

SUBORDINATIONS BY CERTAIN UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH A FAMILY OF LINEAR OPERATORS[†]

SEON HYE AN, G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY AND NAK EUN CHO*

ABSTRACT. The aim of the present paper is to obtain some mapping properties of subordinations by certain univalent functions in the open unit disk associated with a family of linear operators. Moreover, we also consider some applications for integral operators.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 30C45, 30C80.

Key words and phrases : Subordination, univalent function, multivalent function, Hadamard product, Carlson-Shaffer operator, integral operator.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, let

$$\mathcal{H}[a, n] = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots\}.$$

Let Φ and Ψ be members of \mathcal{H} . The function Φ is said to be subordinate to Ψ , or Ψ is said to be superordinate to Φ , if there exists a function w analytic in \mathbb{U} , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$, such that $\Phi(z) = \Psi(w(z))$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). In such a case, we write $\Phi \prec \Psi$ or $\Phi(z) \prec \Psi(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). If the function Ψ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have (cf. [16])

$$\Phi \prec \Psi \iff \Phi(0) = \Psi(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\mathbb{U}) \subset \Psi(\mathbb{U}).$$

Let \mathcal{Q} be the class of functions f that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \setminus E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \left\{ \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} : \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = \infty \right\},$$

Received April 17, 2023. Revised June 23, 2023. Accepted September 12, 2023. *Corresponding author.

[†]The third-named author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of the Republic of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(Grant No. 2019R1I1A3A01050861).

© 2023 KSCAM.

and are such that $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(f)$.

We also denote \mathcal{M}_β^* by the class of univalent functions $q \in \mathcal{H}$ with $q(0) = 1$ satisfying the following condition:

$$\Re \left[(1 - \beta) \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \beta \left(\frac{(zq'(z))'}{q'(z)} \right) \right] > 0 \quad (\beta \in \mathbb{R}; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then we also note that \mathcal{M}_1^* is the class of convex (not necessarily normalized) functions in \mathbb{U} .

Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \tag{1}$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} . The convolution or Hadamard product of two functions $f, h \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is denoted by $f * h$ and is defined as

$$(f * h)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} b_{k+p} z^{k+p},$$

where $f(z)$ is given by (1) and $h(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$. Now we define the $\phi_p(a, c; z)$ by

$$\phi_p(a, c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} z^{k+p} \quad (c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots),$$

where $(\nu)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial) defined (in terms of the Gamma function) by

$$(\nu)_n := \frac{\Gamma(\nu + n)}{\Gamma(\nu)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } \nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \nu(\nu + 1) \cdots (\nu + n - 1) & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \nu \in \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

Denote by $L_p(a, c) : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$ the linear operator defined by

$$L_p(a, c)f(z) = \phi_p(a, c; z) * f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{2}$$

where the symbol $(*)$ stands for the Hadamard product (or convolution). We observe that

$$L_p(p + 1, p)f(z) = zf'(z)/p \text{ and } L_p(n + p, 1)f(z) = D^{n+p-1}f(z),$$

where n is any real number greater than $-p$, and the symbol D^n is the Ruscheweyh derivative [22](also, see [9]) for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Furthermore, it is easily verified from the definition of the operator $L_p(a, c)$ that

$$z(L_p(a, c)f(z))' = aL_p(a + 1, c)f(z) - (a - p)L_p(a, c)f(z). \tag{3}$$

The operator $L_p(a, c)$ was introduced and studied by Saitoh [23]. This operator is an extension of the familiar Carlson-Shaffer operator $L_1(a, c)$ which has been used widely on the space of analytic and univalent functions in \mathbb{U} (see, for details

[8]; see also [24]). Making use of the principle of subordination, various subordination theorems involving certain integral operators for analytic functions in \mathbb{D} were investigated Bulboacă [3, 4, 5], Miller *et al.* [15] and Owa and Srivastava [18]. Also Kumar *et al.* [12] gave an unified approach to study the properties of all these linear operators by considering the aspect that these operators satisfy recurrence relation of some common forms. They studied properties of integral transforms in a similar way. Furthermore, the study of the subordination properties for various operators is a significant role in pure and applied mathematics. For some recent developments one may refer to [1, 6, 7, 10, 17, 20]. The aim of the present paper, motivated by the works mentioned above, is to systematically investigate the subordinations by certain univalent function associated with the linear operator $L_p(a, c)$ defined by (2). We also consider interesting applications to the integral operator.

We recall the following lemmas which are required in our present investigation.

Lemma 1.1. [14]. *Let $p \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $p(0) = a$ and let $q(z) = a + a_n z^n + \dots$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $q(z) \not\equiv a$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If q is not subordinate to p , then there exist points $z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_0 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus E(f)$, for which*

$$q(\mathbb{U}_{r_0}) \subset p(\mathbb{U}), \quad q(z_0) = p(\zeta_0) \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 q'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 p'(\zeta_0) \quad (m \geq n).$$

Lemma 1.2. [16]. *Let k be convex (univalent) and let $A \geq 0$. Suppose that $M > 4/k'(0)$ and that $B(z)$ and $D(z)$ are analytic with $D(0) = 0$ and satisfy*

$$\Re\{B(z)\} \geq A + M|D(z)| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If $p \in \mathcal{H}$, with $p(0) = k(0)$ satisfies

$$Az^2 p''(z) + B(z)z p'(z) + p(z) + D(z) \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then $p(z) \prec k(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$).

A function $L(z, t)$ defined on $\mathbb{U} \times [0, \infty)$ is called the subordination chain (or Löwner chain) if $L(\cdot, t)$ is analytic and univalent in \mathbb{U} for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, $L(z, \cdot)$ is continuously differentiable on $[0, \infty)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $L(z, s) \prec L(z, t)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$; $0 \leq s < t$).

Lemma 1.3. [21]. *The function $L(z, t) = a_1(t)z + \dots$ with*

$$a_1(t) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a_1(t)| = \infty.$$

Suppose that $L(\cdot, t)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} for all $t \geq 0$, $L(z, \cdot)$ is continuously differentiable on $[0, \infty)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. If $L(z, t)$ satisfies

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z \frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial t}} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \quad 0 \leq t < \infty)$$

and

$$|L(z, t)| \leq K_0 |a_1(t)| \quad (|z| < r_0 < 1; \quad 0 \leq t < \infty)$$

for some positive constants K_0 and r_0 , then $L(z, t)$ is a subordination chain.

2. Subordination Results

Firstly, we begin by proving the following subordination theorem involving the multiplier transformation $L_p(a, c)$ defined by (2).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ with*

$$\Re \left\{ a\mu \frac{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (4)$$

Suppose also that $k \in \mathcal{M}_\beta^*$ and

$$\left[\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^\mu \right]^{1-\beta} \left[\frac{L_p(a+1, c)f(z)}{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \right]^\beta \prec k(z) \quad (5)$$

($0 \leq \beta \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}$).

Then

$$\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^\mu \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Let us define the function q by

$$q(z) := \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^\mu \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p; \mu \in \mathbb{C}; z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (6)$$

By using the equation (3) to (6) and by a simple computation, we get

$$\frac{L_p(a+1, c)f(z)}{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} = q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{a\mu H(z)}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$H(z) = \frac{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We note that the assumption (4) implies that $H(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). Hence, combining (6) and (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^\mu \right]^{1-\beta} \left[\frac{L_p(a+1, c)f(z)}{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \right]^\beta \\ & = q(z) \left(1 + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \frac{1}{a\mu H(z)} \right)^\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Thus, from (8), we need to prove the following subordination implication:

$$q(z) \left(1 + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \frac{1}{a\mu H(z)} \right)^\beta \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \implies q(z) \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (9)$$

For the particular case $\beta = 1$, the implication (9) becomes

$$q(z) + \frac{1}{a\mu H(z)} zq'(z) \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \implies q(z) \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (10)$$

According to Lemma 1.2 for $A = 0$ and $D(z) = 0$ and by using the inequality (4), we deduce that the above implication (10) holds true.

Now we will prove that our result for the case $\beta \neq 1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that k satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1 on the closed disk $\bar{\mathbb{U}}$ and $k'(\zeta) \neq 0$ ($\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$). If not, then we replace f, g, k and H by

$$f_r(z) = f(rz), \quad g_r(z) = g(rz), \quad k_r(z) = k(rz) \text{ and } H_r(z) = H(rz),$$

respectively, where $0 < r < 1$ and then k_r is univalent on $\bar{\mathbb{U}}$. Since

$$q_r(z) \left(1 + \frac{zq'_r(z)}{q_r(z)} \frac{1}{a\mu H_r(z)} \right)^\beta \prec k_r(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $q_r(z) = q(rz)$ ($0 < r < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}$), we would then prove that

$$q_r(z) \prec k_r(z) \quad (0 < r < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and by letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain $q(z) \prec k(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$).

If we suppose that the implication (9) is not true, that is,

$$q(z) \not\prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then, from Lemma 1.1, there exist points $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\zeta_0 \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ such that

$$q(z_0) = k(\zeta_0) \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 q'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 k'(\zeta_0) \quad (m \geq 1). \tag{11}$$

To prove the implication (9), we define the function $L : \mathbb{U} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} L(z, t) &= k(z) \left[1 + t \frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} \frac{1}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right]^\beta \\ &= a_1(t)z + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and we will show that $L(z, t)$ is a subordination chain. At first, we note that $L(z, t)$ is analytic in $|z| < r < 1$, for sufficient small $r > 0$ and for all $t \geq 0$. We also have that $L(z, t)$ is continuously differentiable on $[0, \infty)$ for each $|z| < r < 1$. A simple calculation shows that

$$a_1(t) = \frac{\partial L(0, t)}{\partial z} = k'(0) \left[1 + \frac{t\beta}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right].$$

From the assumptions $k'(0) \neq 0$ and (4) with $0 < \beta \leq 1$, we deduce

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{t\beta}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right\} \geq 1 > 0 \quad (t \geq 0). \tag{12}$$

Hence we obtain $a_1(t) \neq 0$ ($t \geq 0$) and also we can see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a_1(t)| = \infty$. While, by a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left\{ \frac{z \frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial t}} \right\} &= \frac{t}{\beta} \Re \left[(1 - \beta) \frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} + \beta \left(1 + \frac{zk''(z)}{k'(z)} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\beta} \Re \{ a\mu H(z_0) \}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

By using the fact that $k \in \mathcal{M}_\beta^*$ and the assumption(4) to (13), we obtain

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z \partial L(z,t)}{\partial z} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq t < \infty),$$

which completes the proof of the first condition of Lemma1.2. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{L(z,t)}{a_1(t)} \right|^{1/\beta} &= \left| \frac{k(z)}{k'(0)} \right|^{1/\beta} \frac{\left| 1 + t \frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} \frac{1}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right|}{\left| 1 + \frac{t\beta}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right|^{1/\beta}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta} \left| \frac{k(z)}{k'(0)} \right|^{1/\beta} \left[\frac{|zk'(z)|}{|k(z)|} + \frac{\left| \beta - \frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} \right|}{\left| 1 + \frac{\beta t}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right|} \right] \frac{1}{\left| 1 + \frac{\beta t}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right|^{1/\beta-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta |k'(0)|} \left| \frac{k(z)}{k'(0)} \right|^{1/\beta-1} \left[|zk'(z)| + \frac{\beta |k(z)| + |zk'(z)|}{\left| 1 + \frac{\beta t}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right|} \right] \frac{1}{\left| 1 + \frac{\beta t}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right|^{1/\beta-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Since $k \in \mathcal{M}_\beta^*$, the function k may be written by

$$k(z) = k(0) + k'(0)K(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{15}$$

where K is a normalized univalent function in \mathbb{U} . We also note that for function K , we have the following sharp growth and distortion results [11, 21]:

$$\frac{r}{(1+r)^2} \leq |K(z)| \leq \frac{r}{(1-r)^2} \quad (|z| = r < 1) \tag{16}$$

and

$$\frac{1-r}{(1+r)^3} \leq |K'(z)| \leq \frac{1+r}{(1-r)^3} \quad (|z| = r < 1). \tag{17}$$

Hence, by applying the equations(12), (15), (16) and (17) to (14), we can find easily an upper bound for the right-hand side of (14). Thus the function $L(z, t)$ satisfies the second condition of Lemma 1.3, which proves that $L(z, t)$ is a subordination chain. In particular, we note from the definition of subordination chain that

$$k(z) = L(z, 0) \prec L(z, t) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; t \geq 0). \tag{18}$$

Now, by using the equality (8) and the relation (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z_0)}{L_p(a, c)g(z_0)} \right)^\mu \right]^{1-\beta} \left[\frac{L_p(a+1, c)f(z_0)}{L_p(a+1, c)g(z_0)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z_0)}{L_p(a, c)g(z_0)} \right)^{\mu-1} \right]^\beta \\ &= q(z_0) \left(1 + \frac{zq'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} \frac{1}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right)^\beta \\ &= k(\zeta_0) \left(1 + m \frac{\zeta_0 k'(\zeta_0)}{q(\zeta_0)} \frac{1}{a\mu H(z_0)} \right)^\beta \\ &= L(\zeta_0, m) \quad (m \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, according to (18), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z_0)}{L_p(a, c)g(z_0)} \right)^\mu \right]^{1-\beta} \left[\frac{L_p(a+1, c)f(z_0)}{L_p(a+1, c)g(z_0)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z_0)}{L_p(a, c)g(z_0)} \right)^{\mu-1} \right]^\beta \\ &= L(\zeta_0, m) \notin k(\mathbb{U}). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

But, the relation (19) contradicts the assumption (5), and hence we finally conclude that $q(z) \prec k(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). Therefore we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

If we take $g(z) = z^p$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$. Suppose also that $k \in \mathcal{M}_\beta^*$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\mu \right]^{1-\beta} \left[\frac{L_p(a+1, c)f(z)}{z^p} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{\mu-1} \right]^\beta \prec k(z) \\ & (a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \Re\{a\mu\} > 0; 0 \leq \beta \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\mu \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

If we let $\mu = 1$ and $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ with*

$$\Re \left\{ a \frac{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Suppose also that $k \in \mathcal{M}_1^*$ and

$$\frac{L_p(a+1, c)f(z)}{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)} \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then

$$\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ with

$$\Re \left\{ a\mu \frac{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Suppose also that $k \in \mathcal{M}_1^*$ and

$$(1 - \beta) \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^\mu + \beta \frac{L_p(a+1, c)f(z)}{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \prec k(z) \\ (\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^\mu \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Let us define the function q as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 by

$$q(z) := \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^\mu \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p; \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then, by using the equations (6) and (7), we obtain

$$(1 - \beta) \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^\mu + \beta \frac{L_p(a+1, c)f(z)}{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \\ = q(z) \left(1 + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \frac{\beta}{a\mu H_0(z)} \right).$$

The remaining part of the proof in Theorem 2.4 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1 and so we omit the detailed proof.

If we take $\mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.4, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.5. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ with

$$\Re \left\{ a \frac{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Suppose also that $k \in \mathcal{M}_1^*$ and

$$(1 - \beta) \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \right) + \beta \frac{L_p(a+1, c)f(z)}{L_p(a+1, c)g(z)} \prec k(z) \quad (\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then

$$\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Next, we consider the generalized Libera integral operator F_ν ($\nu > -p$) defined by (cf. [2, 9, 13, 19])

$$F_\nu(f)(z) := \frac{\nu + p}{z^\nu} \int_0^z t^{\nu-1} f(t) dt \quad (f \in \mathcal{A}_p; \nu > -p) \quad (20)$$

Now, we obtain the following subordination property involving the integral operator defined by (20).

Theorem 2.6. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ with*

$$\Re \left\{ (\nu + p)\mu \frac{L_p(a, c)g(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (a \in \mathbb{R}; \nu > -p; \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where F_ν is the integral operator defined by (20). Suppose also that $k \in \mathcal{M}_\beta^*$ and

$$\left[\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right)^\mu \right]^{1-\beta} \left[\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \right]^\beta \prec k(z)$$

$$(0 \leq \beta \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right)^\mu \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Let us define the function q by

$$q(z) := \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right)^\mu \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p; \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (21)$$

From the definition of the integral operator F_ν defined by (20), we obtain

$$z(L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z))' = (\nu + p)L_p(a, c)f(z) - \nu L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z) \quad (22)$$

By using the equation (22) and also, by a simple calculation, we have

$$\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} = q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{(\nu + p)\mu H(z)}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$H(z) = \frac{L_p(a, c)g(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We also note that from the assumption, $H(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). Hence, combining (21) and (23), we obtain

$$\left[\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right)^\mu \right]^{1-\beta} \left[\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \right]^\beta$$

$$= q(z) \left(1 + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \frac{1}{(\nu + p)\mu H(z)} \right)^\beta.$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1 and so we may omit for the proof involved.

If we let $\mu = 1$ and $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 2.6, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ with*

$$\Re \left\{ (\nu + p) \frac{L_p(a, c)g(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (a \in \mathbb{R}; \nu > -p; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where F_ν is the integral operator defined by (20). Suppose also that $k \in \mathcal{M}_1^*$ and

$$\frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then

$$\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Theorem 2.8. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ with

$$\Re \left\{ (\nu + p)\mu \frac{L_p(a, c)g(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (a \in \mathbb{R}; \nu > -p; \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U})$$

Suppose also that $k \in \mathcal{M}_1^*$ and

$$(1 - \beta) \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right)^\mu + \beta \frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right)^{\mu-1} \prec k(z) \\ (\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right)^\mu \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.8 is much akin to that of Theorem 2.4 and so the details may be omitted.

If we take $\mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.8, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.9. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$ with

$$\Re \left\{ (\nu + p) \frac{L_p(a, c)g(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (a \in \mathbb{R}; \nu > -p; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Suppose also that $k \in \mathcal{M}_1^*$ and

$$(1 - \beta) \left(\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \right) + \beta \frac{L_p(a, c)f(z)}{L_p(a, c)g(z)} \prec k(z) \quad (\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then

$$\frac{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(f)(z)}{L_p(a, c)F_\nu(g)(z)} \prec k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

3. Conclusion

In our current study we originate some mapping properties of subordinations by certain univalent functions in the open unit disk associated with a family of linear operators. We also discuss our results with those for functions which preserve starlikeness of the general integral operator and so state a new result as special cases. Further, we remark that our results presented in this paper can be applied to various differential and integral operators with a suitable recurrence relation.

Conflicts of interest : The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability : Not applicable

REFERENCES

1. S.H. An, R. Srivastava and N.E. Cho, *New approaches for subordination and superordination of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators*, Accepted in Miskolc Math. Notes.
2. S.D. Bernardi, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (1969), 429-446.
3. T. Bulboacă, *Integral operators that preserve the subordination*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **32** (1997), 627-636.
4. T. Bulboacă, *Subordination by alpha-convex functions*, Kodai Math. J. **26** (2003), 267-278.
5. T. Bulboacă, *Generalization of a class of nonlinear averaging integral operators*, Math. Nachr. **278** (2005), 34-42.
6. N.E. Cho, Sushil Kumar, V. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, *Differential subordination and radius estimates for starlike functions associated with the Booth lemniscate*, Turkish. J. Math. **42** (2018), 1380-1399.
7. N.E. Cho, Sushil Kumar, V. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, *Starlike functions related to the Bell numbers*, Symmetry **11** (2019), Article No. 219.
8. B.C. Carlson and D.B. Shaffer, *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **159** (1984), 737-745.
9. R.M. Goel and N.S. Sohi, *A new criterion for p-valent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), 353-357.
10. H. Ö. Güney, D. Breaz and S. Owa, *Some Properties for Subordinations of Analytic Functions*, Axioms **12** (2023), Article No. 131.
11. D.J. Hallenbeck and T.H. MacGregor, *Linear problems and convexity techniques in geometric function theory*, Pitman Publishing Limited, London, 1984.
12. S.S. Kumar, V. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, *Subordination and superordination for multivalent functions defined by linear operators*, Tamsui Oxf. J. Inf. Math. Sci. **29** (2013), 361-387.
13. R.J. Libera, *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 755-758.
14. S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations and univalent functions*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), 157-172.
15. S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu and M.O. Reade, *Subordination-preserving integral operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **283** (1984), 605-615.
16. S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordination, Theory and Application*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, Basel, 2000.
17. H. Naraghi, P. Najmadi and B. Taherkhani, *New subclass of analytic functions defined by subordination*, Internat. J. Nonlin. Anal. Appl. **12** (2021), 847-55.
18. S. Owa and H.M. Srivastava, *Some subordination theorems involving a certain family of integral operators*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **15** (2004), 445-454.
19. S. Owa and H.M. Srivastava, *Some applications of the generalized Libera integral operator*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **62** (1986), 125-128.
20. G.I. Oros, G. Oros and S. Owa, *Subordination properties of certain operators concerning fractional integral and Libera integral operator*, Fractal Fract. **7** (2023), Article No. 42.
21. Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vanderhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
22. S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 109-115.

23. H. Saitoh, *A linear operator and its applications of first order differential subordinations*, Math. Japon. **44** (1996), 31-38.
24. H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *Some characterizations and distortions theorems involving fractional calculus, generalized hypergeometric functions, Hadamard products, linear operators, and certain subclasses of analytic functions*, Nagoya Math. J. **106** (1987), 1-28.

Seon Hye An received her M.Sc. and Ph.D. from Pukyong National University. Her research interests are Complex Analysis, Geometric Function Theory and Special Functions. Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Pukyong National University, Busan 608-737, Korea.
e-mail: ansonhye@gmail.com

G. Murugusundaramoorthy received his Ph.D. from University of Madras. His research interests are Complex Analysis, Geometric Function Theory and Special Functions. Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, Tamilnadu, India.
e-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

Nak Eun Cho received M.Sc. and Ph.D. from Kyungpook National University. He is currently a professor at Pukyong National University since 1985. His research interests are Complex Analysis, Geometric Function Theory and Special Functions. Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Pukyong National University, Busan 608-737, Korea.
e-mail: necho@pknu.ac.kr