Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 39 (2024), No. 3, pp. 623–642 https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c230303 pISSN: 1225-1763 / eISSN: 2234-3024

## ON  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$  RINGS AND  $\phi$ -n-COHERENT RINGS

Younes El Haddaoui, Hwankoo Kim, and Najib Mahdou

ABSTRACT. This paper introduces and studies a generalization of  $(n, d)$ rings introduced and studied by Costa in 1994 to rings with prime nilradical. Among other things, we establish that the  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular rings are exactly either  $\phi$ -(0,0) or  $\phi$ -(1,0) rings and that the  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings which are strongly  $\phi$ -rings are the  $\phi$ -(1, 1) rings. We then introduce a new class of rings generalizing the class of n-coherent rings to characterize the nonnil-coherent rings introduced and studied by Bacem and Benhissi.

#### 1. Introduction

All rings considered in this paper are assumed to be commutative with nonzero identity and prime nilradical. We use  $Nil(R)$  to denote the set of nilpotent elements of R, and  $Z(R)$  to denote the set of zero-divisors of R. A ring with  $\text{Nil}(R)$  that is divided prime (i.e.,  $\text{Nil}(R) \subset xR$  for every  $x \in R \setminus \text{Nil}(R)$ ) is called a  $\phi$ -ring. Let H be the set of all  $\phi$ -rings. A ring R is called a strongly  $\phi$ -ring if  $R \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $Z(R) = Nil(R)$ . Let R be a ring and M be an R-module, we define

$$
\phi \text{-} \operatorname{tor}(M) = \{ x \in M \mid sx = 0 \text{ for some } s \in R \setminus Nil(R) \}.
$$

If  $\phi$ -tor(M) = M, then M is called a  $\phi$ -torsion module, and if  $\phi$ -tor(M) = 0, then M is called a  $\phi$ -torsion free module. An ideal I of R is said to be nonnil if  $I \nsubseteq$  Nil $(R)$ . An R-module M is said to be  $\phi$ -divisible if  $M = sM$  for every  $s \in R \setminus Nil(R)$ . An R-module M is said to be  $\phi$ -uniformly torsion ( $\phi$ -u-torsion for short) if  $sM = 0$  for some  $s \in R \setminus Nil(R)$  [\[12,](#page-17-0) Definition 2.2].

Let R be a ring and n be a nonnegative integer. According to Costa [\[9\]](#page-17-1), an  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be *n*-presented if there exists an exact sequence  $F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to M \to 0$  such that each  $F_i$  is a finitely generated free R-module, equivalently each  $F_i$  is a finitely generated projective R-module.

©2024 Korean Mathematical Society

623

Received November 16, 2023; Accepted February 29, 2024.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13A02, 13A15.

Key words and phrases. Nonnil-coherent ring,  $\phi$ -Noetherian ring,  $\phi$ -n-presented module, nonnil-FP-injective module,  $\phi$ -(n, d)-injective modules,  $\phi$ -(n, d)-flat modules,  $\phi$ -(n, d)-ring,  $\phi$ -weak- $(n, d)$ -ring,  $\phi$ -n-coherent ring,  $\phi$ -n-von Neumann regular ring.

If M is a  $\phi$ -torsion R-module that is n-presented, then M is called a  $\phi$ -npresented module. A finite n-presentation of a  $\phi$ -torsion R-module is said to be a  $\phi$ -*n*-presentation. Obviously, every finitely generated projective module is n-presented for every  $n$ . A module is 0-presented (resp., 1-presented) if and only if it is finitely generated (resp., finitely presented), and every m-presented module is n-presented for any  $n \leq m$ . A ring R is called n-coherent if every n-presented R-module is  $(n+1)$ -presented. It is easy to see that R is 0-coherent (resp., 1-coherent) if and only if it is Noetherian (resp., coherent), and every *n*-coherent ring is *m*-coherent for any  $m \geq n$ . The *n*-coherent ring is further studied in detail in [\[10,](#page-17-2) [11\]](#page-17-3). Costa introduced a doubly filtered set of classes of rings to categorize the structure of non-Noetherian rings for nonnegative integers n and d. We say that a ring R is an  $(n, d)$ -ring if  $\text{pd}_R(M) \leq d$ for every *n*-presented R-module M (as usual,  $pd_R(M)$  denotes the projective dimension of  $M$  as an  $R$ -module). An integral domain with this property is called an  $(n, d)$ -domain. For example, the  $(n, 0)$ -domains are the fields, the  $(0, 1)$ -domains are the Dedekind domains, and the  $(1, 1)$ -domains are the Prüfer domains [\[9\]](#page-17-1). The  $(n, d)$ -ring is further studied in detail in [\[16,](#page-18-0) [19,](#page-18-1) [21–](#page-18-2)[23\]](#page-18-3). We call a commutative ring an *n*-von Neumann regular ring if it is an  $(n, 0)$ -ring. Thus, the 1-von Neumann regular rings are exactly the von Neumann regular rings [\[9,](#page-17-1) Theorem 1.3].

In 2004, D. Zhou [\[30\]](#page-18-4) introduced and studied a new class of modules with two parameters  $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of nonnegative integers: an R-module N is said to be  $(n, d)$ -injective (resp.,  $(n, d)$ -flat) if  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(M, N) = 0$  (resp.,  $\operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}^R(M,N)=0$  for  $n\geq 1$ ) for each *n*-presented *R*-module *M*. In particular, the  $(0, 0)$ -injective modules are injective, the  $(1, 0)$ -injective modules are FPinjective (i.e., modules N in which we have  $\text{Ext}^1_R(M, N) = 0$  for every finitely presented R-module M), more generally, an R-module M is  $(0, d)$ -injective if the injective dimension of M is at most d. An R-module M is  $(1,0)$ -flat if it is flat, and M is  $(1, d)$ -flat if the flat dimension of M is at most d. A ring R is called a weak- $(n, d)$ -ring with  $n \geq 1$  if each *n*-presented module has a flat dimension at most d. In particular, the weak- $(1, 0)$ -rings are von Neumann regular rings. D. Zhou established that a ring  $R$  is *n*-coherent if and only if every  $(n + 1, 0)$ -injective module is  $(n, 0)$ -injective, and if  $n \ge 1$ , then R is n-coherent if and only if every  $(n + 1, 0)$ -flat module is  $(n, 0)$ -flat [\[30,](#page-18-4) Theorem 3.4].

In 1996, J. Chen and N. Ding [\[8\]](#page-17-4) introduced a generalization of flat modules and injective modules by a nonzero positive integer parameter. An R-module N is said to be n-flat (with  $n \geq 1$ ) (resp., n-FP-injective) if  $\text{Tor}_{n}^{R}(M, N) = 0$ (resp.,  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n}(M, N) = 0$ ) for every *n*-presented *R*-module *M*. In other words, the *n*-flat (resp., *n*-FP-injective) modules are  $(n, n - 1)$ -flat (resp.,  $(n, n - 1)$ )injective). They characterized the *n*-coherent rings by the *n*-flat modules and the n-FP-injective modules (see [\[8,](#page-17-4) Theorem 3.1]).

In [\[2\]](#page-17-5), D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi introduced a class of  $\phi$ -rings called  $\phi$ -Prüfer. A  $\phi$ -ring R is said to be  $\phi$ -Prüfer if  $R/Nil(R)$  is a Prüfer domain [\[2,](#page-17-5) Theorem 2.6]. All  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings are Prüfer [2, Theorem 2.14], if additionally  $Z(R) = \text{Nil}(R)$ , then every Prüfer ring is  $\phi$ -Prüfer [\[2,](#page-17-5) Theorem 2.16]. In [\[29\]](#page-18-5), G. Tang, F. Wang, and W. Zhao introduced a class of  $\phi$ -rings which are called  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular rings. An R-module M is said to be  $\phi$ -flat if for every monomorphism  $f : A \to B$  with Coker(f)  $\phi$ -torsion,  $f \otimes 1 : A \otimes_R M \to B \otimes_R M$ is an R-monomorphism [\[29,](#page-18-5) Definition 3.1]. An R-module M is  $\phi$ -flat if and only if  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $\phi$ -flat for every prime ideal p of R, if and only if  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is  $\phi$ -flat for every maximal ideal **m** of R [\[29,](#page-18-5) Theorem 3.5]. A  $\phi$ -ring R is said to be a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring if all R-modules are  $\phi$ -flat, which is equivalent to saying that  $R/Nil(R)$  is a von Neumann regular ring [\[29,](#page-18-5) Theorem 4.1].

Recall from [\[4\]](#page-17-6) that a  $\phi$ -ring R is said to be nonnil-Noetherian if  $R/Nil(R)$ is a Noetherian domain, which is equivalent to saying that every nonnil ideal of R is finitely generated. Note that this notion coincides with the notion of  $\phi$ -Noetherian rings in the work of the authors of [\[5\]](#page-17-7).

In [\[3\]](#page-17-8), K. Bacem and B. Ali introduced two new classes of  $\phi$ -rings: a  $\phi$ -ring R is said to be  $\phi$ -coherent if  $R/Nil(R)$  is a coherent domain [\[3,](#page-17-8) Corollary 3.1]; a  $\phi$ -ring R is said to be nonnil-coherent if every finitely generated nonnil ideal of R is finitely presented, which is equivalent to saying that R is  $\phi$ -coherent and  $(0 : r)$  is a finitely generated ideal of R for every  $r \in R \setminus Nil(R)$  [\[24,](#page-18-6) Proposition 1.3]. Following Y. El Haddaoui, H. Kim, and N. Mahdou [\[13\]](#page-17-9), a submodule N of an R-module M is said to be a  $\phi$ -submodule if  $M/N$  is a  $\phi$ -torsion module [\[13,](#page-17-9) Definition 2.1]. For  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ , an R-module M is said to be nonnil-coherent if M is finitely generated and every finitely generated  $\phi$ -submodule of M is finitely presented [\[13,](#page-17-9) Definition 2.2]. It is easy to see that every coherent module over a  $\phi$ -ring is nonnil-coherent. Next they established in [\[13,](#page-17-9) Theorem 2.6] the analog of the well-known behavior of the relation between the coherent rings and the finitely generated submodules of a finitely generated free module.

Y. El Haddaoui and N. Mahdou [\[12\]](#page-17-0) introduced and studied the  $\phi$ -(weak) global dimension of rings with prime nilradical. An R-module P is said to be  $\phi$ u-projective if  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(P, N) = 0$  for any  $\phi$ -u-torsion R-module N [\[12,](#page-17-0) Definition 3.1. The  $\phi$ -projective dimension of M over R, denoted by  $\phi$ -pd<sub>R</sub>M, is said to be at most n (where  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) if either  $M = 0$  or M is not a  $\phi$ -u-projective module which satisfies  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$  for every  $\phi$ -u-torsion module N. In addition, if n is the least such nonnegative integer, then we set  $\phi$ -pd<sub>R</sub>  $M = n$ . If no such *n* exists, we set  $\phi$ -pd<sub>R</sub>  $M = \infty$  [\[12,](#page-17-0) Definition 3.2]. For a ring R with  $Z(R) = Nil(R)$ , define

$$
\phi
$$
- gl. dim $(R)$  = sup { $\phi$ -pd<sub>R</sub> R/I | I is a nonnil ideal of R},

which is called the  $\phi$ -global dimension of R [\[12,](#page-17-0) Definition 4.1]. Similarly, the  $\phi$ -flat dimension of an R-module M, denoted by  $\phi$ -fd<sub>R</sub> M, is said to be at most n (where  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) if either  $M = 0$  or M is not  $\phi$ -flat which satisfies  $\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^R(M,N) = 0$  for every  $\phi$ -u-torsion module N. In addition, if n is at least

one such nonnegative integer, then we set  $\phi$ -fd<sub>R</sub>  $M = n$ . If there is no such n, we set  $\phi$ -fd<sub>R</sub>  $M = \infty$  [\[12,](#page-17-0) Definition 5.7]. Let R be a ring. Define for a ring R with  $Z(R) = Nil(R)$ 

 $\phi$ - w. gl. dim $(R)$  = sup  $\{\phi$ - fd<sub>R</sub> M | M is  $\phi$ -torsion}  $=\sup \{\phi \text{-fd}_R M \mid M \text{ is } \phi\text{-u-torsion}\}$  $=$  sup  $\{\phi$ - fd<sub>R</sub> M | M is finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion}  $=\sup \{\phi \text{-fd}_R M \mid M \text{ is finitely presented } \phi\text{-u-torsion}\}$  $=\sup \{\phi \text{-fd}_R R/I \mid I \text{ is a nonnil ideal of } R\}$  $=\sup \{\phi \text{-fd}_R R/I \mid I \text{ is a finitely generated nonnil ideal of } R\},\$ 

which is called the  $\phi$ -weak global dimension of R [\[12,](#page-17-0) Definition 5.10]. If  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ , then R is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring if and only if  $\phi$ -w.gl. dim(R) = 0 [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 5.29], which is equivalent to saying that  $\phi$ -gl. dim(R) = 0 [12, Corollary 5.33. A strongly  $\phi$ -ring is  $\phi$ -Prüfer if and only if  $\phi$ -w. gl. dim(R)  $\leq 1$ [\[12,](#page-17-0) Corollary 5.27] if and only if every finitely generated nonnil ideal of R is  $\phi$ -u-projective [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 5.41].

Our paper consists of three sections, including the introduction. In Section 2 we introduce  $\phi(n, d)$ -rings, which are generalizations of the  $(n, d)$ -rings (where  $n, d \geq 0$  are integers) introduced and studied by D. L. Costa [\[9\]](#page-17-1). An R-module N is said to be  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective or nonnil  $(n, d)$ -injective if  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(R/I, N) = 0$ for every nonnil ideal I of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module (see Definition [2\)](#page-4-0). An R-module M is said to be  $\phi(n,d)$ -flat (with  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the set of positive integers) if  $\text{Tor}_{d+1}^R(R/I, N) = 0$  for every  $\phi$ -*n*-presented module  $R/I$ , where I is a nonnil ideal of R. A ring R is said to be a  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -ring if every  $\phi$ -n-presented module M has a  $\phi$ -projective dimension at most d. We establish in Theorem [2.22](#page-10-0) that the  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular rings are exactly either  $\phi$ - $(0, 0)$  or  $\phi$ -(1,0) rings and that the  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings which are strongly  $\phi$ -rings are the  $\phi$ -(1,1) rings. In Section 3, we define a generalization of *n*-coherent rings. A ring R is said to be  $\phi$ -n-coherent if all  $\phi$ -n-presented R-modules are  $\phi$ -(n+1)-presented. We give several equivalent conditions for a ring to be  $\phi$ -ncoherent. We show that there are many similarities between coherent rings and  $\phi$ -n-coherent rings. For example, a ring R is  $\phi$ -n-coherent if and only if every direct product of R is a  $\phi$ -n-flat R-module, if and only if every direct product of  $\phi$ -n-flat R-modules is  $\phi$ -n-flat, if and only if every direct limit of  $\phi$ -n-FPinjective R-modules (which are  $\phi$ - $(n, n-1)$ -injectives) is  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective (see Theorem [3.10\)](#page-14-0).

For any undefined terminology and notation, the reader may refer to [\[14,](#page-18-7)[26,](#page-18-8) [27\]](#page-18-9).

# 2.  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -rings

In this section, we introduce and study a generalization of  $(n, d)$ -rings (where  $n, d \geq 0$  are integers) introduced and studied by D. L. Costa [\[9\]](#page-17-1).

**Definition 1.** Let  $R$  be a ring. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be *n*-presented if M has an n-finite presentation. In addition, if M is a  $\phi$ -torsion R-module, then M is said to be  $\phi$ -n-presented and the n-finite presentation is called a  $\phi$ -*n*-presentation of M.

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Remark 2.1. If  $m \leq n$  are nonnegative integers, then every  $\phi$ -*n*-presented module is  $\phi$ -*m*-presented.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then

- (1) M is  $\phi$ -0-presented if and only if M is a finitely generated  $\phi$ -torsion R-module.
- (2) M is  $\phi$ -1-presented if and only if M is a finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion R-module.

*Proof.* This is straightforward.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Definition 2.** Let R be a ring and  $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ . An R-module N is said to be  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective or nonnil  $(n, d)$ -injective if  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(R/I, N) = 0$  for every nonnil ideal I such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -*n*-presented R-module.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Definition 3.** Let R be a ring. An R-module N is called  $\phi$ -FP-injective if  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(R/I, N) = 0$  for every finitely generated nonnil ideal of R.

By [\[13,](#page-17-9) Theorem 2.6], a  $\phi$ -ring R is nonnil-coherent if and only if every finitely generated  $\phi$ -submodule of a finitely presented module is also finitely presented. From [\[24,](#page-18-6) Definition 1.7], an  $R$ -module  $N$  is said to be nonnil-FPinjective if  $\text{Ext}^1_R(M,N) = 0$  for every finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion module M. Next, we prove that every  $\phi$ -FP-injective module over a nonnil-coherent ring is nonnil-FP-injective

**Proposition 2.3.** If R is a nonnil-coherent ring, then every  $\phi$ -FP-injective module is nonnil-FP-injective.

*Proof.* Let N be a  $\phi$ -FP-injective module. Then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N) = 0$  for every finitely generated nonnil ideal of I of R. We claim that  $\text{Ext}^1_R(F, N) = 0$  for every finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion R-module F. Let F be a finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion module. We use induction on the number of generators of F. Assume that F is a finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion module on m generators, and let F' be the submodule generated by one of these generators. Since  $R$  is nonnil-coherent, both  $F'$  and  $F/F'$  are finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsions on less than m generators, so we get an exact sequence  $\text{Ext}^1_R(F/F', N) \to \text{Ext}^1_R(F, N) \to \text{Ext}^1_R(F', N)$ , where both end terms are zero by induction. Thus  $\text{Ext}^1_R(F, N) = 0$ . Hence N is nonnil-FP-injective. □

According to [\[28\]](#page-18-10), an R-module  $E$  is said to be nonnil-injective if

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I, E) = 0
$$

for every nonnil ideal I of R. Recall from [\[12\]](#page-17-0) that the  $\phi$ -injective dimension of M over R, denoted by  $\phi \text{-id}_R M$ , is said to be at most  $n \geq 1$  (where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

if either  $M = 0$  or  $M \neq 0$  which is not nonnil-injective and which satisfies  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n+1}(R/I, M) = 0$  for every nonnil ideal I of R. If n is the least nonnegative integer for which  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{n+1}(R/I, M) = 0$  for every nonnil ideal I of R, then we set  $\phi$ -id<sub>R</sub>  $M = n$ . If there is no such n, we set  $\phi$ -id<sub>R</sub>  $M = \infty$  [\[12,](#page-17-0) Definition 2.5], and it is easy to see that an R-module M is of  $\phi$ -injective dimension zero if and only if it is nonnil-injective. We also have that for a ring  $R$  with  $Z(R) = Nil(R),$ 

 $\phi$ - gl. dim $(R)$  = sup  $\{\phi$ - id<sub>R</sub> N | N is a  $\phi$ -*u*-torsion R-module}.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) N is a  $\phi$ -(0,0)-injective module if and only if N is a nonnil-injective module.
- (2) If  $d > 1$  and N is not nonnil-injective, then N is a  $\phi$ -(0, d)-injective module if and only if  $\phi$ -id<sub>R</sub>  $N \leq d$ .
- (3) N is a  $\phi$ -(1,0)-injective module if and only if N is a  $\phi$ -FP-injective module.

*Proof.* (1) N is a  $\phi$ -(0,0)-injective module if and only if  $\text{Ext}^1_R(R/I, N) = 0$  for every nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , if and only if  $N$  is a nonnil-injective module. (2) This follows from [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 2.6]. (3) This follows from Definition [3.](#page-4-1)  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Definition 4.** Let R be a ring and let  $(n,d) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}$ . An R-module M is said to be  $\phi$ -(*n*, *d*)-flat if  $\text{Tor}_{d+1}^R(R/I, N) = 0$  for every nonnil ideal I of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -*n*-presented module.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Proposition 2.5. Let M be an R-module. The following statements hold:

- (1) M is a  $\phi$ -(1,0)-flat module if and only if M is a  $\phi$ -flat module.
- (2) If  $d > 1$  and M is not  $\phi$ -flat, then M is a  $\phi$ -(1, d)-flat module if and only if  $\phi$ -f  $d_R M \leq d$ .

*Proof.* (1) M is a  $\phi$ -(1,0)-flat module if and only if  $\text{Tor}_{1}^{R}(R/I, M) = 0$  for every finitely generated nonnil ideal I of R, if and only if M is a  $\phi$ -flat module by [\[29,](#page-18-5) Theorem 3.2].

(2) This follows from [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 5.19].  $\square$ 

**Proposition 2.6.** Let m, n and d be nonnegative integers such that  $m \leq n$ . Then:

- (1) Every  $\phi$ -(m, d)-injective module is  $\phi$ -(n, d)-injective.
- (2) If  $m \geq 1$ , then every  $\phi$ - $(m, d)$ -flat module is  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -flat.

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Remark [2.1](#page-4-2) and Definitions [2](#page-4-0) and [4.](#page-5-0)  $\square$ 

Next, we give some properties related to  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -rings,  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective modules, and  $\phi$ -(n, d)-flat modules.

<span id="page-5-3"></span>**Theorem 2.7.** Let  ${N_i}_{i \in \Gamma}$  be a family of R-modules. Then  $\prod_{i \in \Gamma} N_i$  is a  $\phi$ -(n, d)-injective module if and only if each  $N_i$  is  $\phi$ -(n, d)-injective.

*Proof.* Let I be a nonnil ideal of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module. From  $\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_R(R/I, \prod_{i \in \Gamma} N_i) \cong \prod_{i \in \Gamma} \operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_R(R/I, N_i)$ , we get that  $\prod_{i \in \Gamma} N_i$  is a  $\phi(n, d)$ -injective module if and only if each  $N_i$  is  $\phi(n, d)$ -injective.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Theorem 2.8.** Let  ${M_i}_{i \in \Gamma}$  be a family of R-modules and  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\bigoplus_{i\in\Gamma}M_i$  is a  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$ -flat module if and only if each  $M_i$  is  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$ -flat.

*Proof.* Let I be a nonnil ideal of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module. Since

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}^R(R/I, \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} M_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} \operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}^R(R/I, M_i),
$$

we get that  $\bigoplus_{i\in\Gamma}M_i$  is a  $\phi\text{-}(n,d)$ -flat module if and only if each  $M_i$  is  $\phi\text{-}(n,d)$ flat.  $\Box$ 

In this paper, for a  $\phi$ -n-presented module M with a  $\phi$ -n-presentation

$$
F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to M \to 0,
$$

we set  $K_i := \ker(F_i \longrightarrow F_{i-1})$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $F_{-1} := M$ . The following result characterizes the  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective modules.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Theorem 2.9. The following statements are equivalent for an R-module N such that  $n \geq d+1$ .

- (1) N is a  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$ -injective module.
- (2) For every nonnil ideal I such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module with  $a \phi$ -n-presentation

$$
F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to R/I \to 0,
$$

we get  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K_{d-1}, N) = 0.$ 

(3) For every nonnil ideal I such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module with  $a \phi$ -n-presentation

$$
F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to R/I \to 0,
$$

and every R-homomorphism  $f: K_d \longrightarrow N$ , f can be extended to  $F_d$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Assume that N is a  $\phi$ -(n, d)-injective module. Let I be a nonnil ideal of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module with a  $\phi$ -n-presentation  $F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to R/I \to 0$ . Since  $n \geq d+1$ , it follows that  $R/I$  is  $\phi$ -d-presented, and so we have  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(R/I, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K_{d-1}, N) = 0$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Let I be a nonnil ideal of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module with a  $\phi$ -*n*-presentation  $F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to R/I \to 0$ . Assume that  $\text{Ext}^1_R(K_{d-1}, N) = 0$  and let  $f: K_d \longrightarrow N$  be an R-homomorphism. Then we have the following exact sequence  $0 \to K_d \to F_d \to K_{d-1} \to 0$ , which induces the exact sequence  $0 \to \text{Hom}_R(K_{d-1}, N) \to \text{Hom}_R(F_d, N) \to$  $\text{Hom}_R(K_d, N) \to 0$ . So f can be extended to  $F_d$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let I be a nonnil ideal of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module with a  $\phi$ -*n*-presentation  $F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to R/I \to 0$ . By hypothesis, we have the exact sequence  $\text{Hom}_R(F_d, N) \to \text{Hom}_R(K_d, N) \to 0$ . From the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$
\text{Hom}_R(F_d, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K_d, N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1_R(K_{d-1}, N) \longrightarrow 0
$$
  

$$
\parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
  

$$
\text{Hom}_R(F_d, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K_d, N) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0,
$$

we get  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K_{d-1}, N) = 0$ . In addition,  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(R/I, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K_{d-1}, N) =$ 0, since  $n \geq d+1$ . So N is a  $\phi(n, d)$ -injective module. □

The following result characterizes the  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -flat modules.

Theorem 2.10. The following statements are equivalent for an R-module N such that  $n \geq d+1$ .

- (1) N is a  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$ -flat modules.
- (2) For every nonnil ideal I of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module with a  $\phi$ -n-presentation

$$
F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to R/I \to 0,
$$

we get  $\text{Tor}_1^R(K_{d-1}, N) = 0.$ 

(3) For every nonnil ideal I of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module with a  $\phi$ -n-presentation

$$
F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to R/I \to 0,
$$

the sequence  $0 \to N \otimes_R K_d \to N \otimes_R F_d$  is exact.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem [2.9.](#page-6-0)  $\Box$ 

According to [\[25\]](#page-18-11), an R-module  $N$  is an injective cogenerator if for every nonzero R-module M, we have  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \neq 0$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is an example of an injective cogenerator abelian group. For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we set  $M^+ := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M,\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. An R-module N is  $\phi$ -(n, d)-flat if and only if  $N^+$  is  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$ -injective.

Proof. This follows immediately from the following isomorphism:

$$
\text{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(R/I, N^{+}) \cong \text{Tor}_{d+1}^{R}(R/I, N)^{+}.
$$

Corollary 2.12. The following are equivalent for an R-module N.

- (1) N is a  $\phi$ -flat module.
- (2)  $N^+$  is a  $\phi$ -FP-injective module.
- (3)  $N^+$  is a nonnil-injective module.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) This is straightforward by Propositions [2.4](#page-5-1) and [2.5,](#page-5-2) and Theorem [2.11.](#page-7-0)

 $(1) \Leftrightarrow (3)$  This follows from the isomorphism:

$$
\operatorname{Tor}^R_1(R/I,N)^+\cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/I,N^+)
$$

and [\[29,](#page-18-5) Theorem 3.2].

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Theorem 2.13.** If  $n \geq d+1$ , then every pure submodule of a  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective module is  $\phi$ -(n, d)-injective. Also, every pure submodule of a  $\phi$ -(n, d)-flat module is  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -flat.

*Proof.* Assume that  $n \geq d+1$  and let I be a nonnil ideal of R such that  $R/I$ is a  $\phi$ -*n*-presented module with a  $\phi$ -*n*-finite presentation

$$
F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to R/I \to 0.
$$

Since  $n \geq d+1$ , it follows that  $K := K_{d-1}$  is a finitely presented R-module. Let X be a pure submodule of a  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective module N. Then the sequence  $0 \to \text{Hom}_R(K, X) \to \text{Hom}_R(K, N) \to \text{Hom}_R(K, N/X) \to 0$  is exact. Furthermore, we have  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(R/I, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K, N) = 0$ , and so we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$
\text{Hom}_R(K, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, N/X) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1_R(K, X) \longrightarrow 0.
$$
  

$$
\downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
  

$$
\text{Hom}_R(K, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, N/X) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$

Thus  $\text{Ext}^{d+1}_R(R/I,X) \cong \text{Ext}^1_R(K,X) = 0$ . Hence X is a  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$ -injective module.

Now, let X be a pure submodule of a  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$ -flat module F. Since  $0 \rightarrow$  $X \to F \to F/X \to 0$  is pure exact, the induced exact sequence  $0 \to (F/X)^+ \to$  $F^+ \to X^+ \to 0$  is split by [\[26,](#page-18-8) Chapter I, Exercise 40]. Since  $F^+$  is a  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective module by Theorem [2.11](#page-7-0) and  $F^+ \cong (F/X)^+ \oplus X^+$ , it follows that  $X^+$ is a  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective module by Theorem [2.7.](#page-5-3) Therefore, X is a  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -flat module by Theorem [2.11.](#page-7-0)  $\Box$ 

**Definition 5.** A ring R is said to be  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$  if every  $\phi$ -n-presented module has  $\phi$ -projective dimension at most d.

If  $n \geq 1$ , then a ring R is said to be  $\phi$ -weak- $(n, d)$  if every  $\phi$ -n-presented module has  $\phi$ -flat dimension at most d.

**Proposition 2.14.** If  $n \leq n'$  and  $d \leq d'$  are nonzero integers, then every  $\phi$ -(n, d) ring (resp.,  $\phi$ -weak-(n, d) ring with  $n \geq 1$ ) is a  $\phi$ -(n', d') (resp.,  $\phi$  $weak-(n', d'))$  ring.

*Proof.* This is straightforward.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-9-2"></span>Remark 2.15. Recall that  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  is the set of all  $\phi$ -rings whose nilradical is not a maximal ideal. Recall also from [\[29,](#page-18-5) Theorem 4.1] that R is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring if and only if  $R \notin \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ .

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Theorem 2.16.** Let R be a ring. If R is a  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$  ring, then every  $\phi$ -u-torsion R-module is  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective.

Before proving Theorem [2.16,](#page-9-0) we establish Lemma [2.17.](#page-9-1)

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Lemma 2.17.** Let  $R \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$  and I be a finitely generated nonnil ideal of R. Then  $R/I$  is  $\phi$ -u-projective if and only if  $I = R$ .

*Proof.* First, we establish that the  $\phi$ -rings are connected. In fact, if there exists a nontrivial idempotent e in R, then  $e(1-e) \in Nil(R)$  implies that either  $e \in Nil(R)$  or  $1-e \in Nil(R)$ . But if  $e \in Nil(R)$ , then  $e = 0$ , which is impossible. Then  $1 - e \in Nil(R)$ , and so  $e \in U(R)$ , which is also impossible. Then R is connected. On the other hand, we have from [\[12,](#page-17-0) Corollary 5.36] that  $R/I$  is a projective R-module, and so I is generated by an idempotent by  $[1, 1]$  $[1, 1]$ .  $(10.24)$ . Then  $R/I$  is  $\phi$ -u-projective if and only if  $I = R$ .

*Proof of Theorem [2.16.](#page-9-0)* We prove this result for the case where  $Z(R) = Nil(R)$ . Assume that R is a  $\phi(n, d)$ -ring, and let N be a  $\phi$ -u-torsion R-module. Then for every  $\phi$ -n-presented module  $R/I$ , where I is a nonnil ideal of R, we have that  $\phi$ - $\text{pd}_R(R/I) \leq d$ , and so  $\text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(R/I, N) = 0$  by [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 3.10 and Remark 5.3(2). Therefore, N is a  $\phi(n, d)$ -injective module. Now, if  $Z(R) \neq Nil(R)$ , then necessarily  $R \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . Lemma [2.17](#page-9-1) justifies that  $R/I$  is never a  $\phi$ -u-projective R-module if we assume that  $I$  is a proper nonnil ideal of  $R$ . We repeat the same previous proof, and we are done.  $□$ 

**Theorem 2.18.** Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Then the following are equivalent for a ring R.

- (1) R is a  $\phi$ -weak- $(n, d)$  ring.
- (2) Every nonnil ideal I of R,  $R/I$  is  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$ -flat.
- (3) Every finitely generated nonnil ideal I of R,  $R/I$  is  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -flat.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let M be a  $\phi$ -n-presented module and I be a nonnil ideal of R. By hypothesis, we get that M has a  $\phi$ -flat dimension at most d, and so  $\operatorname{Tor}^R_{d+1}(R/I, M) = 0$ . Therefore,  $R/I$  is  $\phi \cdot (n, d)$ -flat.  $(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1)$  These are obvious.

**Theorem 2.19.** If R is a  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$  ring, then R is  $\phi$ -weak- $(n,d)$ . The converse holds if  $n \geq d+1$ .

*Proof.* Assume that R is a  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$  ring. Then  $\phi$ -pd<sub>R</sub>  $M \leq d$  for every  $\phi$ -npresented R-module M, and so  $\phi$ -fd<sub>R</sub>  $M \leq d$ . Therefore, R is  $\phi$ -weak- $(n, d)$ .

Assume that  $n \geq d+1$  and R is a  $\phi$ -weak- $(n,d)$  ring. Let M be a  $\phi$ -npresented module with a  $\phi$ -n-finite presentation

$$
F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to M \to 0.
$$

Since  $n \geq d+1$ , it follows that  $K := \ker(F_{d-1} \to F_{d-2})$  is finitely presented. Moreover  $\operatorname{Tor}^R_1(K,N) \cong \operatorname{Tor}^R_{d+1}(M,N) = 0$  for every  $\phi$ -torsion R-module N. So K is a  $\phi$ -flat module, and so K is  $\phi$ -u-projective by [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 5.13]. Thus  $\phi$ -pd<sub>R</sub>  $M \leq d$ , and so R is a  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$  ring.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Theorem 2.20.** Let R be a ring with  $Z(R) = Nil(R)$ . If R is a  $\phi$ -(n, d+1) ring, then every factor of a  $\phi$ -u-torsion  $\phi$ -(n, d)-injective module is  $\phi$ -(n, d)-injective.

*Proof.* Let E be a  $\phi$ -u-torsion  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective module. We claim that  $E/N$ is a  $\phi$ -(n, d)-injective module for every submodule N of E. First, note that N and  $E/N$  are  $\phi$ -u-torsion modules. Using the exact sequence  $0 \to N \to E \to$  $E/N \rightarrow 0$ , we get the following isomorphism:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}^{d+2}_R(R/I,N)\cong\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}_R(R/I,E/N)
$$

for every  $\phi$ -*n*-presented module  $R/I$ , where I is a nonnil ideal of R. So  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(R/I, E/N) = 0$ , since R is assumed to be a  $\phi(n, d+1)$  ring. Therefore,  $E/N$  is a  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective module.

**Theorem 2.21.** Let R be a ring with  $Z(R) = Nil(R)$ . If R is a  $\phi$ - $(n, d+1)$ ring, then every submodule of a  $\phi$ -torsion  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -flat module is  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -flat.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem [2.20.](#page-10-1)  $\Box$ 

In [\[12\]](#page-17-0), a  $\phi$ -ring R is said to be  $\phi$ -hereditary if every nonnil ideal of R is ϕ-u-projective.

The following result gives some examples of  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$  rings for small nonnegative integers  $n, d$ .

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>Theorem 2.22. Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then

- (1) R is a  $\phi$ -(0,0) ring if and only if R is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring.
- (2) R is a  $\phi$ -(0,1) ring if and only if R is a  $\phi$ -hereditary ring.
- (3) R is a  $\phi$ -(1,0) ring if and only if R is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring.
- (4) R is a  $\phi$ -(1,1) ring if and only if R is a  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring with  $Z(R)$  =  $Nil(R).$

To prove Theorem [2.22,](#page-10-0) we need the following Lemma [2.23.](#page-10-2) Recall from [\[12,](#page-17-0) Definition 5.1] that a short exact sequence of R-modules

$$
0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0
$$

is said to be  $\phi$ -pure exact if for every finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion module F, we get the following exact sequence  $0 \to F \otimes_R A \to F \otimes_R B \to F \otimes_R C \to 0$ . In particular, every pure exact sequence is  $\phi$ -pure. A submodule A of B is said to be  $\phi$ -pure if the exact sequence  $0 \to A \to B \to B/A \to 0$  is  $\phi$ -pure.

<span id="page-10-2"></span>**Lemma 2.23.** Every  $\phi$ -ring R with  $\phi$ -w.gl. dim(R)  $\leq$  1 is a strongly  $\phi$ -ring.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\phi$ -w.gl. dim(R)  $\leq$  1 such that Nil(R) is not a maximal ideal. If  $Nil(R) \subsetneq Z(R)$ , then there exists  $s \in Z(R) \setminus Nil(R)$ . But R is a

 $\phi$ -ring. Then R is a connected ring, and so  $\frac{R}{\langle s \rangle}$  can not be a  $\phi$ -flat R-module by [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 5.13 and Corollary 5.36]. Then  $\langle s \rangle$  is a  $\phi$ -flat ideal. By [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 5.4], the short exact sequence  $0 \to (0 : s) \to R \to \langle s \rangle \to 0$  is  $\phi$ pure, which implies that the R-homomorphism given by  $\varphi: (0:s) \otimes_R \frac{R}{\langle s \rangle} \to \frac{R}{\langle s \rangle}$ is an R-monomorphism. But its kernel equals to  $\frac{\langle s \rangle}{s(0:s)}$ . Then  $\langle s \rangle = s(0:s)$ , in particular,  $s = rs$  for some  $r \in (0 : s)$ , and so  $s = 0$ , a contradiction. Consequently, we proved that  $Z(R) = Nil(R)$ .

*Proof of Theorem [2.22.](#page-10-0)* (1) R is a  $\phi$ -(0,0) ring if and only if  $\phi$ -gl. dim(R) = 0; if and only if R is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring by [\[12,](#page-17-0) Corollary 5.33].

(2) It follows from Lemma [2.23](#page-10-2) and [\[12,](#page-17-0) Proposition 5.25] that R is a  $\phi$ -(0, 1) ring if and only if  $\phi$ -gl. dim(R)  $\leq$  1; if and only if R is a  $\phi$ -hereditary ring by [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 4.3].

(3) Assume that R is a  $\phi$ -(1,0) ring. If  $R \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ , then there exists a finitely gen-erated proper nonnil ideal of R. By Lemma [2.17,](#page-9-1)  $R/I$  is never  $\phi$ -u-projective. But R is a  $\phi$ -(1,0) ring, then  $\phi$ -pd<sub>R</sub>(R/I) = 0, i.e., R/I is  $\phi$ -u-projective, a contradiction. Therefore,  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring by Remark [2.15.](#page-9-2)

(4) Assume that R is a  $\phi$ -(1, 1). Then  $Z(R) = Nil(R)$  by Lemma [2.23.](#page-10-2) Let I be a finitely generated nonnil ideal of R. Then  $\phi$ -pd<sub>p</sub> $(R/I)$  < 1, and so I is  $\phi$ -u-projective. Therefore, R is a  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring by [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 5.41].

Conversely, assume that R is a  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring, and let F be a finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion R-module. Then F is a factor of a finitely generated free R-module L by a finitely generated submodule of L, which is  $\phi$ -u-projective by [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 5.41], and so  $\phi$ -pd<sub>R</sub>  $F \leq 1$ . Therefore, R is a  $\phi$ -(1, 1) ring.  $\Box$ 

#### 3. On  $\phi$ -*n*-coherent rings

In this section, we define a generalization of *n*-coherent rings for rings whose nilradical is prime.

**Definition 6.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A ring R is said to be a  $\phi$ -n-coherent ring if every  $\phi$ -*n*-presented module is  $\phi$ - $(n+1)$ -presented.

Recall from [\[4\]](#page-17-6) that a  $\phi$ -ring R is said to be  $\phi$ -Noetherian if  $R/Nil(R)$  is a Noetherian domain, which is equivalent to saying that every nonnil ideal of R is finitely generated. Recall also from [\[9\]](#page-17-1) that the 0-coherent rings are exactly the Noetherian rings. The following result gives the analog of this result.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then R is a  $\phi$ -0-coherent ring if and only if R is a ϕ-Noetherian ring.

*Proof.* Assume that R is a  $\phi$ -0-coherent ring and let I be a nonnil ideal of R. Then  $R/I$  is a finitely generated  $\phi$ -torsion R-module, and so  $R/I$  is a finitely presented R-module. Thus  $I$  is a finitely generated ideal. Hence  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Noetherian ring.

Conversely, assume that R is a  $\phi$ -Noetherian ring and let M be a finitely generated  $\phi$ -torsion R-module. Then M is finitely presented by [\[13,](#page-17-9) Theorem  $3.15$ .

Recall from [\[9\]](#page-17-1) that the 1-coherent rings are exactly the coherent rings. Proposition [3.2](#page-12-0) gives the analog of this result.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $R \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then R is a  $\phi$ -1-coherent ring if and only if R is a nonnil-coherent ring.

*Proof.* Assume that R is a  $\phi$ -1-coherent ring and let I be a finitely generated nonnil ideal of R. We claim that I is finitely presented. First,  $R/I$  is a finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion R-module, and so  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -2-presented R-module. Thus I is a finitely presented ideal of R by [\[14,](#page-18-7) Theorem 2.1.2]. Hence R is a nonnilcoherent ring.

Conversely, assume that  $R$  is a nonnil-coherent ring and let  $M$  be a finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion R-module. Then  $M \cong F/N$ , where F is a finitely generated free R-module and N is a finitely generated submodule of F. Since  $R$  is nonnilcoherent,  $N$  is a finitely presented module by [\[13,](#page-17-9) Theorem 2.6]. So  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -1-coherent ring.  $\Box$ 

To give (counter-)examples, we use the trivial extension. Let  $R$  be a ring and E be an R-module. Then  $R \propto E$ , called the trivial ring extension of R by E, is the ring whose additive structure is that of the external direct sum  $R \oplus E$  and whose multiplication is defined by  $(a, e)(b, f) := (ab, af + be)$  for all  $a, b \in R$ and all  $e, f \in E$ . (This construction is also known by other terminology and other notations, such as the idealization  $R(+)E$  (see [\[6,](#page-17-11) [14,](#page-18-7) [15,](#page-18-12) [18\]](#page-18-13)).

Recall that in the classical case, if  $R$  is *n*-coherent, then every *n*-presented module is infinitely-presented. This property does not hold for the  $\phi$ -n-coherent rings. In fact, the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z} \propto \widehat{\bigoplus}_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is an example of a  $\phi$ -Noetherian ring, which is not nonnil-coherent by [\[13,](#page-17-9) Example 4.11]. So by Proposition [3.1,](#page-11-0) R is  $\phi$ -0-coherent. However, there exists a  $\phi$ -1-presented R-module that is not  $\phi$ -2-presented. It follows that there exists a  $\phi$ -0-presented R-module that is not  $\phi$ -2-presented. Therefore, to correct this problem, in the rest of this paper we consider  $(n, d) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  such that  $d \leq n$ .

<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Theorem 3.3.** Let R be a  $\phi$ -n-coherent ring. Then every direct sum of  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective modules is  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -injective.

*Proof.* Let R be a  $\phi$ -n-coherent ring and let  $\{N_i\}_{i\in\Gamma}$  be a family of  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$ injective modules. Let I be a nonnil ideal of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module. Then  $R/I$  has a  $\phi$ -d-presentation  $F_d \to F_{d-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to R/I \to 0$ , since  $d \leq n$ . Because R is  $\phi$ -n-coherent,  $K_{d-1}$  is a finitely presented R-module, and so  $\text{Ext}^1_R(K_{d-1}, \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} N_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} \text{Ext}^1_R(K_{d-1}, N_i)$  by [\[27,](#page-18-9) Theorem 3.9.2

 $(1)$ . Then

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(R/I, \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} N_{i}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K_{d-1}, \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} N_{i})
$$

$$
\cong \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K_{d-1}, N_{i})
$$

$$
\cong \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma} \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(R/I, N_{i})
$$

$$
= 0.
$$

Therefore,  $\bigoplus_{i\in\Gamma} N_i$  is  $\phi$ - $(n,d)$ -injective.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.4.** If R is a nonnil-coherent ring, then every direct sum of  $\phi$ -FPinjective modules is  $\phi$ -FP-injective.

*Proof.* This follows from Propositions [2.4,](#page-5-1) [3.2](#page-12-0) and Theorem [3.3.](#page-12-1)  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.5.** Every  $\phi$ - $(n, d)$ -ring is  $\phi$ -n-coherent.

*Proof.* If  $n = 0$ , then the theorem is obvious from Theorem [2.22\(](#page-10-0)1) and Propo-sition [3.1,](#page-11-0) since every  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring is  $\phi$ -Noetherian. Now, assume that  $n \geq 1$  and  $R \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . Let M be a  $\phi$ -n-presented R-module. If M is  $\phi$ -u-projective, then it is projective by [\[12,](#page-17-0) Corollary 5.36], and so M is  $\phi$ - $(n+1)$ -presented. Assume that M is not  $\phi$ -u-projective. Then by [\[12,](#page-17-0) Theorem 3.10], the d-th syzygy (denoted by  $K$ ) of a finite  $\phi$ -n-presentation of M is both a finitely presented and  $\phi$ -u-projective R-module. Again using [\[12,](#page-17-0) Corollary 5.36], we get that K is projective, and so M is  $\phi$ -(n + 1)-presented. Therefore, R is  $\phi$ -n-coherent.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Theorem 3.6.** Let R be a  $\phi$ -n-coherent ring and N be an R-module. Then N is  $\phi$ -(n, d)-injective if and only if  $N^+$  is  $\phi$ -(n, d)-flat.

To prove Theorem [3.6,](#page-13-0) we need the following lemma.

<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Lemma 3.7.** If R is a  $\phi$ -n-coherent ring, then for any ring T and any integer  $d \geq n+1$ ,

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}^R(M, \operatorname{Hom}_T(B, E)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_T(\operatorname{Ext}_R^{d+1}(M, B), E),
$$

where  $M$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module,  $E$  is a  $T$ -injective module, and  $B$  is an R-T-bimodule.

*Proof.* Assume that R is a  $\phi$ -n-coherent ring and let M be a  $\phi$ -n-presented module. Then M is a  $\phi$ -d-presented module with a  $\phi$ -d-presentation

$$
F_d \to F_{d-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to M \to 0.
$$

The above exact sequence induces the exact sequence  $0 \to K_d \to F_d \to K_{d-1} \to$ 0, and so we get the following exact sequence  $\text{Hom}_R(F_d, B) \to \text{Hom}_R(K_d, B) \to$ 

 $\text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K_{d-1}, B) \to 0$ . Thus we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$
0 + \text{Hom}_{T}(\text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K_{d-1}, B), E) + \text{Hom}_{T}(\text{Hom}_{R}(K_{d}, B), E) + \text{Hom}_{T}(\text{Hom}_{R}(F_{d}, B), E)
$$
  

$$
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \
$$

Since  $E$  is a  $T$ -injective module, the two vertical right arrows are isomorphisms. Therefore,  $\text{Hom}_T(\text{Ext}^1_R(K_{d-1}, B), E) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(K_{d-1}, \text{Hom}_T(B, E)).$  Moreover,

$$
Tor_{d+1}^{R}(M, \text{Hom}_{T}(B, E)) \cong Tor_{1}^{R}(K_{d-1}, \text{Hom}_{T}(B, E))
$$
  
\n
$$
\cong \text{Hom}_{T}(\text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K_{d-1}, B), E)
$$
  
\n
$$
\cong \text{Hom}_{T}(\text{Ext}_{R}^{d+1}(M, B), E).
$$

Proof of Theorem [3.6.](#page-13-0) This follows directly from Lemma [3.7](#page-13-1) using the following isomorphism:  $\text{Tor}_{d+1}^R(R/I, N^+) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(R/I, N)^+$  for every nonnil ideal I of R such that  $R/I$  is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module.  $\Box$ 

From Proposition [3.2](#page-12-0) and Lemma [3.7,](#page-13-1) we can obviously deduce the following Corollary [3.8.](#page-14-1)

<span id="page-14-1"></span>**Corollary 3.8.** Let R be a nonnil-coherent ring and M be a finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion module. If E is an injective R-module and B is an R-module, then we get the following isomorphism:

$$
\operatorname{Tor}^R_1(M, \operatorname{Hom}_R(B, E)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(M, B), E).
$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Proposition [3.2](#page-12-0) and Lemma [3.7.](#page-13-1)  $\Box$ 

The following definition gives a generalization of  $\phi$ -flat (resp.,  $\phi$ -FP-injective) modules.

<span id="page-14-2"></span>**Definition 7.** Let R be a ring and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . An R-module M is said to be  $\phi$ -n-flat (resp.,  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective) if M is  $\phi$ -(n, n-1)-flat (resp., nonnil-(n, n-1)injective).

Remark 3.9. Let  $M$  be an R-module. Then:

- (1) M is  $\phi$ -1-FP-injective if and only if M is a  $\phi$ -FP-injective module.
- (2) M is  $\phi$ -1-flat if and only if M is a  $\phi$ -flat module.

Next, the following result is the analog of the well-known behavior of [\[8,](#page-17-4) Theorem 3.1, which characterizes the  $\phi$ -n-coherent rings.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Theorem 3.10.** Let R be a ring and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) R is  $\phi$ -n-coherent.
- (2) Every direct product of R is a  $\phi$ -n-flat R-module.
- (3) Every direct product of  $\phi$ -n-flat R-modules is  $\phi$ -n-flat.
- (4) Every direct limit of  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective R-modules is  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective.
- (5)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \text{Ext}^n_R(M, M_i) \to \text{Ext}^n_R(M, \lim_{n \to \infty} M_i)$  is an isomorphism for every  $\phi$ -npresented R-module M and every direct system  ${M_i}_{i \in \Gamma}$  of R-modules.
- (6)  $\operatorname{Tor}^R_n(\prod N_\alpha,M) \cong \prod \operatorname{Tor}^R_n(N_\alpha,M)$  for any family  $\{N_\alpha\}$  of R-modules and any  $\phi$ -n-presented R-module M.
- (7) An R-module N is  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective if and only if  $N^+$  is  $\phi$ -n-flat.
- (8) An R-module N is  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective if and only if  $N^{++}$  is  $\phi$ -n-FPinjective.
- (9) An R-module M is  $\phi$ -n-flat if and only if  $M^{++}$  is  $\phi$ -n-flat.
- (10)  $\operatorname{Tor}^R_n(M, \operatorname{Hom}_T(B, E)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_T(\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M, B), E)$  for any ring T, where M is a  $\phi$ -n-presented module, E is a T-injective module, and B is an R-T-bimodule.

To prove Theorem [3.10,](#page-14-0) we need the following lemmas.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Lemma 3.11** ([\[8,](#page-17-4) Lemma 2.9]). Let n be a positive integer, A be an n-presented R-module, and  $\{M_i\}_{i\in\Gamma}$  be a direct system of R-modules (with I directed).

- (1) There is an exact sequence  $0 \to \varinjlim \operatorname{Ext}^n_R(A, M_i) \to \operatorname{Ext}^n_R(A, \varinjlim M_i).$
- (2) There is an isomorphism  $\varinjlim \text{Ext}^{n-1}_R(A, M_i) \cong \text{Ext}^{n-1}_R(A, \varinjlim M_i).$

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Lemma 3.12** ([\[8,](#page-17-4) Lemma 2.10]). Let n be a positive integer, A be an npresented R-module, and  ${N_\alpha}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  be a family of R-modules.

- (1) There is an exact sequence  $\operatorname{Tor}^R_n(\prod N_\alpha, A) \to \operatorname{Tor}^R_n(N_\alpha, A) \to 0$ .
- (2) There is an isomorphism  $\operatorname{Tor}_{n-1}^R(\prod N_\alpha, A) \cong \prod \operatorname{Tor}_{n-1}^R(N_\alpha, A)$ .

*Proof of Theorem [3.10.](#page-14-0)* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (10) This follows from Lemma [3.7.](#page-13-1)

 $(10) \Rightarrow (7)$  For  $B := N$ ,  $T := \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $E := \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , we get that for every  $\phi$ -*n*-presented *R*-module  $M = R/I$ , where *I* is a nonnil ideal of *R*, we have the following isomorphism  $\text{Tor}_n^R(M, N^+) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)^+$ . So N is  $\phi$ -n-FPinjective if and only if  $N^+$  is  $\phi$ -n-flat.

 $(7) \Rightarrow (8)$  Let N be an R-module. If N is  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective, then  $N^+$  is  $\phi$ -nflat by hypothesis, and so  $N^+$  is  $\phi(n, n-1)$ -flat by Definition [7.](#page-14-2) Thus  $N^{++}$  is nonnil- $(n, n - 1)$ -injective by Theorem [2.11.](#page-7-0) Hence  $N^{++}$  is  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective.

Conversely, assume that  $N^{++}$  is  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective. It follows from [\[26,](#page-18-8) Chapter I, Exercise 41] that N is a pure submodule of  $N^{++}$ , and so N is  $\phi$ -n-FPinjective by Theorem [2.13.](#page-8-0)

 $(8) \Rightarrow (9)$  Let M be an R-module. By Theorem [2.11](#page-7-0) and hypothesis, M is a  $\phi$ -n-flat module if and only if  $M^+$  is  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective, if and only if  $M^{++}$ is  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective, if and only if  $M^{++}$  is a  $\phi$ -n-flat module.

 $(9) \Rightarrow (3)$  Let  $\{N_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$  be a family of  $\phi$ -n-flat modules. By Theorem [2.8,](#page-6-1)  $\bigoplus_{i\in\Gamma}N_i$  is  $\phi$ -n-flat, so  $(\bigoplus_{i\in\Gamma}N_i)^{++} \cong (\prod_{i\in\Gamma}N_i^+)^\perp$  is  $\phi$ -n-flat by hypothesis. But  $\bigoplus_{i\in\Gamma}N_i^+$  is a pure submodule of  $\prod_{i\in\Gamma}N_i^+$  by [\[7,](#page-17-12) Lemma 1 (1)], and so  $\left(\prod_{i\in\Gamma}N_i^+\right)^+\to \left(\bigoplus_{i\in\Gamma}N_i^+\right)^+\to 0$  splits. Thus  $\prod_{i\in\Gamma}N_i^{++}\cong \left(\bigoplus_{i\in\Gamma}N_i^+\right)^+,$ and so  $\prod_{i\in\Gamma} N_i^{++}$  is  $\phi$ -n-flat. Since  $\prod_{i\in\Gamma} N_i$  is a pure submodule of  $\prod_{i\in\Gamma} N_i^{++}$ (see [\[7,](#page-17-12) Lemma 1 (2)]),  $\prod_{i \in \Gamma} N_i$  is  $\phi$ -n-flat by Theorem [2.13.](#page-8-0)

 $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$  This is straightforward.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let M be a  $\phi$ -n-presented with a  $\phi$ -n-finite presentation  $F_n \rightarrow$  $F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to M \to 0$ . We claim that  $K_{n-1} := \text{ker}(F_{n-1} \to F_{n-2})$ is a finitely presented  $R$ -module. First, we have the following exact sequence  $0 \to K_{n-1} \to F_{n-1} \to K_{n-2} \to 0$ . Let I be an indexing set. Then  $K_{n-2}$  is finitely presented, since M is  $\phi$ -n-presented, and so  $R^I \otimes_R K_{n-2} \cong K_{n-2}^I$  from [\[26,](#page-18-8) Lemma 13.2]. From the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$
0 \longrightarrow K_{n-1} \otimes_R R^I \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \otimes_R R^I \longrightarrow K_{n-2} \otimes_R R^I
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong
$$
  
\n
$$
0 \longrightarrow K_{n-1}^I \longrightarrow F_{n-1}^I \longrightarrow K_{n-2}^I,
$$

it follows that  $K_{n-1}$  is finitely presented, and so M is  $\phi$ - $(n+1)$ -presented. Thus R is  $\phi$ -*n*-coherent.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (5)$  This follows immediately from Lemma [3.11\(](#page-15-0)2).

 $(5) \Rightarrow (4)$  This is straightforward.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let M be a  $\phi$ -n-presented module with a  $\phi$ -n-finite presentation

$$
F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_0 \to M \to 0.
$$

We claim that  $K_{n-1} := \ker(F_{n-1} \longrightarrow F_{n-2})$  is a finitely presented R-module. Let  ${N_i}_{i \in \Gamma}$  be a family of injective modules. Then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} N_i$  is  $\phi$ -n-FP-injective by hypothesis. Hence,  $\text{Ext}^1_R(K_{n-2}, \varinjlim N_i) \cong \text{Ext}^n_R(M, \varinjlim N_i) = 0$ , and so we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$
\text{Hom}_R(K_{n-2}, \varinjlim N_i) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_{n-1}, \varinjlim N_i) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K_{n-1}, \varinjlim N_i) \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \downarrow
$$
\n
$$
\varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(K_{n-2}, N_i) \longrightarrow \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(F_{n-1}, N_i) \longrightarrow \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(K_{n-1}, N_i) \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Therefore, the left two vertical arrows are isomorphisms by [\[20,](#page-18-14) Satz 3], and so  $\text{Hom}_R(K_{n-1}, \underline{\lim}_{i} N_i) \cong \underline{\lim}_{i} \text{Hom}_R(K_{n-1}, N_i)$ . Thus  $K_{n-1}$  is finitely presented by [\[17,](#page-18-15) Proposition 2.5], and so M is  $\phi$ -(n + 1)-presented. Therefore, R is a  $\phi$ -*n*-coherent ring.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (6)$  This follows from Lemma [3.12\(](#page-15-1)2).

 $(6) \Rightarrow (3)$  This is straightforward. □

By Proposition [3.2](#page-12-0) and Theorem [3.10,](#page-14-0) we can immediately deduce the following result, which characterizes nonnil-coherent rings.

**Corollary 3.13.** The following statements are equivalent for a  $\phi$ -ring R.

- $(1)$  R is a nonnil-coherent ring.
- (2) Any direct product of R is a  $\phi$ -flat R-module.
- (3) Any direct product of  $\phi$ -flat R-modules is  $\phi$ -flat.
- (4) Every direct limit of  $\phi$ -FP-injective R-modules is  $\phi$ -FP-injective.
- (5)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \text{Ext}^1_R(M, M_i) \to \text{Ext}^1_R(M, \lim_{n \to \infty} M_i)$  is an isomorphism for every finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion R-module M and every direct system  $\{M_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of R-modules.
- (6)  $Tor_1^R(\prod N_\alpha,M) \cong \prod Tor_1^R(N_\alpha,M)$  for any family  $\{N_\alpha\}$  of R-modules and any finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion R-module M.
- (7) An R-module N is  $\phi$ -FP-injective if and only if  $N^+$  is  $\phi$ -flat.
- (8) An R-module N is  $\phi$ -FP-injective if and only if  $N^{++}$  is  $\phi$ -FP-injective.
- (9) An R-module M is  $\phi$ -flat if and only if  $M^{++}$  is  $\phi$ -flat.
- (10)  $\operatorname{Tor}^R_1(M, \operatorname{Hom}_T(B, E)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_T(\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(M, B), E)$  for any ring T, where M is a finitely presented  $\phi$ -torsion module, E is a T-injective module, and B is an R-T-bimodule.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the reviewer for his/her comments. H. Kim was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education (2021R1I1A3047469).

### References

- <span id="page-17-10"></span>[1] A. B. Altman and S. L. Kleiman, A Term of Commutative Algebra, version on Research-Gate. Apr. 11, 2021.
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>[2] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, On  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings and  $\phi$ -Bezout rings, Houston J. Math. 30 (2004), no. 2, 331–343.
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>[3] K. Bacem and B. Ali, Nonnil-coherent rings, Beitr. Algebra Geom. 57 (2016), no. 2, 297–305. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13366-015-0260-8>
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>[4] A. Badawi, On nonnil-Noetherian rings, Comm. Algebra 31 (2003), no. 4, 1669–1677. <https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-120018502>
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>[5] A. Badawi and T. G. Lucas, Rings with prime nilradical, Arithmetical Properties of Commutative Rings and Monoids, 198–212, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., 241, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>[6] C. Bakkari, S. Kabbaj, and N. Mahdou, Trivial extensions defined by Prüfer conditions, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 214 (2010), no. 1, 53–60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2009.04.011) [2009.04.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2009.04.011)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>[7] T. J. Cheatham and D. R. Stone, Flat and projective character modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981), no. 2, 175–177. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2044187>
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>J. Chen and N. Ding, On n-coherent rings, Comm. Algebra  $24$  (1996), no. 10, 3211–3216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879608825742>
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>[9] D. L. Costa, Parameterizing families of non-Noetherian rings, Comm. Algebra 22 (1994), no. 10, 3997–4011.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>[10] D. E. Dobbs, S.-E. Kabbaj, and N. Mahdou, *n-coherent rings and modules*, Commutative Ring Theory (Fès, 1995), 269–281, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 185, Dekker, New York.
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>[11] D. E. Dobbs, S. Kabbaj, N. Mahdou, and M. Sobrani, When is  $D + M$  n-coherent and an  $(n, d)$ -domain?, in Advances in Commutative Ring Theory (Fez, 1997), 257–270, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 205, Dekker, New York.
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>[12] Y. El Haddaoui and N. Mahdou,  $On \phi$ -(weak) global dimension, J. Algebra Its Appl. (2023), Paper No. 2450169, 41 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021949882450169X>
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>[13] Y. El Haddaoui, H. Kim, and N. Mahdou, On nonnil-coherent modules and nonnil-Noetherian modules, Open Math. 20 (2022), no. 1, 1521–1537. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2022-0526) [1515/math-2022-0526](https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2022-0526)

- <span id="page-18-7"></span>[14] S. Glaz, Commutative Coherent Rings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1371, Springer, Berlin, 1989. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0084570>
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>[15] J. A. Huckaba, Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 117, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1988.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>[16] K. A. Ismaili and N. Mahdou, On  $(n, d)$ -property in amalgamated algebra, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 9 (2016), no. 1, Paper No. 1650014, 13 pp. [https://doi.org/10.1142/](https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557116500145) [S1793557116500145](https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557116500145)
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>[17] M. F. Jones, Coherence relative to an hereditary torsion theory, Comm. Algebra 10 (1982), no. 7, 719–739. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927878208822745>
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>[18] S.-E. Kabbaj, Matlis' semi-regularity and semi-coherence in trivial ring extensions: a survey, Moroccan J. Algebra Geom. Appl. 1 (2022), no. 1, 1-17.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>[19] S.-E. Kabbaj and N. Mahdou, Trivial extensions of local rings and a conjecture of Costa, Commutative ring theory and applications (Fez, 2001), 301–311, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 231, Dekker, New York.
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>[20] H. Lenzing, Endlich präsentierbare Moduln, Arch. Math. (Basel) 20 (1969), 262-266. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01899297>
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>[21] N. Mahdou, On Costa's conjecture, Comm. Algebra  $29$  (2001), no. 7, 2775–2785. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-100104986) [//doi.org/10.1081/AGB-100104986](https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-100104986)
- [22] N. Mahdou, On 2-von Neumann regular rings, Comm. Algebra 33 (2005), no. 10, 3489– 3496. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870500242991>
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>[23] N. Mahdou, Sufficient condition to resolve Costa's first conjecture, Comm. Algebra 38 (2010), no. 3, 1066–1074. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870902918438>
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>[24] W. Qi and X. Zhang, Some remarks on nonnil-coherent rings and ϕ-IF rings, J. Algebra Appl. 21 (2022), no. 11, Paper No. 2250211, 14 pp. [https://doi.org/10.1142/](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498822502115) [S0219498822502115](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498822502115)
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>[25] J. J. Rotman, An introduction to homological algebra, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 85, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1979.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>[26] B. T. Stenström, Rings of quotients, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 217, Springer, New York, 1975.
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>[27] F. Wang and H. Kim, Foundations of Commutative Rings and Their Modules, Algebra and Applications, 22, Springer, Singapore, 2016. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3337-7) [10-3337-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3337-7)
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>[28] X. L. Zhang and W. Zhao, On nonnil-injective modules, J. Sichuan Normal Univ. 42 (2009), no. 6, 808–815.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>[29] W. Zhao, F. G. Wang, and G. Tang, On ϕ-von Neumann regular rings, J. Korean Math. Soc. 50 (2013), no. 1, 219–229. <https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.2013.50.1.219>
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>[30] D. Zhou, On n-coherent rings and  $(n, d)$ -rings, Comm. Algebra 32 (2004), no. 6, 2425– 2441. <https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-120037230>

Younes El Haddaoui Modelling and Mathematical Structures Laboratory Department of Mathematics FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ University S. M. Ben Abdellah Fez Box 2202, Morocco Email address: younes.elhaddaoui@usmba.ac.ma

Hwankoo Kim Division of Computer Engineering Hoseo University Asan 31499, Korea  $\emph{Email address: hkkim@hoseo.edu}$ 

Najib Mahdou Modelling and Mathematical Structures Laboratory Department of Mathematics FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF FEZ University S. M. Ben Abdellah Fez Box 2202, Morocco Email address: mahdou@hotmail.com