



THE REICH TYPE CONTRACTION IN A WEIGHTED $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -METRIC SPACE

Pravin Singh¹, Shivani Singh² and Virath Singh³

¹University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X54001,
Durban, South Africa, 4001
e-mail: singhp@ukzn.ac.za

²University of South Africa, Department of Decision Sciences,
PO Box 392, Pretoria, 0003
e-mail: singhs2@unisa.ac.za

³University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X54001,
Durban, South Africa, 4001
e-mail: singhv@ukzn.ac.za

Abstract. In this paper, the concept of a weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space is introduced as a generalization of the $b_\nu(s)$ -metric space and ν -metric space. We prove some fixed point results of the Reich-type contraction in the weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space. Furthermore, we generalize Reich's theorem by extending the result to a weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1968, Kannan studied the following fixed point theorem, which is a generalization of Banach contraction principle and the mapping satisfying the contractive condition is known as Kannan-type contraction, which is interesting since the contraction mapping does not need to be continuous [3].

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $x_0 \in X$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$$

⁰Received May 9, 2023. Revised June 8, Accepted July 9, 2023.

⁰2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

⁰Keywords: Reich contraction, $b_\nu(s)$ -metric, monotonic.

⁰Corresponding author: V. Singh(singhv@ukzn.ac.za).

is the Picard iterative sequence, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.2. ([3]) *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that there exists $k < \frac{1}{2}$ satisfying*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k(d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)) \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ and for each $x \in X$ the iterated sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to z .

In 1971, Reich extended the Banach and Kannan fixed point theorems as follows [15].

Theorem 1.3. ([15]) *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that there exists $a, b, c \geq 0$, $a + b + c < 1$ satisfying*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + bd(x, Tx) + cd(y, Ty) \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ and for each $x \in X$ the iterated sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to z .

Some authors explored the above line of thought by generalizing the type of contraction mappings while other authors explored the idea of generalizing the underlying space (see [11]). In 2000, Branciari in [1], introduced the following concept.

Definition 1.4. ([1]) Let X be a set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function that satisfies the following:

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, u_2) + \dots + d(u_\nu, y)$ for all $x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\nu, y \in X$ such that $x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\nu, y$ are all different.

Then (X, d) is called a ν -generalized metric.

Suzuki et al. [17], provided a proof of the following fixed point theorem which is a generalization of the Banach contraction principle in ν -generalized metric space. Recent articles on fixed points results on contraction and Suzuki type mappings can be found in [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 13].

Theorem 1.5. ([17]) *Let (X, d) be a complete ν -generalized metric space and let T be a contraction on X , that is, there exists $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \quad (1.3)$$

for $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In [9], the authors introduced the concept of a $b_\nu(s)$ -metric space as follows:

Definition 2.1. ([9]) Let X be a set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function that satisfies the following:

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (iii) there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that

$$d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, u_2) + \cdots + d(u_\nu, y)]$$

for all $x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\nu, y \in X$ such that $x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\nu, y$ are all different and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then (X, d) is called a $b_\nu(s)$ -metric space.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of a weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function that satisfies the following:

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (iii) there exists constants $\alpha_i \geq 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$ such that

$$d(x, y) \leq \alpha_1 d(x, u_1) + \alpha_2 d(u_1, u_2) + \cdots + \alpha_\nu d(u_\nu, y)$$

for all $x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\nu, y \in X$ such that $x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\nu, y$ are all different and $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then (X, d) is called a weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_\nu)$.

If $\alpha_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \nu$, then the weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric is a ν -generalized metric. If $\alpha_i = s$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \nu$, then the weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric is a $b_\nu(s)$ -generalized metric. If $\nu = 1$, then $b_1(\alpha)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is a generalized b -metric space introduced in [16].

Example 2.3. Let $X = (1, 3)$. If we define

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{|x-y|}, & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = y, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

then, the properties (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.2 can be easily verified. It remains to show property (iii) holds: Let $x, u_i, y \in X$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$. Then

by Jensen's inequality [2],

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x, y) &= e^{|x-y|} \\
 &\leq e^{|x-u_1|+|u_1-u_2|+\dots+|u_\nu-y|} \\
 &= e^{\frac{2}{\nu(\nu+1)}|x-u_1|+\frac{4}{\nu(\nu+1)}|u_1-u_2|+\dots+\frac{2\nu}{\nu(\nu+1)}|u_\nu-y|} \\
 &\quad \times e^{\left(1-\frac{2}{\nu(\nu+1)}\right)|x-u_1|+\dots+\left(1-\frac{2\nu}{\nu(\nu+1)}\right)|u_\nu-y|} \\
 &\leq e^{2\nu-2} \left\{ \frac{2}{\nu(\nu+1)} e^{|x-u_1|} + \dots + \frac{2\nu}{\nu(\nu+1)} e^{|u_\nu-y|} \right\} \\
 &= \alpha_1 d(x, u_1) + \alpha_2 d(u_1, u_2) + \dots + \alpha_\nu d(u_\nu, y),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_i = \frac{e^{2\nu-2} 2i}{\nu(\nu+1)} \geq 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$ and $\nu \geq 1$. It follows that (X, d) is a weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space.

In Example 2.3, if we take $s = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,\nu} \left\{ \frac{e^{2\nu-2} 2i}{\nu(\nu+1)} \right\}$ for $\nu \geq 1$, then d is a $b_\nu(s)$ -metric.

Definition 2.4. Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in a weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space.

(a) The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent to $x \in X$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0.$$

(b) The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X if for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+m}) = 0.$$

3. MAIN RESULT

The following theorem is the analogue of the Reich contraction principle found in [14], in a weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_\nu)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete $b_\nu(\alpha)$ metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + bd(x, Tx) + cd(y, Ty) \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where a, b, c are nonnegative constants with $a + b + c < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary and define a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $x = x_{n-1}$, $y = x_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\
 &\leq ad(x_{n-1}, x_n) + bd(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + cd(x_n, Tx_n) \\
 &= ad(x_{n-1}, x_n) + bd(x_{n-1}, x_n) + cd(x_n, x_{n+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(1 - c)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (a + b)d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

That is,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{(a + b)}{(1 - c)}d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mu := \frac{(a+b)}{(1-c)} < 1$. Repeated use of inequality (3.2), we get

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \mu^n d(x_0, x_1) \quad (3.3)$$

for $n \geq 1$. Since $\mu < 1$, we obtain that $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, we show that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . For $m > \nu \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+m}) &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu+1} d(x_{n+\nu}, x_{n+\nu+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu+1} [\beta_{\nu+1} d(x_{n+\nu}, x_{n+\nu+1}) \\ &\quad + \beta_{\nu+2} d(x_{n+\nu+1}, x_{n+\nu+2}) + \cdots + \beta_m d(x_{n+m-1}, x_{n+m})] \\ &\leq \gamma \{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + d(x_{n+\nu}, x_{n+\nu+1}) \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+\nu+1}, x_{n+\nu+2}) + \cdots + d(x_{n+m-1}, x_{n+m})\} \\ &\leq \gamma \mu^n (1 + \mu + \cdots + \mu^{m-1}) d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \gamma \frac{\mu^n}{1 - \mu} d(x_0, x_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \max_{1 \leq i \leq \nu+1, \nu+1 \leq j \leq m} \{\alpha_i, \alpha_{\nu+1} \beta_j\}$. Since $\mu < 1$, it follows that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since (X, d) is a complete $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space, there exists $x' \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x') \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we show that x' is a fixed point for T . Using inequality (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x', Tx') &\leq \alpha_1 d(x', x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \alpha d(x_{n+\nu}, Tx') \\ &= \alpha_1 d(x', x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \alpha_\nu d(Tx_{n+\nu-1}, Tx') \\ &\leq \alpha_1 d(x', x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \alpha_\nu (ad(x_{n+\nu-1}, x') + bd(x_{n+\nu-1}, x_{n+\nu}) + cd(x', Tx')). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$d(x', Tx') \leq cd(x', Tx'). \quad (3.4)$$

Since $c < 1$, inequality is true, only if $d(x', Tx') = 0$, that is, $Tx' = x'$. For uniqueness, let x'' be another fixed point of T . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x', x'') &= d(Tx', Tx'') \\ &\leq ad(x', x'') + bd(x', Tx') + cd(x'', Tx'') \\ &\leq ad(x', x''). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

This is a contradiction, unless $d(x', x'') = 0$, that is, $x' = x''$. \square

We have modified the class of functions introduced by Rakotch [12], in the following definition.

Definition 3.2. ([10, 12]) Let (X, d) be a weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space. Define the family of functions $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ which satisfies the following properties

- (i) $f(x, y) = f(d(x, y))$,
- (ii) f is a monotonically non-decreasing continuous function.

Denote this family of altering distance functions by \mathbb{F} .

Example 3.3. Define $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ by

$$\psi(x) = \frac{e^x}{e^x + 1}.$$

Then ψ is a monotonically increasing function: for $x \leq y$, the exponential function is increasing thus, we get that $e^x \leq e^y$. It follows that $e^x + e^{x+y} \leq e^y + e^{x+y}$ which implies that $\frac{e^x}{1+e^x} \leq \frac{e^y}{1+e^y}$ thus ψ is an increasing function. For all $x \geq 0$, we have that $e^x < 1 + e^x$ since $e^x > 0$, which implies that $\frac{e^x}{1+e^x} < 1$, thus $0 < \psi(x) < 1$. It follows that $\psi \in \mathbb{F}$.

In this section, we generalize the Reich's theorem found in [15, 18] to a weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, d) be a complete weighted $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space. If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a self-mapping and there exists $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq a(x, y)d(x, y) + b(x, y)d(x, Tx) + c(x, y)d(y, Ty) \tag{3.6}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $a(x, y) + b(x, y) + c(x, y) < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. Then define a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, as $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we obtain from (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq a(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + b(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + c(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, Tx_n) \\ &= a(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + b(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + c(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - c(x_{n-1}, x_n))d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq (a(x_{n-1}, x_n) + b(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\quad \times d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \frac{(a(x_{n-1}, x_n) + b(x_{n-1}, x_n))}{(1 - c(x_{n-1}, x_n))}d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

If $f(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \frac{(a(x_{n-1}, x_n) + b(x_{n-1}, x_n))}{(1 - c(x_{n-1}, x_n))}$, then $0 < f(x_{n-1}, x_n) < 1$. It follows that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq f(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \tag{3.7}$$

Repeated use of (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq f(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq f(x_{n-1}, x_n)f(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \cdots f(x_0, x_1)d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Now, if $d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \geq \varepsilon_0$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, then by the monotonicity of f , it follows that $f(d(x_k, x_{k+1})) \leq f(\varepsilon_0)$. Hence, we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq f^n(\varepsilon_0)d(x_0, x_1).$$

Since $0 < f^n(\varepsilon_0) < 1$, then it follows that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, we show that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . For $m > \nu \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+m}) &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu+1} d(x_{n+\nu}, x_{n+\nu+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha_1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu+1} [\beta_{\nu+1} d(x_{n+\nu}, x_{n+\nu+1}) \\ &\quad + \beta_{\nu+2} d(x_{n+\nu+1}, x_{n+\nu+2}) + \cdots + \beta_m d(x_{n+m-1}, x_{n+m})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \gamma \{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\
&\quad + \cdots + d(x_{n+\nu}, x_{n+\nu+1}) + d(x_{n+\nu+1}, x_{n+\nu+2}) \\
&\quad + \cdots + d(x_{n+m-1}, x_{n+m})\} \\
&\leq \gamma f^n(\varepsilon_0) (1 + f(\varepsilon_0) + \cdots + f^{m-1}(\varepsilon_0)) d(x_0, x_1) \\
&\leq \gamma \frac{f^n(\varepsilon_0)}{1 - f(\varepsilon_0)} d(x_0, x_1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \max_{1 \leq i \leq \nu+1, \nu+1 \leq j \leq m} \{\alpha_i, \alpha_{\nu+1} \beta_j\}$. Since $0 < f(\varepsilon_0) < 1$, it follows that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since (X, d) is a complete $b_\nu(\alpha)$ -metric space, there exists $x' \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x') \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we show that x' is a fixed point for T . Using inequality (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x', Tx') &\leq \alpha_1 d(x', x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + \alpha d(x_{n+\nu}, Tx') \\
&= \alpha_1 d(x', x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + \alpha_\nu d(Tx_{n+\nu-1}, Tx') \\
&\leq \alpha_1 d(x', x_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\
&\quad + \cdots + \alpha_\nu (a(x_{n+\nu-1}, x') d(x_{n+\nu-1}, x') \\
&\quad + b(x_{n+\nu-1}, x') d(x_{n+\nu-1}, x_{n+\nu}) + c(x_{n+\nu-1}, x') d(x', Tx')).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$d(x', Tx') \leq c(x', x') d(x', Tx'). \quad (3.9)$$

Since $c < 1$, inequality is true, only if $d(x', Tx') = 0$, that is, $Tx' = x'$.

For uniqueness, let x'' be another fixed point of T . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x', x'') &= d(Tx', Tx'') \\
&\leq a(x', x'') d(x', x'') + b(x', x'') d(x', Tx') + c(x', x'') d(x'', Tx'') \\
&\leq a(x', x'') d(x', x'').
\end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Inequality (3.10) is a contradiction, unless $d(x', x'') = 0$, that is, $x' = x''$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Branciari, *A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **57** (2000), 31–37.
- [2] S.S. Dragomir, *A new refinement of Jensen's inequality in linear spaces with applications*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **52**(9-10) (2010), 1497–1505.
- [3] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **60** (1968), 71-76.
- [4] B.T. Leyew and O.T. Mewomo, *Common fixed point results for generalized orthogonal F-Suzuki contraction for family of multivalued mappings in orthogonal b-metric spaces*, Commun. Kor. Math. Soc., **37**(4) (2022), 1147–1170.
- [5] A.A. Mebawondu, C. Izuchukwu, G.N. Ogwo and O.T. Mewomo, *Existence of solutions for boundary value problems and nonlinear matrix equations via F-contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Asian-Eur. J. Math., **15**(11) (2022), 2250201.

- [6] A.A. Mebawondu and O.T. Mewomo, *Some fixed point results for TAC-Suzuki contractive mappings*, Commun. Kor. Math. Soc., **34**(4) (2019), 1201–1222.
- [7] A.A. Mebawondu and O.T. Mewomo, *Suzuki type fixed results in a G_b -metric space*, Asian-Eur. J. Math., **14**(5) (2021), 2150070.
- [8] O.T. Mewomo, B.T. Leyew and M. Abbas, *Fixed point results for generalized multivalued orthogonal $\alpha - F$ -contraction of integral type mappings in orthogonal metric spaces*, Topol. Algebra Appl., **10**(1) (2022), 113–131.
- [9] Z.D. Mitrović and S. Radenović, *The Banach and Reich contractions in $b_\nu(s)$ -metric spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., **19** (2017), 3087–3095.
- [10] J.R. Morales, *Generalization of Rakotch's Fixed point theorem*, Revista de Math. Teorey Appl., Enero, **9**(1) (2002), 25–33.
- [11] G. A. Okeke, D. Francis and J. K. Kim, *New proofs of some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying Reich type contractions in modular metric spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **28**(1)(2023), 1-9..
- [12] E. Rakotch, *A note on contractive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **13** (1962), 459–465.
- [13] K. P. R. Rao, W. Shatanawi, G. N. V. Kishore, K. Abodayeh and D. Ram Prasad, *Existence and Uniqueness of Suzuki type result in S_b -metric spaces with application to integral equations*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **23**(2)(2018), 225-246.
- [14] S. Reich, *Fixed points of contractive functions*, Boll. della Unione Mat. Italiana, **5** (1972), 26–42.
- [15] S. Reich, *Some remarks concerning contraction mappings*, Can. Math. Bull., **14** (1997), 121–124.
- [16] P. Singh, V. Singh and T. Jele, *A new relaxed b -metric type and fixed point results*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **18**(1) (2021), 7–8.
- [17] T. Suzuki, B. Alamri and L.A. Khan, *Some notes on fixed point theorems in ν -generalized metric space*, Bull. Kyushu Inst. Tech. Pure Appl. Math., **62** (2015), 15–23.
- [18] A.A. Zafar and L. Ali, *Generalization of Reich's Fixed point theorem*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **3**(25) (2009), 1239–1243.