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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we prove common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings under implicit contractive relation in quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric spaces. We also provide an illustrative example to support our results. Furthermore, we will use the results obtained for application to two boundary value problems for the secondorder differential equation. Also, we prove a common solution for the nonlinear fractional differential equation.


## 1. Introduction

In 1906, Fréchet [20] introduced the study of sets of elements in abstract spaces. In 1922, Banach [10] proved a fixed point theorem using the concept of abstract spaces. This theorem gave an iterative procedure to find the fixed point and is famously known as the Banach contraction principle. The Banach contraction principle has several applications in nonlinear analysis and pure and applied mathematics. Researchers have generalised these results by refining the contraction conditions and replacing metric spaces with a more generalised abstract space.

1976, Jungck [33] initiated the concept of commuting mappings and proved fixed points results in metric space. Jungck [34] extended the concept of commuting mapping to compatible mappings and proved common fixed points results on metric spaces. Sessa [58] proved the results on a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations. Kaneko and Sessa [35] extended the concept of compatible mappings due to Jungck [33] to include multi-valued mappings as well as single-valued mappings. Moreover,

[^0]they proved coincidence and fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of compatible mappings. For more literature, we refer the reader to $[1,25,47,35,61]$ and the reference cited therein.

Similarly, Czerwik [17] established $b$-metric spaces by weakening the triangle inequality coefficient and generalising Banach's contraction principle to these spaces. Since then, several papers have been published on the fixed point theory of various classes of the single and multi-valued map in $b$-metric space. Aydi et al. [8] proved a common fixed points via implicit contractions on $b$-metric-like spaces. Aydi et al. [7] proved a fixed point theorem for setvalued quasi-contractions in $b$-metric spaces. For more details, one can see in $[16,38,39,41,56]$ and the references therein.

Likewise, Matthew [42] introduced non-zero self-distance, which is applied in computer networking, data structure, and computer programming languages. The non-self distance generalises the metric to partial metric axioms, accommodating both metric and topological properties of abstract spaces. Some of these properties are complete spaces, Cauchy sequences and contraction fixed point theorem, which generalises the Banach contraction principle.

On the other hand, Popa [53] introduced the concept of implicit functions and proved the results for contractive mapping, whose strength lies in producing many contractions. Several researchers are working in this area. For more details, we refer the readers to $[3,4,6,8,11,12,19,30,31,47,50,51,52,54$, $55,62]$ and the references cited therein.

Moreover, Sedghi et al. [57] gave a generalisation of $D$-metric space and $G$-metric space to $S$-metric space. Since then, several researchers have been working on generalising the results using different contraction conditions in $S$-metric space. For more detail, one can see [5, 15, 40, 46, 59, 60] and the references therein. Nizar and Nabil [45] proved a fixed point theorem in $S_{b^{-}}$ metric spaces. Nizar [44] proved the results on a fixed point in partial $S_{b}$-metric spaces. Later, Mlaiki et al. [43] proved fixed point theorem for $\alpha-\psi$-contractive mapping in $S_{b}$-metric spaces.

Motivated by Matthew [42], Karapinar [37] initiated the concept of quasipartial metric space and discussed the existence of fixed points of self-mapping for this Space. Gupta and Gautam [26, 28] further generalised the quasi-partial metric Space to the class of quasi-partial $b$-metric spaces. Recently, Gautam and Verma [21] discussed fixed point results via implicit mapping in quasipartial $b$-metric space. Gautam et al. [24] proved an interpolative Chatterjea and cyclic Chatterjea contraction on quasi-partial $b$-metric space. Gupta and Gautam [27] proved the topological structure of quasi-partial $b$-metric spaces. Gautam et al. [23] gave proof of common fixed point results on generalised weak compatible mapping in quasi-partial $b$-metric space. Aydi et al. [7] proved a fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in $b$-metric spaces. Gautam et al. [22] proved fixed point of interpolative Rus-Reich-Ćirić contraction mapping on rectangular quasi-partial $b$-metric space.

This paper is motivated by the results of Gautam and Verma [21], and Nizar [44]. We prove common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation in the quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space setting and obtain coincidence and a unique common fixed point of such mappings. Some examples are provided to verify the validity of our results. Finally, a solution to the second-order differential equation's two boundary value problem and the existence of a common solution of the Caputo-type fractional differential equation will be discussed.

We describe some definitions and theorems, which will help to develop our main results.

The property of quasi-partial $b$-metric space introduced in [26] is as follows:
Definition 1.1. [26] A quasi-partial $b$-metric space on a non empty set $X$ is a mapping $q p_{b}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that for some real number $s \geq 1$ and all $u, v, z \in X$ :
$\left(\right.$ QPb1): $q p_{b}(u, u)=q p_{b}(u, v)=q p_{b}(v, v) \Rightarrow u=v ;$
(QPb2): $q p_{b}(u, u) \leq q p_{b}(u, v)$;
(QPb3): $q p_{b}(u, u) \leq q p_{b}(v, u)$; and
(QPb4): $q p_{b}(u, v) \leq s\left[q p_{b}(u, z)+q p_{b}(v, z)\right]-q p_{b}(z, z)$.
A quasi-partial $b$-metric space is a pair $\left(X, q p_{b}\right)$ such that $X$ is a non-empty set and $\left(X, q p_{b}\right)$ is a quasi partial $b$-metric on $X$. The number $s$ is called the coefficient of $\left(X, q p_{b}\right)$.

For a quasi-partial $b$-metric space $\left(X, q p_{b}\right)$, the function $d_{q p b}: X \times X \rightarrow R^{+}$ defined by $d_{q p_{b}}(u, v)=q p_{b}(u, v)+q p_{b}(v, u)-q p_{b}(u, u)-q p_{b}(v, v)$ is a $b$-metric on $X$.

Lemma 1.2. [26] Every quasi-partial metric pace is a quasi-partial $b$-metric space, but the converse need not be true.

Lemma 1.3. [26] Let $\left(X, q p_{b}\right)$ be a quasi-partial $b$-metric space and $\left(X, d_{q p_{b}}\right)$ be the corresponding $b$-metric space. Then $\left(X, d_{q p_{b}}\right)$ is complete if $\left(X, q p_{b}\right)$ is complete.

Examples of quasi-partial $b$-metric space are given in [26], and [21].
In 2012, Sedghi et al. [57] gave a generalisation of $D$-metric Space and $G$-metric Space to S-metric space by formulating its properties as follows:

Definition 1.4. [57] Let $X$ be a non-empty set. A $S$-metric on $X$ is a function $S: X \times X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions for all $u, v, z, a \in X$.
(S1): $S(u, v, z) \geq 0$;
(S2): $S(u, v, z)=0$ if and only if $u=v=z$; and
(S3): $S(u, v, z) \leq S(u, u, a)+S(v, v, a)+S(z, z, a)$.
The pair $(X, S)$ is called an S-metric space.

Motivated by the results of Czerwik [17] and sedghi et al. [57], Nizar and Nabil [45] introduced the notion of $S_{b}$-metric space.

Definition 1.5. [45] Let $X$ be a non-empty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given number. A function $S_{b}: X \times X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is said to be $S_{b}$-metric if and only if for all $u, v, z, t \in X$ the following conditions hold:
(S1): $S_{b}(u, v, z)=0$, if and only if $u=v=z$;
(S2): $S_{b}(u, u, v)=S_{b}(v, v, u)$ for all $u, v \in X$; and
(S3): $S_{b}(u, v, z) \leq s\left[S_{b}(u, u, t)+S_{b}(v, v, t)+S_{b}(z, z, t)\right]$.
The pair $\left(X, S_{b}\right)$ is called an $S_{b}$-metric space.
Inspired by Nizar [44], Nizar and Nabil [45], and Gautam and Verma [21], we introduce the concept of quasi partial $S_{b}$-metric space as follows:

Definition 1.6. A quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space on a non empty set $X$ is a mapping $S_{q p_{b}}: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that for some real number $s \geq 1$ and all $u, v, z \in X$ :
(QPSb1): $S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, u)=S_{q p_{b}}(u, v, z)=S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, y) \Rightarrow u=v=z ;$
(QPSb2): $S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v)=S q p_{b}(v, v, u)$;
(QPSb2): $S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, u) \leq S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v)$; and
(QPSb4): $S_{q p_{b}}(u, v, z) \leq s\left[S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, t)+S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, t)+S_{q p_{b}}(z, z, t)\right]-S_{q p_{b}}(t, t, t)$.
A quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space is a pair $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$ such that $X$ is a non-empty set and $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$ is a quasi partial $S_{b}$-metric on $X$. The number $s$ is called the coefficient of $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$.

For a quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right.$ ), the function $d_{S_{q p_{b}}}: X \times X \times$ $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$defined by $d_{S q p_{b}}(u, u, v)=S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v)+S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, u)-S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, u)-$ $S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, v$,$) is a S_{q p_{b}}$-metric on $X$.

The following are fundamental convergence properties of quasi- partial $S_{b^{-}}$ metric spaces.

Definition 1.7. Let $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$ be a quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space, then:
(i): a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ converges to a point $u \in X$ if and only if

$$
S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, u)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{q p_{b}}\left(u_{n}, u_{n}, u\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{q p_{b}}\left(u, u, u_{n}\right)
$$

(ii): a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of elements of $X$ is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if

$$
\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_{q p_{b}}\left(u_{n}, u_{n}, u_{m}\right) \text { and } \lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_{q p_{b}}\left(u_{m}, u_{m}, u_{n}\right)
$$

exists and is finite,
(iii): the quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ converges to a point $u \in X$ such that
$\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_{q p_{b}}\left(u_{n}, u_{n}, u_{m}\right)=\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_{q p_{b}}\left(u_{m}, u_{m}, u_{n}\right)=S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, u)$.

Lemma 1.8. Let $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$ be a quasi-partial b-metric space. Then the following holds:
(i): If $S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, u)=0$, then $u=v$.
(ii): If $u \neq v$, then $S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v)>0$ and $S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, u)>0$.

From, Sedghi et al. [57], we proved the following lemma to satisfy quasipartial $S_{b}$-metric space.

Lemma 1.9. In a $S_{q p_{b}}$-metric space, we have

$$
S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v)=S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, u) .
$$

Proof. By condition (QPSb4) of Definition 1.6 and $x=t$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v) & \leq s\left[S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, t)+S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, t)+S_{q p b}(v, v, t)\right]-S_{q p_{b}}(t, t, t) \\
& \leq s\left[0+0+S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, t)\right]-0 \\
& =s S_{q p b}(v, v, t) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, u) & \leq s\left[S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, t)+S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, t)+S_{q p b}(u, u, t)\right]-S_{q p_{b}}(t, t, t) \\
& \leq s\left[0+0+S_{q p_{b}}(x u, u, t)\right]-0 \\
& =s S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, t) . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, t)=S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, t)
$$

Example 1.10. Let $X=[0,1]$. Define $S_{q p_{b}}: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$as $S_{q p_{b}}(u, v, z)=(u-v)^{2}+(v-z)^{2}+u+v$. It is easy to show that $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$ is a quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space.

By $(Q P S b 1)$, for $u=v=z$ we have $S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, u)=S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, v)=S_{q p_{b}}(z, z, z)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, u) & \leq(u-v)^{2}+(v-z)^{2}+u+v \\
& =(u-u)^{2}+(u-u)^{2}+u+u \\
& =2 u
\end{aligned}
$$

By (QPSb2), for all $u, v \in X$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v) & \leq(u-u)^{2}+(u-v)^{2}+u+u, \\
& =(u-v)^{2}+u+u \\
& =u^{2}-2 u v+v^{2}+2 u,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{q p_{b}}(v, v, u) & \leq(v-v)^{2}+(v-u)^{2}+v+v \\
& =(v-u)^{2}+v+v \\
& =v^{2}-2 u v+u^{2}+2 v
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, $v^{2}-2 u v+v^{2}+2 u=v^{2}-2 u v+x^{2}+2 v$.
Similar, ( $Q P S b 3$ ) follows from ( $Q P S b 2$ ) and ( $Q P S b 1$ )

$$
2 u \leq u^{2}-2 u v+v^{2}+2 u
$$

Consequently, by (QPSb4), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, t) & =(u-t)^{2}+2 u \\
S_{q p b}(v, v, t) & =(v-t)^{2}+2 v, \\
S_{q p_{b}}(z, z, t) & =(z-t)^{2}+2 z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining all the above equalities using (QPSb4), we obtain
$(u-v)^{2}+(v-z)^{2}+u+v \leq s\left[(u-t)^{2}+2 u+(v-t)^{2}+2 v\right]-\left((z-t)^{2}+2 z\right)$,
thus, all axioms are satisfied. Hence $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$ is complete.
Furthermore, Abbas and Jungck [1] and Pathak [48] gave the following definition for a unique common fixed point notion.

Definition 1.11. [1, 48]
(i): Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be self maps of a set $X$. If $u^{*}=\mathcal{S} u=\mathcal{A} u$ for some $u$ in $X$, then $u$ is called a coincidence point of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$, and $u^{*}$ is called a point of coincidence of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$.
(ii): Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be weakly compatible self maps of a set $X$, we have $\mathcal{S} u^{*}=$ $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{A} u=\mathcal{A S} u=\mathcal{A} u^{*}$. If $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ have a unique point of coincidence $u^{*}=\mathcal{S} u=\mathcal{A} u$, then $u^{*}$ is the unique common fixed point of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$.

## 2. Implicit mapping and related notion

In 2021, Gautam and Verma [21] proved the results for fixed point theorems of mappings satisfying implicit contractive relation in quasi-partial $b$ metric Space. They considered the family $F_{Q}$ of all lower semi-continuous real functions $F: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$and the following conditions:
(F1): $F$ is non-increasing in the $t_{1}$ and $t_{5}$ variable;
(F2): for all $q, r \geq 0$, there exist $h \in[0,1)$ such that $F(q, r, r, q, s(q+r)) \leq 0$ implies $q \leq h r$;
(F3): $F(t, t, 0,0, t)>0$ for all $t>0$.
We give some examples of functions that satisfy the above implicit relation conditions.

Example 2.1. The function of $F \in F_{Q}$ satisfies the properties (F1) - (F3) (see, [21] ).
(1): $F\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right)=t_{1}-\alpha \max \left\{t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right\}$, where $\alpha \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2 s}\right)$;
(2): $F\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right)=t_{1}-a_{1} t_{1}-a_{2} t_{2}-a_{3} t_{3}-a_{4} t_{4}-a_{5} t_{5}$, where $a_{i} \geq 0$, $\mathrm{i}=1,2,3,4$, also $0<a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+2 s a_{4}<1$ and $0<a_{1}+a_{4}<1$.

Gautam and Verma [21] proved the following theorem satisfying implicit mappings.

Theorem 2.2. [21] Let $\left(X, q p_{b}\right)$ be a complete quasi-partial $b$-metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is continuous self map for all $u \in X$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F\left[q p_{b}(T u, T v), q p_{b}(x, y), q p_{b}(u, T v), q p_{b}(v, T v)\right. \\
\left.\left[q p_{b}(u, T v)+q p_{b}(v, T u)\right]\right] \leq 0 \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

For some $F \in F_{Q}$ and if $F$ satisfies $F(q, 0, r, r, 2 s q) \leq 0$ for all $q, r \geq 0$, there exists $\beta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right]$ such that $q<\beta r$, then $z$ is a unique fixed point of $T$. i.e, $T z=z$ with $q p_{b}(z, z)=0$.

We introduce a definition of a common fixed point via implicit mappings in quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space.

Motivated by the concept given by Gautam and Verma [21] above. We introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.3. Consider $s \geq 1$. Let $F_{Q}$ be the set of all functions $F_{S}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right): \mathbb{R}^{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(FS1): $F_{S}$ is non-increasing in the $t_{1}$ and $t_{5}$ variable;
(FS2): for all $q, r \geq 0$, there exist $\vartheta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right]$, such that $F_{S}(q, r, q, r, s(2 q+$ $r)) \leq 0$ implies $q \leq \vartheta r$;
(FS3): $F_{S}(t, t, 0,0, t)>0$ for all $t>0$.
Example 2.4. The function of $F_{S} \in F_{Q}$ satisfies the properties (FS1)(FS3).
(1): $F_{S}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right)=t_{1}-t_{5}$, where $\gamma \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2 s}\right)$;
(2): $F_{S}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right)=t_{1}-\max \left\{t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{5}\right\}$, where $\alpha, \gamma \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2 s}\right)$;
(3): $F_{S}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right)=t_{1}-\max \left\{t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right\}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$.

Proof. (1), Let $F_{S}: \mathbb{R}^{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Define $F_{S}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right)=t_{1}-t_{5}$, where $\gamma \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$. Then $F_{S}$ satisfies an implicit relation.
(FS1): $F_{S}$ is non-increasing in the $t_{1}$ and $t_{5}$ variable;
(FS2): for all $q, r \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{S}(q, r, r,, q, s(2 q+r)) & =t_{1}-\gamma t_{5} \leq 0 \\
q-\gamma s(2 q+r) & \leq 0 \\
(1-2 s \gamma) q & \leq s \gamma r, \\
q & \leq \frac{s \gamma r}{(1-2 s \gamma)} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $q \leq \vartheta r$, with $\vartheta=\frac{s \gamma}{(1-2 s \gamma)}<1$.
(FS3): $F_{S}(t, t, 0,0, t)>0$ for all $t>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{S}(t, t, 0,0, t) & =t_{1}-t_{5} \leq 0 \\
u-s(2 u+v) & \leq 0 \\
t-s(2 t+t)) & \leq 0 \\
(1-3 s) t & \leq 0 \\
t & \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $F_{S} \in F_{Q}$ satisfies an implicit relation with $\gamma \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$.

The example $(2,3)$ can be proved similarly by following the above steps to satisfy the implicit relation conditions imposed in Definition 2.3.

## 3. Main Results

We prove the following theorem, an extension of Theorem 2.2, from quasipartial $b$-metric Space to quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space setting. By using a pair of self-mapping.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}, s\right)$ be a complete quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space with $s \geq 1$, and let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}: X \rightarrow X$ be a pair of self-mappings. Assume that there exists $F_{S} \in F_{Q}$, satisfies ( $F S 1-F S 4$ ) such that the following conditions hold:
(a): there exists $\mathcal{A} X \subseteq \mathcal{S} X$ such that $\left(X, q p_{b}\right)$ is complete,
(b): there exists $u_{0} \in X$ such that $\mathcal{S} u_{n}=\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}$,
(c): $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ have a coincidence point in $X$,
(d): $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$ is non-decreasing and weakly compatible for some point $u^{*}$ in $X$,
(e): there exists an implicit function $F_{S} \in F_{Q}$ with

$$
F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} v), S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{S} u, \mathcal{S} u, \mathcal{S} v),  \tag{5}\\
S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{S} u, \mathcal{S} u, \mathcal{A} u), S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{S} v, \mathcal{S} v, \mathcal{A} v), \\
{\left[S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{S} u, \mathcal{S} u, \mathcal{A} v)+S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{S} v, \mathcal{S} v, \mathcal{A} u)\right]}
\end{array}\right\} \leq 0
$$

$\forall u, v \in X$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ have a unique common fixed point.
Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{S} X \subseteq \mathcal{A} X$ and $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$ is a complete quasi-partial $S_{b^{-}}$ metric space, for $u_{0}$ with $\left(\mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{0},\right) \in X$, we construct a $\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{A}$-sequence $\left\{\mathcal{A} u_{n}\right\}$ with initial point $u_{0}$ satisfying
$\left(\mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{0}\right),\left(\mathcal{S} u_{1}, \mathcal{S} u_{1}, \mathcal{A} u_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{S} u_{2}, \mathcal{S} u_{2}, \mathcal{A} x_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right)$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{O}}=(\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})$, thus, $\left\{\mathcal{A} u_{n}\right\},\left\{\mathcal{S} u_{n}\right\} \in \mathcal{A}(X)$.

From assumption (b), let $u_{0}$ be an arbitrary element of $X$. If $\mathcal{S} u_{0}=\mathcal{A} u_{0}$, then $u_{0}$ is a common fixed point of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ and our proof completed. Otherwise, if $\mathcal{S} u_{0} \neq \mathcal{A} u_{0}$, then $\mathcal{S} X \subseteq \mathcal{A} X$, now we choose $u_{1} \in X$ such that
$\mathcal{S} u_{1}=\mathcal{A} u_{0}$. Again we can choose $u_{2} \in X$ such that $\mathcal{S} u_{2}=\mathcal{A} u_{1}$. Repeating this process the same way, we construct a sequence $\left\{\mathcal{S} u_{n}\right\} \subset X$, such that

$$
\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}=\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

If $\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}=\mathcal{S} u_{n}=\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}$, for all $n \geq 1$, then $u_{n-1}$ is a coincidence point of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ in $X$. Suppose that $\mathcal{S} u_{n-1} \neq \mathcal{S} u_{n} \forall n \geq 1$. Then $S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right)=$ $S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right)$.

By taking $u=u_{n-1}$ and $v=u_{n}$ in (5), we have

$$
F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right), \\
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right), \\
{\left[S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}\right)\right]}
\end{array}\right\} \leq 0 .
$$

It follows that
(6) $\quad F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right), \\ S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}\right), \\ {\left[S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right)\right]}\end{array}\right\} \leq 0$.

By ( $Q P S b 4$ ) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right) \leq & s\left[2 S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right)\right] \\
& -S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (7) in (5) we get

$$
F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right), \\
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}\right), \\
{\left[s\left[2 S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right)\right]\right.} \\
\left.-S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right\} \leq 0
$$

Consequently,

$$
F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right), \\
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u n, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}\right), \\
s\left[2 S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right\} \leq 0
$$

By denoting $q=S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right)$ and $r=S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right)$ in (8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{S}\{q, r, r, q, s(2 q+r)\} \leq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (8), in view of condition (FS2) there exists $\vartheta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$ and $q$ is nonincreasing in the first variable, such that $u q \leq \vartheta r$, this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right) \leq \vartheta S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By induction in (9), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right) & \leq \vartheta S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right) \\
& \leq \vartheta^{2} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} x_{n-2}\right) \\
& \leq \cdots \\
& \leq \vartheta^{n} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{1}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right)=0$.
Now, we prove that $S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, for any positive integers such that $n>m$, using (QPSb4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{m}\right) \leq & s\left[2 S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{m}\right)\right] \\
& -S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right) \\
= & 2 s S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}\right)+2 s^{2} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-2}\right) \\
& +s^{2} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n-2}, \mathcal{S} u_{n-2}, \mathcal{S} u_{m}\right)+ \\
& \cdots+s^{m-n-1} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{m+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{m+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{m}\right) \\
\leq & 2\left[s \vartheta^{n-1}+s^{2} \vartheta^{n-2}+s^{3} \vartheta^{n-3}+\right. \\
& \left.\cdots+s^{m-n+1} \vartheta^{m}\right] S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{1}\right) \\
\leq & 2 s \vartheta^{n-1}\left[1+s \vartheta+s^{2} \vartheta^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\cdots+s^{m-1} \vartheta^{m-n+1}\right] S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{1}\right) \\
(11) \leq & \frac{2 s \vartheta^{n-1}}{1-s \vartheta} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{1}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\vartheta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$, we conclude that $\frac{2 s \vartheta^{n-1}}{1-s \vartheta} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{0}, \mathcal{S} u_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\left\{\mathcal{S} u_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{S}(X)$. Thus $S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{m}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Similarly, suppose that $\mathcal{A} X \subseteq \mathcal{S} X$. For every $u_{0} \in X$ we consider the sequence $\left\{\mathcal{A} u_{n}\right\} \in X$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} u_{n} & =\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \\
\mathcal{S} u_{n+1} & =\mathcal{A} u_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}=\mathcal{A} u_{n}$, then $u_{n}$ is a fixed point of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ and the proof completed. On contrary, assume that $\mathcal{S} u_{n+1} \neq \mathcal{A} u_{n}$ and $u_{n+1} \neq u_{n}$. Then, $u=u_{n}$ and $v=u_{n+1}$ in (5) we have
(12) $F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}\right), \\ S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right), \\ {\left[S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right)\right]}\end{array}\right\} \leq 0$.

By substituting $\mathcal{S} u_{n}=\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}=\mathcal{A} u_{n}$ in (12), we get
(13) $F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \zeta x_{n+1}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right), \\ S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right), \\ {\left[S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right)\right]}\end{array}\right\} \leq 0$.

By (QPSb4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right) \leq & s\left[2 S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right)\right] \\
& -S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (14) in (13), we get
(15) $F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right), \\ S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right), \\ {\left[s\left[2 S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right)\right]\right.} \\ \left.-S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right)\right]\end{array}\right\} \leq 0$.

Since quasi-partial $S_{b}$ is not symmetrical, by ( $F S 2$ ), we reach similar results from the right-hand side of Cauchy convergence.

Using ( $Q P S b 4$ ) and ( $F S 1$ ), since is a non-decreasing in the fifth variable and satisfy

$$
q \leq \vartheta r
$$

where $\vartheta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$.
Which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right) \leq & \vartheta S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right),+ \\
& \cdots+ \\
\leq & \vartheta^{n} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (16), leads to $S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right) \rightarrow 0$.
Using (QPSb4), for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $m>n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{m}\right) \leq & s\left[2 S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{A} u_{m}\right)\right] \\
& -S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right), \\
= & 2 s S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}\right)+ \\
& 2 s^{2} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+1}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+2}\right) \\
& +s^{2} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n+2}, \mathcal{A} u_{n+2}, \mathcal{A} u_{m}\right)+ \\
& \cdots+s^{m-n-1} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{m-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{m-1}, \mathcal{A} u_{m}\right) \\
\leq & 2\left[s \vartheta^{n}+s^{2} \vartheta^{n+1}+s^{2} \vartheta^{n+2}+\cdots+\right. \\
& \left.s^{m-n-1} \vartheta^{m-1}\right] S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{1}\right) \\
= & 2 s \vartheta^{n}\left[1+s \vartheta+s^{2} \vartheta^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\cdots+s^{m-n-2} \vartheta^{m-n-1}\right] S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{1}\right), \\
\leq & \frac{2 s \vartheta^{n}}{1-s \vartheta} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\vartheta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$, we conclude that $\frac{2 s \vartheta^{n}}{1-s \vartheta} S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{0}, \mathcal{A} u_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\left\{\mathcal{A} u_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{A}(X)$. Thus $S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{m}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we show that $u^{*}$ is a fixed point of $\mathcal{A} u$ such that $u^{*}=\mathcal{A} u^{*}$ and $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_{q p_{b}}\left(u_{n}, u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right)=S_{q p_{b}}\left(u^{*}, u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right)=0$. Let $u=u_{n}$ and $v=u^{*}$, using (QPSb4) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(u^{*}, u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right) \leq & s\left[S_{q p_{b}}\left(u^{*}, u^{*}, u_{n+1}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(u^{*}, u^{*}, u_{n+1}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}, u_{n+1}\right)\right]- \\
& S_{q p_{b}}\left(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}\right), \\
= & s\left[2 S_{q p_{b}}\left(u^{*}, u^{*}, u_{n+1}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}, u_{n+1}\right)\right]- \\
& S_{q p_{b}}\left(u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (18), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(u^{*}, u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right) \leq & s\left[2 S_{q p_{b}}\left(u^{*}, u^{*}, u^{*}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}, u^{*}\right)\right]- \\
& S_{q p_{b}}\left(u^{*}, u^{*}, u^{*}\right) \\
& =s\left[0+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}, u^{*}\right)\right]-0 \\
\leq & s S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}, u^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $u^{*}=\mathcal{A} u^{*}$. Thus $u^{*}$ is a fixed point of $\mathcal{A}$.
From Definition 1.11, we show that $u^{*}$ is a coincidence point of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$. Since $\mathcal{A} X$ is complete there exists $u^{*}, v^{*} \in X$ such that $u^{*}=\mathcal{S} v^{*}$. Which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A} u_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S} u_{n}=\mathcal{S} v^{*}=u^{*} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $u=u_{n}$ and $v=v^{*}$ in (5), we obtain

$$
F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} v^{*}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}\right),  \tag{20}\\
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{A} v^{*}\right), \\
{\left[S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{S} u_{n}, \mathcal{A} v^{*}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{A} u_{n}\right)\right]}
\end{array}\right\} \leq 0
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (20), we get

$$
F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{A} v^{*}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}\right),  \tag{21}\\
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{A} v^{*}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{A} v^{*}\right) \\
{\left[S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{A} v^{*}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}, \mathcal{A} v^{*}\right)\right]}
\end{array}\right\} \leq 0
$$

by assumption $(F S 2)$ and continuity of $F_{S}$, we obtain $S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} v^{*}, \mathcal{A} v^{*}, \mathcal{S} v^{*}\right) \leq 0$.
Consequently,

$$
\mathcal{A} v^{*}=\mathcal{S} v^{*}=u^{*}
$$

Thus, $u^{*}$ is a coincidence point of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$.

Now, we assume that $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are either $\mathcal{S}$ or $\mathcal{A}$-weakly compatible. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n} & =u^{*}, \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S} u_{n} & =\mathcal{S} u^{*}, \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A} u_{n} & =\mathcal{A} u^{*}, \\
\mathcal{S S} u^{*} & =\mathcal{S} \mathcal{A} u^{*}, \\
\mathcal{S} \mathcal{A} u^{*} & =\mathcal{A} \mathcal{S} u^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $u=\mathcal{S} u^{*}$ and $v=v^{*}$, using (5) and definition 1.11, we get

$$
F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A S} u^{*}, \mathcal{A S} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S S} u^{*}, \mathcal{S S} u^{*}, \mathcal{S} u^{*}\right),  \tag{22}\\
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S S} u^{*}, \mathcal{S S} u^{*}, \mathcal{A S} u^{*}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u^{*}, \mathcal{S} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right), \\
{\left[S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S S} u^{*}, \mathcal{S S} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u^{*}, \mathcal{S} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} \mathcal{S} u^{*}\right)\right]}
\end{array}\right\} \leq 0
$$

yields to,
(23) $\quad F_{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A S} u^{*}, \mathcal{A S} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{A} u^{*}, \mathcal{S} \mathcal{A} u^{*}, \mathcal{S} u^{*}\right), \\ S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S A} u^{*}, \mathcal{S} \mathcal{A} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} \mathcal{S} u^{*}\right), S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u^{*}, \mathcal{S} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right), \\ {\left[S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S A} u^{*}, \mathcal{S A} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right)+S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{S} u^{*}, \mathcal{S} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} \mathcal{S} u^{*}\right)\right]}\end{array}\right\} \leq 0$.

Which implies that

$$
S_{q p_{b}}\left(\mathcal{A} u^{*}, \mathcal{A} u^{*}, \mathcal{S} \mathcal{A} u^{*}\right) \leq 0 .
$$

We have $\mathcal{S} u^{*}=\mathcal{S} \mathcal{A} u=\mathcal{A} \mathcal{S} u=\mathcal{A} u^{*}$. Thus, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are weakly compatible self-maps of a set $X$. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ have a unique point of coincidence $u^{*}=\mathcal{S} u=\mathcal{A} u$, then $u^{*}$ is the unique common fixed point of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$.

Pathaket al. [49], in their work, considered an example in which weakly compatible mapping is not compatible. In this work, we use one more example of this type, which satisfies quasi partial $S_{b}$-metric Space and uses it to formulate an implicit function that satisfies all conditions imposed in Definition 1.11 and Theorem 3.1.

Example 3.2. Consider $X=[0, \infty]$ endowed with complete quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space, defined by metric $S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v)=2(u-v)^{2}$ on $X$. Define a pair of mappings $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\mathcal{S} u= \begin{cases}\cos u & \text { if } u \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text { if } u=1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{A} u= \begin{cases}e^{u} & \text { if } u \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text { if } u=1\end{cases}
$$

by Definition 1.11, it obvious that at $u=0$, we have $u^{*}=\mathcal{S} u=\mathcal{S S} u=$ $\mathcal{A S} u=\mathcal{A} u$, then $u^{*}=0$ is the unique common fixed point of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore, the mappings $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ are weakly compatible. Define continuous function $F: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
F\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}, t_{5}\right)=t_{1}-\gamma t_{5}
$$

i.e.,

$$
F_{S}(u, v, v, u, s(2 u+v))=t_{1}-\gamma t_{5} .
$$

With a view to verify assumptions $(a)$ and $(d)$ of Theorem 3.1. Consider $\mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{S} u \in X$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1} & \leq \gamma t_{5} \\
(24) \quad S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{S} v) & \leq \gamma\left[s\left[2 S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{S} v)+S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{A} v, \mathcal{A} v, \mathcal{S} u)\right]\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the quasi partial $S_{b}$-metric as,

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{S} v) & =2(\mathcal{A} u-\mathcal{S} v)^{2} \\
& =2\left(e^{u}-\cos v\right)^{2} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{A} v, \mathcal{A} v, \mathcal{S} u) & =2(\mathcal{A} v-\mathcal{S} u)^{2} \\
& =2\left(e^{v}-\cos u\right)^{2} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (25) and (26) in (24), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left(e^{u}-\cos v\right)^{2} & \leq \gamma s\left[2\left(e^{u}-\cos v\right)^{2}+2\left(e^{v}-\cos u\right)^{2}\right] \\
2\left(e^{u}-\cos v\right)^{2}(1-2 \gamma s) & \leq \gamma s\left[4\left(e^{v}-\cos u\right)^{2}\right] \\
2\left(e^{u}-\cos v\right)^{2} & \leq \frac{\gamma s}{(1-2 \gamma s)}\left[2\left(e^{v}-\cos u\right)^{2}\right] \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

which means

$$
q \leq \vartheta r
$$

Hence, $F_{S}$ satisfies $F S 1, F S 2$ and $F S 3$ for $\vartheta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right]$. Also, all assumptions of Theorem 3.1 and Definition 1.11 are satisfied. It is observed that the pair $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})$ has a common fixed point. Thus, they admit a coincidence fixed point.

## 4. Some Applications

This section has two applications. The first application covers the existence of the solution for two boundary value second-order differential equations. In the second application, we prove the existence solution for Caputo-type nonlinear fractional differential equations. Finally, we use the two applications to utilise the results obtained in Theorem 3.1 where a common solution is applied in quasi partial $S_{b}$-metric space setting.

### 4.1. Existence of the two boundary value second order differential equation

In this subsection, we discuss the existence of a solution to the boundary value problem by considering space to be quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space. We now consider the second-order differential equation's two-point boundary value problem. The following example is motivated by [13, 18, 29, 47, 63]

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right), 0 \leq t \leq T,  \tag{28}\\
u(0)=\alpha, \\
u(T)=\beta,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T>0$ and $f:[0, T] \times X \times X \longrightarrow X$ is a continuous function.
This boundary value problem is equivalent to the integral equation
(29) $u(t)=\alpha+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{T} t+\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \forall t, s \in[0, T]$.
where the Green's function associated with the above integral equation is given by

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{s(T-t)}{T}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T \\ \frac{t(T-s)}{T}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T\end{cases}
$$

and $\alpha, \beta>0$.
We prove our results by establishing a common fixed point for a pair of weakly compatible self-mappings in quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}: C([0, T]) \longrightarrow C([0, T])$ be self maps of a quasipartial $S_{b}$-metric space ( $X, S_{q p_{b}}$ ) such that the following condition holds:
(i) there exists $f:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function and $\eta$-weakly increasing in the first and fifth variables with $\gamma \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right]$ such that

$$
\left|f_{1}\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right|-\left|f_{2}(t, v(t), V(t))\right| \leq \gamma \sqrt{\frac{\ln \left[(u-v)^{2}+1\right]}{u-v}}
$$

where $|u(s)-v(s)|=\gamma \sqrt{\frac{\ln \left[(u-v)^{2}+1\right]}{u-v}}$ and for increasing of $u$ and $v$, we have $u, v \in C^{1}([0, T], X)$,
(ii) the Green's function is given by

$$
\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{8}
$$

Then, the integral equation (29) has a common solution in $C^{1}([0, T], X)$.

Proof: Let $C^{1}([0, T], X)=f:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Now, we define the function $S_{q p_{b}}: C[0, T] \times C[0, T] \times C[0, T] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with the quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric

$$
S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v)=2\left(\sup _{t \in[0, T]}|u(t)-v(t)|\right)^{2}+2\left(\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|u^{\prime}(t)-v^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)^{2}
$$

Then, $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$ is a complete quasi-partial $S_{b}$-metric space.
Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}: X \longrightarrow X$ be two $\mathcal{S}$-weakly compatible operator defined by

$$
\mathcal{A} u(t)=\alpha+\frac{(\beta-\alpha) t}{T}+\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) f_{1}\left(t, s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \forall t, s \in[0, T] .
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{S} v(t)=\alpha+\frac{(\beta-\alpha) t}{T}+\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) f_{2}\left(t, s, v(s), v^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \forall t, s \in[0, T]
$$

where $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are continuous functions.
Now, $u^{*}$ is a solution of (29) if and only if $u^{*}$ is a common fixed point of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are increasing in the first and fifth variables, other assertions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. We shows that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are contraction in $X$.

For each $t \in[0,1]$, by $(i i)$, we have

$$
\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) d s=\frac{1}{2} t(t-1)
$$

and sup-norm of $t(1-t)=\frac{1}{4}$, therefore

$$
\sup _{t \in[a, b]} \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) d s=\frac{1}{8}
$$

By using condition ( $i$ ) of Theorem 4.1, we discuss the following cases:
Case I.

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{A} u(t)-\mathcal{S} v(t)| & =\int_{0}^{T}\left|f_{1}\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-f_{2}\left(s, v(s), v^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq 2\left(\int_{0}^{T}|G(t, s)| d s|u(s)-v(s)|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq 2\left(\frac{\gamma}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\ln \left[(|u-v|)^{2}+1\right]}{|u-v|}}\right)^{2} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Case II.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{A} u^{\prime}(t)-\mathcal{S} v^{\prime}(t)\right| & =\int_{0}^{T}\left|f_{1}\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)-f_{2}\left(s, v(s), v^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq 2\left(\int_{0}^{T}|G(t, s)| d s\left|u^{\prime}(s)-v^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)^{2} \\
& \leq 2\left(\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\ln \left[\left(\left|u^{\prime}-v^{\prime}\right|\right)^{2}+1\right]}{\left|u^{\prime}-v^{\prime}\right|}}\right)^{2} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

By combining (30) and (31), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A} u(t)-\mathcal{S} v(t)|+\left|\mathcal{A} u^{\prime}(t)-\mathcal{S} v^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq & 2\left(\frac{\gamma}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\ln \left[(|u-v|)^{2}+1\right]}{|u-v|}}\right)^{2}+ \\
& 2\left(\frac{\gamma^{\prime}}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\ln \left[\left(\left|u^{\prime}-v^{\prime}\right|\right)^{2}+1\right]}{\left|u^{\prime}-v^{\prime}\right|}}\right)^{2} . \\
S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{S} v) \leq & \vartheta S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $u^{*} \in X$ is a common fixed of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$, also a solution to integral equation (29). Hence the differential equation (28) has a solution.

### 4.2. Existence of a common solution of weakly compatible mappings for nonlinear fractional differential equation in quasipartial $S_{b}$-metric Space

This subsection aims to provide an application of Theorem 3.1 to get a common solution of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}$-weakly compatible mappings for a nonlinear fractional differential equation, where we can apply a generalised mapping in quasi partial $S_{b}$-metric spaces.

We investigate the existence of a unique common fixed point for $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}$-weakly compatible mappings of the Caputo derivative with the fractional order of the nonlinear fractional differential equation.

This form of fractional derivative for a continuous function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by Abdeljawad et al. [2] and Zahed et al. [64] as: Caputo fractional derivative of $f(t)$ order $\alpha>0$ is denoted by ${ }^{C} \mathcal{D}_{f}^{\alpha}(t)$ and defined as

$$
{ }^{C} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} f(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(i-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{i-\alpha-1} \eta^{i}(\tau) d \tau
$$

with $i=[\alpha]+1 \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\alpha \in[i-1, i]$ and $[\alpha]$ denotes the greatest integers of $\alpha$ (i.e., the greatest part of $\alpha$ ) and $\alpha:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

We denote $X=C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ the set of all continuous functions from $[0,1]$ into $\mathbb{R}$.

The Caputo fractional differential equation has several applications in mathematics, i.e., in image processing, Digital data processing, electrical signal,
acoustics, physics, electrochemistry, radiotherapy and probability theory (one can see in [65]). The following nonlinear fractional differential equation is inspired by Baleanu et al. [9], Budhia et al. [14], Jarad et al. [32], Karapinar et al. [38] and Kanwal et al. [36].

Consider the following nonlinear fractional differential equation.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }^{C} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} u(t)=f(t, u(t)), t \in(0,1), 1<\alpha \leq 2  \tag{32}\\
u(0)=0, u(1)=\int_{0}^{\sigma} u(\tau) d \tau(0<\sigma<1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where ${ }^{C} \mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\alpha}$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha$ and $f:[0,1] \times$ $X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous function.

The nonlinear fractional differential Equation 32 can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})= & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d \tau- \\
& \frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d \tau+ \\
& \frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\sigma}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau}(\tau-z)^{\alpha-1} f(z, u(z)) d z\right] d \tau . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

A function $x \in C(I, X)$ is a solution of the fractional differential integral equation (33) if and only if $x$ is a solution of the nonlinear fractional differential equation (32).

We define a quasi-partial $S_{b}$ metric on $X$ as

$$
S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v)=\left(\sup _{t \in[0,1]}|u(t)-v(t)|\right)^{2}+\left(\sup _{t \in[0,1]}|u(t)-v(t)|\right)^{2}
$$

Then, $\left(X, S_{q p_{b}}\right)$ is a complete quasi-partial $S_{b}$ metric space.
Now, we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 4.2. Suppose the following hypothesis hold:
(i): there exists $f \in C(I \times X, X)$ a continuous in the first and fifth variables; (iii): there exists a continuous function $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, such that

$$
\mid f\left(t, u(\tau)-f\left(t, v(\tau)|\leq 2 \vartheta| u(\tau)-\left.v(\tau)\right|^{2}\right.\right.
$$

for all $t \in[0,1]$ and for all $u, v \in X$ and a constant $\vartheta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$ such that

$$
\vartheta=\left[\frac{t^{\alpha}\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right)(\alpha+1)+2 t\left(\alpha+\sigma^{(\alpha+1)}+1\right)}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)(\alpha(\alpha+1))}\right]^{2}
$$

Then, the fractional differential Equation 32 has a common solution as a fixed point $u^{*} \in C(I, X)$.

Proof: Let us define $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}: C([0,1]) \rightarrow C([0,1])$, with $\zeta \in \eta$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} u(\mathrm{t})= & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d \tau- \\
& \frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d \tau+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\sigma}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau}(\tau-z)^{\alpha-1} f(z, u(z)) d z\right] d \tau \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous at the first and fifth variables. Suppose that

$$
\mathcal{S} u(t)=\int_{0}^{\tau}(\tau-z)^{\alpha-1} f(z, u(z)) d z
$$

this implies that $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ posses a fixed point $u^{*} \in \mathcal{S}$. To prove the existence of a fixed point of $\mathcal{A}$, we prove that $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous in the first and fifth variables of the implicit function $F_{S}$ and is a contraction. To show this, let $\mathcal{A} u \neq \mathcal{S} v$, for all $u, v \in[0,1]$. By the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A u}-\mathcal{A v}|= & 2 \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d \tau-\right. \\
& \frac{2 t}{\left(2-\nu^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d \tau+ \\
& \frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\sigma}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau}(\tau-z)^{\alpha-1} f(z, u(z)) d z\right] d \tau \\
& -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau, v(s)) d s+ \\
& \frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau, v(\tau)) d \tau- \\
& \left.\frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\sigma}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau}(\tau-z)^{\alpha-1} f(z, v(z)) d z\right] d \tau\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & 2\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1}|f(\tau, u(\tau))-f(\tau, v(\tau))| d \tau+\right. \\
& \frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\alpha-1}|f(\tau, u(\tau))-f(\tau, v(\tau))| d \tau,+ \\
& \left.\frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\sigma}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau}(\tau-z)^{\alpha-1}|f(z, u(z))-f(z, v(z))| d z\right] d \tau\right)^{2}, \\
\leq & 2\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1}|u(\tau)-v(\tau)| d \tau+\right. \\
& \frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\alpha-1}|u(\tau)-v(\tau)| d \tau+ \\
= & 2\left(\frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\sigma}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau}(\tau-z)^{\alpha-1}|u(z)-v(z)| d z\right] d \tau\right)^{2} \\
& \frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)}\|u-v\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d \tau+ \\
\leq & \left.\frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \Gamma(\alpha)}\|u-v\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\sigma}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau}(\tau-z)^{\alpha-1} d z\right] d \tau\right)^{\alpha-1} d \tau+ \\
\leq & {\left[\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}+\frac{2 t}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}+\frac{2 t \sigma^{\alpha+1}}{\left(2-\sigma^{2}\right) \alpha(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\alpha)}\right]^{2} 2\|u-v\|_{\infty}^{2} }
\end{aligned}
$$

$(35) \leq 2 \vartheta\|u-v\|_{\infty}^{2}$.
This implies that

$$
\|\mathcal{A} u-\mathcal{A} v\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \vartheta\|u-v\|_{\infty}^{2}
$$

Thus for each $u, v \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q p_{b}}(\mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} u, \mathcal{A} v) \leq \vartheta S_{q p_{b}}(u, u, v) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\vartheta \in\left[0, \frac{1}{s}\right)$ and the condition $((F S 1)-(F S 2))$ shows that $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{S}$ is a contraction mapping on $X$. Since all the hypotheses of Theorem (4.2) are satisfied. Therefore, there exists $u^{*} \in C(I)$ a common fixed point of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$, that is, $u^{*}$ is a solution to fractional nonlinear differential equation (32).
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