

Determination and prediction of amino acid digestibility in brown rice for growing-finishing pigs

Qing Ouyang¹, Rui Li^{1,2,*}, Ganyi Feng², Gaifeng Hou², Xianji Jiang^{1,2}, Xiaojie Liu^{1,2}, Hui Tang^{1,2}, Ciming Long², Jie Yin¹, and Yulong Yin²

* Corresponding Author: Rui Li

Tel: +86-0731-84619750,

E-mail: lirui181000@163.com

¹ College of Animal Science and Technology, Hunan Agricultural University, Hunan Co-Innovation Center of Animal Production Safety, Changsha 410128, China

² Key Laboratory of Agro-Ecological Processes in Subtropical Region, National Engineering Laboratory for Poultry Breeding Pollution Control and Resource Technology, Key Laboratory of Animal Nutritional Physiology and Metabolic Process, Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changsha 410125, China

ORCID

Qing Ouyang

<https://orcid.org/0009-0005-1739-6368>

Rui Li

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5931-1928>

Ganyi Feng

<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-6275-3696>

Gaifeng Hou

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8922-2229>

Xianji Jiang

<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-0274-8852>

Xiaojie Liu

<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5630-0500>

Hui Tang

<https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6838-982X>

Ciming Long

<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9245-7088>

Jie Yin

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1852-1042>

Yulong Yin

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0248-6900>

Submitted Oct 31, 2023; Revised Nov 29, 2023;

Accepted Feb 18, 2024

Objective: The experiment aimed to determine the standardized ileal digestibility (SID) of crude protein (CP) and amino acids (AA) in 10 brown rice samples fed to pigs, and to construct predictive models for SID of CP and AA based on the physical characteristics and chemical composition of brown rice.

Methods: Twenty-two cannulated pigs (initial body weight: 42.0±1.2 kg) were assigned to a replicated 11×3 incomplete Latin square design, including an N-free diet and 10 brown rice diets. Each period included 5 d adaptation and 2 d ileal digesta collection. Chromic oxide was added at 0.3% to all the diets as an indigestible marker for calculating the ileal CP and AA digestibility.

Results: The coefficients of variation of all detected indices for physical characteristics and chemical composition, except for bulk weight, dry matter (DM) and gross energy, in 10 brown rice samples were greater than 10%. The SID of CP, lysine (Lys), methionine, threonine (Thr), and tryptophan (Trp) in brown rice was 77.2% (62.6% to 85.5%), 87.5% (80.3% to 94.3%), 89.2% (78.9% to 98.9%), 55.4% (46.1% to 67.6%) and 92.5% (86.3% to 96.3%), respectively. The best prediction equations for the SID of CP, Lys, Thr, and Trp were as following, $SID_{CP} = -664.181 + 8.484 \times DM$ ($R^2 = 0.40$), $SID_{Lys} = 53.126 + 6.031 \times \text{ether extract (EE)} + 0.893 \times \text{thousand-kernel volume}$ ($R^2 = 0.66$), $SID_{Thr} = 39.916 + 7.843 \times EE$ ($R^2 = 0.41$), and $SID_{Trp} = -361.588 + 4.891 \times DM + 0.387 \times \text{total starch}$ ($R^2 = 0.85$).

Conclusion: Overall, a great variation exists among 10 sources of brown rice, and the thousand-grain volume, DM, EE, and total starch can be used as the key predictors for SID of CP and AA.

Keywords: Amino Acids Digestibility; Brown Rice; Pigs; Prediction Model

INTRODUCTION

Corn is the main energy feed ingredient for animal production [1]. However, corn shortages and distribution difficulties continue unabated due to its high usage in animal and human food, which affects national food security. Since 2020 in China, the National Animal Nutrition Guidance Committee has drawn up several strategies to reduce the utilization of corn and soybean meal in swine and poultry production. One of the strategies emphasized the utilization of paddy rice and its by-products as alternatives to corn. Brown rice contains more energy and protein and has better palatability and nutritional value compared with paddy rice [2,3]. The production of brown rice in China, approximate 2.4 million tons, ranks the first all over the world [2].

Brown rice, known as whole grain rice, consists of 2% to 3% germ, 6% to 7% of bran and 90% of endosperm after removal of the inedible outer hull and is commonly used in animal production [3-6]. However, the great variations in nutrient composition of brown

rice have caused a significant difference between the “true value” and the “static parameters” in existing databases due to differences in sources, processing, and storage, making precision formulation difficult. Additionally, the limited studies on the amino acid (AA) digestibility of brown rice also affect the available use of brown rice in diets. Feed formulation can be formulated with precision by dynamically predicting the nutritional composition of ingredients via constructing prediction equations. Therefore, we conducted research to determine the physical and chemical composition, the apparent ileal digestibility (AID) and standardized ileal digestibility (SID) of crude protein (CP) and AA in brown rice fed to pigs and to establish predicted equations for SID_{AA} .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal care

The animal experiment was carried out in the metabolism laboratory of the Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Changsha, China). All the experimental protocol was reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IACUC#201302).

Sources of brown rice samples

Ten paddy rice samples were collected from Hunan (n = 4), Anhui (n = 1), Hubei (n = 1), Guangxi (n = 1), Henan (n = 1), Jiangxi (n = 1), and Guizhou (n = 1) province and were processed into brown rice after dehulling (Table 1). All brown rice were crushed and sieved through 40-mesh screen and stored at -20°C before chemical analysis [7].

Animals, diets, and experimental design

A total of 22 pigs (Duroc×[Yorkshire×Landrace], initial body weight: 42.0 ± 1.2 kg) were installed a simple T-cannula in their distal ileum [8]. All pigs were placed in individual metabolism cages (1.4 m×0.7 m×0.5 m) in an environmentally controlled room ($23^{\circ}\text{C}\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$). Pigs were allotted to a replicated 11×3 incomplete Latin square design with 3 con-

Table 1. Sources of brown rice

| Sample numbers | Sources |
|----------------|-----------------------------|
| BR1 | Yueyang city, Hunan |
| BR2 | Guangde city, Anhui |
| BR3 | Zhijiang city, Hubei |
| BR4 | Liuzhou city, Guangxi |
| BR5 | Zhumadian city, Henan |
| BR6 | Jishou city, Hunan |
| BR7 | Yongzhou city, Hunan |
| BR8 | Jingde city, Jiangxi |
| BR9 | Qiannan prefecture, Guizhou |
| BR10 | Changsha city, Hunan |

BR, Brown rice.

secutive periods and 11 diets. Ten brown rice diets containing the selected brown rice as the sole nitrogen source and a nitrogen-free diet for determination of basal endogenous losses of CP and AA were formulated (Table 2). All diets were supplemented with 0.3% of chromic oxide (Cr_2O_3) as an indigestible marker. All diets were fortified with vitamins and minerals to meet or exceed the nutritional requirements recommended by the NRC [9] for 20- to 50-kg pigs. The analyzed CP and AA composition of diets is represented in Table 3.

The diets were provided twice daily (0800 and 1700 h) at the equivalence of 4% of their average initial body weight recorded at the beginning of each period [10], including 5 days of adaptation followed by 2 days of ileal digesta collection [11].

Sample collection and preparation

Ileal digesta were collected on d 6 and d 7 for 8 h every day from 0800 to 1600 h according to the standard procedure [11]. Cannulas were opened and plastic bags were fastened with the help of a rubber band to collect the digesta flowing into the bags. The bags were replaced every 30 minutes, and the ileal digesta were promptly frozen at -20°C . During the collection, 5 mL of 10% (v/v) formic acid was added into each bag to minimize the bacterial fermentation. At the end of each period, all the digesta samples were thawed, mixed, and lyophilized in a Vacuum-Freeze Dryer (ACIENTZ-50F/A; Ningbo Xinzhi Lyophilization Equipment Co, Ltd, Ningbo, China) for 72 h and subsampled.

Sample analysis and calculation

The samples of brown rice and diets were analyzed using the

Table 2. Ingredients composition of experimental diet and nitrogen-free diet (air-dry basis, %)

| Items | Experimental diet | Nitrogen-free diet |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| Corn starch | 42.90 | 78.90 |
| Brown rice | 40.00 | - |
| Soybean oil | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Cellulose acetate | - | 4.00 |
| Sucrose | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Limestone | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Calcium phosphite ($\text{Ca}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2$) | 1.90 | 1.90 |
| Chromium oxide (Cr_2O_3) | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Sodium chloride (NaCl) | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Potassium carbonate (K_2CO_3) | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Magnesium oxide (MgO) | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Vitamin and mineral premix ¹⁾ | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 |

¹⁾ The vitamin and mineral premix provided the following per kg of diets: vitamin A 4,200 IU, vitamin D₃ 400 IU, vitamin E 36 IU, vitamin K₃ 1.2 mg, vitamin B₁₂ 23 μg, vitamin B₂ 5.63 mg, vitamin B₃ 20.5 mg, vitamin B₅ 28 mg, choline chloride 1.00 g, folic acid 0.8 mg, vitamin B₆ 3.4 mg, vitamin B₉ 2.7 mg, vitamin H 0.18 mg, Mn (as manganese sulfate) 40.0 mg, Fe (as ferrous sulfate) 70.0 mg, Zn (as copper sulfate) 70 mg, I (as potassium iodide) 0.3 mg, Se (as sodium selenite) 0.3 mg.

procedures for bulk weight (GB 5498-85), thousand-kernel weight (GB/T 5519-88), and thousand-kernel volume (GB/T 5519-88). The AOAC [12] procedures were used to determine the contents of dry matter (DM, 930.15), CP (984.13), ether extract (EE, 920.39), crude ash (Ash, 942.05), calcium (Ca, 968.08), and total phosphorus (TP, 964.06). Total starch (TS) contents were analyzed with a commercial starch assay kit (Megazyme, Bure, Ireland). The contents of crude fiber (CF), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), and acid detergent fiber (ADF) were determined using a fiber analyzer (ANKOM A200i Fiber Analyzer; Beijing ANKOM Technology Co. Ltd, Beijing, China) in combination with fiber bags.

The samples of brown rice, diets and lyophilized ileal digesta were analyzed for the contents of DM, CP, and AA. The fifteen AA profiles were measured by the HPLC (Agilent 1200, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) after acid hydrolysis with 6 M HCl. Methionine (Met) and cysteine (Cys) were determined after oxidative hydrolysis (method 982.30 E(a); AOAC, 2006). Tryptophan (Trp) was measured after 10% of KOH hydrolysis for 16 to 18 h at 40°C using the spectrophotometric method of GB/T 15400-2018. The AID and SID of AA (%) in brown rice samples were determined using the method of Stein et al [11] described as following:

$$\text{AID} = [1 - (\text{AA}_d \times T_r) / (\text{AA}_r \times T_d)] \times 100\%$$

where AA_d and T_d represent the concentrations of AA and

chromium in the ileal digesta (g/kg of DM), respectively, and AA_r and T_r are the concentrations of AA and chromium in the brown rice diets (g/kg of DM), respectively. The same equation was used to calculate the AID of CP.

$$\text{IAA}_{\text{end}} = [\text{AA}_d \times (T_r / T_d)],$$

where IAA_{end} is the basal endogenous loss of each AA (g/kg of DM intake) and AA_d and T_d represent the concentrations of AA and chromium in the ileal digesta from the growing-finishing pigs fed the N-free diet, respectively. The T_r represents the concentration of chromium in the N-free diet. The same equation was used to calculate the endogenous loss of CP.

$$\text{SID} = [\text{AID} + (\text{IAA}_{\text{end}} / \text{AA}_d) \times 100\%].$$

Statistical analysis

The normality and equal variance of data were assessed using the Descriptive Statistics procedure of SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) and outliers were identified by analyzing the Z-scores of the data. Correlation coefficients among the physical characteristics, chemical composition, and AA digestibility (AID and SID of lysine [Lys], Met, Trp, and threonine [Thr]) of brown rice samples were examined using the CORR procedure. The stepwise regression was employed to establish the prediction equations for the SID of Lys, Met, Trp, and Thr of the brown rice samples based on

Table 3. Analyzed chemical composition of experiment diets (air-dry basis, %)

| Items | Brown rice diet | | | | | | | | | | Mean | CV (%) | N-free diet |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | | |
| DM (%) | 89.71 | 89.46 | 89.23 | 88.84 | 89.29 | 89.46 | 89.11 | 89.28 | 89.52 | 89.57 | 89.35 | 0.281 | 90.21 |
| CP (%) | 3.01 | 2.59 | 3.31 | 4.24 | 4.60 | 4.35 | 4.96 | 2.80 | 3.07 | 2.80 | 3.57 | 24.39 | 0.51 |
| Essential amino acids (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arginine | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 27.13 | 0.03 |
| Histidine | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 28.03 | - |
| Isoleucine | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 26.76 | - |
| Leucine | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 24.90 | 0.03 |
| Lysine | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 36.56 | 0.03 |
| Methionine | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 39.03 | - |
| Phenylalanine | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 26.78 | 0.02 |
| Threonine | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 27.91 | 0.03 |
| Tryptophan | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 19.69 | 0.02 |
| Valine | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 23.68 | 0.02 |
| Non-essential amino acids (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alanine | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 18.85 | 0.03 |
| Aspartate | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 28.69 | 0.03 |
| Cystine | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 60.82 | - |
| Glutamine | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.52 | 0.78 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.55 | 0.67 | 0.58 | 0.72 | 25.58 | 0.06 |
| Glycine | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 24.17 | 0.02 |
| Proline | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 27.14 | 0.06 |
| Serine | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 28.32 | 0.02 |
| Tyrosine | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 28.26 | 0.03 |

CV, coefficient of variation; DM, dry matter; CP, crude protein.

its the physical characteristics and chemical composition. The best-fit equations were selected depending on relative standard deviation (RSD), R^2 , and p-value; $p < 0.05$ means significant difference and $p < 0.01$ means extremely significant difference, when R^2 is closer to 1 and p-value represent a significant difference, the equation is considered more accurate.

RESULTS

Physical characteristics, chemical composition, and AA profile of brown rice

On air-dry basis, the coefficient of variation (CV) of CP, EE, Ash, CF, NDF, ADF, Ca, TP, TS, thousand-kernel weight and thousand-kernel volume were greater than 10%, and the CV of EE, Ca, CF, NDF, and ADF were greater than

30%. The content of CP, EE, Ca, TP, CF, NDF, ADF, TS, thousand-kernel weight and thousand-kernel volume in 10 brown rices averaged 6.73% (5.34% to 8.39%), 1.97% (1.10% to 2.77%), 0.02% (0.01% to 0.03%), 0.17% (0.14% to 0.20%), 0.92% (0.20% to 1.53%), 5.04% (2.17% to 9.58%), 1.61% (0.74% to 2.49%), 69.08% (56.76% to 77.94%), 20.53 g/kg kernel (14.59 to 25.82 g/kg kernel) and 25.22 mL/1,000 grain (19.90 to 32.00 mL/1,000 grain), respectively (Table 4). The CV of all AA contents exceeded 10%. The concentrations of Lys, Met, Thr, and Trp in 10 brown rice samples were 0.34% (0.25% to 0.49%), 0.14% (0.07% to 0.26%), 0.24% (0.19% to 0.30%), and 0.07% (0.06% to 0.10%), respectively.

AID and SID of CP and AA

As shown in Table 5, the AID_{CP} wa6-181s 37.8% (20.0% to 54.6%). The AID_{Lys} , AID_{Met} , AID_{Thr} , and AID_{Trp} were 63.7%

Table 4. Analyzed chemical composition, physical characteristics of 10 brown rice samples (air-dry basis, %)

| Items | Brown rice number | | | | | | | | | | Mean | CV (%) |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| | BR1 | BR2 | BR3 | BR4 | BR5 | BR6 | BR7 | BR8 | BR9 | BR10 | | |
| DM | 88.40 | 87.22 | 86.82 | 87.75 | 87.11 | 87.99 | 87.52 | 87.26 | 86.40 | 87.42 | 87.39 | 0.66 |
| GE (MJ/kg) | 15.90 | 15.18 | 15.15 | 15.95 | 15.37 | 15.64 | 15.71 | 15.28 | 15.07 | 14.98 | 15.42 | 2.28 |
| CP | 6.63 | 6.30 | 5.34 | 8.39 | 8.05 | 5.90 | 6.37 | 7.11 | 6.64 | 6.55 | 6.73 | 13.68 |
| EE | 2.62 | 2.41 | 1.10 | 2.08 | 1.50 | 1.65 | 1.32 | 2.77 | 1.64 | 2.59 | 1.97 | 30.63 |
| Ash | 1.22 | 1.09 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.29 | 1.14 | 0.95 | 1.13 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 1.06 | 13.41 |
| CF | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.60 | 1.26 | 1.19 | 0.90 | 0.98 | 1.53 | 0.85 | 0.20 | 0.92 | 39.90 |
| NDF | 4.46 | 4.00 | 4.49 | 5.00 | 4.22 | 5.09 | 7.21 | 9.58 | 4.17 | 2.17 | 5.04 | 40.05 |
| ADF | 1.71 | 1.87 | 1.46 | 1.09 | 1.76 | 2.08 | 1.04 | 2.49 | 1.82 | 0.74 | 1.61 | 32.85 |
| Ca | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 34.76 |
| TP | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 11.39 |
| Total starch | 69.38 | 69.01 | 75.01 | 58.36 | 56.76 | 69.00 | 66.22 | 76.38 | 72.77 | 77.94 | 69.08 | 10.30 |
| Bulk weight (kg/L) | 0.77 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 1.73 |
| Thousand -kernel weight (g/kg kernel) | 18.3 | 21.4 | 21.0 | 14.6 | 18.8 | 24.4 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 25.8 | 21.0 | 20.5 | 15.3 |
| Thousand -kernel volume (mL/1,000 grain) | 22.6 | 26.0 | 27.0 | 19.9 | 24.7 | 32.0 | 25.9 | 24.5 | 22.1 | 27.5 | 25.2 | 13.3 |
| Essential amino acids (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arginine | 0.57 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 14.28 |
| Histidine | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 20.47 |
| Isoleucine | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 39.66 |
| Leucine | 0.60 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.62 | 0.65 | 0.52 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 30.35 |
| Lysine | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 19.77 |
| Methionine | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 37.30 |
| Phenylalanine | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.39 | 13.27 |
| Threonine | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 15.67 |
| Tryptophan | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 16.60 |
| Valine | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 14.37 |
| Non-essential amino acids (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alanine | 0.43 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.49 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 12.57 |
| Aspartate | 0.68 | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.69 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.97 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.65 | 20.80 |
| Cystine | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 28.65 |
| Glutamine | 1.38 | 1.10 | 1.21 | 1.46 | 1.50 | 1.21 | 1.62 | 1.10 | 1.23 | 0.98 | 1.28 | 15.97 |
| Glycine | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 14.45 |
| Proline | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 13.72 |
| Serine | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 15.57 |
| Tyrosine | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 26.70 |

BR, brown rice; CV, coefficient of variation; DM, dry matter; GE, gross energy; CP, crude protein; EE, ether extract; Ash, crude ash; CF, crude fiber; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber; Ca, calcium; TP, total phosphorus.

Table 5. Apparent ileal digestibility of crude protein (CP) and amino acids in brown rice fed to growing-finishing pigs (%)

| Items | Brown rice | | | | | | | | | | SEM | p-value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------|---------|
| | BR1 | BR2 | BR3 | BR4 | BR5 | BR6 | BR7 | BR8 | BR9 | BR10 | | |
| CP (%) | 33.1 ^{bc} | 32.7 ^b | 33.0 ^{bc} | 32.8 ^a | 34.0 ^{bc} | 51.7 ^a | 54.6 ^a | 30.0 ^{bc} | 20.0 ^c | 30.9 ^{bc} | 3.71 | <0.001 |
| Essential amino acids (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arginine | 38.8 ^{abc} | 31.6 ^{bc} | 26.0 ^c | 40.7 ^{ab} | 35.5 ^{abc} | 39.8 ^{abc} | 39.9 ^a | 38.4 ^{abc} | 31.0 ^{bc} | 39.6 ^{abc} | 1.50 | 0.044 |
| Histidine | 68.3 ^{bc} | 67.4 ^{bcd} | 61.2 ^{de} | 69.7 ^{ab} | 73.9 ^{ab} | 80.8 ^a | 78.5 ^{ab} | 64.8 ^{cd} | 56.3 ^e | 67.8 ^{bcd} | 2.24 | <0.001 |
| Isoleucine | 63.4 ^d | 73.5 ^{ab} | 66.8 ^{bc} | 75.0 ^a | 73.4 ^{ab} | 78.5 ^a | 76.9 ^{ab} | 72.1 ^{ab} | 64.4 ^{cd} | 73.9 ^{ab} | 1.55 | <0.001 |
| Leucine | 77.9 ^{bcd} | 77.7 ^{abc} | 72.4 ^d | 79.0 ^a | 78.0 ^{abc} | 82.8 ^a | 79.9 ^{ab} | 77.1 ^{abcd} | 74.4 ^{cd} | 81.3 ^a | 0.92 | <0.001 |
| Lysine | 63.1 ^{cd} | 60.2 ^{cd} | 52.5 ^{de} | 64.9 ^{abc} | 67.0 ^{abc} | 73.9 ^a | 73.8 ^a | 63.4 ^{bc} | 49.7 ^e | 68.1 ^{abc} | 2.39 | <0.001 |
| Methionine | 59.1 ^c | 87.0 ^a | 77.5 ^{ab} | 71.6 ^b | 78.1 ^{ab} | 81.3 ^{ab} | 69.6 ^b | 70.9 ^b | 57.0 ^c | 87.0 ^a | 3.11 | <0.001 |
| Phenylalanine | 79.4 ^{bc} | 82.4 ^{abc} | 75.9 ^{bc} | 80.6 ^{bc} | 79.3 ^{abc} | 85.5 ^{ac} | 84.1 ^a | 80.3 ^{ab} | 77.3 ^{bc} | 79.1 ^{ab} | 0.89 | <0.001 |
| Threonine | 36.4 ^b | 32.1 ^b | 25.4 ^b | 32.3 ^{ab} | 29.8 ^{ab} | 27.4 ^b | 44.4 ^{ab} | 45.2 ^{ab} | 40.7 ^{ab} | 47.0 ^a | 2.35 | 0.042 |
| Tryptophan | 79.5 ^{ab} | 72.3 ^c | 74.2 ^c | 74.1 ^{bc} | 71.8 ^c | 80.9 ^a | 81.3 ^a | 80.6 ^a | 68.6 ^d | 78.8 ^{ab} | 1.35 | <0.001 |
| Valine | 65.6 ^d | 66.8 ^{abc} | 64.5 ^{bcd} | 76.2 ^a | 71.6 ^{abc} | 77.7 ^{ab} | 75.4 ^{ab} | 68.7 ^{bcd} | 65.5 ^{cd} | 73.8 ^{ab} | 1.49 | <0.001 |
| Non-essential amino acids (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alanine | 52.4 ^{abc} | 41.8 ^{bc} | 44.0 ^c | 56.2 ^a | 43.2 ^c | 56.7 ^a | 56.6 ^a | 51.0 ^{abc} | 36.4 ^c | 57.2 ^{ab} | 2.28 | <0.001 |
| Aspartate | 61.9 ^{cd} | 63.1 ^{de} | 56.0 ^e | 66.5 ^{ab} | 64.9 ^{abcd} | 72.3 ^a | 72.0 ^{abc} | 62.7 ^{bcd} | 54.9 ^{de} | 69.7 ^{abc} | 1.80 | <0.001 |
| Cystine | 43.0 | 29.5 | 40.3 | 46.3 | 27.8 | 52.7 | 46.8 | 44.2 | 28.3 | 55.6 | 2.98 | 0.066 |
| Glutamine | 77.0 ^{bc} | 74.7 ^{bc} | 71.4 ^d | 77.5 ^a | 74.5 ^{bc} | 80.5 ^a | 79.6 ^{abc} | 77.1 ^{abc} | 75.3 ^c | 79.8 ^{ab} | 0.85 | <0.001 |
| Glycine | 54.0 ^b | 47.6 ^c | 42.9 ^{bc} | 70.7 ^a | 56.5 ^b | 74.9 ^a | 75.1 ^a | 48.3 ^{bc} | 41.4 ^{bc} | 54.4 ^b | 3.82 | <0.001 |
| Proline | 41.6 ^{bc} | 38.7 ^c | 34.0 ^c | 54.6 ^{ab} | 38.9 ^{bc} | 67.3 ^a | 58.6 ^a | 60.7 ^{bc} | 31.4 ^c | 42.8 ^{bc} | 3.56 | <0.001 |
| Serine | 52.0 ^{bc} | 38.9 ^{cd} | 20.3 ^e | 59.8 ^{ab} | 51.8 ^{bc} | 67.9 ^a | 59.0 ^{ab} | 37.1 ^d | 39.0 ^{cd} | 54.2 ^{ab} | 4.21 | <0.001 |
| Tyrosine | 38.0 ^{bc} | 51.9 ^{ab} | 37.7 ^{bc} | 31.7 ^c | 35.2 ^{bc} | 41.8 ^{bc} | 31.0 ^c | 47.2 ^{abc} | 49.1 ^{bc} | 62.8 ^a | 3.02 | 0.015 |

BR, brown rice; SEM, standard error of the mean.

^{a-e} Means in the same row with common letters are not different at $p < 0.05$.

(49.7% to 73.9%), 73.9% (57.0% to 87.0%), 36.1% (25.4% to 47.0%), and 76.2% (68.6% to 81.3%), respectively. The SID of CP and AA of 10 brown rices were shown in Table 6. The SID_{CP} was 77.2% (62.6% to 85.5%), and the SID of Lys, Met, Thr, and Trp ranged from 80.3% to 94.3% (87.5%), 78.9% to 98.9% (89.2%), 46.1% to 67.6% (55.4%), and 86.3% to 96.3% (92.5%), respectively.

Correlation analysis and prediction equations for SID of CP and AA

The correlation among physical characteristics, chemical composition, and the SID of the first four limiting AA of brown rice is presented in Table 7. The SID of Thr was positively related to EE ($p < 0.05$). As shown in Table 8, the best fit equations for SID_{CP} , SID_{Lys} , SID_{Thr} , and SID_{Trp} as following, $SID_{CP} = -664.181 + 8.484 \times DM$ ($R^2 = 0.40$; $RSD = 6.46$; $p = 0.05$), $SID_{Lys} = 53.126 + 6.031 \times EE + 0.893 \times \text{thousand-kernel volume}$ ($R^2 = 0.66$; $RSD = 3.43$; $p < 0.05$), $SID_{Thr} = 39.916 + 7.843 \times EE$ ($R^2 = 0.41$; $RSD = 5.99$; $p < 0.05$) and $SID_{Trp} = -361.588 + 4.891 \times DM + 0.387 \times TS$ ($R^2 = 0.85$; $RSD = 1.66$; $p < 0.01$).

DISCUSSION

Physical characteristics, chemical composition, and AA profile of brown rice

Great variation in chemical composition and physical property

was observed among 10 brown rice samples. The CV value for CP, Ash, TP, TS, 1,000 kernel weight and 1,000 kernel volume exceeded 10%, and EE, Ca, CF, NDF and ADF even surpassed 30%. The detected contents of gross energy (GE), DM, EE, Ca, and TS were within the range of the tabulated value [13,14], and most chemical compositions and physical properties were close to the values in previous literature [15-19], indicating that our results were credible. However, bulk weight, 1,000 kernel weight and 1,000 kernel volume were not provided in tabulated value, the CP, Ash, and TP concentrations were lower than the tabulated value, and the content of CF, NDF, and ADF were higher than the tabulated value, which may be attributed to the incomplete shelling of paddy rice to obtain brown rice. This phenomenon was confirmed by the findings that a large number of hulls were not completely removed due to the inefficiency of sheller and the analyzed fiber (CF, NDF, and ADF) contents were relatively high in our study. The separation of different parts of grains during the milling process, might influence the physical and chemical properties of rice by-products [20]. Chen [21] pointed out that the quality of paddy rice is mainly related to varieties, starch content, storage, and environment. The nutrients of brown rice in this study are inconsistent with published data, which may be due to differences in paddy rice cultivation regions, periods, and environments. In addition, the variation of brown rice might result from processing and storage technology and different growth conditions, such as

Table 6. Standardized ileal digestibility (SID) of crude protein (CP) and amino acids (AA) in brown rice fed to growing-finishing pigs (%)

| Items | Brown rice | | | | | | | | | | SEM | p-value |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------|---------|
| | BR1 | BR2 | BR3 | BR4 | BR5 | BR6 | BR7 | BR8 | BR9 | BR10 | | |
| CP (%) | 78.4 ^{ab} | 85.3 ^a | 73.9 ^{bc} | 77.7 ^{ab} | 65.7 ^c | 85.5 ^a | 83.9 ^{ab} | 79.4 ^{ab} | 62.6 ^c | 79.5 ^{ab} | 2.34 | <0.001 |
| Essential amino acids (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arginine | 86.1 | 77.3 | 72.0 | 82.3 | 70.6 | 78.6 | 79.1 | 90.1 | 75.4 | 79.5 | 1.79 | 0.125 |
| Histidine | 84.5 ^a | 86.7 ^{ab} | 82.4 ^{bc} | 85.9 ^a | 88.5 ^{ab} | 93.3 ^a | 90.7 ^{ab} | 86.2 ^{ab} | 76.2 ^c | 89.7 ^{ab} | 1.43 | <0.001 |
| Isoleucine | 83.8 ^d | 95.0 ^c | 87.8 ^{bcd} | 92.7 ^{ab} | 85.9 ^{cd} | 92.9 ^{abc} | 90.3 ^{abc} | 94.0 ^{abc} | 86.7 ^d | 93.0 ^a | 1.17 | <0.001 |
| Leucine | 93.1 ^{bcd} | 93.4 ^{abcd} | 87.3 ^d | 90.9 ^{ab} | 87.3 ^{cd} | 92.6 ^{abc} | 89.6 ^{abcd} | 93.5 ^{abcd} | 88.8 ^d | 93.3 ^a | 0.77 | <0.001 |
| Lysine | 84.3 ^{abc} | 90.7 ^{abc} | 81.2 ^{cd} | 87.6 ^{ab} | 82.6 ^{bcd} | 92.5 ^{abc} | 88.5 ^{abc} | 94.3 ^a | 80.3 ^d | 93.1 ^a | 1.54 | <0.001 |
| Methionine | 78.9 ^b | 96.7 ^a | 89.0 ^{ab} | 88.9 ^{ab} | 86.2 ^{ab} | 89.9 ^{ab} | 86.5 ^b | 94.0 ^{ab} | 83.1 ^b | 98.9 ^a | 1.83 | 0.024 |
| Phenylalanine | 93.4 | 98.1 | 91.4 | 91.9 | 90.7 | 95.7 | 93.7 | 95.7 | 91.5 | 94.1 | 0.71 | 0.079 |
| Threonine | 56.5 ^{bc} | 49.7 ^{bc} | 46.1 ^c | 50.6 ^{bc} | 48.6 ^{bc} | 53.2 ^c | 55.5 ^{abc} | 67.6 ^{ab} | 58.5 ^{abc} | 67.1 ^a | 2.21 | 0.014 |
| Tryptophan | 95.7 ^a | 89.9 ^{bc} | 94.2 ^{ab} | 91.1 ^{ab} | 86.3 ^c | 96.3 ^a | 92.9 ^{ab} | 96.0 ^a | 87.2 ^c | 95.8 ^a | 1.13 | <0.01 |
| Valine | 86.5 ^c | 90.4 ^{ab} | 87.5 ^{abc} | 93.4 ^a | 85.5 ^{bc} | 92.6 ^{ab} | 90.2 ^{abc} | 92.7 ^{abc} | 87.3 ^c | 91.6 ^a | 0.86 | 0.010 |
| Non-essential amino acids (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alanine | 95.2 ^{ab} | 84.0 ^{abc} | 85.7 ^{bc} | 94.0 ^{ab} | 71.0 ^d | 90.0 ^{abc} | 89.4 ^{abc} | 94.0 ^{ab} | 76.6 ^{cd} | 100.2 ^a | 2.68 | <0.001 |
| Aspartate | 84.7 ^{abcd} | 86.9 ^{abcd} | 82.6 ^{cd} | 89.4 ^{ab} | 81.9 ^d | 90.5 ^{abc} | 88.7 ^{bcd} | 95.2 ^{ab} | 84.9 ^{cd} | 94.4 ^a | 1.38 | <0.001 |
| Cystine | 62.1 | 55.8 | 62.5 | 73.5 | 69.5 | 65.0 | 64.2 | 77.5 | 65.9 | 79.6 | 2.23 | 0.277 |
| Glutamine | 92.7 ^{bc} | 92.5 ^{bc} | 87.2 ^{cd} | 91.0 ^{ab} | 87.3 ^d | 91.8 ^{abc} | 90.6 ^{bcd} | 96.4 ^{ab} | 90.9 ^{bcd} | 95.9 ^a | 0.91 | <0.001 |
| Glycine | 74.1 ^{abc} | 66.9 ^{bc} | 63.7 ^c | 86.1 ^a | 68.6 ^{bc} | 87.8 ^a | 87.7 ^a | 69.9 ^{bc} | 60.4 ^{bc} | 74.8 ^{ab} | 3.02 | <0.001 |
| Proline | 70.2 ^{ab} | 71.1 ^{ab} | 71.1 ^{ab} | 79.3 ^a | 75.1 ^b | 84.9 ^a | 84.4 ^a | 79.2 ^{ab} | 58.0 ^b | 72.0 ^{ab} | 2.39 | 0.013 |
| Serine | 89.4 ^a | 88.5 ^{abc} | 80.7 ^c | 87.7 ^a | 81.9 ^{bc} | 96.7 ^a | 88.2 ^{abc} | 95.9 ^{ab} | 82.8 ^c | 93.6 ^a | 1.69 | <0.001 |
| Tyrosine | 68.9 ^{bcd} | 84.6 ^{ab} | 74.8 ^{abcd} | 55.1 ^d | 65.7 ^d | 62.2 ^{cd} | 55.4 ^d | 86.9 ^{abc} | 72.4 ^{bcd} | 90.5 ^a | 3.79 | <0.001 |

BR, brown rice; SEM, standard error of the mean.

Values for SID were calculated by correcting the apparent ileal digestibility values with the basal endogenous losses (IAA end). IAA end (g/kg dry matter intake) averaged as CP, 16.72; Arg, 1.11; His, 0.24; Ile, 0.31; Leu, 0.44; Lys, 0.53; Met, 0.08; Phe, 0.30; Thr, 0.24; Trp, 0.09; Val, 0.42; Ala, 0.86; Asp, 0.98; Cys, 0.08; Glu, 1.21; Gly, 0.32; Pro, 0.59; Ser, 0.69; Tyr, 0.22.

^{a-e} Means in the same row with common letter, are not different at p < 0.05.

climate and soil conditions [22,23].

The AA and protein contents of brown rice varied greatly. The 10 brown rice samples were from different regions with multiple natural conditions in China, which provided an explanation for the deviation from uniformity. The analyzed CP and AA values in our study were close to the reported

values in the database [16,24,25]. Lysine, Met, Thr, and Trp are the main limiting AAs in livestock and poultry, and play an irreplaceable role in pig growth [26]. He et al [27] analyzed and compared the AA content of 18 varieties of brown rice samples and found that the first, second and third limiting AA were Lys, Thr, and Ile when brown rice used in corn-

Table 7. Correlation coefficients (r) among physical characteristics, chemical constituents and the standardized ileal digestibility (SID) of the first four limiting amino acids of the 10 brown rice samples

| Items | SID _{CP} ¹⁾ | SID _{Lys} | SID _{Met} | SID _{Thr} | SID _{Trp} | Gross energy | Dry matter | Crude protein | Ether extract | Crude ash | Crude fiber | Neutral detergent fiber | Acid detergent fiber | Calcium | Total phosphorus | Total starch | Bulk weight | Thousand -kernel weight |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| SIDLys | 0.774** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SIDMet | 0.452 | 0.723* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SIDThr | 0.099 | 0.538 | 0.297 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SIDTrp | 0.664* | 0.579 | 0.236 | 0.433 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross energy | 0.164 | -0.025 | -0.452 | -0.273 | 0.167 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry matter | 0.624 | 0.402 | -0.163 | 0.051 | 0.582 | 0.743* | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Crude protein | -0.325 | -0.021 | -0.108 | 0.040 | -0.454 | 0.425 | 0.101 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ether extract | 0.322 | 0.578 | 0.349 | 0.641* | 0.361 | 0.197 | 0.391 | 0.248 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Crude ash | 0.020 | -0.067 | -0.272 | -0.356 | -0.008 | 0.499 | 0.390 | 0.206 | 0.076 | | | | | | | | | |
| Crude fiber | 0.215 | 0.219 | -0.244 | -0.078 | -0.020 | 0.352 | 0.239 | 0.302 | -0.177 | 0.343 | | | | | | | | |
| Neutral detergent fiber | 0.235 | 0.315 | -0.053 | 0.262 | 0.250 | 0.072 | 0.059 | 0.097 | 0.067 | 0.191 | 0.794** | | | | | | | |
| Acid detergent fiber | -0.062 | 0.083 | -0.104 | 0.044 | -0.014 | 0.119 | -0.083 | -0.067 | 0.174 | 0.564 | 0.430 | 0.494 | | | | | | |
| Calcium | 0.170 | 0.260 | -0.187 | 0.049 | 0.331 | 0.455 | 0.495 | -0.009 | 0.091 | 0.686* | 0.463 | 0.252 | 0.621 | | | | | |
| Total phosphorus | 0.590 | 0.097 | -0.183 | -0.352 | 0.244 | 0.388 | 0.667* | -0.124 | 0.116 | 0.454 | 0.141 | 0.046 | -0.114 | 0.090 | | | | |
| Total starch | 0.159 | 0.274 | 0.364 | 0.602 | 0.565 | -0.536 | -0.224 | -0.685* | 0.301 | -0.418 | -0.456 | 0.042 | 0.116 | -0.108 | -0.287 | | | |
| Bulk weight | -0.281 | -0.110 | -0.491 | 0.388 | 0.306 | 0.159 | 0.066 | -0.038 | -0.059 | 0.195 | 0.405 | 0.533 | 0.468 | 0.556 | -0.314 | 0.227 | | |
| Thousand -kernel weight | -0.137 | -0.030 | 0.072 | 0.181 | -0.027 | -0.553 | -0.451 | -0.641* | -0.221 | -0.278 | -0.158 | -0.128 | 0.364 | 0.174 | -0.458 | 0.554 | 0.229 | |
| Thousand -kernel volume | 0.470 | 0.426 | 0.415 | -0.009 | 0.449 | -0.309 | 0.107 | -0.660* | -0.220 | 0.085 | -0.018 | -0.081 | 0.118 | 0.455 | 0.054 | 0.345 | 0.007 | 0.535 |

¹⁾ CP, crude protein; AA, amino acid; Lys, Lysine; Thr, Threonine; Met, methionine; Trp, Tryptophan; SID_{CP}, SID_{Lys}, SID_{Met}, SID_{Thr}, and SID_{Trp}, SID of CP, Lys, Met, Thr, and Trp, respectively.

* Means significant difference (p < 0.05); ** means extremely significant difference (p < 0.01).

Table 8. Stepwise regression equations for SID of CP, Lys, Met, Thr, and Trp based upon the chemical characteristics of the 10 brown rice samples (air-dry basis, %)

| Items | Prediction equations | RSD | R ² | p-value |
|--------------------|---|------|----------------|---------|
| SID _{CP} | SID _{CP} = -664.181+8.484×DM | 6.46 | 0.40 | 0.05 |
| SID _{Lys} | SID _{Lys} = 77.794+4.939×EE | 4.46 | 0.33 | 0.08 |
| SID _{Lys} | SID _{Lys} = 53.126+6.031×EE+0.893×Thousand-kernel volume | 3.43 | 0.66 | <0.05 |
| SID _{Thr} | SID _{Thr} = 39.916+7.843×EE | 5.99 | 0.41 | <0.05 |
| SID _{Trp} | SID _{Trp} = -240.795+3.814×DM | 3.24 | 0.34 | 0.08 |
| SID _{Trp} | SID _{Trp} = -361.588+4.891×DM+0.387×Total starch | 1.66 | 0.85 | <0.01 |

SID, standardized ileal digestibility; CP, crude protein; Lys, Lysine; Thr, Threonine; Trp, Tryptophan; RSD, relative standard deviation; R², R-square; DM, dry matter; EE, ether extract.

p<0.05 means significant difference; p<0.01 means extremely significant difference.

brown rice-SBM fed to pigs. In our study, the content of Lys, Thr, and Ile in brown rice were similar to tabulated value [13,14].

SID of AA in brown rice

Diversified low-protein diets for swine production have been fully pushing ahead in China [28]. Accuracy estimation of AA availability in diets or feedstuffs is the basis for the comprehensive implementation of low-protein diets system. Standardized ileal digestibility of AA is recognized as the gold method for estimation of AA availability [11]. Lysine, Met, Thr, and Trp are the main limiting AA in poultry. In the study, the mean SID values of the Lys, Met, and Trp in brown rice samples were greater than those in the Nutrient Requirements of Swine in China [11]. Meanwhile, the analyzed SID of the Lys, Met, and Trp contents fell within the range of the reports by Li [24], Wu et al [25], and Zhang et al [29].

Correlation analysis and prediction equations for SID of AA in brown rice

The SID was calculated by correcting AID for the ileal basal endogenous losses and dietary composition, especially dietary protein, and fiber, is responsible for ileal basal endogenous CP and AA losses [30,31]. In the current study, the SID_{Thr} was positively correlated with EE. The increase in dietary fat delayed gastric emptying [32], and the slower gastric emptying may result in slower rate of passage of the diet, causing an increase in the time of exposure of feed to proteolytic enzymes, thus providing longer time for peptides and AA to be digested and absorbed, and increase in AID of AA [33,34]. The addition of oil to diets fed to growing pigs increased not only the AID but also the SID of AA [35,36]. Imbeah and Sauer [37] concluded that the level of fat may affect ileal AA digestibility. Additionally, positive correlation trends between SID_{Lys} or SID_{Thr} and EE, and SID_{Trp} with DM and TS were observed. The release rate of glucose during the digestion process of feed starch from different sources varies, and the synchronization degree of glucose and AA supply varies. Therefore, the AA absorption of feed starch from different sources are

also different [38]. The digestibility of starch also directly affects the absorption of AA in the intestine [38]. Also, we obtained that DM and GE are closely related in brown rice, and with the raise of DM, the GE and TP will also increase, and then affect the SID of AA [39]. Unfortunately, there is no literature specifically explaining the interaction between DM and SID_{AA}, nor the mechanism by which DM has a negative impact on CP and AA digestibility, which may be one of the questions that we need to explore in our subsequent experiments. Our findings suggest that EE, DM, and TS might be key predictors for SID of Lys, Met, Thr, and Trp.

The research on a prediction model of AA digestibility in brown rice for pigs is limited. Nutritional Requirements of Chinese Pigs [13] reported that CP was the key predictors to estimate the SID of the first four limiting AA in brown rice. Yu et al [40] found that there is no correlation between biomimetic digestion and biological measurements of Thr, histidine, arginine, and Cys. However, Liu et al [41] established a prediction equation for SID_{Lys}, SID_{Met}, and SID_{Thr} in sunflower seeds, showed a positive correlation between SID_{Lys} and Met, and a negative correlation between SID_{Lys} and Trp, the SID_{Met} was negatively correlated with EE and positively with Ca and Met, the SID_{Thr} was positive with Met. Yun et al [42] established a prediction equation for SID_{Lys} and SID_{Met} in wheat, showing a positive correlation between SID_{Lys}, SID_{Met}, and NDF. In the present study, we selected 10 brown rice to do a similar study and got one prediction equation for SID_{CP}, two equations for SID_{Lys}, one equation for SID_{Thr} and two equations for SID_{Trp}, respectively. Meanwhile, the key predictors for the SID of CP and AA are DM, EE, 1,000-kernel volume, and TS. Until now, the prediction equations of SID of AA are not as applicable as the available energy in practice due to the complex factors, mainly including accurate assessment of endogenous nitrogen losses and determination of AA, and more effort and work are required for the future.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the physicochemical properties of 10 brown

rice showed a huge difference. The SID of CP and the first four limiting AA could be estimated from the analyzed contents of EE, DM, 1,000-kernel volume and total starch.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We certify that there is no conflict of interest with any financial organization regarding the material discussed in the manuscript.

FUNDING

This study is supported by grants from the National Key Research and Development Program (2021YFD1300201), the Key Project of Science and Technology of Yunnan Province (202202AE090032), the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (2022JJ40532), the Open Fund of Key Laboratory of Agro-ecological Processes in Subtropical Region, Chinese Academy of Sciences (ISA2021103, ISA2023201)

REFERENCES

1. Yang G, Li R, Feng GY, et al. Evaluation and prediction model establishment of digestible energy and metabolizable energy of cassava for growing-finishing pigs. *Chinese J Anim Nutr* 2022;34:1486-94.
2. Piao XS, Li DF, Han IK, et al. Evaluation of chinese brown rice as an alternative energy source in pig diets. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci* 2002;15:89-93. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2002.89>
3. Peña-Rosas JP, Mithra P, Unnikrishnan B, et al. Fortification of rice with vitamins and minerals for addressing micronutrient malnutrition. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2019;10:CD009902. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009902.pub2>
4. Naveed A, Zubair M, Baig A, et al. Effect of storage on the nutritional and antioxidant properties of brown basmati rice. *Food Sci Nutr* 2022;11:2086-98. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.2962>
5. Nawaz MA, Fukai S, Prakash S, Bhandari B. Effect of soaking medium on the physicochemical properties of parboiled glutinous rice of selected Laotian cultivars. *Int J Food Prop* 2018;21:1896-910. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2018.1503301>
6. Li MC, Ren A. Nutritional and digestive characteristics of brown rice and its advance on application of growing-finishing pigs. *Feed Rev* 2022;1:16-32.
7. Li R, Hou GF, Song ZH, et al. Nutritional value of enzyme-treated soybean meal, concentrated degossypolized cottonseed protein, dried porcine solubles and fish meal for 10-to-20 kg pigs. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* 2019;252:23-33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2019.04.002>
8. Stein HH, Shipley CF, Easter RA. Technical note: a technique for inserting a T-cannula into the distal ileum of pregnant sows. *J Anim Sci* 1998;76:1433-6. <https://doi.org/10.2527/1998.7651433x>
9. National Research Council. Nutrient requirements of swine. 11th ed. Washington, DC, USA: National Academies Press; 2012.
10. Adeola O. Digestion and balance techniques in pigs. In: Lewis DJ and Southern LL, editors. *Swine Nutrition*. 2nd ed. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press; 2001. pp. 903-16. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420041842.ch40>
11. Stein HH, Sève B, Fuller MF, Moughan PJ, de Lange CFM. Invited review: amino acid bioavailability and digestibility in pig feed ingredients: terminology and application. *J Anim Sci* 2007;85:172-80. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2005-742>
12. Horwitz W, Latimer GW; AOAC International. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. 18th ed. Gaithersburg, MD, USA: AOAC International; 2005.
13. Li DF. Nutrient requirements of swine in China. Beijing, China: China Agriculture Press; 2020.
14. China Feed Database. Chinese feed composition and nutritive value table. 31st ed. Hangzhou, China: China Food Regulatory Database; 2020.
15. Li D, Zhang DF, Piao XS, et al. Effects of replacing corn with Chinese brown rice on growth performance and apparent fecal digestibility of nutrients in weanling pigs. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci* 2002;15:1191-7. <https://doi.org/10.5713/AJAS.2002.1191>
16. Casas GA, Stein HH. Effects of microbial xylanase on digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, neutral detergent fiber, and energy and the concentrations of digestible and metabolizable energy in rice coproducts fed to weanling pigs. *J Anim Sci* 2016;94:1933-9. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-0064>
17. Robles A, Ewan RC. Utilization of energy of rice and rice bran by young pigs. *J Anim Sci* 1982;55:572-7. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1982.553572x>
18. Cervantes-Pahm SK, Liu YH, Stein HH. Comparative digestibility of energy and nutrients and fermentability of dietary fiber in eight cereal grains fed to pigs. *J Sci Food Agric* 2014; 94:841-9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6316>
19. Liu KL, Wang LM, Bu GH. Cluster analysis and principal component analysis of brown rice based on the physical characteristics. *Cereals Oils* 2014;27:56-60.
20. Casas GA, Lærke HN, Knudsen KEB, Stein HH. Arabinoxylan is the main polysaccharide in fiber from rice coproducts, and increased concentration of fiber decreases in vitro digestibility of dry matter. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* 2019;247: 255-61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2018.11.017>
21. Chen DT. Studies on main nutrient and available energy of rice protein for swine [Doctor's thesis]. Hunan, China: Hunan Agricultural university; 2015.

22. Wu SB. Evaluation of energy concentration and amino acid digestibility of feed rice and brown rice growing pigs [Master's thesis]. Hunan, China: Hunan Agricultural University; 2020.
23. Samuel M, Coradi PC, Maldaner V, et al. Drying and intermittence processes on the polished and brown rice physico-chemical and morphological quality by near-infrared spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction, and scanning electron microscopy. *Food Chem: X* 2023;19:100753. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2023.100753>
24. Li CX. Study on Determination of amino acids digestibility of feed ingredients based on simulated gastrointestinal digestion process for growing pigs [Master's thesis]. Inner Mongolia, China: Inner Mongolia Agricultural University; 2022.
25. Wu SB, Duan JQ, Xiao J, et al. Evaluation of available energy and amino acid digestibility of different varieties of feed rice brown rice for growing pigs. *Chinese J Anim Nutr* 2020;32:5636-45.
26. Zhu PJ, Zhao QF, Yuan WJ. Effects of supplementing limiting acids in low crude protein diets on growth performance of broilers. *China Feed* 2023;18:79-82. <https://doi.org/10.15906/j.cnki.cn11-2975/s.20231819>
27. He JH, Xu QG, Huang MH, Hong J, Shuyuan Z. Nutritional characteristics of feeder rice grain and brown rice. *Chin J Rice Sci* 2000;04:229-32. <https://doi.org/10.16819/j.1001-7216.2000.04.008>
28. Qiao SY. Promoting of grain conservation-great reduction potential of corn and soybean meal. Beijing, China: Farmers Daily (Nongmin Ribao); 2022.
29. Zhang SR, Zhou HL, Tian KX. Comparative determination of ileal terminal amino acid digestibility of different types of grain feed in pigs. *Feed Industr* 2001;9:34-5.
30. Fan MZ, Sauer WC. Determination of true ileal amino acid digestibility and the endogenous amino acid outputs associated with barley samples for growing-finishing pigs by the regression analysis technique. *J Anim Sci* 2002;80:1593-605. <https://doi.org/10.2527/2002.8061593x>
31. Spindler HK, Mosenthin R, Rosenfelder P, Jørgensen H, Bach Knudsen KE, Eklund M. Determination of basal ileal endogenous losses and standardized ileal digestibility of amino acids in barley fed to growing pigs. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol* 2016;7:56. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-016-0115-7>
32. Hunt JN, Knox MT. A relation between the chain length of fatty acids and the slowing of gastric emptying. *J Physiol* 1968;194:327-36. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1968.sp008411>
33. Huang BB, Huang CF, Lyu ZQ, et al. Available energy and amino acid digestibility of defatted rice bran fed to growing pigs. *J Anim Sci* 2018;96:3138-50. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/sky191>
34. Li S, Sauer WC. The effect of dietary fat content on amino acid digestibility in young pigs. *J Anim Sci* 1994;72:1737-43. <https://doi.org/10.2527/1994.7271737x>
35. Cervantes-Pahm SK, Stein HH. Effect of dietary soybean oil and soybean protein concentration on the concentration of digestible amino acids in soybean products fed to growing pigs. *J Anim Sci* 2008;86:1841-9. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2007-0721>
36. Kil DY, Stein HH. Dietary soybean oil and choice white grease improve apparent ileal digestibility of amino acids in swine diets containing corn, soybean meal, and distillers dried grains with solubles. *Rev Colomb Cienc* 2011;24:248-53.
37. Imbeah M, Sauer WC. The effect of dietary level of fat on amino acid digestibilities in soybean meal and canola meal and on rate of passage in growing pigs. *Livest Prod Sci* 1991;29:227-39. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-6226\(91\)90068-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-6226(91)90068-2)
38. Dai QZ. The effect of dietary starch source on ileum digestibility, net portal absorption and pattern of amino acid in growing pigs [Master's thesis]. Sichuang, China: Sichuang Agricultural University; 2004.
39. Xue PC, Ragland D, Adeola O. Influence of dietary crude protein and phosphorus on ileal digestion of phosphorus and amino acids in growing pigs. *J Anim Sci* 2017;95:2071-9. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2016.1293>
40. Yu Y. Study on determination of the digestibility of amino acid in grain and by-products based on simulating the protein digestion process in roosters [Master's thesis]. Beijing, China: Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences Dissertation; 2021.
41. Liu JD, Li QY, Zeng ZK, et al. Determination and prediction of the amino acid digestibility of sunflower seed meals in growing pigs. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci* 2015;28:86-94. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.14.0109>
42. Yun XL, Liu XB, Cheng ZC, et al. Determination and prediction of standardized ileal amino acid digestibility of wheat in broilers. *Poult Sci* 2023;102:102383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2022.102383>