A2) hold with*

$$\begin{aligned} L = L_f & \left\{ (r_1 + 1) \left[\frac{|A_2| + |A_1|}{\Gamma(q + 1)} + (|A_4| + |A_3|)\rho_1 + (|A_2| + |A_1|)\rho_3 \right] \right. \\ & \left. + L_k(r_2 + 1) \left[\frac{|A_2| + |A_1|}{\Gamma(q + 2)} + (|A_4| + |A_3|)\rho_2 + (|A_2| + |A_1|)\rho_4 \right] \right\} \\ & < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Then the boundary value problem (1.1) has at least one solution on J .

Proof. Consider $B_r = \{x \in Z : \|x\| \leq r\}$. Now, for $t \in J$, we decompose F as $F_1 + F_2$ on B_r , where

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1x)(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) ds, \\ (F_2x)(t) &= (A_4 - A_3t^{n-1}) \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, \\ & \quad x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) ds \\ & \quad + (A_2 - A_1t^{n-1}) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i + q)} f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \left. x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $r > \|\mu\|_{L^1} \psi(r)\theta_1$. For $x, y \in B_r$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|F_1x + F_2y\| \\
& \leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s))\| ds + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \\
& \quad \times \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \|f(s, y(s), y(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, y(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Ky(s))\| ds + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i+q)} \|f(s, y(s), y(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, y(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Ky(s))\| ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \|f(s, y(s), y(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, y(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Ky(s))\| ds \right\} \\
& \leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \mu(s) \psi(\|x\|) ds + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \mu(s) \psi(\|y\|) ds \\
& \quad + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i+q)} \mu(s) \psi(\|y\|) ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \mu(s) \psi(\|y\|) ds \right\} \\
& \leq \mu \|_{L^1} \psi(r) \left[\frac{1 + |A_2| + |A_1|}{\Gamma(q+1)} + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \frac{\zeta_i^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \frac{\eta_i^{p_i+q}}{\Gamma(p_i+q+1)} \right] \\
& \leq \mu \|_{L^1} \psi(r) \theta_1 \\
& \leq r.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $F_1x + F_2y \in B_r$. Next we prove that F_2 is a contraction.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|F_2x - F_2y\| \\
& \leq (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \\
& \quad - f(s, y(s), y(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, y(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Ky(s))\| ds + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i+q)} \|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - f(s, y(s), y(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, y(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Ky(s))\| ds \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} |f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \\
 & - f(s, y(s), y(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, y(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Ky(s))| ds \Big\} \\
 \leq & (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} L_f [\|x(s) - y(s)\| + \|x(\alpha_1(s)) - y(\alpha_1(s))\| \\
 & + \dots + \|x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)) - y(\alpha_{r_1}(s))\| + \|Kx(s) - Ky(s)\|] ds + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \\
 & \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i+q)} L_f [\|x(s) - y(s)\| + \|x(\alpha_1(s)) - y(\alpha_1(s))\| \right. \\
 & + \dots + \|x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)) - y(\alpha_{r_1}(s))\| + \|Kx(s) - Ky(s)\|] ds + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\
 & \times L_f [\|x(s) - y(s)\| + \|x(\alpha_1(s)) - y(\alpha_1(s))\| + \dots + \|x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)) - y(\alpha_{r_1}(s))\| \\
 & \left. + \|Kx(s) - Ky(s)\|] ds \right\} \\
 \leq & (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} L_f [(r_1 + 1)\|x - y\| \\
 & + \left\| \int_0^s k(s, \tau, x(\tau), x(\beta_1(\tau)), \dots, x(\beta_{r_2}(\tau))) d\tau \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^s k(s, \tau, y(\tau), y(\beta_1(\tau)), \dots, y(\beta_{r_2}(\tau))) d\tau \right\|] ds \\
 & + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i+q)} L_f [(r_1 + 1)\|x - y\| \right. \\
 & + \left\| \int_0^s k(s, \tau, x(\tau), x(\beta_1(\tau)), \dots, x(\beta_{r_2}(\tau))) d\tau \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^s k(s, \tau, y(\tau), y(\beta_1(\tau)), \dots, y(\beta_{r_2}(\tau))) d\tau \right\|] ds + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\
 & \times L_f [(r_1 + 1)\|x - y\| + \left\| \int_0^s k(s, \tau, x(\tau), x(\beta_1(\tau)), \dots, x(\beta_{r_2}(\tau))) d\tau \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^s k(s, \tau, y(\tau), y(\beta_1(\tau)), \dots, y(\beta_{r_2}(\tau))) d\tau \right\|] ds \Big\} \\
 \leq & (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} L_f [(r_1 + 1)\|x - y\| \\
 & + L_k(r_2 + 1)\|x - y\|s] ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i + q - 1}}{\Gamma(p_i + q)} L_f [(r_1 + 1) \|x - y\| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + L_k(r_2 + 1) \|x - y\| s] ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} L_f [(r_1 + 1) \|x - y\| + L_k(r_2 + 1) \|x - y\| s] ds \right\} \\
& \leq L_f \left\{ (r_1 + 1) \left[\frac{|A_2| + |A_1|}{\Gamma(q + 1)} + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \rho_1 + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \rho_3 \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + L_k(r_2 + 1) \left[\frac{|A_2| + |A_1|}{\Gamma(q + 2)} + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \rho_2 + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \rho_4 \right] \right\} \|x - y\| \\
& \leq L \|x - y\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence F_2 is a contraction. Continuity of f and k implies that the operator F_1 is continuous. Also F_1 is uniformly bounded on B_r as

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(F_1 x)(t)\| & \leq \int_0^t \frac{(t - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s))\| ds \\
& \leq \int_0^t \frac{(t - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \mu(s) \psi(\|x\|) ds \\
& \leq \frac{\|\mu\|_{L^1} \psi(r)}{\Gamma(q + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove that the operator F_1 is compact, it remains to show that F_1 is equicontinuous. Now, for any $t_1, t_2 \in J$ with $t_1 < t_2$ and $x \in B_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(F_1 x)(t_2) - (F_1 x)(t_1)\| \\
& \leq \int_0^{t_1} \frac{[(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}]}{\Gamma(q)} \|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s))\| ds \\
& \quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s))\| ds \\
& \leq \int_0^{t_1} \frac{[(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}]}{\Gamma(q)} \mu(s) \psi(\|x\|) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \mu(s) \psi(\|x\|) ds \\
& \leq \psi(r) \left[\int_0^{t_1} \frac{[(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}]}{\Gamma(q)} \mu(s) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \mu(s) ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

As $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$, the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero independent of $x \in B_r$. Thus F_1 is equicontinuous. By Arzela-Ascoli's Theorem, F_1 is compact on B_r . Hence, by the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, there exists a fixed point $x \in Z$ such that $Fx = x$ which is a solution to the fractional boundary value problem (1.1). \square

The next existence result is based on Schaefer’s fixed point theorem.

Lemma 3.3. ([18]) (Schaefer Theorem) *Let X be a Banach space. Assume that $F : X \rightarrow X$ is a completely continuous operator and the set*

$$S(F) = \{x \in X : x = \lambda Fx \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1\}$$

is bounded. Then F has a fixed point in X .

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that there exists constant $M^* > 0$ such that, for all $x_i \in X, i = 0, 1, \dots, r_1 + 1,$*

$$\|f(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r_1+1})\| \leq M^*, \quad t \in J.$$

Then the boundary value problem (1.1) has at least one solution on J .

Proof. Let $B_r = \{x \in Z : \|x\| \leq r\}$. The continuity of F defined by (3.2) follows from the continuity of f and k . Now we show that F maps bounded sets into bounded sets in B_r .

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (Fx)(t) \| \\ & \leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \\ & \quad + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\ & \quad \times \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \\ & \quad + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i + q)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \right\} \\ & \leq M^* \left[\frac{1 + |A_2| + |A_1|}{\Gamma(q + 1)} + (|A_4| + |A_3|)\rho_1 + (|A_2| + |A_1|)\rho_3 \right] \\ & \leq M^* \theta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence F maps bounded sets into bounded sets in B_r .

Next we show that F maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets in B_r . For that, let $t_1, t_2 \in J$ with $t_1 < t_2$. Then, for $x \in B_r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (Fx)(t_2) - (Fx)(t_1) \| \\
& \leq \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \\
& \quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \\
& \quad + |A_3|(t_2^{n-1} - t_1^{n-1}) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\
& \quad \times \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \\
& \quad + |A_1|(t_2^{n-1} - t_1^{n-1}) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i + q)} \right. \\
& \quad \times \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$, the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero independent of $x \in B_r$. Thus F maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets in B_r . By Arzela-Ascoli's Theorem, F is completely continuous. Now it remains to show that the set

$$S = \{x \in Z : x = \lambda F(x), \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1\}$$

is bounded. Let $x \in S$, then $x = \lambda F(x)$ for some $0 < \lambda < 1$. Thus, for each $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x(t)\| & = \lambda \| (Fx)(t) \| \\
& \leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \\
& \quad + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\
& \quad \times \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \\
& \quad + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i + q)} \right. \\
& \quad \times \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \| ds \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq M^* \left[\frac{1 + |A_2| + |A_1|}{\Gamma(q + 1)} + (|A_4| + |A_3|)\rho_1 + (|A_2| + |A_1|)\rho_3 \right] \\ &\leq M^*\theta_1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that S is bounded and as a consequence of Schaefer’s fixed point theorem, we deduce that F has a fixed point which is a solution to the fractional boundary value problem (1.1). \square

The next uniqueness result is based on Banach contraction principle.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that the hypotheses (A1) and (A3) hold. Then the boundary value problem (1.1) has a unique solution on J .*

Proof. Let

$$M_1 = \sup_{t \in J} \|f(t, 0, \dots, 0)\|$$

and

$$M_2 = \sup_{t, s \in J} \|k(t, s, 0, \dots, 0)\|.$$

Then, consider

$$B_r = \{x \in Z : \|x\| \leq r\},$$

where $r \geq \frac{\Delta_2}{1 - \Delta_1}$ with $\Delta_2 = L_f M_2 \theta_2 + M_1 \theta_1$ and Δ_1 is given by the assumption (A3).

Now we show that $FB_r \subset B_r$, where $F : Z \rightarrow Z$ is defined by (3.2). For $x \in B_r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Fx)(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s))\| ds \\ &\quad + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\ &\quad \times \|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s))\| ds \\ &\quad + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i + q)} \right. \\ &\quad \times \|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s))\| ds \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s))\| ds \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} [\|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s))), Kx(s)) \\
&\quad - f(s, 0, \dots, 0)\| + \|f(s, 0, \dots, 0)\|] ds + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} [\|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s))), Kx(s)) \\
&\quad - f(s, 0, \dots, 0)\| + \|f(s, 0, \dots, 0)\|] ds + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i+q)} [\|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s))), Kx(s)) \right. \\
&\quad - f(s, 0, \dots, 0)\| + \|f(s, 0, \dots, 0)\|] ds + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\
&\quad \times [\|f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s))), Kx(s)) - f(s, 0, \dots, 0)\| \\
&\quad \left. + \|f(s, 0, \dots, 0)\|] ds \right\} \\
&\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \{L_f[(r_1+1)\|x\| + \|Kx(s)\|] + M_1\} ds + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \{L_f[(r_1+1)\|x\| + \|Kx(s)\|] + M_1\} ds \\
&\quad + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i+q)} \{L_f[(r_1+1)\|x\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|Kx(s)\|] + M_1\} ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \{L_f[(r_1+1)\|x\| + \|Kx(s)\|] + M_1\} ds \right\} \\
&\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \left\{ L_f[(r_1+1)r + \int_0^s (\|k(s, \tau, x(\tau), x(\beta_1(\tau)), \dots, x(\beta_{r_2}(\tau))) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - k(s, \tau, 0, \dots, 0)\| + \|k(s, \tau, 0, \dots, 0)\|) d\tau] + M_1 \right\} ds \\
&\quad + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \left\{ L_f[(r_1+1)r \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^s (\|k(s, \tau, x(\tau), x(\beta_1(\tau)), \dots, x(\beta_{r_2}(\tau))) - k(s, \tau, 0, \dots, 0)\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|k(s, \tau, 0, \dots, 0)\|) d\tau] + M_1 \right\} ds + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i+q)} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left\{ L_f \left[(r_1 + 1)r + \int_0^s (\|k(s, \tau, x(\tau), x(\beta_1(\tau)), \dots, x(\beta_{r_2}(\tau))) - k(s, \tau, 0, \dots, 0)\| \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \|k(s, \tau, 0, \dots, 0)\|) d\tau \right] + M_1 \right\} ds + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \left\{ L_f \left[(r_1 + 1)r \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \int_0^s (\|k(s, \tau, x(\tau), x(\beta_1(\tau)), \dots, x(\beta_{r_2}(\tau))) - k(s, \tau, 0, \dots, 0)\| \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \|k(s, \tau, 0, \dots, 0)\|) d\tau \right] + M_1 \right\} ds \Big\} \\
 & \leq r L_f \{ (r_1 + 1)\theta_1 + L_k(r_2 + 1)\theta_2 \} + L_f M_2 \theta_2 + M_1 \theta_1 \\
 & \leq \Delta_1 r + \Delta_2 \leq r.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $FB_r \subset B_r$. Next, for $x, y \in Z$ and $t \in J$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| (Fx)(t) - (Fy)(t) \| \\
 & \leq \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \\
 & \quad - f(s, y(s), y(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, y(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Ky(s)) \| ds + (|A_4| + |A_3|) \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i| \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^{\zeta_i} \frac{(\zeta_i - s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \\
 & \quad - f(s, y(s), y(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, y(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Ky(s)) \| ds + (|A_2| + |A_1|) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i| \right. \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^{\eta_i} \frac{(\eta_i - s)^{p_i+q-1}}{\Gamma(p_i + q)} \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \\
 & \quad - f(s, y(s), y(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, y(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Ky(s)) \| ds + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\
 & \quad \times \| f(s, x(s), x(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Kx(s)) \\
 & \quad \left. - f(s, y(s), y(\alpha_1(s)), \dots, y(\alpha_{r_1}(s)), Ky(s)) \| ds \Big\} \\
 & \leq L_f \{ (r_1 + 1)\theta_1 + L_k(r_2 + 1)\theta_2 \} \|x - y\| \leq \Delta_1 \|x - y\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here Δ_1 depends only on the parameters involved in the problem. By assumption (A3), $\Delta_1 < 1$ and therefore F is a contraction. Hence, by the Banach contraction principle, the problem (1.1) has a unique solution on J . \square

4. EXAMPLES

Consider the following fractional boundary value problem

$${}^C D^{9/2} x(t) = \frac{t}{3} \frac{|x(t)| + |x(\frac{t^2}{2})| + |x(t^3)| + |x(\frac{t}{2})|}{1 + |x(t)| + |x(\frac{t^2}{2})| + |x(t^3)| + |x(\frac{t}{2})|} + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^t \frac{e^{-s}}{4} \frac{|x(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{9})| + |x(\frac{\sin s}{2})|}{1 + |x(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{9})| + |x(\frac{\sin s}{2})|} ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (4.1)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 a_i x(\zeta_i) = 0, \quad 0 < \zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \zeta_3 < \zeta_4 < 1,$$

$$x'(0) = x''(0) = x'''(0) = 0,$$

$$x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i (I^{p_i} x)(\eta_i), \quad 0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \eta_3 < \eta_4 < 1.$$

Here $X = \mathbb{R}$, $q = \frac{9}{2}$, $n = 5$, $m = 4$,

$$a_1 = 27, \quad a_2 = -11, \quad a_3 = 32, \quad a_4 = 55, \quad \zeta_1 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad \zeta_2 = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \zeta_3 = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \zeta_4 = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$b_1 = -19, \quad b_2 = 15, \quad b_3 = 53, \quad b_4 = 75, \quad \eta_1 = \frac{1}{9}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{1}{7}, \quad \eta_3 = \frac{1}{5}, \quad \eta_4 = \frac{1}{3},$$

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{8}, \quad p_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad p_3 = \frac{1}{4}, \quad p_4 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

From the above given data, we see that $A = -391.097603$, $A_1 = -0.263361$, $A_2 = -0.009743$, $A_3 = 0.213051$, $A_4 = -0.001827$, $\rho_1 = 0.051368$, $\rho_2 = 0.00451$, $\rho_3 = 0.00293$, $\rho_4 = 0.000155$, $\theta_1 = 0.03616$ and $\theta_2 = 0.005433$.

(i) From (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(t, x(t), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(t)), Kx(t)) \\ &= \frac{t}{3} \frac{|x(t)| + |x(\frac{t^2}{2})| + |x(t^3)| + |x(\frac{t}{2})|}{1 + |x(t)| + |x(\frac{t^2}{2})| + |x(t^3)| + |x(\frac{t}{2})|} + \frac{1}{3} Kx(t), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where, } Kx(t) = \int_0^t \frac{e^{-s}}{4} \frac{|x(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{9})| + |x(\frac{\sin s}{2})|}{1 + |x(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{9})| + |x(\frac{\sin s}{2})|} ds,$$

$\alpha_1(t) = t^2/2$, $\alpha_2(t) = t^3$, $\alpha_3(t) = t/2$, $\beta_1(s) = \sqrt{s}/9$, $\beta_2(s) = \sin s/2$. The condition (A1) is satisfied with $L_f = \frac{1}{3}$ and $L_k = \frac{1}{4}$. The condition (A2) is satisfied with $\mu(t) = 1$ and $\psi(\|x\|) = \frac{5}{12}$. Computing the value of L , we have $L = 0.023231 < 1$, thereby satisfying the condition (3.3). Thus all the assumptions of the Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Hence the problem (4.1) has at least one solution on J .

(ii) Now we take

$$f(t, x(t), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(t)), Kx(t)) = \frac{1}{7(1+t)^2} \frac{|x(t)| + |x(\frac{t}{2})| + |x(\frac{t^3}{2})| + |x(t^3)|}{1 + |x(t)| + |x(\frac{t}{2})| + |x(\frac{t^3}{2})| + |x(t^3)|} + Kx(t) \text{ in (4.1),}$$

where $Kx(t) = \int_0^t \frac{e^{-s}}{5} \frac{|x(s)| + |x(s^2)| + |x(\sin s)|}{1 + |x(s)| + |x(s^2)| + |x(\sin s)|} ds,$
 $\alpha_1(t) = t/2, \alpha_2(t) = t^3/2, \alpha_3(t) = t^3, \beta_1(s) = s^2, \beta_2(s) = \sin s.$
 Clearly

$$\|f(t, x(t), x(\alpha_1(t)), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(t)), Kx(t))\| \leq M^*$$

with $M^* = \frac{12}{35}$. Thus all the assumptions of the Theorem 3.4 are satisfied. Hence the problem (4.1) with the given function f has at least one solution on J .

(iii) Taking

$$f(t, x(t), \dots, x(\alpha_{r_1}(t)), Kx(t)) = \frac{e^{-t}}{1 + e^t} \frac{|x(t)| + |x(\frac{t}{5})| + |x(\sin t)|}{1 + |x(t)| + |x(\frac{t}{5})| + |x(\sin t)|} + \frac{1}{2}Kx(t) \text{ in (4.1),}$$

we have $Kx(t) = \int_0^t \frac{t}{3} \frac{|x(s)| + |x(s^3)|}{1 + |x(s)| + |x(s^3)|} ds, \alpha_1(t) = t/5, \alpha_2(t) = \sin t, \beta_1(s) = s^3.$

The condition (A1) is satisfied with $L_f = \frac{1}{2}$ and $L_k = \frac{1}{3}$. Computing the value of Δ_1 , we have $\Delta_1 = 0.0566051 < 1$, thereby satisfying the condition (A3). Thus all the assumptions of the Theorem 3.5 are satisfied. Hence the problem (4.1) with the given function f has a unique solution on J .

Acknowledgements: The third author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(2021R1F1A1047079).

REFERENCES

[1] R.P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra and S. Hamani, *A survey on existence results for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions*, Acta Appl. Math., **109** (2010), 973-1033.
 [2] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas and A. Assolami, *Caputo type fractional differential equations with nonlocal Riemann-Liouville integral boundary conditions*, J. Appl. Math. Comput., **41** (2013), 339-350.
 [3] B.D. Andrade and J.P.C.D. Santos, *Existence of solutions for a fractional neutral integro-differential equation with unbounded delay*, Elect. J. Diff. Equ., **2012**(90) (2012), 1-13.

- [4] C. Bai and X. Xu, *Positive solutions for a functional delay second-order three-point boundary-value problem*, Elect. J. Diff. Equ., **2006** (2006), Art.41, 1-10.
- [5] K. Balachandran, *An Introduction to Fractional Differential Equations*, Springer Nature, Singapore, 2023.
- [6] K. Balachandran and M. Chandrasekaran, *Existence of solutions of a delay differential equation with nonlocal condition*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **27** (1996), 443-449.
- [7] K. Balachandran, J.H. Kim and A. Leelamani, *Existence results for nonlinear abstract neutral differential equations with time varying delays*, Appl. Math. E-Notes, **6** (2006), 186-193.
- [8] K. Balachandran, S. Kiruthika, M. Rivero and J.J. Trujillo, *Existence of solutions for fractional delay integrodifferential equations*, J. Appl. Nonlinear Dyna., **1** (2012), 309-319.
- [9] K. Balachandran, S. Kiruthika and J.J. Trujillo, *Existence of solutions of nonlinear fractional pantograph equations*, Acta Math. Sci., **33** (2013), 712-720.
- [10] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S.K. Ntouyas and A. Ouahab, *Existence results for fractional order functional differential equations with infinite delay*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **338** (2008), 1340-1350.
- [11] A. Chauhan and J. Dabas, *Local and global existence of mild solution to an impulsive fractional functional integrodifferential equation with nonlocal condition*, Commu. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simu., **19** (2014), 821-829.
- [12] S. Choudhary and V. Daftardar-Gejji, *Nonlinear multi-order fractional differential equations with periodic/anti-periodic boundary conditions*, Fractional Calcu. Appl. Anal., **17** (2014), 333-347.
- [13] J. Dabas and G.R. Gautam, *Impulsive neutral fractional integro-differential equation with state-dependent delays and integral condition*, Elect. J. Diff. Equ., **2013**(273) (2013), 1-13.
- [14] M.A. Darwish and S.K. Ntouyas, *Semilinear functional differential equations of fractional order with state-dependent delay*, Elect. J. Diff. Equ., **2009** (2009), Art.38, 1-10.
- [15] G. Derfel and A. Iserles, *The pantograph equation in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **213** (1997), 117-132.
- [16] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [17] J.R. Graef and L. Kong, *Positive solutions for a class of higher order boundary value problems with fractional q -derivatives*, Appl. Math. Comput., **218** (2012), 9682-9689.
- [18] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [19] E. Hernández and K. Balachandran, *Existence results for abstract degenerate neutral functional differential equations*, Bull. Australian Math. Soc., **81** (2010), 329-342.
- [20] M.A.E. Herzallah and D. Baleanu, *On fractional order hybrid differential equations*, Abst. Appl. Anal., (2014), Article ID 389386, 7 pages.
- [21] A. Iserles, *On the generalized pantograph functional-differential equation*, European J. Appl. Math., **4** (1993), 1-38.
- [22] A. Iserles and Y. Liu, *On pantograph integro-differential equations*, J. Integral Equ. Appl., **6** (1994), 213-237.
- [23] Y. Jalilian and R. Jalilian, *Existence of solution for delay fractional differential equations*, Mediterranean J. Math., **10** (2013), 1731-1747.
- [24] T. Jankowski, *Existence results to delay fractional differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions*, Appl. Math Comput., **219** (2013), 9155-9164.
- [25] R.A. Khan, *Higher order nonlocal nonlinear boundary value problems for fractional differential equations*, Bull. Kor. Math. Soc., **51** (2014), 329-338.

- [26] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [27] J.K. Langley, *A certain functional-differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **244** (2000), 564-567.
- [28] M.Z. Liu, *Asymptotic behaviour of functional-differential equations with proportional time delays*, European J. Appl. Math., **7** (1996), 11-30.
- [29] M.Z. Liu and D. Li, *Properties of analytic solution and numerical solution of multi-pantograph equation*, Appl. Math. Comput., **155** (2004), 853-871.
- [30] S.K. Ntouyas, *Initial and boundary value problems for functional differential equations via the topological transversality method: A survey*, Bull. Greek Math. Soc., **40** (1998), 3-41.
- [31] N. Nyamoradi, *A six-point nonlocal integral boundary value problem for fractional differential equations*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **43** (2012), 429-454.
- [32] J.R. Ockendon and A.B. Taylor, *The dynamics of a current collection system for an electric locomotive*, Proc. Royal Soc. London, Series A, **322** (1971), 447-468.
- [33] K.B. Oldham and J. Spanier, *The Fractional Calculus*, Academic Press, London, 1974.
- [34] Z. Ouyang, Y. Chen and S. Zou, *Existence of positive solutions to a boundary value problem for a delayed nonlinear fractional differential system*, Boundary Value Prob., (2011), Art.ID 475126, 17 pages.
- [35] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1999.
- [36] M. Sezer, S. Yalçınbaş and N. Şahin, *Approximate solution of multi-pantograph equation with variable coefficients*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **214** (2008), 406-416.
- [37] K.Shri Akiladevi and K.Balachandran, *On fractional delay integrodifferential equations with four point multiterm fractional integral boundary conditions*, Acta Math. Universitatis Comenianae, **86** (2017), 187-204.
- [38] K. Shri Akiladevi, K. Balachandran and J.K. Kim, *Existence of solutions of nonlinear neutral integrodifferential equations of Sobolev type in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **18** (2013), 359-381.
- [39] K. Shri Akiladevi, K. Balachandran and J.K. Kim, *Existence results for neutral fractional integrodifferential equations with fractional integral boundary conditions*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **19** (2014), 251-270.
- [40] J. Tariboon, S.K. Ntouyas and W. Sudsutad, *Positive solutions for fractional differential equations with three-point multi-term fractional integral boundary conditions*, Adv. Diff. Equ., **2014** (2014), Art.28.
- [41] J. Tariboon, T. Sitthiwiratttham and S.K. Ntouyas, *Existence results for fractional differential inclusions with multi-point and fractional integral boundary conditions*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **17** (2014), 343-360.
- [42] H. Wang, *Existence results for fractional functional differential equations with impulses*, J. Appl. Math. Comput., **38** (2012), 85-101.
- [43] Y. Yan and C. Kou, *Stability analysis for a fractional differential model of HIV infection of $CD4^+$ T-cells with time delay*, Math Comput. Simu., **82** (2012), 1572-1585.
- [44] Q. Yuan and W. Yang, *Positive solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems for delayed fractional q -difference systems*, Adv. Diff. Equ., **2014** (2014), Art.51.
- [45] X. Zhang, *Existence and iteration of positive solutions for high-order fractional differential equations with integral conditions on a half-line*, J. Appl. Math. Comput., **45** (2014), 137-150.