

# 반응표면분석법을 이용한 Lactobacillus 균주 배양조건의 통계적 최적화

**황영민<sup>1</sup>· 이희석<sup>1,2</sup>\*** 

1중앙대학교 식품안전규제과학과 2중앙대학교 식품과학생명공학과

# Statistical Optimization of Culture Conditions for Lactobacillus Strains using Response Surface Methodology

Young Min Hwang<sup>1</sup>, Hee-Seok Lee<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Safety and Regulatory Science, Chung-Ang University, Anseong, Korea <sup>2</sup>Department of Food Science and Biotechnology, Chung-Ang University, Anseong, Korea

(Received July 14, 2023/Revised October 16, 2023/Accepted October 17, 2023)

**ABSTRACT** - The demand for probiotic products has been steadily increasing, and *Lactobacillus* strains are widely used and are currently the most popular probiotics. Optimizing culture conditions for *Lactobacillus* production for use as probiotics will enhance their profitability by reducing production costs and time. Statistical analysis using response surface methodology revealed the following optimal sets of independent variables: 22.55 h (cultivation time), 25°C (cultivation temperature), and 3.41% (w/w, prebiotics concentration) for *Lactobacillus acidophilus*; 24 h, 30.86°C, and 2% (w/w) for *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*; 66.67 h, 35°C, and 3.41% (w/w) for *Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus*. Actual outcomes using predicted optimal conditions for *Lactobacillus* strains have been confirmed to closely match predicted results. This study will provide valuable guidelines for high yield *Lactobacillus* production.

Keywords: Lactobacillus, Culture condition, Optimization, Response surface methodology

*Lactobacillus* are Gram-positive, microaerobic or anaerobic essential fermenting organisms that produce lactic acid as the main end product of sugar fermentation<sup>1</sup>). *Lactobacillus* are considered as probiotics because they play an important role in the production of various fermented products, and are naturally associated with the mucous membranes of the gastrointestinal tract of humans and animals<sup>2</sup>). According to the lists compiled by George Kerry et al.,<sup>3</sup> *Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Bacillus, Escherichia coli, Saccharomyces,* and *Enterococcus* are all acknowledged as probiotics.

Tel: +82-31-670-3258, Fax: +82-43-675-4853

E-mail: hslee0515@cau.ac.kr

Probiotics offer a range of benefits, including the maintenance of intestinal flora, alleviation of lactose intolerance<sup>4</sup>), enhancement of the immune system5-7, and relief from symptoms associated with constipation and diarrhea<sup>8,9)</sup>. Furthermore, it hinders the proliferation of detrimental bacteria in the intestinal tract and mitigates the production of harmful substances by these bacteria<sup>10-12</sup>. The significance of personal health care is emerging due to the recent global pandemic of COVID-19, and the demand for probiotics products that help 'intestinal health' and 'improvement of immunity' is increasing<sup>13)</sup>. An analysis of the intestinal microbiome of Koreans revealed a decrease in beneficial bacteria, specifically Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, as individuals aged<sup>14</sup>). In addition, as a result of the Korea Consumer Agency's probiotics product quality test (2020), it was reported that most of the lactic acid bacteria types were biased to 1 or 2 species, and in particular, the proportion of Lactobacillus strains was high. To utilize probiotics as health functional food, it is crucial to ensure the appropriate count of lactic acid bacteria that meets the standard requirements.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Hee-Seok Lee, Department of Food Safety and Regulatory Science, Chung-Ang University, Anseong, 17546, Republic of Korea, Department of Food Science and Biotechnology, Chung-Ang University, Anseong, 17546, Republic of Korea

Copyright © The Korean Society of Food Hygiene and Safety. All rights reserved. The Journal of Food Hygiene and Safety is an Open-Access journal distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Additionally, the development of a culture method that reduces manufacturing costs and time is necessary. The culture process holds significant importance in enhancing the yield of lactic acid bacteria from both industrial and economic perspectives. Using conventional single-factor approaches to optimize culture media is time consuming and can lead to misinterpretation of results due to interactions between factors<sup>15</sup>. In contrast, statistical optimization methods such as the response surface methodology can be used to study relationships among many independent variables, as those methods are very efficient and economical<sup>15,16</sup>.

Thus, in this study, after setting the incubation temperature, incubation time, and prebiotics concentration as variables, we aimed to explore the optimal conditions for the culture of *Lactobacillus* by using the central composite design among the response surface methodology.

## Materials and Methods

#### Strain and cultivation

The Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactiplantibacillus plantarum, Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus, and prebiotics employed in this study were procured from Maeil (Maeil Dairies Co., Ltd., Pyeongtaek, Korea) and utilized for experimental purposes. The powdered form of the strain was activated by culturing in de Man, Rogosa, and Sharp (MRS) broth (MB cell, Seoul, Korea) at 37°C for 24-48 h, followed by subculturing under the same conditions to prepare stock cultures for each experiment. The prebiotics were stored in a desiccator and used for each experiment.

# Determination of experimental parameter ranges of *Lactobacillus* strains

Since the culture media, culture conditions, unit price, and recovery time as important variables during the probiotics culture process, the culture time, culture temperature, and type and concentration of prebiotics were set as independent variables.

#### Incubation time

To assess the growth of *Lactobacillus* strains at different incubation times, they were inoculated into brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (MB cell) at a concentration of 5-8 log CFU/mL. The cultures were incubated for 72 h at 37°C in a shaking incubator (C-SKI-3, Changshin-lab, Seoul, Korea) at 180 rpm, after which the optical density and total bacterial counts were measured. The culture media was recovered at intervals of 0, 3, 6, 12, 18, 24, 48, and 72 h and used in the experiment. Total bacterial count was measured by serially diluting 1 mL of the culture media in 9 mL of peptone water, followed by pouring 1 mL of the diluted solution onto MRS agar (MB cell).

#### Type of prebiotics

To assess the growth of *Lactobacillus* strains according to different types of probiotics, they were inoculated into BHI broth supplemented with galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS), fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS), and 2'-fucocyl lactose (2'-FL) at a concentration of 5 log CFU/mL. The cultures were incubated for 72 h at 37°C in a shaking incubator at 180 rpm after which the total bacterial counts were measured. The culture media was recovered at intervals of 24, 48, and 72 h and used in the experiment. Total bacterial count was measured by serially diluting 1 mL of the culture media in 9 mL of peptone water, followed by pouring 1 mL of the diluted solution onto MRS agar.

#### Incubation temperature

To assess the growth of *Lactobacillus* strains according to different incubation temperatures, they were inoculated into BHI broth supplemented with GOS, FOS, and 2'-FL at a concentration of 5 log CFU/mL. The cultures were incubated for 72 h at 20 to 35°C in a shaking incubator at 180 rpm after which the total bacterial counts were measured. The culture media was recovered at intervals of 24, 48, and 72 h and used in the experiment. Total bacterial count was measured by serially diluting 1 mL of the culture media in 9 mL of peptone water, followed by pouring 1 mL of the diluted solution onto MRS agar.

#### **Prebiotics concentration**

To assess the growth of *Lactobacillus* strains according to the concentration of prebiotics, 5 log CFU/mL was inoculated into BHI broth supplemented with GOS, FOS, and 2'-FL ranging from 0% to 4%. The cultures were incubated for 72 h at 37°C in a shaking incubator at 180 rpm after which the total bacterial counts were measured. The culture media was recovered at intervals of 24, 48, and 72 h and used in the experiment. Total bacterial count was measured by serially diluting 1 mL of the culture media in 9 mL of peptone water, followed by pouring 1 mL of the diluted solution onto MRS agar.

#### Experimental design for response surface methodology

Response surface methodology (RSM) was used for experimental design and optimization to determine culture optimal conditions for *Lactobacillus* strains. The experiment was designed through central composite design (CCD) by setting three independent variables as incubation time (X<sub>1</sub>), incubation temperature (X<sub>2</sub>), and prebiotics concentration (X<sub>3</sub>). Coded independent variables and uncoded independent variables are shown in Table 1 and 2. Central composite design consisting of 3 factors and 3 levels was designed with 6 central points and a total of 20 experiments with the addition of experiments at axial points ( $\alpha$ =1). Experiments were

Sample					
No.	Incubation time (h)	Incubation temp. (°C)	Prebiotics conc. (%)	L. acidophilus (log CFU/mL)	L. plantarum (log CFU/mL)
1	18	30	3	9.16±0.06	8.79±0.05
2	18	30	4	9.19±0.16	8.95±0.17
3	12	25	4	8.39±0.22	7.20±0.15
4	12	25	2	8.36±0.04	7.13±0.20
5	12	35	2	9.15±0.05	8.85±0.05
6	12	35	4	8.92±0.17	9.01±0.11
7	18	30	3	9.17±0.11	$8.90{\pm}0.04$
8	18	30	2	9.18±0.09	$8.91 {\pm} .0.06$
9	24	30	3	8.86±0.09	8.93±0.08
10	18	30	3	9.29±0.06	$8.87{\pm}0.07$
11	24	35	4	8.85±0.15	$9.08 {\pm} 0.07$
12	18	30	3	9.28±0.09	$8.98{\pm}0.09$
13	18	25	3	9.26±0.04	7.99±0.05
14	24	25	2	9.23±0.07	8.90±0.16
15	24	25	4	9.23±0.05	8.98±0.11
16	24	35	2	8.56±0.29	9.15±0.08
17	18	35	3	9.15±0.03	9.06±0.15
18	18	30	3	9.09±0.06	8.88±0.09
19	18	30	3	9.17±0.06	$8.96{\pm}0.08$
20	12	30	3	9.09±0.07	$8.42 \pm 0.08$

Table 1. Central composite design arrangement and response for production amounts of L. acidophilus and L. plantarum

**Table 2.** Central composite design arrangement and response for production amounts of *L. rhamnosus*

Sampla	Variables							
No.	Incubation time (h)	Incubation temp. (°C)	Prebiotics conc. (%)	L. rhamnosus (log CFU/mL)				
1	48	30	3	8.98±0.17				
2	48	30	2	$8.89 \pm 0.06$				
3	48	30	3	$9.08 \pm 0.06$				
4	24	25	4	$8.92 \pm 0.06$				
5	48	35	3	9.15±0.02				
6	24	35	2	8.85±0.23				
7	48	30	3	$8.89 \pm 0.05$				
8	48	30	3	9.06±0.15				
9	72	35	4	9.22±0.09				
10	48	30	3	8.93±0.12				
11	72	30	3	9.08±0.11				
12	72	35	2	$9.02 \pm 0.09$				
13	24	35	4	$8.94 \pm 0.04$				
14	48	30	4	$8.87 \pm 0.07$				
15	72	25	4	9.09±0.09				

**Table 2.** (Continued) Central composite design arrangement andresponse for production amounts of *L. rhamnosus* 

Sampla	Variables							
No.	Incubation time (h)	Incubation temp. (°C)	Prebiotics conc. (%)	L. rhamnosus (log CFU/mL)				
15	72	25	4	$9.09 \pm 0.09$				
16	24	30	3	8.70±0.22				
17	72	25	2	$9.00{\pm}0.02$				
18	48	30	3	$8.92{\pm}0.02$				
19	24	25	2	8.96±0.12				
20	48	25	3	9.26±0.05				

conducted in a randomized order. The RSM application conditions were determined based on previous studies. For *L. acidophilus* and *L. plantarum*, the ranges were set as follows: 12-24 h, 20-35°C, and 2-4% concentration. For *L. rhamnosus*, the ranges were set as: 24-72 h, 20-35°C, and 2-4% concentration.

#### Statistical analysis

All data, shown as mean  $\pm$  SD, were analyzed using one-

way ANOVA. Differences with P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. 3D surface graph of the response surface were plotted using Minitab 18 software.

#### Results

#### Model fitting

The culture conditions of *Lactobacillus* strains were modeled using the response surface methodology (RSM), incorporating the variables of incubation time, incubation temperature, and prebiotics concentration, which experimental ranges have been selected through preliminary study. The total bacterial count of *Lactobacillus* strains in each of the 20 experimental designs generated by RSM is shown in the Table 1 and 2. Statistical significance of the polynomial coefficients derived from the experimental results is shown in Table 3 and 4. The fits of a quadratic polynomial model were evaluated by  $R^2$  and adjusted  $R^2$  along with analysis of variance (ANOVA).

#### L. acidophilus

The interaction term of incubation time with incubation time as well as the interaction term of incubation time with incubation temperature were significant (P<0.05) effect with

<b>Table 5.</b> Regression coefficients of the quadratic borynomials as functions of the conditions for the amounts of <i>Laciobacitus</i> strands	Table 3.	Regression	coefficients	of the	quadratic	polv	nomials as	functions	of the	conditions	for t	the amounts of Lactobacillus strain
--	----------	------------	--------------	--------	-----------	------	------------	-----------	--------	------------	-------	-------------------------------------

	Variables	Coefficients	<i>P</i> -values
	Intercept	-0.28	
	Incubation time	Coefficients         P-           -0.28         0.5463         0           0.274         0         0           0.184         0         -0.00741         0           -0.00158         0         0         -0.0628         0           -0.00987         0         0         0.01013         0         0           0.00065         0         0         0.00065         0         0           -14.23         0         6298         0 </td <td>0.084</td>	0.084
	Incubation temp.	0.274	0.722
	Prebiotics conc.	0.184	0.834
T · 1 1·1	Incubation time×Incubation time	Coefficients         P-val           -0.28         0.5463         0.00           0.274         0.77         0.184         0.83           -0.00741         0.00         -0.00158         0.64           -0.0628         0.44         -0.0628         0.44           -0.00987         0.00         0.01013         0.27           0.01013         0.22         0.00065         0.94           -14.23         0.6298         0.00           1.063         0.00         0.00           -0.01201         0.00         0.00           0.1065         0.14         0.00           -0.01201         0.00         0.00           0.1065         0.16         0.00           -0.00462         0.57         0.00           -0.00173         0.84         0.00           -0.00173         0.84         0.00           -0.00173         0.84         0.00           -0.00168         0.00         0.00           0.00168         0.00         0.00           0.000261         0.27         0.00130         0.27           0.00130         0.27         0.00587         0.30	0.009
L. aciaophilus	Incubation temp.×Incubation temp.	-0.00158	0.642
	Prebiotics conc.×Prebiotics conc.	-0.0628	0.465
	Incubation time×Incubation temp.	-0.00987	0.000
	Incubation time×Prebiotics conc.	Coefficients         P-valu           -0.28         0.5463         0.084           0.274         0.727           0.184         0.833           -0.00741         0.007           -0.00158         0.644           -0.0628         0.464           -0.0628         0.464           -0.0628         0.464           -0.0628         0.464           -0.0628         0.464           -0.00987         0.000           0.01013         0.233           0.00065         0.943           -14.23         0.6298         0.000           1.063         0.000           -0.0401         0.07           -0.00401         0.07           -0.01201         0.003           0.1065         0.166           -0.01325         0.000           -0.00173         0.84           16.45         0.002           -0.00168         0.062           0.00876         0.002           -0.1034         0.059           0.000261         0.27           0.00130         0.27           0.00130         0.27           0.00130         0.27	0.238
	Incubation temp.×Prebiotics conc.	0.00065	0.948
	Intercept	-14.23	
	Incubation time	0.6298	0.000
Incubation time×Ir Incubation time×P Incubation time×P Incubation temp.×I Incubation Incubation Incubation Incubation time×Ir Incubation time×Ir Incubation time×Ir Incubation time×Ir Incubation time×Ir Incubation time×Ir Incubation time×Ir Incubation time×Ir	Incubation temp.	1.063	0.000
	Prebiotics conc.	Prebiotics conc.         0.184         0.834           Incubation time×Incubation time         -0.00741         0.009           Incubation temp.×Incubation temp.         -0.00158         0.642           Prebiotics conc.×Prebiotics conc.         -0.0628         0.465           Incubation time×Incubation temp.         -0.00987         0.000           Incubation time×Incubation temp.         -0.00987         0.000           Incubation temp.×Prebiotics conc.         0.01013         0.238           Incubation temp.×Prebiotics conc.         0.00065         0.948           Intercept         -14.23         1           Incubation time         0.6298         0.000           Incubation temp.         1.063         0.000           Prebiotics conc.         -0.476         0.472           Incubation temp.×Incubation temp.         -0.01201         0.002           Prebiotics conc.         0.1065         0.167           Incubation time×Incubation temp.         -0.01325         0.000           Incubation time×Incubation temp.         -0.00173         0.841           Incubation time×Prebiotics conc.         -0.00173         0.841           Incubation temp.×Prebiotics conc.         -0.00173         0.842           Incubation time <td>0.472</td>	0.472
L. plantarum	Incubation time×Incubation time	-0.00401	0.071
L. plantarum	Incubation temp.×Incubation temp.	-0.01201	-0.28 <i>P</i> -values           -0.28         0.5463         0.084           0.274         0.722           0.184         0.834           -0.00741         0.009           -0.0158         0.642           -0.0628         0.465           -0.00987         0.000           0.01013         0.238           0.00065         0.948           -14.23         0.6298           0.6298         0.000           -0.041         0.071           -0.01201         0.002           0.1065         0.167           -0.01325         0.000           -0.00462         0.524           -0.00173         0.841           16.45         0.0087           0.0087         0.002           -0.563         0.872           0.414         0.212           -0.000168         0.064           0.00886         0.001           -0.1034         0.050           0.000261         0.277           0.00130         0.278           0.00587         0.306
	Prebiotics conc.×Prebiotics conc.	0.1065	
	Incubation time×Incubation temp.	-0.01325	
	Incubation time×Prebiotics conc.	-0.00462	
	Incubation temp.×Prebiotics conc.	Coefficients         P-va           -0.28         0.5463         0.0           0.274         0.7         0.184         0.8           -0.00741         0.0         0.00158         0.6           -0.0628         0.4         -0.00987         0.0           0.01013         0.2         0.00065         0.9           -14.23         0.6298         0.0         0.00065         0.9           -14.23         0.6298         0.0         0.00         0.00065         0.9           -14.23         0.6298         0.0         0.00 <td>0.841</td>	0.841
	Intercept	16.45	
	Incubation time	0.0087	0.002
	Incubation temp.	-0.563	Coefficients <i>P</i> -values           -0.28         0.5463         0.084           0.274         0.722           0.184         0.834           -0.00741         0.009           -0.00158         0.642           -0.0628         0.465           -0.00987         0.000           0.01013         0.238           0.00065         0.948           -14.23         0.6298           0.6298         0.000           1.063         0.000           -0.0141         0.071           -0.00401         0.071           -0.01201         0.002           0.165         0.167           -0.01325         0.000           -0.00462         0.524           -0.00173         0.841           16.45         0.002           -0.563         0.872           0.414         0.212           -0.000168         0.064           0.00886         0.001           -0.1034         0.050           0.000261         0.277           0.00130         0.278           0.00587         0.306
	Prebiotics conc.	ariablesCoefficients $P$ -valuesIntercept-0.28Incubation time0.54630.084Incubation time,0.2740.722Prebiotics conc.0.1840.834neubation time×Incubation time-0.007410.009subation temp.×Incubation temp0.001580.642rebiotics conc0.00580.465cubation time×Incubation temp0.009870.000neubation time×Incubation temp0.009870.000neubation time×Prebiotics conc.0.010130.238cubation time×Prebiotics conc.0.000650.948Intercept-14.230.000Incubation time0.62980.000Incubation time-0.04760.472neubation time-0.012010.002rebiotics conc0.10650.167cubation time×Incubation temp0.013250.000rebiotics conc0.001730.841neubation time×Incubation temp0.001320.002neubation time×Incubation temp0.001320.274cubation time×Incubation temp0.013250.000neubation time×Incubation temp0.5630.872Prebiotics conc.0.4140.212neubation time×Incubation time-0.0001680.004cubation time×Incubation time-0.00340.050cubation time×Incubation time-0.001680.001neubation time×Incubation time-0.001680.001neubation temp0.10340.050	
I when we are a	Incubation time×Incubation time		0.064
L. rnamnosus	Incubation temp.×Incubation temp.		
	Prebiotics conc.×Prebiotics conc.	-0.1034	0.050
	Incubation time×Incubation temp.	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} -0.00741 & 0.009 \\ -0.00158 & 0.642 \\ -0.0628 & 0.465 \\ -0.00987 & 0.000 \\ 0.01013 & 0.238 \\ \hline 0.00065 & 0.948 \\ \hline 14.23 & & & \\ 14.23 & & & \\ 0.6298 & 0.000 \\ 1.063 & 0.000 \\ -0.476 & 0.472 \\ -0.00401 & 0.071 \\ -0.01201 & 0.002 \\ 0.1065 & 0.167 \\ -0.01325 & 0.000 \\ -0.00462 & 0.524 \\ -0.00173 & 0.841 \\ \hline 16.45 & & \\ 0.0087 & 0.002 \\ -0.563 & 0.872 \\ 0.414 & 0.212 \\ -0.000168 & 0.064 \\ 0.00886 & 0.001 \\ -0.1034 & 0.050 \\ 0.000261 & 0.277 \\ 0.00130 & 0.278 \\ 0.00587 & 0.306 \\ \end{array}$	
	Incubation time×Prebiotics conc.	0.00130	0.278
	Incubation temp.×Prebiotics conc.	0.00587	0.306

	Variables	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	P-values
	Model	1.36554	9	0.151726	0.002
	Residual	0.18762	10	0.018762	
I	Lack of fit	0.15582	5	0.031164	0.053
L. actaophilus	Pure error	0.03180	5	0.006359	
	$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.8792			
	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.7705			
	Model	6.29277	9	0.69920	< 0.0001
	Residual	0.14056	10	0.01406	
T	Lack of fit	0.11670	5	0.02334	0.053
L. plantarum	Pure error	0.02386	5	0.00477	
	$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.9782			
	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.9585			
	Model	0.119429	9	0.031297	0.008
	Residual	0.059398	10	0.005940	
T al anno 1	Lack of fit	0.029024	5	0.005805	0.519
L. rnamnosus	Pure error	0.015950	5	0.006075	
	$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.8258			
	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.6691			

Table 4. Analysis of variance for predictive response surface quadratic models for the culture condition of Lactobacillus strains

*P*-values of 0.009 and 0.000, respectively. The relative significance of the independent variables for *L. acidophilus* production could be ranked as follows: incubation time > incubation temperature > prebiotic concentration, with *P*-values of 0.084, 0.722, and 0.834, respectively. The R<sup>2</sup> along with adjusted R<sup>2</sup> values for *L. acidophilus* were 0.8792 and 0.7705, respectively, indicating no lack of fit with a *P*-value of 0.053 at the 95% significance level. Furthermore, since the observed regression probability is < 0.01, these data indicate that the proposed model was significant.

#### L. plantarum

The interaction terms of incubation time, incubation temperature, as well as incubation time with incubation temperature, exhibited significant (P < 0.05) effects, as evidenced by their respective *P*-values of 0.000, 0.000, 0.002, and 0.000. The significance of the independent variables for *L. plantarum* production can be ranked as follows: incubation time, incubation temperature > prebiotics concentration, with *P*-values of 0.000, 0.000, and 0.472, respectively. The R<sup>2</sup> along with adjusted R<sup>2</sup> values for *L. plantarum* were 0.9782 and 0.9585, respectively, indicating no lack of fit with a *P*-value of 0.053 at the 95% significance level. Furthermore, since the observed regression probability is < 0.0001, these data indicate that the proposed model was significant.

#### L. rhamnosus

The interaction terms of incubation time, incubation temperature with incubation temperature exhibited significant (P < 0.05) effects, as evidenced by their respective *P*-values of 0.002 and 0.001. The significance of the independent variables for *L. rhamnosus* production can be ranked as follows: incubation time > prebiotics concentration > incubation temperature, with *P*-values of 0.002, 0.212, and 0.872, respectively. The R<sup>2</sup> along with adjusted R<sup>2</sup> values were 0.8258 and 0.6691 for *L. rhamnosus*, respectively, indicating no lack of fit with a *P*-value of 0.0519 at the 95% significance level. Furthermore, since the observed regression probability is < 0.01, these data indicate that the proposed model was significant.

#### Optimal culture conditions by RSM

A response surface plots for bacterial counts of *Lactobacillus* strains are shown in Fig. 1. The optimized culture conditions of independent variables affecting the response variables were 22.55 h, 25°C and 3.41% (w/w) for *L. acidophilus*; 24 h, 30.86°C and 2% (w/w) for *L. plantarum*; 66.67 h, 35°C and 3.41% (w/w) for *L. rhamnosus*.

#### Discussion

To commercialize probiotics, achieving economical

production of bacteria is crucial, necessitating optimization processes due to the presence of various variables in each production process<sup>17)</sup>. Carbon source plays a vital role in

microbial growth, and the metabolic rate of the carbon source affects the production of primary or secondary metabolites as well as the proliferation of microorganisms<sup>18,19</sup>. Among the



Fig. 1. Optimal culture condition for production of *L. acidophilus* (A), *L. plantarum* (B) and *L. rhamnosus* (C) as a function of incubation time, incubation temperature, and prebiotics concentration.



#### Fig. 1. Continued.

 Table 5. Optimum conditions and comparison of predicted and observed values for verification

	Opt	imum culture condi	tion	Dradiated	Obsomvad	Existing
Responses	Incubation time (h)	Incubation temp. (°C)	Prebiotics conc. (%)	(log CFU/mL)	(log CFU/mL)	(log CFU/mL)
L. acidophilus	22.55	25.00	3.41	9.30	9.26	$8.93 {\pm} 0.08$
L. plantarum	24.00	30.86	2.00	9.28	9.15	8.71±0.05
L. rhamnosus	66.67	35.00	3.41	9.26	9.31	8.93±0.04

carbon sources used, GOS exhibited the most favorable effect as a carbon source for Lactobacillus strains, indicating that the growth of *Lactobacillus* strains can vary depending on the type of carbon source. Moreover, it was observed that the growth of Lactobacillus strains can be influenced by temperature, with environmental factors, including temperature control, playing a vital role in the growth of lactic acid bacteria<sup>20-22)</sup>. In this study, the culture conditions of L. acidophilus, L. plantarum, and L. rhamnosus were modeled as a function of incubation temperature, incubation time, and prebiotics concentration using the principles of RSM. RSM is an effective experimental design method that predicts the minimum and maximum values through the multilateral interaction results of various independent variables that must be considered for the purpose of bacteria quantity proliferation, and uses them to confirm the optimal values of each variable<sup>23)</sup>. By applying RSM, the optimal culture

for Lactobacillus strains were calculated, conditions considering the advantage of reducing experimental expenses. Previous research has confirmed that the activity and growth of Lactobacillus species are enhanced when prebiotics are present at a concentration of  $2\%^{24,25)}$ , which aligns with the findings for L. plantarum. Messens et al<sup>21</sup>. found that the temperature range between 20 and 35°C is the ideal temperature for growth. This matched the outcomes of three Lactobacillus strains. The optimized culture conditions for the Lactobacillus strains differed for each strain, with the models predicting maximum yields of 9.30, 9.28, and 9.26 log CFU/mL for L. acidophilus, L. plantarum, and L. rhamnosus, respectively. When the Lactobacillus strains were cultured using the established optimal conditions, the measured responses closely matched the predicted results, with approximate log CFU/mL values of 9.26, 9.15, and 9.31 for L. acidophilus, L. plantarum, and L. rhamnosus,

respectively (Table 5). The existing culture conditions specified in the Korean Food Code indicate a range of 48 to  $72 \pm 3$  h at 35 to  $37^{\circ}$ C. However, the optimized culture conditions in this study were found to require lower temperatures and less time compared to conventional conditions. These results are considered to be because the existing conditions are conditions for similarly simulating the human body environment, and required nutritional and physicochemical factors are not considered.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant (21153MFDS605) from the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety.

# 국문요약

프로바이오틱스 제품에 대한 수요가 지속적으로 증가하 고 있으며, Lactobacillus 균주가 가장 대중적인 프로바이 오틱스로 널리 사용되고 있다. 프로바이오틱스는 기준에 적합한 균수의 확보가 중요하며 제조원가나 시간 등을 낮 추기 위해 배양법의 개발이 필요하므로 Lactobacillus 생 산을 위한 배양 조건이 최적화되었다. 반응표면방법론에 의한 통계적 최적화에서 반응 변수에 영향을 미치는 독립 변수의 최적 조건은 Lactobacillus acidophilus의 경우 22.55 시간(배양시간), 25°C(배양온도), 3.41%(프리바이오틱스 농 도); Lactiplantibacillus plantarum의 경우 24시간, 30.86°C, 2.00%; Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus의 경우 66.67시간, 35°C, 3.41%이었다. Lactobacillus의 최적 배양조건은 예측 한 결과와 실제 결과가 밀접하게 일치하는 것을 확인하였 다. 이러한 데이터는 수율 높은 Lactobacillus를 생산하는 데 중요한 포인트를 제공할 것이다.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

#### ORCID

Young Min Hwanghttps://orcid.org/0009-0002-7302-2836 Hee-Seok Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5879-7997

#### References

- Zúñiga, M., Monedero, V., Yebra, M.J., Utilization of hostderived glycans by intestinal *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* species. *Front. Microbiol.*, 9, 1917 (2018).
- Tannock, G.W., A special fondness for lactobacilli. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, **70**, 3189-3194 (2004).
- 3. Kerry, R.G., Patra, J.K., Gouda, S., Park, Y., Shin, H.S., Das,

G., Benefaction of probiotics for human health: a review. *J. Food Drug. Anal.*, **26**, 927-939 (2018).

- Marteau, P., Flourie, B., Pochart, P., Chastang, C., Desjeux, J.F., Rambaud, J.C., Effect of the microbial lactase (EC 3.2. 1.23) activity in yoghurt on the intestinal absorption of lactose: an in vivo study in lactase-deficient humans. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 64, 71-79 (1990).
- Hatcher, G.E., Lambrecht, R.S., Augmentation of macrophage phagocytic activity by cell-free extracts of selected lactic acid-producing bacteria. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 76, 2485-2492 (1993).
- Sekine, K., Watanabe-Sekine, E., Toida, T., Kasashima, T., Kataoka, T., Hashimoto, Y., Adjuvant activity of the cell wall of *Bifidobacterium infantis* for in vivo immune responses in mice. *Immunopharmacol. Immunotoxicol.*, **16**, 589-609 (1994).
- Park, S.Y., Ji, G.E., Ko, Y.T., Jung, H.K., Ustunol, Z., Pestka, J.J., Potentiation of hydrogen peroxide, nitric oxide, and cytokine production in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells exposed to human and commercial isolates of *Bifidobacterium. Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, **46**, 231-241 (1999).
- Aoki, T., Asahara, T., Matsumoto, K., Takada, T., Chonan, O., Nakamori, K., Nonaka, C., Yamaji, I., Hisamoto, T., Sato, M., Effects of the continuous intake of a milk drink containing *Lactobacillus casei* strain Shirota on abdominal symptoms, fecal microbiota, and metabolites in gastrectomized subjects. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.*, **49**, 552-563 (2014).
- Yang, B., Yue, Y., Chen, Y., Ding, M., Li, B., Wang, L., Wang, Q., Stanton, C., Ross, R. P., Zhao, J., Zhang, H., Chen, W., *Lactobacillus plantarum* CCFM1143 alleviates chronic diarrhea via inflammation regulation and gut microbiota modulation: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Front. Immunol.*, **12**, 746585 (2021).
- Nowak, A., Paliwoda, A., Błasiak, J., Anti-proliferative, proapoptotic and anti-oxidative activity of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* strains: a review of mechanisms and therapeutic perspectives. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, **59**, 3456-3467 (2019).
- 11. Moon, G.S., Trends in studies on probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics. *Food Sci. Ind.*, **52**, 208-219 (2019).
- Li, Y., Yang, S., Lun, J., Gao, J., Gao, X., Gong, Z., Wan, Y., He, X., Cao, H., Inhibitory effects of the *Lactobacillus rham-nosus* GG effector protein HM0539 on inflammatory response through the TLR4/MyD88/NF-κB axis. *Front. Immunol.*, **11**, 551449 (2020).
- MARKETSANDMARKETS., (2021, August 22). Probiotics Food & Cosmetics Market worth \$84.5 billion by 2026. Retrieved from https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/probiotics-food-cosmetic-market-22485898.html
- Kook, S.Y., Kim, Y., Kang, B., Choe, Y.H., Kim, Y.H., Kim, S., Characterization of the fecal microbiota differs between age groups in Koreans. *Intest. Res.*, 16, 246-254 (2018).
- 15. He, G.Q., Kong, Q., Ding, L.X., Ding, L., Response surface methodology for optimizing the fermentation medium of

Clostridium butyricum. Lett. Appl. Microbiol., **39**, 363-368 (2004).

- Lotfy, W.A., Ghanem, K.M., El-Helow, E.R., Citric acid production by a novel *Aspergillus niger* isolate: II. Optimization of process parameters through statistical experimental designs. *Bioresour. Technol.*, **98**, 3470-3477 (2007).
- Park, M.S., Ji, G.E., Development of probiotics and industrialization. *Food Sci. Ind.*, 47, 19-28 (2014).
- Hernández-Hernández, O., Muthaiyan, A., Moreno, F.J., Montilla, A., Sanz, M.L., Ricke, S.C., Effect of prebiotic carbohydrates on the growth and tolerance of *Lactobacillus*. *Food Microbiol.*, **30**, 355-361 (2012).
- Kimoto-Nira, H., Suzuki, C., Sasaki, K., Kobayashi, M., Mizumachi, K., Survival of a *Lactococcus lactis* strain varies with its carbohydrate preference under in vitro conditions simulated gastrointestinal tract. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 143, 226-229 (2010).
- Mataragas, M., Metaxopoulos, J., Galiotou, M., Drosinos, E.H., Influence of pH and temperature on growth and bacteriocin production by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* L124 and *Lactobacillus curvatus* L442. *Meat Sci.*, 64, 265-271 (2003).

- Messens, W., Verluyten, J., Leroy, F., De Vuyst, L., Modelling growth and bacteriocin production by *Lactobacillus* curvatus LTH 1174 in response to temperature and pH values used for European sausage fermentation processes. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 81, 41-52 (2003).
- Medvedova, A., Mancuskova, T., Valik, L., Growth of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM in dependence on temperature. *Acta Aliment.*, 45, 104-111 (2016).
- Choi, J.I., Lee, H.S., Choi, S.K., Kim, J.H., Kim, J.K., Misawa, N., Byun, M.W., Lee, J.W., Optimization of medium for astaxanthin production by *Paracoccus sp.* using response surface methodology. *KSBB J.*, 24, 321-326 (2009).
- Mishra, S., Mishra, H.N., Effect of synbiotic interaction of fructooligosaccharide and probiotics on the acidification profile, textural and rheological characteristics of fermented soy milk. *Food Bioproc. Tech.*, 6, 3166-3176 (2013).
- Yoo, H., Rheem, I., Rheem, S., Oh, S., Optimizing medium components for the maximum growth of *Lactobacillus plantarum* JNU 2116 using response surface methodology. *Korean J Food Sci. Anim. Resour.*, 38, 240 (2018).