

Editorial

() Check for updates

Device-Detected Subclinical Atrial Fibrillation as Fire Under the Ashes

Seung-Jung Park 💿, MD

Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Vascular Stroke Institute, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Jun 2, 2023 Accepted: Jun 12, 2023 Published online: Jun 21, 2023

Correspondence to

Seung-Jung Park, MD

Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Heart Vascular Stroke Institute, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81, Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Korea. Email: orthovics@gmail.com orthovics@skku.edu

Copyright © 2023. The Korean Society of Cardiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Seung-Jung Park iD https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3288-0594

Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of Interest

Dr. Seung-Jung Park received research grants from Abbott, Biotronik, Boston Scientifc, and Medtronic. Resynchronization Therapy" in volume 53 on page 483.

▶ See the article "Clinical Implications of Device-Detected Atrial Fibrillation in Cardiac

It is well known that clinical atrial fibrillation (AF) can reduce the effectiveness of cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) for patients with heart failure (HF) and ventricular dyssynchrony.¹⁾²⁾ During AF rhythm, the percentage of biventricular pacing (BiV-P%) can significantly decrease by rapidly conducting dyssynchronous rhythms, fusion beats, and pseudofusion pacing, consequently compromising the inter-/intraventricular resynchronization. In addition, development of AF resulted in loss of atrial mechanical contraction and the inability of atrial synchronous BiV-P, impairing atrioventricular synchrony.¹⁾³⁾ However, the clinical implications of device-detected subclinical AF (SCAF), reported in 20% to 30% of patients with CRT, have not been as fully investigated as clinical AF.⁴⁾⁵⁾

In a recent retrospective study in the *Korean Circulation Journal*, Yoon et al.⁶⁾ provided us with valuable information on the adverse effect of device-detected SCAF in patients with CRT. Among 120 CRT patients without a prior history of AF, 19 (15.8%) had device-detected SCAF, defined as atrial high-rate episodes \geq 180 beats per minute lasting 6 minutes or longer, during a median follow-up of 25.1 months. Patients with device-detected SCAF exhibited a significantly lower 'optimal BiV-P%' (defined as \geq 98%) and a higher incidence of HF hospitalization, cardiovascular death, and all-cause death than those without. Interestingly, patients with device-detected SCAF and those with preexisting AF showed no significant differences regarding the BiV-P% and clinical outcomes.

Unfortunately, in this study, clinical outcomes or BiV-P% was only evaluated according to the presence or absence of device-detected SCAF. More detailed analyses depending on SCAF burden would have been more informative. Additionally, the average BiV-P% was derived only from the last interrogation, not through the entire follow-up duration. However, their results were sufficient to elucidate the importance of device-detected SCAF for better management of patients with CRT.

Device-detected SCAF is also known to be strongly associated with the risk of progression to clinical AF, ischemic stroke, and inappropriate shock in addition to HF aggravation or increased mortality.⁴⁾⁵⁾⁷⁾ BiV-P% can also be overestimated by the SCAF-induced fusion/ pseudofusion beats because these pacing beats are, although ineffective, erroneously counted as BiV-P by most CRT devices.⁸⁾

Data Sharing Statement

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as this is an editorial article and no own original data are generated/provided in this manuscript.

The contents of the report are the author's own views and do not necessarily reflect the views of the *Korean Circulation Journal*.

Therefore, more attention needs to be paid to the device interrogation results for the possibility of SCAF, particularly in CRT patients with no or suboptimal response. If the burden of SCAF is not significant, antiarrhythmic drugs may be effective to control SCAF and maximize BiV-P%. Automated CRT algorithms designed to speed up BiV-P rates can help increase BiV-P% during SCAF rhythm.⁹⁾ However, when the burden of SCAF increases despite medical treatment, AF ablation may be required. If AF ablation is not feasible or effective, atrioventricular node (AVN) ablation can be an alternative.²⁾³⁾ In previous studies, AF patients treated with AVN ablation showed as favorable a prognosis as patients with sinus rhythm following CRT implantation.²⁾ Finally, anticoagulation may be needed when the SCAF burden exceeds 24 hours because previous data reported that patients with SCAF >24 hours were at increased risk of stroke.⁷⁾¹⁰⁾

In conclusion, device-detected SCAF, which can be elusive or underdiagnosed without device interrogation, may be just as closely associated with various adverse cardiovascular outcomes as clinical AF. Therefore, optimal management of device-detected SCAF may be important to achieve a better prognosis of patients with CRT. Prospective studies are worth conducting to find the optimal cutoff of SCAF burden for more aggressive rhythm control or anticoagulation therapy.

REFERENCES

- Gasparini M, Leclercq C, Lunati M, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation: the CERTIFY study (Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy in Atrial Fibrillation Patients Multinational Registry). *JACC Heart Fail* 2013;1:500-7.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Youn JC, Kim D, Cho JY, et al. Korean Society of Heart Failure guidelines for the management of heart failure: treatment. *Korean Circ J* 2023;53:217-38.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Hwang JK, Gwag HB, Park KM, On YK, Kim JS, Park SJ. Outcomes of cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation accompanied by slow ventricular response. *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0210603.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 4. Witt CT, Kronborg MB, Nohr EA, Mortensen PT, Gerdes C, Nielsen JC. Early detection of atrial high rate episodes predicts atrial fibrillation and thromboembolic events in patients with cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;12:2368-75.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Kim M, Kim TH, Yu HT, et al. Prevalence and predictors of clinically relevant atrial high-rate episodes in patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices. *Korean Circ J* 2021;51:235-47.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Yoon M, Oh J, Chun KH, et al. Clinical implications of device-detected atrial fibrillation in cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Korean Circ J* 2023;53:483-96.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Park YJ, Kim JS, Park KM, On YK, Park SJ. Subclinical atrial fibrillation burden and adverse clinical outcomes in patients with permanent pacemakers. *Stroke* 2021;52:1299-308.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Hernández-Madrid A, Facchin D, Klepfer RN, et al. Device pacing diagnostics overestimate effective cardiac resynchronization therapy pacing results of the hOLter for Efficacy analysis of CRT (OLÉ CRT) study. *Heart Rhythm* 2017;14:541-7.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Plummer CJ, Frank CM, Bári Z, et al. A novel algorithm increases the delivery of effective cardiac resynchronization therapy during atrial fibrillation: the CRTee randomized crossover trial. *Heart Rhythm* 2018;15:369-75.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Van Gelder IC, Healey JS, Crijns HJ, et al. Duration of device-detected subclinical atrial fibrillation and occurrence of stroke in ASSERT. *Eur Heart J* 2017;38:1339-44.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF