



ORTHOGONAL PEXIDER HOM-DERIVATIONS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

Vahid Keshavarz¹, Jung Rye Lee² and Choonkil Park³

¹Department of Mathematics, Shiraz University of Technology,
P. O. Box 71557-13875, Shiraz, Iran
e-mail: v.keshavarz68@yahoo.com

²Department of Data Sciences, Daejin University,
Kyunggi 11159, Korea
e-mail: jrlee@daejin.ac.kr

³Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University,
Seoul 04763, Korea
e-mail: baak@hanyang.ac.kr

Abstract. In the present paper, we introduce a new system of functional equations, known as orthogonal Pexider hom-derivation and Pexider hom-Pexider derivation (briefly, (Pexider) hom-derivation). Using the fixed point method, we investigate the stability of Pexider hom-derivations and (Pexider) hom-derivations on Banach algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

A classical question in the sense of functional equation says that “when is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation must be close to an exact solution of the equation?” Ulam [28] raised the stability of functional equations and Hyers [9] was the first one which gave an affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for additive mapping between Banach spaces. Hyers’ Theorem was generalized by Rassias [27] for linear

⁰Received February 21, 2022. Revised April 14, 2022. Accepted October 13, 2022.

⁰2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B55, 47B47, 39B82, 46H25, 47H10.

⁰Keywords: Hyers-Ulam stability, Pexider hom-derivation, (Pexider) hom-derivation, functional equation, fixed point method.

⁰Corresponding author: Jung Rye Lee(jrlee@daejin.ac.kr).

mappings. Therefore, Rassias [26] by using Rassias theorem changed the factor $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$ by $\|x\|^p\|y\|^p$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p + q \neq 1$. A generalization of the theorem of Rassias was obtained by Găvruta [7] by replacing the factor of Rassias theorem by a general control function $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. The study of stability problem functional equations has been done by several authors on different functional equations (see [1, 4, 6, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]).

There are several orthogonality notions on a real normed space such as Birkhoff-James, Boussouis, (semi-)inner product, Singer, Carlsson, unitary-Boussouis, Roberts, Pythagorean and Diminnie (see [2, 3]). But we present the orthogonality concept introduced by Rätz [25]. This is given in the following definition.

Definition 1.1. ([25]) Suppose that X is a real vector space (or an algebra) with $\dim X \geq 2$ and \perp is a binary relation on X with the following properties:

- (O₁) totality of \perp for zero: $x \perp 0, 0 \perp x$ for all $x \in X$;
- (O₂) independence: if $x, y \in X - \{0\}$, $x \perp y$, then x, y are linearly independent;
- (O₃) homogeneity: if $x, y \in X$, $x \perp y$, then $\alpha x \perp \beta y$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (O₄) the Thalesian property: if P is a 2-dimensional subspace (subalgebra) of X , $x \in P$ and $\lambda \in R_+$, then there exists $u_x \in P$ such that $x \perp u_x$ and $x + u_x \perp \lambda x - u_x$.

The pair (X, \perp) is called an orthogonality space (orthogonality algebra). By an orthogonality normed space (orthogonality normed algebra) we mean an orthogonality space (orthogonality algebra) having a normed structure. The orthogonal Cauchy functional equation $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$, $x \perp y$ in which \perp is an abstract orthogonality relation was first investigated in [8]. A generalized version of Cauchy equation is the equation of Pexider type $f_1(x + y) = f_2(x) + f_3(y)$. Jun *et al.* [11, 14] obtained the Hyers-Ulam stability of this Pexider equation. Park *et al.* [24] defined hom-derivation and proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the hom-derivation in Banach algebras.

In this paper, we may define orthogonally Pexider hom-derivation associated to the Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation.

Definition 1.2. Let (\mathfrak{A}, \perp) be an orthogonality normed algebra and let $D, D_1, D_2 : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be mappings satisfying

$$D(x + y) = D_1(x) + D_2(y),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. Then we call the triple (D, D_1, D_2) an orthogonal Pexider hom-derivation if there is a homomorphism $H : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$D(xy) = D_1(x)H(y) + H(x)D_2(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$.

Definition 1.3. Let (\mathfrak{A}, \perp) be an orthogonality normed algebra and let $D, D_1, D_2 : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be mappings satisfying

$$D(x + y) = D_1(x) + D_2(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. Then we call the triple (D, D_1, D_2) an orthogonal Pexider hom-Pexider derivation (briefly, (Pexider) hom-derivation) if there are two homomorphisms $H_1, H_2 : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$D(xy) = D_1(x)H_1(y) + H_2(x)D_2(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$.

Theorem 1.4. ([18]) *Suppose that (X, d) is a complete generalized metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a strictly contractive mapping with the Lipschitz constant L . Then for any $x \in X$, either*

$$d(T^m x, T^{m+1} x) = \infty, \quad \forall m \geq 0,$$

or there exists a natural number m_0 such that

- (1) $d(T^m x, T^{m+1} x) < \infty$ for all $m \geq m_0$;
- (2) the sequence $\{T^m x\}$ is convergent to a fixed point y^* of T ;
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of T in $\Lambda = \{y \in X : d(T^{m_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Ty)$ for all $y \in \Lambda$.

In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of Pexider hom-derivations and (Pexider) hom-derivations on Banach algebras.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this paper, assume that \mathfrak{A} is a Banach algebra. Suppose that φ and ϕ are two functions from \mathfrak{A}^2 into $[0, \infty)$ satisfying, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$, $j \in \{-1, 1\}$,

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq 2^j L \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^j} x, \frac{1}{2^j} y\right) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\phi(x, y) \leq 2^{2j} L \phi\left(\frac{1}{2^j} x, \frac{1}{2^j} y\right) \tag{2.2}$$

for some constant $0 < L = L(j) < 1$.

Now we are ready to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of orthogonal Pexider hom-derivations on Banach algebras.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $f, f_1, f_2, h : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ are mappings fulfilling the system of functional inequalities*

$$\|f(x+y) - f_1(x) - f_2(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|h(x+y) - h(x) - h(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|h(xy) - h(x)h(y)\| \leq \phi(x, y), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\|f(xy) - f_1(x)h(y) - h(x)f_2(y)\| \leq \phi(x, y), \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$, where φ and ϕ are defined as (2.1) and (2.2). If f is an odd mapping, $\varphi(0, 0) = \phi(0, 0) = 0$, such that for any fixed $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ and some $u_x \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp u_x$, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} x \mapsto \psi(x, u_x) = & \varphi\left(\frac{x+u_x}{2}, \frac{x-u_x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{x-u_x}{2}\right) \\ & + \varphi\left(\frac{x+u_x}{2}, 0\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{u_x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{-u_x}{2}\right) \\ & + 2\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{u_x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{-u_x}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

has the property

$$\psi(x, u_x) \leq 2^j L \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{u_x}{2^j}\right), \quad (2.8)$$

then there exist a unique orthogonal homomorphism $H : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and unique orthogonal hom-derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - D(x)\| & \leq \frac{L^{\frac{1+j}{2}}}{1-L} \psi(x, u_x), \\ \|f_1(x) - f_1(0) - D(x)\| & \leq \frac{L^{\frac{1+j}{2}}}{1-L} \psi(x, u_x) + \varphi(x, 0), \\ \|f_2(x) - f_2(0) - D(x)\| & \leq \frac{L^{\frac{1+j}{2}}}{1-L} \psi(x, u_x) + \varphi(0, x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\|h(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{1-L} \varphi(x, x), \quad (2.10)$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. By the same procedure as in the proof of [5, Theorem 2.1], there exists a unique Pexider additive mapping $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ satisfying (2.9) which is given by

$$D(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{nj}x)}{2^{nj}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(2^{nj}x)}{2^{nj}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_2(2^{nj}x)}{2^{nj}}.$$

Similarly, there exists a unique additive mapping $H : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ satisfying (2.10) which is given by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(2^{nj}x)}{2^{nj}}.$$

It follows from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(xy) - H(x)H(y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{h(2^{nj}(xy))}{2^{nj}} - h\left(\frac{2^{nj}x}{2^{nj}}\right)h\left(\frac{2^{nj}y}{2^{nj}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2nj}} \phi(2^{nj}x, 2^{nj}y) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L}{2^{2nj}} \phi(2^{nj}x, 2^{nj}y) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. Therefore

$$H(xy) = H(x)H(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. It follows from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|D(xy) - D_1(x)H(y) - H(x)D_2(y)\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f(2^{nj}(xy))}{2^{nj}} - f_1\left(\frac{2^{nj}x}{2^{nj}}\right)h\left(\frac{2^{nj}y}{2^{nj}}\right) - h\left(\frac{2^{nj}x}{2^{nj}}\right)f_2\left(\frac{2^{nj}y}{2^{nj}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2nj}} \phi(2^{nj}x, 2^{nj}y) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L}{2^{2nj}} \phi(2^{nj}x, 2^{nj}y) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. Therefore,

$$D(xy) = D_1(x)H(y) + H(x)D_2(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. The proof is completed. \square

In the next theorem, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of orthogonal (Pexider) hom-derivations on Banach algebras.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that $f, f_1, f_2, h_1, h_2 : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ are odd mappings fulfilling the system of functional inequalities*

$$\|f(x+y) - f_1(x) - f_2(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad (2.13)$$

$$\|h(x+y) - h_1(x) - h_2(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\|h(xy) - h_1(x)h_2(y)\| \leq \phi(x, y), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\|f(xy) - f_1(x)h_1(y) - h_2(x)f_2(y)\| \leq \phi(x, y), \quad (2.16)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$, where φ and ϕ are defined as (2.1) and (2.2), such that for any fixed $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ and some $u_x \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp u_x$, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, u_x) &= \varphi\left(\frac{x+u_x}{2}, \frac{x-u_x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{x-u_x}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \varphi\left(\frac{x+u_x}{2}, 0\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{u_x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{-u_x}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + 2\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{u_x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(0, \frac{-u_x}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

has the property

$$\psi(x, u_x) \leq L2^j\psi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{u_x}{2^j}\right). \quad (2.18)$$

Then there exist a unique orthogonal homomorphism $H : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and a unique orthogonal hom-derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - D(x)\| &\leq \frac{L^{\frac{1+j}{2}}}{1-L}\psi(x, u_x), \\ \|f_1(x) - f_1(0) - D(x)\| &\leq \frac{L^{\frac{1+j}{2}}}{1-L}\psi(x, u_x) + \varphi(x, 0), \\ \|f_2(x) - f_2(0) - D(x)\| &\leq \frac{L^{\frac{1+j}{2}}}{1-L}\psi(x, u_x) + \varphi(0, x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(x) - H(x)\| &\leq \frac{L^{\frac{1+j}{2}}}{1-L}\psi(x, u_x), \\ \|h_1(x) - h_1(0) - H(x)\| &\leq \frac{L^{\frac{1+j}{2}}}{1-L}\psi(x, u_x) + \varphi(x, 0), \\ \|h_2(x) - h_2(0) - H(x)\| &\leq \frac{L^{\frac{1+j}{2}}}{1-L}\psi(x, u_x) + \varphi(0, x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, there are unique additive mappings $D, H_1, H_2 : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ satisfying (2.19) and (2.20), respectively, which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{nj}x)}{2^{nj}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(2^{nj}x)}{2^{nj}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_2(2^{nj}x)}{2^{nj}}, \\ H(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(2^{nj}x)}{2^{nj}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_1(2^{nj}x)}{2^{nj}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_2(2^{nj}x)}{2^{nj}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$. It follows from (2.15) and (2.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(xy) - H_1(x)H_2(y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{h(2^{nj}(xy))}{2^{nj}} - h_1\left(\frac{2^{nj}x}{2^{nj}}\right)h_2\left(\frac{2^{nj}y}{2^{nj}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2nj}} \phi(2^{nj}x, 2^{nj}y) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L}{2^{2nj}} \phi(2^{nj}x, 2^{nj}y) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. Therefore

$$H(xy) = H_1(x)H_2(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. It follows from (2.16) and (2.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(xy) - D_1(x)H_1(y) - H_1(x)D_2(y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f(2^{nj}(xy))}{2^{nj}} - f_1\left(\frac{2^{nj}x}{2^{nj}}\right)h_1\left(\frac{2^{nj}y}{2^{nj}}\right) - h_2\left(\frac{2^{nj}x}{2^{nj}}\right)f_2\left(\frac{2^{nj}y}{2^{nj}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2nj}} \phi(2^{nj}x, 2^{nj}y) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L}{2^{2nj}} \phi(2^{nj}x, 2^{nj}y) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. Therefore

$$D(xy) = D_1(x)H_1(y) + H_2(x)D_2(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is now complete. \square

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 generalize the result of Rassias [27], that is, if we define in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

$$\varphi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \quad \phi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^s + \|y\|^s)$$

for all $\varepsilon, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $p, s \neq 1$, then one gets the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $f, f_1, f_2, h : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be mappings satisfying*

$$\|f(x+y) - f_1(x) - f_2(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p),$$

$$\|h(x+y) - h(x) - h(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p),$$

$$\|h(xy) - h(x)h(y)\| \leq \theta\|x\|^s\|y\|^s$$

and

$$\|f(xy) - f_1(x)h(y) - h(x)f_2(y)\| \leq \theta\|x\|^s\|y\|^s$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$, $\varepsilon, \theta \geq 0$ and real numbers p, s such that $p, s < 1$ for $j = 1$. If f is an odd mapping, then there exist a unique orthogonal homomorphism $H : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and a unique orthogonal hom-derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\| \leq \frac{2^{\frac{j(1+j)(p-1)}{2}}}{1 - 2^{j(p-1)}} \varepsilon (2\|x + u_x\|^p + 2\|x - u_x\|^p + 4\|x\|^p + 4\|u_x\|^p),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_1(x) - f_1(0) - D(x)\| \\ & \leq \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{2^{\frac{j(1+j)(p-1)}{2}}}{1 - 2^{j(p-1)}} (2\|x + u_x\|^p + 2\|x - u_x\|^p + 4\|x\|^p + 4\|u_x\|^p) + (\|x\|^p) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_2(x) - f_2(0) - D(x)\| \\ & \leq \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{2^{\frac{j(1+j)(p-1)}{2}}}{1 - 2^{j(p-1)}} (2\|x + u_x\|^p + 2\|x - u_x\|^p + 4\|x\|^p + 4\|u_x\|^p) + (\|x\|^p) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|f(x) - H(x)\| \leq \frac{2^{\frac{j(1+j)(p-1)}{2}}}{1 - 2^{j(p-1)}} \varepsilon (2\|x + u_x\|^p + 2\|x - u_x\|^p + 4\|x\|^p + 4\|u_x\|^p)$$

for any fixed $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ and some $u_x \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp u_x$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 by taking

$$\varphi(x, y) = \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x, y) = \theta\|x\|^q\|y\|^s$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{j(p-1)}$ and we get desired results. \square

Corollary 2.4. Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $f, f_1, f_2, h : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be mappings satisfying

$$\|f(x + y) - f_1(x) - f_2(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p),$$

$$\|h(x + y) - h_1(x) - h_2(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p),$$

$$\|f(xy) - f_1(x)h_1(y) - h_2(x)f_2(y)\| \leq \theta\|x\|^s\|y\|^s$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$, $\varepsilon, \theta \geq 0$ and real numbers p, s such that $p, s < 1$ for $j = 1$. If f is an odd mapping, then there exist a unique orthogonal

homomorphism $H : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and a unique orthogonal hom-derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - D(x)\| &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{j(1+j)(p-1)}{2}}}{1 - 2^{j(p-1)}} \varepsilon (2\|x + u_x\|^p + 2\|x - u_x\|^p + 4\|x\|^p + 4\|u_x\|^p), \\ \|f_1(x) - f_1(0) - D(x)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{2^{\frac{j(1+j)(p-1)}{2}}}{1 - 2^{j(p-1)}} (2\|x + u_x\|^p + 2\|x - u_x\|^p + 4\|x\|^p + 4\|u_x\|^p) + (\|x\|^p) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2(x) - f_2(0) - D(x)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{2^{\frac{j(1+j)(p-1)}{2}}}{1 - 2^{j(p-1)}} (2\|x + u_x\|^p + 2\|x - u_x\|^p + 4\|x\|^p + 4\|u_x\|^p) + (\|x\|^p) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(x) - H(x)\| &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{j(1+j)(p-1)}{2}}}{1 - 2^{j(p-1)}} \varepsilon (2\|x + u_x\|^p + 2\|x - u_x\|^p + 4\|x\|^p + 4\|u_x\|^p), \\ \|h_1(x) - h_1(0) - H(x)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{2^{\frac{j(1+j)(p-1)}{2}}}{1 - 2^{j(p-1)}} (2\|x + u_x\|^p + 2\|x - u_x\|^p + 4\|x\|^p + 4\|u_x\|^p) + (\|x\|^p) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_2(x) - h_2(0) - H(x)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left\{ \frac{2^{\frac{j(1+j)(p-1)}{2}}}{1 - 2^{j(p-1)}} (2\|x + u_x\|^p + 2\|x - u_x\|^p + 4\|x\|^p + 4\|u_x\|^p) + (\|x\|^p) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for any fixed $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ and some $u_x \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp u_x$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.2 by taking

$$\varphi(x, y) = \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x, y) = \theta\|x\|^q\|y\|^s$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $x \perp y$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{j(p-1)}$ and we get desired results. \square

3. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have introduced a new system of orthogonal Pexider hom-derivation and Pexider hom-Pexider derivation (briefly, (Pexider) hom-derivation). Using the fixed point method, we have investigated the stability of Pexider hom-derivations and (Pexider) hom-derivations on Banach algebras.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Aiemsomboon and W. Sintunavarat, *Stability of the generalized logarithmic functional equations arising from fixed point theory*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math., **112** (2018), 229–238.
- [2] J. Alonso and C. Benítez, *Carlos orthogonality in normed linear spaces: A survey II. Relations between main orthogonalities*, Extracta Math., **4** (1989), 121–131.
- [3] J. Alonso and C. Benítez, *Orthogonality in normed linear spaces: A survey I. Main properties*, Extracta Math., **3** (1988), 1–15.
- [4] I. El-Fassi, S. Kabbaj and A. Charifi, *Hyperstability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations*, Indag. Math., **27** (2016), 855–867.
- [5] M. Eshaghi Gordji and S. Abbaszadeh, *On the orthogonal pexider derivations in orthogonality Banach algebras*, Fixed Point Theory, **17** (2016), 327–340.
- [6] J. Gao, *On the stability of functional equations in 2-normed spaces*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **15** (2010), 635–645.
- [7] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [8] S. Gudder and D. Strawther, *Orthogonally additive and orthogonally increasing functions on vector spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **58** (1975), 427–436.
- [9] D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA., **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [10] S. Jin and Y. Lee, *A fixed point approach to the stability of the functional equations related to an additive and quartic mapping*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **25** (2020), 249–259.
- [11] K. Jun, D. Shin and B. Kim, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Pexider equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **239** (1999), 20–29.
- [12] S. Jung, D. Popa and M.Th. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear functional equation in a single variable on complete metric spaces*, J. Global Optim., **59** (2014), 13–16.
- [13] Z. Kominek, *On pexiderized Jensen-Hosszú functional equation on the unit interval*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **409** (2014), 722–728.
- [14] Y. Lee and K. Jun, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Pexider equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **246** (2000), 627–638.
- [15] Y. Lee and S. Jung, *Stability of some cubic-additive functional equations*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **25** (2020), 35–54.
- [16] Y. Lee, S. Jung and M.Th. Rassias, *Uniqueness theorems on functional inequalities concerning cubic-quadratic-additive equation*, J. Math. Inequal., **12** (2018), 43–61.
- [17] Y. Lee and G. Kim, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the pexider functional equation*, Math. **7** (3) (2019), Article No. 280.
- [18] B. Margolis and J. Diaz, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., **74** (1968), 305–309.
- [19] M.R. Moghadam, Th. M. Rassias, V. Keshavarz, C. Park and Y. Park, *Jordan homomorphisms in C^* -ternary algebras and JB^* -triples*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **24** (2018), 416–424.
- [20] A. Najati and S. Ostadbashi, *Approximate generalized Jordan derivations on Banach modules*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **15** (2010), 31–43.
- [21] C. Park, *Additive ρ -functional inequalities in non-Archimedean normed spaces*, J. Math. Inequal., **9** (2015), 397–407.
- [22] C. Park, *Additive sfunctional inequalities and partial multipliers in Banach algebras*, J. Math. Ineq., **13** (2019), 867–877.

- [23] C. Park, J. Cui and M. Eshaghi Gordji, *Orthogonality and quintic functional equations*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **29** (2013), 1381–1390.
- [24] C. Park, J. Lee and X. Zhang, *Additive s -functional inequality and hom-derivations in Banach algebras*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **21** (2019), Article No. 18.
- [25] J. Rätz, *On orthogonally additive mappings*, Aequationes Math., **28** (1985), 35–49.
- [26] J.M. Rassias, *Solution of a problem of Ulam*, J. Approx. Theory, **57** (1989), 268-273.
- [27] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [28] S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ., New York, 1960.