

VARIOUS DENSES INDUCED BY BI-PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS[†]

JU-MOK OH

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concepts of right join-dense, right meet-dense, left join-dense and left meet-dense induced by bi-partially ordered sets on complete generalized residuated lattices. We investigate properties of these concepts and give an example related to them.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 03E72, 54A40, 54B10.

Key words and phrases : Generalized residuated lattices, bi-partially ordered sets, right join-dense, right meet-dense, left join-dense, left meet-dense.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we propose the concepts that characterize bi-partially ordered sets on complete generalized residuated lattices, namely right join-dense, right meet-dense, left join-dense, and left meet-dense. We conduct a comprehensive investigation into the properties of these concepts, and provide a relevant example related to them. The purpose of the paper is to contribute to the understanding of the structural and behavioral characteristics of bi-partially ordered sets on complete generalized residuated lattices through the exploration of these concepts.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some preliminary concepts and properties.

Definition 2.1. [2, 6, 7, 8, 9] A structure $(L, \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, \Rightarrow, \perp, \top)$ is called a *generalized residuated lattice* if it satisfies the following three conditions:

(GR1) $(L, \vee, \wedge, \top, \perp)$ is bounded where \top is the upper bound and \perp is the universal lower bound,

Received April 24, 2023. Revised November 6, 2023. Accepted January 22, 2024.

[†]This work was supported by the research grant of Gangneung-Wonju National University and the Research Institute of Natural Science of Gangneung-Wonju National University.

© 2024 KSCAM.

(GR2) (L, \odot, \top) is a monoid where \top is the identity,
 (GR3) it satisfies a residuation ; i.e., $a \odot b \leq c$ if and only if $a \leq b \rightarrow c$
 if and only if $b \leq a \Rightarrow c$.

In this paper, we always assume that $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, \Rightarrow, \top, \perp)$ is a complete generalized residuated lattice.

Lemma 2.2. [1, 4, 5, 6, 8] *Let $x, y, z \in L$. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in \Gamma}, \{y_i\}_{i \in \Gamma} \subseteq L$. Then the following hold.*

(1) *If $y \leq z$, then $x \odot y \leq x \odot z$, $x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$, $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x$, $x \Rightarrow y \leq x \Rightarrow z$ and $z \Rightarrow x \leq y \Rightarrow x$.*

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) &= \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \rightarrow y_i), (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y), \\ (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \rightarrow (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) &\geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i), (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i), \\ x \Rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) &= \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \Rightarrow y_i), (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \Rightarrow y = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \Rightarrow y), \\ (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \Rightarrow (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) &\geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \Rightarrow y_i), (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \Rightarrow y_i). \end{aligned}$$

(3) $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)$ and $(x \odot y) \Rightarrow z = y \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow z)$.

Definition 2.3. [5, 6] Let X be a set. A map $e_X^r : X \times X \rightarrow L$ is called an r -partial order (or right-partial order) if it satisfies the following three conditions :

- (O1) $e_X^r(x, x) = \top$ for all $x \in X$,
- (O2) If $e_X^r(x, y) = e_X^r(y, x) = \top$ where $x, y \in X$, then $x = y$,
- (R) $e_X^r(x, y) \odot e_X^r(y, z) \leq e_X^r(x, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

A map $e_X^l : X \times X \rightarrow L$ is called an l -partial order (or left partial order) if it satisfies the following three conditions :

- (O1) $e_X^l(x, x) = \top$ for all $x \in X$,
- (O2) If $e_X^l(x, y) = e_X^l(y, x) = \top$ where $x, y \in X$, then $x = y$,
- (L) $e_X^l(y, z) \odot e_X^l(x, y) \leq e_X^l(x, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

The triple (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) is called a *bi-partially ordered set*.

Definition 2.4. [6] Let (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) be a bi-partially ordered set. Let $A \in L^X$.

(1) A point x_0 is called an r -join (or right-join) of A , denoted by $x_0 = \sqcup_r A$, if it satisfies

- (RJ1) $A(x) \leq e_X^r(x, x_0)$ for all $x \in X$,
- (RJ2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [A(x) \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, y)] \leq e_X^r(x_0, y)$ for all $y \in X$.

(2) A point x_1 is called an r -meet (or right-meet) of A , denoted by $x_1 = \sqcap_r A$, if it satisfies

- (RM1) $A(x) \leq e_X^r(x_1, x)$ for all $x \in X$,
- (RM2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [A(x) \rightarrow e_X^r(y, x)] \leq e_X^r(y, x_1)$ for all $y \in X$.

(3) A point x_0 is called an l -join (or left-join) of A , denoted by $x_0 = \sqcup_l A$, if it satisfies

- (LJ1) $A(x) \leq e_X^l(x, x_0)$ for all $x \in X$,
- (LJ2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [A(x) \rightarrow e_X^l(x, y)] \leq e_X^l(x_0, y)$ for all $y \in X$.

(4) A point x_1 is called an l -meet (or *left-meet*) of A , denoted by $x_1 = \sqcap_l A$, if it satisfies

(LM1) $A(x) \leq e_X^l(x_1, x)$ for all $x \in X$,

(LM2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [A(x) \Rightarrow e_X^l(y, x)] \leq e_X^l(y, x_1)$ for all $y \in X$.

(5) X is r -join complete (resp. r -meet complete) if there exists $\sqcup_r A$ (resp. $\sqcap_r A$) for all $A \in L^X$.

(6) X is l -join complete (resp. l -meet complete) if there exists $\sqcup_l A$ (resp. $\sqcap_l A$) for all $A \in L^X$.

Lemma 2.5. [6] Let (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) be a bi-partially ordered set. Let $x_0, x_1 \in X$. Let $A \in L^X$. Then the following hold.

(1) $x_0 = \sqcup_r A$ if and only if $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [A(x) \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, y)] = e_X^r(x_0, y)$ for all $y \in X$.

(2) $x_1 = \sqcap_r A$ if and only if $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [A(x) \rightarrow e_X^r(y, x)] = e_X^r(y, x_1)$ for all $y \in X$.

(3) $x_0 = \sqcup_l A$ if and only if $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [A(x) \rightarrow e_X^l(x, y)] = e_X^l(x_0, y)$ for all $y \in X$.

(4) $x_1 = \sqcap_l A$ if and only if $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [A(x) \Rightarrow e_X^l(y, x)] = e_X^l(y, x_1)$ for all $y \in X$.

(5) $\sqcup_r A, \sqcap_r A, \sqcup_l A$ and $\sqcap_l A$ are unique if each exists.

3. Various densens on generalized residuated lattices

Definition 3.1. [6] Let (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) and (Y, e_Y^r, e_Y^l) be bi-partially ordered sets. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Define four maps $f_{r*}^{\rightarrow}, f_r^{*\rightarrow}, f_{l*}^{\rightarrow}, f_l^{*\rightarrow} : L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(y) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} [e_Y^r(y, f(x)) \odot A(x)], \\ f_r^{*\rightarrow}(A)(y) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} [A(x) \odot e_Y^r(f(x), y)], \\ f_{l*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(y) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} [A(x) \odot e_Y^l(y, f(x))], \\ f_l^{*\rightarrow}(A)(y) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} [e_Y^l(f(x), y) \odot A(x)] \end{aligned}$$

where $A \in L^X$.

Definition 3.2. Let (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) be a bi-partially ordered set. Let $Y \subseteq X$. Let $i : Y \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map.

(1) Y is called an r -join-dense (or *right join-dense*) in X if for all $x \in X$, there exists $A \in L^Y$ such that $x = \sqcup_r i_{r*}^{\rightarrow}(A)$.

(2) Y is called an r -meet-dense (or *right meet-dense*) in X if for all $x \in X$, there exists $A \in L^Y$ such that $x = \sqcap_r i_r^{*\rightarrow}(A)$.

(3) Y is called an l -join-dense (or *left join-dense*) in X if for all $x \in X$, there exists $A \in L^Y$ such that $x = \sqcup_l i_{l*}^{\rightarrow}(A)$.

(4) Y is called an l -meet-dense (or *left meet-dense*) in X if for all $x \in X$, there exists $A \in L^Y$ such that $x = \sqcap_l i_l^{*\rightarrow}(A)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) be a bi-partially ordered set. Then the following hold.

(1) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^r(x, y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, z)] = e_X^r(y, z)$ for all $y, z \in X$.

(2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^r(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, x)] = e_X^r(z, y)$ for all $y, z \in X$.

(3) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^l(x, y) \rightarrow e_X^l(x, z)] = e_X^l(y, z)$ for all $y, z \in X$.

(4) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^l(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, x)] = e_X^l(z, y)$ for all $y, z \in X$.

Proof. (1) Note that $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^r(x, y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, z)] \leq e_X^r(y, y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z) = e_X^r(y, z)$. On the other hand, since $e_X^r(x, y) \odot e_X^r(y, z) \leq e_X^r(x, z)$ for all $x \in X$, we have by residuation that $e_X^r(y, z) \leq e_X^r(x, y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, z)$ for all $x \in X$, which implies that

$$e_X^r(y, z) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^r(x, y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, z)].$$

(2) Note that $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^r(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, x)] \leq e_X^r(y, y) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y) = e_X^r(z, y)$. On the other hand, since $e_X^r(z, y) \odot e_X^r(y, x) \leq e_X^r(z, x)$ for all $x \in X$, we have by residuation that $e_X^r(z, y) \leq e_X^r(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, x)$ for all $x \in X$, which implies that

$$e_X^r(z, y) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^r(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, x)].$$

(3) Note that $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^l(x, y) \rightarrow e_X^l(x, z)] \leq e_X^l(y, y) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z) = e_X^l(y, z)$. On the other hand, since $e_X^l(y, z) \odot e_X^l(x, y) \leq e_X^l(x, z)$ for all $x \in X$, we have by residuation that $e_X^l(y, z) \leq e_X^l(x, y) \rightarrow e_X^l(x, z)$ for all $x \in X$, which implies that

$$e_X^l(y, z) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^l(x, y) \rightarrow e_X^l(x, z)].$$

(4) Note that $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^l(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, x)] \leq e_X^l(y, y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y) = e_X^l(z, y)$. On the other hand, since $e_X^l(y, x) \odot e_X^l(z, y) \leq e_X^l(z, x)$ for all $x \in X$, we have by residuation that $e_X^l(z, y) \leq e_X^l(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, x)$ for all $x \in X$, which implies that

$$e_X^l(z, y) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^l(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, x)].$$

□

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) be a bi-partially ordered set. Let $Y \subseteq X$. Let $A \in L^Y$. Then the following hold.

- (1) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [i_{r*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(x) \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, z)] = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)]$ for all $z \in X$.
- (2) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [i_r^{*\rightarrow}(A)(x) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, x)] = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)]$ for all $z \in X$.
- (3) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [i_{l*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(x) \rightarrow e_X^l(x, z)] = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)]$ for all $z \in X$.
- (4) $\bigwedge_{x \in X} [i_l^{*\rightarrow}(A)(x) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, x)] = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)]$ for all $z \in X$.

Proof. (1) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{x \in X} [i_{r*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(x) \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, z)] &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left[\bigvee_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(x, y) \odot A(y)] \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, z) \right] \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [[e_X^r(x, y) \odot A(y)] \rightarrow e_X^r(x, z)] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(2))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow [e_X^r(x, y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, z)]] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(3))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^r(x, y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(x, z)]] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(2))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)] \text{ (by Lemma 3.3(1)).} \end{aligned}$$

(2) Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge_{x \in X} [i_r^{* \rightarrow}(A)(x) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, x)] &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left[\bigvee_{y \in Y} [A(y) \odot e_X^r(y, x)] \rightarrow e_X^r(z, x) \right] \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [[A(y) \odot e_X^r(y, x)] \rightarrow e_X^r(z, x)] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(2)}) \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow [e_X^r(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, x)]] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(3)}) \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^r(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, x)]] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(2)}) \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)] \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3(2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

(3) Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge_{x \in X} [i_l^{\rightarrow}(A)(x) \rightarrow e_X^l(x, z)] &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left[\bigvee_{y \in Y} [A(y) \odot e_X^l(x, y)] \rightarrow e_X^l(x, z) \right] \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [[A(y) \odot e_X^l(x, y)] \rightarrow e_X^l(x, z)] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(2)}) \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow [e_X^l(x, y) \rightarrow e_X^l(x, z)]] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(3)}) \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^l(x, y) \rightarrow e_X^l(x, z)]] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(2)}) \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)] \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3(3)}).
\end{aligned}$$

(4) Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge_{x \in X} [i_l^{\rightarrow}(A)(x) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, x)] &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left[\bigvee_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(y, x) \odot A(y)] \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, x) \right] \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [[e_X^l(y, x) \odot A(y)] \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, x)] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(2)}) \\
&= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow [e_X^l(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, x)]] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(3)}) \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{x \in X} [e_X^l(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, x)]] \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(2)}) \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)] \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3(4)}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) be a bi-partially ordered set. Let $x \in X$. Define four maps $(e_X^r)^x, (e_X^l)_x, (e_X^l)^x, (e_X^r)_x : X \rightarrow L$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
(e_X^r)^x(y) &= e_X^r(y, x), & (e_X^l)_x(y) &= e_X^l(x, y), \\
(e_X^l)^x(y) &= e_X^l(y, x), & (e_X^r)_x(y) &= e_X^r(x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

where $y \in X$.

Let $(e_X^r)^x|_Y, (e_X^l)_x|_Y, (e_X^l)^x|_Y$ and $(e_X^r)_x|_Y$ be the restrictions to Y of $(e_X^r)^x, (e_X^l)_x, (e_X^l)^x$ and $(e_X^r)_x$, respectively.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) be a bi-partially ordered set. Let $Y \subseteq X$. Then the following hold.*

- (1) Y is an r -join-dense in X if and only if $x = \bigsqcup_r i_r^{* \rightarrow}((e_X^r)^x|_Y)$ for all $x \in X$.
- (2) Y is an r -meet-dense in X if and only if $x = \sqcap_r i_r^{* \rightarrow}((e_X^r)_x|_Y)$ for all $x \in X$.
- (3) Y is an l -join-dense in X if and only if $x = \bigsqcup_l i_l^{\rightarrow}((e_X^l)^x|_Y)$ for all $x \in X$.
- (4) Y is an l -meet-dense in X if and only if $x = \sqcap_l i_l^{\rightarrow}((e_X^l)_x|_Y)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. (1) Assume that Y is an r -join-dense in X . Let $x \in X$. Then there exists $A \in L^Y$ such that $x = \bigsqcup_r i_r^{* \rightarrow}(A)$. By (RJ1), we have

$$i_r^{* \rightarrow}(A)(t) \leq e_X^r(t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \in X. \quad (1)$$

By (RJ2), $\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_{r^*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(w) \Rightarrow e_X^r(w, t)] \leq e_X^r(x, t)$ for all $t \in X$. Since

$$\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_{r^*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(w) \Rightarrow e_X^r(w, t)] = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, t)] \text{ by Theorem 3.4(1),}$$

we have

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, t)] \leq e_X^r(x, t) \quad \text{for all } t \in X. \quad (2)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_{r^*}^{\rightarrow}((e_X^r)^x |_Y)(w) \Rightarrow e_X^r(w, z)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [((e_X^r)^x |_Y)(y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)] \text{ (by Theorem 3.4(1))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)] \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [i_{r^*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(y) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)] \text{ (by Eq. (1) and Lemma 2.2(1))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [\bigvee_{t \in Y} [e_X^r(y, t) \odot A(t)] \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [[e_X^r(y, t) \odot A(t)] \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(2))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \Rightarrow [e_X^r(y, t) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)]] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(3))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(y, t) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)]] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(2))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \Rightarrow e_X^r(t, z)] \text{ (by Lemma 3.3(1))} \\ &\leq e_X^r(x, z) \text{ (by Eq. (2)).} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} i_{r^*}^{\rightarrow}((e_X^r)^x |_Y)(w) &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(w, y) \odot (e_X^r)^x |_Y(y)] \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(w, y) \odot e_X^r(y, x)] \\ &\leq e_X^r(w, x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x = \bigsqcup_r i_{r^*}^{\rightarrow}((e_X^r)^x |_Y)$.

The converse is trivial.

(2) Assume that Y is an r -meet-dense in X . Let $x \in X$. Then there exists $A \in L^Y$ such that $x = \sqcap_r i_r^{\rightarrow}(A)$. By (RM1), we have

$$i_r^{\rightarrow}(A)(t) \leq e_X^r(x, t) \text{ for all } t \in X. \quad (3)$$

By (RM2), $\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_r^{\rightarrow}(A)(w) \rightarrow e_X^r(t, w)] \leq e_X^r(t, x)$ for all $t \in X$. Since

$$\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_r^{\rightarrow}(A)(w) \rightarrow e_X^r(t, w)] = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow e_X^r(t, y)] \text{ by Theorem 3.4(2),}$$

we have

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow e_X^r(t, y)] \leq e_X^r(t, x) \text{ for all } t \in X. \quad (4)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_r^{* \rightarrow} ((e_X^r)_x |_Y)(w) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, w)] \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [((e_X^r)_x |_Y)(y) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)] \text{ (by Theorem 3.4(2))} \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(x, y) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)] \text{ (by Lemma 3.3(2))} \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [i_r^{* \rightarrow}(A)(y) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)] \text{ (by Eq. (3) and Lemma 2.2(1))} \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [\bigvee_{t \in Y} [A(t) \odot e_X^r(t, y)] \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)] \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [[A(t) \odot e_X^r(t, y)] \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(2))} \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \rightarrow [e_X^r(t, y) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)]] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(3))} \\
&= \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(t, y) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)]] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(2))} \\
&= \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, t)] \text{ (by Lemma 3.3(2))} \\
&\leq e_X^r(z, x) \text{ (by Eq. (4)).}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
i_r^{* \rightarrow} ((e_X^r)_x |_Y)(w) &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} [(e_X^r)_x |_Y(y) \odot e_X^r(y, w)] \\
&= \bigvee_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(x, y) \odot e_X^r(y, w)] \\
&\leq e_X^r(x, w).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x = \bigcap_r i_r^{* \rightarrow} ((e_X^r)_x |_Y)$.

The converse is trivial.

(3) Assume that Y is an l -join-dense in X . Let $x \in X$. Then there exists $A \in L^Y$ such that $x = \bigsqcup_l i_{l*}^{\rightarrow}(A)$. By (LJ1), we have

$$i_{l*}^{\rightarrow}(t) = e_X^l(t, x) \text{ for all } t \in X. \quad (5)$$

By (LJ2), $\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_{l*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(w) \rightarrow e_X^l(w, t)] \leq e_X^l(x, t)$ for all $t \in X$. Since

$$\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_{l*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(w) \rightarrow e_X^l(w, t)] = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, t)] \text{ by Theorem 3.4(3),}$$

we have

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, t)] \leq e_X^l(x, t) \text{ for all } t \in X. \quad (6)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_{l*}^{\rightarrow} ((e_X^l)_x |_Y)(w) \rightarrow e_X^l(w, z)] \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [((e_X^l)_x |_Y)(y) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)] \text{ (by Theorem 3.4(3))} \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)] \\
&\leq \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [i_{l*}^{\rightarrow}(A)(y) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)] \text{ (by Eq. (5) and Lemma 2.2(1))} \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [\bigvee_{t \in Y} [A(t) \odot e_X^l(y, t)] \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)] \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [[A(t) \odot e_X^l(y, t)] \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(2))} \\
&= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \rightarrow [e_X^l(y, t) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)]] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(3))} \\
&= \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(y, t) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)]] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(2))} \\
&= \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \rightarrow e_X^l(t, z)] \text{ (by Lemma 3.3(3))} \\
&\leq e_X^l(x, z) \text{ (by Eq. (6)).}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} i_{l*}^{\rightarrow}((e_X^l)^x |_Y)(w) &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} [(e_X^l)^x |_Y(y) \odot e_X^l(w, y)] \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(y, x) \odot e_X^l(w, y)] \\ &\leq e_X^l(w, x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x = \bigsqcup_l i_{l*}^{\rightarrow}((e_X^l)^x |_Y)$.

The converse is trivial.

(4) Assume that Y is an l -meet-dense in X . Let $x \in X$. Then there exists $A \in L^Y$ such that $x = \bigcap_l i_l^{*\rightarrow}(A)$. By (LM1), we have

$$i_l^{*\rightarrow}(A)(t) \leq e_X^l(x, t) \text{ for all } t \in X. \quad (7)$$

By (LM2), $\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_l^{*\rightarrow}(A)(w) \Rightarrow e_X^l(t, w)] \leq e_X^l(t, x)$ for all $t \in X$. Since

$$\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_l^{*\rightarrow}(A)(w) \Rightarrow e_X^l(t, w)] = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(t, y)] \text{ by Theorem 3.4(4),}$$

we have

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [A(y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(t, y)] \leq e_X^l(t, x) \text{ for all } t \in X. \quad (8)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_l^{*\rightarrow}((e_X^l)_x |_Y)(w) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, w)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [((e_X^l)_x |_Y)(y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)] \text{ (by Theorem 3.4(4))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(x, y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)] \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [i_l^{*\rightarrow}(A)(y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)] \text{ (by Eq. (7) and Lemma 2.2(1))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [\bigvee_{t \in Y} [e_X^l(t, y) \odot A(t)] \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [[e_X^l(t, y) \odot A(t)] \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(2))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \Rightarrow [e_X^l(t, y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)]] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(3))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(t, y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)]] \text{ (by Lemma 2.2(2))} \\ &= \bigwedge_{t \in Y} [A(t) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, t)] \text{ (by Lemma 3.3(4))} \\ &\leq e_X^l(z, x) \text{ (by Eq. (8)).} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} i_l^{*\rightarrow}((e_X^l)_x |_Y)(w) &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(y, w) \odot (e_X^l)_x |_Y(y)] \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(y, w) \odot e_X^l(x, y)] \\ &\leq e_X^l(x, w). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x = \bigcap_l i_l^{*\rightarrow}((e_X^l)_x |_Y)$. □

By Lemma 2.5 and Theorems 3.4-3.5, we have the following.

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) be a bi-partially ordered set. Let $Y \subseteq X$. Then the following hold.*

(1) *Y is an r -join-dense in X if and only if $\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)] = e_X^r(x, z)$ for all $x, z \in X$.*

(2) *Y is an r -meet-dense in X if and only if $\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(x, y) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)] = e_X^r(z, x)$ for all $x, z \in X$.*

- (3) Y is an l -join-dense in X if and only if $\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)] = e_X^l(x, z)$ for all $x, z \in X$.
- (4) Y is an l -meet-dense in X if and only if $\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(x, y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)] = e_X^l(z, x)$ for all $x, z \in X$.

Proof. (1) By Theorem 3.5(1), Y is an r -join-dense in X if and only if

$$x = \bigsqcup_r i_{r*}^{\rightarrow} ((e_X^r)^x |_Y) \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

which is equivalent by Lemma 2.5(1) that

$$\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_{r*}^{\rightarrow} ((e_X^r)^x |_Y) (w) \Rightarrow e_X^r(w, z)] = e_X^r(x, z) \text{ for all } x, z \in X,$$

which is equivalent by Theorem 3.4(1) that

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)] = e_X^r(x, z) \text{ for all } x, z \in X.$$

(2) By Theorem 3.5(2), Y is an r -meet-dense in X if and only if

$$x = \sqcap_r i_r^{*\rightarrow} ((e_X^r)_x |_Y) \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

which is equivalent by Lemma 2.5(2) that

$$\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_r^{*\rightarrow} ((e_X^r)_x |_Y) (w) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, w)] = e_X^r(z, x) \text{ for all } x, z \in X,$$

which is equivalent by Theorem 3.4(2) that

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(x, y) \rightarrow e_X^r(z, y)] = e_X^r(z, x) \text{ for all } x, z \in X.$$

(3) By Theorem 3.5(3), Y is an l -join-dense in X if and only if

$$x = \bigsqcup_l i_{l*}^{\rightarrow} ((e_X^l)^x |_Y) \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

which is equivalent by Lemma 2.5(3) that

$$\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_{l*}^{\rightarrow} ((e_X^l)^x |_Y) (w) \rightarrow e_X^l(w, z)] = e_X^l(x, z) \text{ for all } x, z \in X,$$

which is equivalent by Theorem 3.4(3) that

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)] = e_X^l(x, z) \text{ for all } x, z \in X.$$

(4) By Theorem 3.5(4), Y is an l -meet-dense in X if and only if

$$x = \sqcap_l i_l^{*\rightarrow} ((e_X^l)_x |_Y) \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

which is equivalent by Lemma 2.5(4) that

$$\bigwedge_{w \in X} [i_l^{*\rightarrow} ((e_X^l)_x |_Y) (w) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, w)] = e_X^l(z, x) \text{ for all } x, z \in X,$$

which is equivalent by Theorem 3.4(4) that

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(x, y) \Rightarrow e_X^l(z, y)] = e_X^l(z, x) \text{ for all } x, z \in X.$$

□

Example 3.7. Let $K = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x > 0\}$ be a set where \mathbb{R} is the set of all real numbers. Define a binary operation $\otimes : K \times K \rightarrow K$ by $(x_1, y_1) \otimes (x_2, y_2) = (x_1x_2, x_1y_2 + y_1)$. Then one can see that (K, \otimes) is a non-commutative group where $e = (1, 0)$ is the identity and $(x, y)^{-1} = (\frac{1}{x}, -\frac{y}{x})$ for all $(x, y) \in K$.

Let $P = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid (1 < a) \text{ or } (a = 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq b)\}$. One can see that $P \cap P^{-1} = \{(1, 0)\}$, $P \otimes P \subseteq P$, $(a, b)^{-1} \otimes P \otimes (a, b) = P$ for all $(a, b) \in K$ and $P \cup P^{-1} = K$. Then P is a positive cone of K .

For all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in K$, define

$$(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2) \quad \text{if } (x_1, y_1)^{-1} \otimes (x_2, y_2) \in P.$$

Then (K, \leq, \otimes) is a lattice-group (see [3, 4]). Note that $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$ if and only if either $(x_1 < x_2)$ or $(x_1 = x_2 \text{ and } y_1 \leq y_2)$.

Let $L = \{(x, y) \in K \mid (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \leq (x, y) \leq (1, 0)\}$. Define three binary operations $\odot, \Rightarrow, \rightarrow : L \times L \rightarrow L$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, y_1) \odot (x_2, y_2) &= [(x_1, y_1) \otimes (x_2, y_2)] \vee (\frac{1}{2}, 1) = (x_1x_2, x_1y_2 + y_1) \vee (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \\ (x_1, y_1) \Rightarrow (x_2, y_2) &= [(x_1, y_1)^{-1} \otimes (x_2, y_2)] \wedge (1, 0) = \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}, \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_1}\right) \wedge (1, 0), \\ (x_1, y_1) \rightarrow (x_2, y_2) &= [(x_2, y_2) \otimes (x_1, y_1)^{-1}] \wedge (1, 0) = \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}, -\frac{x_2y_1}{x_1} + y_2\right) \wedge (1, 0). \end{aligned}$$

One can see that the structure $(L, \odot, \Rightarrow, \rightarrow, (\frac{1}{2}, 1), (1, 0))$ is a generalized residuated lattice where $\perp = (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ is the least element and $\top = (1, 0)$ is the greatest element.

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ be a set. Define $e_X^r, e_X^l : X \times X \rightarrow L$ by

$$e_X^r = \begin{pmatrix} (1, 0) & (\frac{5}{8}, -5) & (\frac{5}{6}, 1) \\ (\frac{5}{7}, 2) & (1, 0) & (\frac{5}{6}, -1) \\ (\frac{6}{7}, \frac{18}{5}) & (\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{36}{5}) & (1, 0) \end{pmatrix}, e_X^l = \begin{pmatrix} (1, 0) & (\frac{2}{3}, -1) & (\frac{5}{6}, -1) \\ (\frac{4}{7}, -1) & (1, 0) & (\frac{6}{7}, -1) \\ (\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}) & (\frac{4}{5}, -\frac{9}{5}) & (1, 0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check that e_X^r is an r -partial order and e_X^l is an l -partial order. Hence (X, e_X^r, e_X^l) is a bi-partially ordered set. But e_X^r is not an l -partial order because $e_X^r(c, a) \odot e_X^r(b, c) \not\leq e_X^r(b, a)$.

(1) Let $Y = \{a, b\}$. Let $i : Y \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map.

By Theorem 3.6(1), Y is an r -join-dense in X if and only if

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^r(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)] = e_X^r(x, z) \text{ for all } x, z \in X. \tag{9}$$

By a direct computation, one can see that Eq. (9) holds. Hence Y is an r -join-dense in X .

By Theorem 3.6(3), Y is an l -join-dense in X if and only if

$$\bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)] = e_X^l(x, z) \text{ for all } x, z \in X. \quad (10)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{y \in Y} [e_X^l(y, c) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, b)] &= [e_X^l(a, c) \rightarrow e_X^l(a, b)] \wedge [e_X^l(b, c) \rightarrow e_X^l(b, b)] \\ &= [(\frac{5}{8}, -1) \rightarrow (\frac{2}{3}, -1)] \wedge [(\frac{6}{7}, -1) \rightarrow (1, 0)] \\ &= (\frac{4}{5}, -\frac{1}{5}) \end{aligned}$$

and $e_X^l(c, b) = (\frac{4}{5}, -\frac{9}{5})$, Eq. (10) does not hold. Hence Y is not an l -join-dense in X .

(2) Let $U = \{a, c\}$. Let $i : U \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map.

By Theorem 3.6(1), U is an r -join-dense in X if and only if

$$\bigwedge_{y \in U} [e_X^r(y, x) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, z)] = e_X^r(x, z) \text{ for all } x, z \in X. \quad (11)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{y \in U} [e_X^r(y, b) \Rightarrow e_X^r(y, a)] &= [e_X^r(a, b) \Rightarrow e_X^r(a, a)] \wedge [e_X^r(c, b) \Rightarrow e_X^r(c, a)] \\ &= [(\frac{5}{8}, -5) \Rightarrow (1, 0)] \wedge [(\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{36}{5}) \Rightarrow (\frac{6}{7}, \frac{18}{5})] \\ &= (1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

and $e_X^r(b, a) = (\frac{5}{7}, 2)$, Eq. (11) does not hold. Hence U is not an r -join-dense in X .

By Theorem 3.6(3), U is an l -join-dense in X if and only if

$$\bigwedge_{y \in U} [e_X^l(y, x) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, z)] = e_X^l(x, z) \text{ for all } x, z \in X. \quad (12)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{y \in U} [e_X^l(y, b) \rightarrow e_X^l(y, a)] &= [e_X^l(a, b) \rightarrow e_X^l(a, a)] \wedge [e_X^l(c, b) \rightarrow e_X^l(c, a)] \\ &= [(\frac{2}{3}, -1) \rightarrow (1, 0)] \wedge [(\frac{4}{5}, -\frac{9}{5}) \rightarrow (\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3})] \\ &= (\frac{5}{6}, \frac{7}{6}) \end{aligned}$$

and $e_X^l(b, a) = (\frac{4}{7}, -1)$, Eq. (12) does not hold. Hence U is not an l -join dense in X .

4. Conclusion

Throughout these concepts introduced in this paper, we have investigated the characteristics of bi-partially ordered sets on complete generalized residuated lattices. In the future, we might to investigate various completions on these spaces.

Conflicts of interest : The author declares no conflict of interest.

Data availability : Not applicable

REFERENCES

1. R. Bělohlávek, *Fuzzy Relational Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 2002.
2. R. Bělohlávek, *Lattices of fixed points of Galois connections*, Math. Logic Quart. **47** (2001), 111-116.
3. P. Flonder, G. Georgescu, A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-t-norms and pseudo-BL-algebras*, Soft Computing **5** (2001), 355-371.
4. G. Georgescu, A. Popescue, *Non-commutative Galois connections*, Soft Computing **7** (2003), 458-467.
5. J.M. Ko, Y.C. Kim, *Bi-closure systems and bi-closure operators on generalized residuated lattices*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems **36** (2019), 2631-2643.
6. J.M. Ko, Y.C. Kim, *Various operations and right completeness in generalized residuated lattices*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems **40** (2021), 149-164.
7. S.P. Tiwari, I. Perfilieva, A.P. Singh, *Generalized residuated lattices based F-transform*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems **15** (2018), 165-182.
8. E. Turunen, *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, A Springer-Verlag Co., 1999.
9. C.Y. Wang, B.Q. Hu, *Fuzzy rough sets based on general residuated lattices*, Information Sciences **248** (2013), 31-49.

Ju-Mok Oh received M.Sc. and Ph.D. at Pohang University of Science and Technology. Since 2012 he has been at Kangnung-Wonju National University. His research interests include applied mathematics and enumerative combinatorics.

Department of Mathematics and Physics, Kangnung-Wonju National University, Kangnung 210-702, Korea.

e-mail: jumokoh@gwnu.ac.kr