IJIBC 24-3-32

A Plan for Writing a Desirable Smart Factory Business Plan by Diagnosing the Main Contents of the Smart Factory Business Plan

Seong-Hoon Lee*

*Professor, Division of Computer Engineering, Baekseok University shlee@bu.ac.kr

Abstract

The smart factory promotion project is a project that uses ICT technology to improve the production process and the entire management environment system. In Korea, the smart factory promotion project has been continuously implemented since 2014, and the Smart Factory Promotion Team is supporting it nationally. The smart factory promotion project has shown positive results in some companies even in difficult environments such as the COVID-19 situation. In order for each company to promote the smart factory project, it must receive business approval through an evaluation based on the business plan. In order to receive business approval, it is important that the main contents described in the business plan (introduction (business) goals, qualitative goals, quantitative goals, functional composition diagram, etc.) are described consistently. In this study, we studied the cases of several companies to determine whether the main contents of the companies' business plans were consistent. The main contents to be maintained in consistency were the purpose and necessity of introduction, quantitative goals, qualitative goals, functional composition diagram, and expected effects.

Keywords: Smart factory, Business plan, Smart factory promotion project, qualitative goal.

1. Introduction

The smart factory promotion project is a project that uses ICT technology to improve the production process and the entire management environment system. Companies receive some of the necessary funds from the government through this project. In Korea, the smart factory promotion project has been continuously implemented since 2014. The smart factory promotion project is supported by the Smart Factory Promotion Team at the national level. The meaning of a smart factory in the Smart Factory Promotion Team is an intelligent factory that integrates all production processes from product planning to sales with ICT (information and communication technology) technology [1]. By promoting the smart factory project, each company is producing customized products for customers at the lowest cost and time. The issue report published by the Overseas Economic Research Institute of the Export-Import Bank of Korea defines smart factories as next-

Manuscript Received: July. 2, 2024 / Revised: July. 8, 2024 / Accepted: July. 14, 2024 Corresponding Author: shlee@bu.ac.kr Tel: +82-41-550-2433

Professor, Division of Computer Engineering, Baekseok University, Korea

generation advanced factories [2]. They aim to realize productivity improvement, energy saving, and customized production through the operation of these advanced factories [3, 4]. In Korea, some companies have achieved positive results in the smart factory promotion project even in difficult environments such as the COVID-19 situation [5, 6].

In order for each company to promote the smart factory project, they must receive business approval through an evaluation based on a business plan. In order to receive business approval, the main contents described in the business plan, such as business objectives, qualitative objectives, quantitative objectives, and functional composition diagram, must be described consistently. In this study, we studied the cases of several companies to determine whether the main contents of the business plans of companies were consistent. The business plans presented by each company included contents such as the purpose of introduction, qualitative goals, quantitative goals, and overall functional composition diagram for introducing smart factories. These contents include interrelationships when promoting the business. For example, contents corresponding to the purpose of introduction must be included in qualitative goals, functional composition diagram, etc.

In Chapter 2, the composition of this study diagnosed whether the main contents of the business plans were consistent based on cases. Finally, the conclusion was described.

2. Diagnosis of consistency between key contents of business plan

In this chapter, we looked into whether the main contents of the business plan written by each company when promoting a smart factory were consistently described. The consistency of the main contents is an important factor in achieving the business goal. Therefore, it can be used as a key indicator to determine the possibility of a company's business promotion. For this purpose, the main contents used were the introduction goals, quantitative and qualitative goals of the business, and the functional composition diagram shown in the business plan. The companies applied to this study were three companies, and the description was based on the contents of each company's business plan.

2.1 Case 1 (Company A)

First, as the first corporate case, the system to be introduced through the smart factory promotion project is an MES system, and its main content is production management. The main qualitative goals that the company is trying to achieve are as shown in Table 1 below. The main core elements in Table 1 are productivity improvement and quality improvement.

Building system	Establishing a production system		
System building	Speed-up production and improve quality		
3 Major detailed system building goals & major contents for achieving goals	Early stabilization Increased productivity	 Establishing optimal work processes Establishing a simple on-site system Establishing a system considering expansion Smooth system adjustment and change Fast and accurate field operation and information collection by performing process work through the system Establishment of a monitoring system based on collected information 	
		 Optimal production plan and material supply 	

Table 1. Company A's key qualitative goals

	 Early detection and rapid response to work delay factors Reduction of lead time by eliminating disconnection between processes
Improved quality	 Prevent work errors by performing process work based on the system Integrated management of production/quality data Reduce quality costs by controlling processes Support for tracking production history when quality issues occur Quality individualization through analysis of quality information

Next, the quantitative goals presented by the company are as shown in Table 2. The core indicators shown in Table 2 are composed of indicators that reflect the company's qualitative goals of productivity improvement and quality improvement. For productivity improvement, indicators of shortening manufacturing lead time (P) and increasing production volume (P) are presented, and for quality improvement, indicators of reducing finished product defect rate (Q) and reducing inspection defect rate (Q) are presented.

No	Field	Key Indicator	Unit	Current	Target	Weight
1	Р	Shorten manufacturing lead time	Hr	52	42	0.1
2	Р	Increase production volume/day	EA	180	250	0.2
3	Q	Reduce finished product defect rate	%	3	1	0.1
4	Q	Reduce inspection defect rate	%	5	1	0.1
5	С	Reduce product cost	WON	11,700/no	10,200/no	0.25
6	С	Reduce inventory quantity and cost	%	50	20	0.15
7	D	Reduce manufacturing lead time	%	3	1	0.1

Table 2. Company A's key quantitative goals

In addition, the MES system that the company wants to promote is largely divided into four main functions. The overall functional configuration diagram including detailed functions is as shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3. MES system functional structure

Main function	Detailed function		
Motorial	• Material management: Material receipt/disbursement management, Inventory status		
management	inquiry, Inventory change history inquiry, First-in first-out management, Material barcode		
management	management		
Real-time data	Production management: Production plan inquiry/input, Inventory status inquiry		
collection	Process data management: Process condition management, Process data collection		
Derferrerer	Production tracking management: History search by product ID		
Periormance	 Production performance management: Production performance input & search 		
management	Material input management		
	• System criteria information: Workplace code, Process code, Equipment code, other		
Baseline	Criteria information, Defect code		
Information	 User information: User registration/deletion, User authority management 		
	 Work standard management: Work standard registration/inquiry 		

The quantitative and qualitative goals of the company, and the characteristics (problems) shown in the functional structure diagram can be summarized as follows:

First, if we look at the qualitative goal content, the company wanted to establish a production system by introducing an MES system. The detailed goals to be achieved through the establishment of the production system are productivity improvement and quality improvement, as shown in Table 1. However, the functional structure diagram does not present functions for quality management for quality improvement. Also, the detailed functional description does not include contents for quality improvement. Second, if we look at the quantitative goal items of the company presented in Table 2, the item that is pointed out as the most problematic is the product cost reduction item. This item is shown to have the highest weight. Therefore, it can be said that the most important factor for the company is the reduction of product cost. In order to manage costs, BOM management should be a priority. However, the system function structure diagram and detailed description contents presented in the table above do not mention any BOM-related content at all. Lastly, among the quantitative goals of the company, the two indicators corresponding to production (P) (shortening manufacturing lead time, increasing production volume) are related indicators related to productivity improvement among qualitative goals. In addition, the two indicators corresponding to quality (Q) (reducing finished product defect rate, reducing inspection defect rate) seem appropriate as indicators related to quality improvement among qualitative goals. However, there is no clear description of the qualitative goal content corresponding to product cost reduction, which is a quantitative goal indicator related to cost (C). In addition, the related content is not described in the MES functional configuration diagram.

2.2 Case 2 (Company B)

The company is a company that applied for the project with the goal of introducing the MES system and raising the level of the smart factory from the current non-application of ICT to the basic level. The purpose of the company's smart factory system construction is to increase productivity and improve corporate profits. The means to achieve the purpose are to shorten the manufacturing lead time, improve the delivery compliance rate, and perform systematic production/process management. The expected effects to be obtained through these construction purposes and construction methods are productivity improvement, quality improvement, and manufacturing cost reduction. The related contents are briefly described in Table 4 below.

System construction purpose	Construction method	Expected effect	
 Increased production efficiency 	 Shortened manufacturing lead time 	 Increased productivity 	
 Improved corporate profits 	 Improved delivery compliance rate 	 Improved quality 	
	 Systematic production process management 	Reduced manufacturing costs	

Table 4. Company's system construction purpose, method, and expected effects

The quantitative goals presented by the company are as shown in Table 5. The core indicator settings shown in Table 5 consist of contents that correctly reflect the system construction plan and expected effects. For example, it is desirable to set indicators such as hourly production volume (P) that indicates improved productivity among the expected effects. Also it is desirable to set indicators such as finished product defect rate (Q) that indicates improved quality. However, among the items that indicate the shortened manufacturing lead time of the construction plan and the increased production efficiency of the construction purpose, shortened 'manufacturing lead time' may be more desirable as the core indicator than 'hourly production volume'.

No	Field	Key indicator	Unit	Current	Target	Weight
1	Р	Hourly production	EA/day	300	310	0.3
2	Q	Finished product defect rate (reduction rate)	%	3	2	0.2
3	С	Inventory cost (reduction rate)	million won	200	180	0.3
4	D	Delivery time reduction (reduction rate)	hour	48	46	0.2

Table 5. Company B's key quantitative goals

The MES system that the company wants to promote is largely divided into 11 main functions. The overall functional configuration diagram including detailed functions is as shown in Table 6 below.

Main function	Detailed function			
Standard information	Product/model information, material information, process/line information,			
management	organization personnel information, customer information, detect information,			
	operation/loss information, facility information, recipe information			
System management	• Business management, user management, login management, document			
, <u> </u>	management			
Sales management	• Order management, shipment management, A/S management, sales			
	management			
Order quotation	• Work specification information, order request, quotation request, price calculation			
management	information, mass production price information, payment information			
Purchase	• Material purchase/order management, receipt management, material inventory			
management	management, warehouse issuance/process input, material transfer, etc.			
Droduction	• Production plan & work order management, input performance management,			
management	production performance management, finished product inventory/warehouse			
management	management, etc.			
Dragona	• Work & input management, LOT & field management, line			
Plocess	management/obstruction management, efficiency analysis, recipe & production			
management	resource management, etc.			
	Maintenance plan & work management, tool management, consumables			
Facility management	management, facility operation & monitoring, facility history management,			
	specification information			
Overlite menseen and	• Incoming inspection, process inspection, shipment inspection, abnormality			
Quality management	management			
Performance	• Production overall status, target performance, production/quality analysis, facility			
management	analysis			
	• Order status, material order status, production status, quality status, shipment			
wonitoring	status			

Table 6. MES system functional structure

The quantitative and qualitative goals of the company, and the characteristics (problems) shown in the functional structure diagram can be summarized as follows:

First, one of the expected effects of building a smart factory system for a company is a reduction in manufacturing costs. In order to achieve accurate cost reduction, BOM management must first be implemented. However, if you look at the system function configuration diagram for the company, there is no BOM management function. Second, the key indicators set in the quantitative goals presented by the company are

composed of contents that correctly reflect the system construction plan and expected effects. However, as an item that represents the 'shortening of manufacturing lead time' in the construction plan and the 'increased production efficiency' of the construction purpose, the key indicator 'shortening of manufacturing lead time' may be more desirable than 'production volume per hour'.

So far, we have analyzed whether the main contents of the business plans written by each company (business objectives, quantitative and qualitative objectives, functional composition, expect effects) are consistent, and the main contents are as follows.

	Problems and characteristics	Desirable business plan writing method		
	• The detailed goal of establishing a production	 Quality management function is needed 		
	system is to improve productivity and quality.	in the function configuration diagram		
	However, the function configuration diagram does			
	not present quality management functions for quality			
Case A	improvement.			
	• The most problematic item in the quantitative goal	BOM management function is needed		
	items was the product cost reduction item, which had	in the system function configuration		
	the highest weight. In order to manage product costs,	diagram		
	BOM management should be given priority.			
	• One of the expected effects for the company is a	BOM management function is needed		
Coop R	reduction in manufacturing costs. In order to achieve	in the system function configuration		
	accurate cost reduction, BOM management must	diagram		
Case D	first be implemented. There is no BOM management			
	function at the company's system function			
	configuration diagram.			

Table 7. Main characteristics (problems) and desirable solutions

3. Conclusion

The smart factory promotion project has been promoted for the purpose of improving productivity and management of domestic companies. Each selected company is receiving support in various forms from the government. The government is promoting smart factories in various forms. If we comprehensively examine the contents of various press releases regarding the promotion of these smart factory projects, it is reported that they are showing positive effects in various fields such as improving productivity. In order to promote smart factory projects, each company must receive approval at an evaluation meeting based on a business plan. In this study, we diagnosed whether the main contents of the business plans written by each company to promote the project were consistent. The business plans of several companies were used as the subjects of the study. The main contents of the business plans were introduction goals (business goals), qualitative goals, quantitative goals, and functional composition diagrams. Based on the contents of two companies, we diagnosed whether the main contents. Through this, more desirable and consistent business plans can be written when promoting future projects.

Acknowledgement

This paper was supported by 2024 Baekseok University Research Fund

References

- [1] https://www.smart-factory.kr/smartFactoryIntro#_
- [2] H. J. Lee, "Analysis of the main contents and effects of the smart factory support project," Korea Export-Import Banking Association Issue Report, 2022.
- [3] M. Liffler and A. Tschiesner, "The Internet of Things and the future of manufacturing". Mckinsey.com. Retrieved 30 November 2016.
- [4] S. H. Lee and D. W. Lee, "Smart Factory Promotion and Operation Analysis in the 4th Industrial Revolution Environment", IJASC, Vol.11, No.3, pp. 42-48, 2022.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.7236/IJASC.2022.11.3.42

- [5] Ministry of SMEs and Startups, "Ministry of SMEs and Startups Achieves 20,000 Smart Factories," Press Release, 2021.
- [6] Press release by the Ministry of SMEs and Startups, "Productivity increased by 30% and employment increased by 3 (4.2%) after the introduction of smart factories for small and medium-sized enterprises" 2019. https://www.mss.go.kr/site/smba/ex/bbs /View.do?cbIdx=86&bcIdx=1011893&parentSeq=1011893
- [7] https://kosis.kr/search/search.do;jsessionid=qxpB1l2NZuPiz6VE1zJ6a7ucKFXuxXtzJZ8gqCB4bB41e9FnbXtJM1 zXG35Pe0Uz.STAT_SIGA1_servlet_engine1.