

» Corrigendum «

Resources Recycling
Vol. 33, No. 1, 2024, 58-68
<https://doi.org/10.7844/kirr.2024.33.1.58>
Published : February 28, 2024

Corrigendum to : NCM Black Mass 황산침출 개선을 위한 대체침출제 메탄술폰산의 적용가능성 연구

정혜원* · 이제승* · 송강훈* · 박민서* · §안준모***

*전북특별자치도 전주시 덕진구 백제대로 567 전북대학교 자원·에너지공학과
**전북특별자치도 전주시 덕진구 백제대로 567 전북대학교 에너지·자원개발연구소

Corrigendum to : Feasibility Study of Methanesulfonic Acid (MSA), an Alternative Lixiviant to Improve Conventional Sulfuric Acid Leaching of NCM Black Mass

Hyewon Jung*, Jeseung Lee*, Ganghoon Song*, Minseo Park* and §Junmo Ahn***

**Department of Mineral Resources and Energy Engineering, Jeonbuk National University, 567 Baekje-daero,
Deokjin-gu, Jeonju-si, Jeonbuk-do 54896, Republic of Korea*

***Research Institute for Energy and Mineral Resources Development, Jeonbuk National University, 567 Baekje-daero,
Deokjin-gu, Jeonju-si, Jeonbuk-do 54896, Republic of Korea*

§ Corresponding Author : Junmo Ahn (E-mail : jahn@jbnu.ac.kr)

Department of Mineral Resources & Energy Engineering, Jeonbuk National University, 567 Baekje-daero, Deokjin-gu, Jeonju-si,
Jeonbuk-do 54896, Korea

위 논문의 61, 63쪽 문장에 저자의 실수로 오류가 있어 문장을 아래와 같이 수정하였으며, 본 내용에 대해 온라인게시 하였음을 알려드립니다.

수정 전

Sulfuric acid of 0.5 M and MSA of 1.0 M was compared when 0.3 M hydrogen peroxide was used. As mentioned previously, comparison of 0.5 M sulfuric acid and 1.0 M MSA should be also conducted because MSA generates doubled proton than sulfuric acid.

수정 후

Sulfuric acid of 0.5 M and MSA of 1.0 M was compared when 0.3 M hydrogen peroxide was used. As mentioned previously, comparison of 0.5 M sulfuric acid and 1.0 M MSA should be also conducted because sulfuric acid generates doubled proton than MSA.

©The Korean Institute of Resources Recycling. All rights reserved. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.