KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 63(2023), 593-610 https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2023.63.4.593 pISSN 1225-6951 eISSN 0454-8124 © Kyungpook Mathematical Journal

# Generalized Chen's Conjecture for Biharmonic Maps on Foliations

XUESHAN FU

Department of Mathematics, Shenyang University of Technology, Shenyang 110870, People Republic of China

 $e ext{-}mail: xsfu@sut.edu.cn}$ 

SEOUNG DAL JUNG\*

Department of Mathematics, Jeju National University, Jeju 63243, Republic of Korea

e-mail: sdjung@jejunu.ac.kr

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the generalized Chen's conjecture for  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic maps, such maps are critical points of the transversal bienergy functional.

#### 1. Introduction

On a Riemannian geometry, harmonic maps play a central role to study the geometric properties. They are critical points of the energy functional  $E(\phi)$  for smooth maps  $\phi: (M, g) \to (M', g')$ , where

$$E(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\phi|^2 \mu_M,$$

where  $\mu_M$  is the volume element. It is well known that harmonic map is a solution of the Euler-Largrange equation  $\tau(\phi) = 0$ , where  $\tau(\phi) = \operatorname{tr}_g(\nabla d\phi)$  is the tension field.

In 1983, J. Eells and L. Lemaire extended the notion of harmonic map to bi-

Received August 31, 2023; accepted November 3, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C12, 53C43, 58E20.

Key words and phrases: Riemannian foliation, Transversally harmonic map, Transversally biharmonic map,  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic map,  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map, Generalized Chen's conjecture.

The second author was supported by the 2022 scientific promotion program funded by Jeju National University.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author.

harmonic map, which is a critical points of the bienergy functional  $E_2(\phi)$ , where

$$E_2(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\phi)|^2 \mu_M.$$

It is well-known [9] that harmonic maps are always biharmonic. But the converse is not true. At first, B.Y. Chen [3] raised so called Chen's conjecture and later, R. Caddeo et al. [2] raised the generalized Chen's conjecture. That is,

Generalized Chen's conjecture: Every biharmonic submanifold of a Riemannian manifold of non-positive curvature must be harmonic.

About the generalized Chen's conjecture, Nakauchi et al. [19] showed the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** [19] Let (M,g) be a complete Riemannian manifold and (M',g') be of non-positive sectional curvature. Then

- (1) every biharmonic map  $\phi: M \to M'$  with finite energy and finite bienergy must be harmonic.
- (2) In the case  $Vol(M) = \infty$ , every biharmonic map with finite bienergy is harmonic.

Now, we study the generalized Chen's conjecture for biharmonic maps on foliated Riemannian manifolds and extend Theorem 1.1 to foliations. Let  $(M, g, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(M', g', \mathcal{F}')$  be the foliated Riemannian manifolds. Let  $\phi : M \to M'$  be a smooth foliated map, that is, map preserving the leaves. Then  $\phi$  is said to be  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic map [6] if  $\phi$  is a critical point of the transversal energy  $E_B(\phi)$ , which is given by

$$E_B(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d_T \phi|^2 \mu_M,$$

where  $d_T\phi = d\phi|_Q$  is the differential map of  $\phi$  restricted to the normal bundle Q of  $\mathcal{F}$ . From the first variational formula for the transversal energy functional [12], it is trivial that  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic map is a solution of  $\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) := \tau_b(\phi) + d_T\phi(\kappa_B) = 0$ , where  $\tau_b(\phi) = tr_Q(\nabla_{tr}d_T\phi)$  is the transversal tension field and  $\kappa_B$  is the basic part of the mean curvature form  $\kappa$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The map  $\phi$  is said to be  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map if  $\phi$  is a critical point of the transversal bienergy functional  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi)$ , where

$$\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2 \mu_M.$$

By the first variation formula for the transversal bienergy functional  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi)$  (Theorem 3.7), we know that  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic map is always  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic. But the converse is not true. So we prove the generalized Chen's conjecture for  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map. That is, we prove the following theorem

**Theorem 1.2.** (cf. Theorem 3.10) Let  $(M, g, \mathcal{F})$  be a foliated Riemannian manifold and let  $(M', g', \mathcal{F}')$  be of non-positive transversal sectional curvature  $K^{Q'}$ , that is,  $K^{Q'} \leq 0$ . Let  $\phi: M \to M'$  be a  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map. Then

- (1) if M is closed, then  $\phi$  is automatically  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}')$ -harmonic;
- (2) if M is complete with  $Vol(M) = \infty$  and  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi) < \infty$ , then  $\phi$  is  $(\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{F}')$ -harmonic.
- (3) If M is complete with  $E_B(\phi) < \infty$  and  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi) < \infty$ , then  $\phi$  is  $(\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{F}')$ -harmonic.

Remark 1.3. On foliations, there is another kinds of harmonic map, called transversally harmonic map, which is a solution of the Eular-Lagrange equation  $\tau_b(\phi) = 0$  [15]. Also, the transversally biharmonic map is defined [4], which is not a critical point of the bienergy  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi)$ . Two definitions for harmonic maps are equivalent when the foliation is minimal. The generalized Chen's conjectures for transversally biharmonic map have been proved in [11, 13].

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(M,g,\mathcal{F})$  be a foliated Riemannian manifold of dimension n with a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of codimension q(=n-p) and a bundle-like metric g with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  [18, 23]. Let  $Q=TM/T\mathcal{F}$  be the normal bundle of  $\mathcal{F}$ , where  $T\mathcal{F}$  is the tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $g_Q$  be the induced metric by g on Q, that is,  $g_Q=\sigma^*(g|_{T\mathcal{F}^\perp})$ , where  $\sigma:Q\to T\mathcal{F}^\perp$  is the cnonical bundle isomorphism. Then  $g_Q$  is the holonomy invariant metric on Q, meaning that  $L_Xg_Q=0$  for  $X\in T\mathcal{F}$ , where  $L_X$  is the transverse Lie derivative with respect to X. Let  $\nabla^Q$  be the transverse Levi-Civita connection on the normal bundle Q [23, 24] and  $R^Q$  be the transversal curvature tensor of  $\nabla^Q\equiv\nabla$ , which is defined by  $R^Q(X,Y)=[\nabla_X,\nabla_Y]-\nabla_{[X,Y]}$  for any  $X,Y\in \Gamma TM$ . Let  $K^Q$  and  $\mathrm{Ric}^Q$  be the transversal sectional curvature and transversal Ricci operator with respect to  $\nabla$ , respectively. Let  $\Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$  be the space of all basic r-forms, i.e.,  $\omega\in\Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $i(X)\omega=0$  and  $L_X\omega=0$  for any  $X\in\Gamma T\mathcal{F}$ , where i(X) is the interior product. Then  $\Omega^*(M)=\Omega^*_B(\mathcal{F})\oplus\Omega^*_B(\mathcal{F})^\perp$  [1]. It is well known that  $\kappa_B$  is closed, i.e.,  $d\kappa_B=0$ , where  $\kappa_B$  is the basic part of the mean curvature form  $\kappa$  [1, 20]. Let  $\overline{*}:\Omega^r_B(\mathcal{F})\to\Omega^q_B^{-r}(\mathcal{F})$  be the star operator given by

$$\bar{*}\omega = (-1)^{(n-q)(q-r)} * (\omega \wedge \chi_{\mathcal{F}}), \quad \omega \in \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F}),$$

where  $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the characteristic form of  $\mathcal{F}$  and \* is the Hodge star operator associated to g. Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the pointwise inner product on  $\Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F})$ , which is given by

$$\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle \nu = \omega_1 \wedge \bar{*}\omega_2$$

where  $\nu$  is the transversal volume form such that  $*\nu = \chi_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Let  $\delta_B : \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F}) \to \Omega_B^{r-1}(\mathcal{F})$  be the operator defined by

$$\delta_B \omega = (-1)^{q(r+1)+1} \bar{*} (d_B - \kappa_B \wedge) \bar{*} \omega,$$

where  $d_B = d|_{\Omega_B^*(\mathcal{F})}$ . It is well known [22] that  $\delta_B$  is the formal adjoint of  $d_B$  with respect to the global inner product. That is,

$$\int_{M} \langle d\omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle \mu_M = \int_{M} \langle \omega_1, \delta_B \omega_2 \rangle \mu_M$$

for any compactly supported basic forms  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ , where  $\mu_M = \nu \wedge \chi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the volume element.

There exists a bundle-like metric such that the mean curvature form satisfies  $\delta_B \kappa_B = 0$  on compact manifolds [5, 16, 17]. The basic Laplacian  $\Delta_B$  acting on  $\Omega_B^*(\mathcal{F})$  is given by

$$\Delta_B = d_B \delta_B + \delta_B d_B$$
.

Now we define the bundle map  $A_Y : \Gamma Q \to \Gamma Q$  for any  $Y \in TM$  by

$$(2.1) A_Y s = L_Y s - \nabla_Y s,$$

where  $L_Y s = \pi[Y, Y_s]$  for  $\pi(Y_s) = s$ . It is well-known [14] that for any infitesimal automorphism Y (that is,  $[Y, Z] \in \Gamma T \mathcal{F}$  for all  $Z \in \Gamma T \mathcal{F}$  [14])

$$A_Y s = -\nabla_{Y_s} \pi(Y),$$

where  $\pi: TM \to Q$  is the natural projection and  $Y_s$  is the vector field such that  $\pi(Y_s) = s$ . So  $A_Y$  depends only on  $\bar{Y} = \pi(Y)$  and is a linear operator. Moreover,  $A_Y$  extends in an obvious way to tensors of any type on Q [14]. Then we have the generalized Weitzenböck formula on  $\Omega_R^*(\mathcal{F})$  [10]: for any  $\omega \in \Omega_R^r(\mathcal{F})$ ,

(2.2) 
$$\Delta_B \omega = \nabla_{\mathrm{tr}}^* \nabla_{\mathrm{tr}} \omega + F(\omega) + A_{\kappa_B^{\sharp}} \omega,$$

where  $F(\omega) = \sum_{a,b} \theta^a \wedge i(E_b) R^Q(E_b, E_a) \omega$  and

(2.3) 
$$\nabla_{\mathrm{tr}}^* \nabla_{\mathrm{tr}} \omega = -\sum_a \nabla_{E_a, E_a}^2 \omega + \nabla_{\kappa_B^{\sharp}} \omega.$$

The operator  $\nabla_{\text{tr}}^* \nabla_{\text{tr}}$  is positive definite and formally self adjoint on the space of basic forms [10]. If  $\omega$  is a basic 1-form, then  $F(\omega)^{\sharp} = \text{Ric}^{Q}(\omega^{\sharp})$ . Now, we recall the transversal divergence theorem on a foliated Riemannian manifold for later use.

**Theorem 2.1.** [26] Let  $(M, g, \mathcal{F})$  be a closed, oriented Riemannian manifold with a transversally oriented foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  and a bundle-like metric g with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then for a transversal infinitesimal automorphism X,

$$\int_{M} \operatorname{div}_{\nabla}(\pi(X)) \mu_{M} = \int_{M} g_{Q}(\pi(X), \kappa_{B}^{\sharp}) \mu_{M},$$

where  $\operatorname{div}_{\nabla} s$  denotes the transversal divergence of s with respect to the connection  $\nabla$ .

## 3. $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -Harmonic and Biharmonic Maps on Foliations

Let  $\phi: (M, g, \mathcal{F}) \to (M', g', \mathcal{F}')$  be a smooth foliated map, i.e.,  $d\phi(T\mathcal{F}) \subset T\mathcal{F}'$ , and  $\Omega_B^r(E) = \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F}) \otimes E$  be the space of *E*-valued basic *r*-forms, where  $E = \phi^{-1}Q'$  is the pull-back bundle on M. We define  $d_T\phi: Q \to Q'$  by

$$d_T\phi:=\pi'\circ d\phi\circ\sigma.$$

Trivially,  $d_T \phi \in \Omega^1_B(E)$ . Let  $\nabla^{\phi}$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  be the connections on E and  $Q^* \otimes E$ , respectively. Then a foliated map  $\phi : (M, g, \mathcal{F}) \to (M', g', \mathcal{F}')$  is called *transversally totally geodesic* if it satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\rm tr} d_T \phi = 0,$$

where  $(\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{tr}}d_T\phi)(X,Y) = (\tilde{\nabla}_Xd_T\phi)(Y)$  for any  $X,Y \in \Gamma Q$ . Note that if  $\phi: (M,g,\mathcal{F}) \to (M',g',\mathcal{F}')$  is transversally totally geodesic with  $d\phi(Q) \subset Q'$ , then, for any transversal geodesic  $\gamma$  in M,  $\phi \circ \gamma$  is also transversal geodesic. From now on, we use  $\nabla$  instead of all induced connections if we have no confusion. We define  $d_{\nabla}: \Omega_R^r(E) \to \Omega_R^{r+1}(E)$  by

$$(3.2) d_{\nabla}(\omega \otimes s) = d_{B}\omega \otimes s + (-1)^{r}\omega \wedge \nabla s$$

for any  $s \in \Gamma E$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_B^r(\mathcal{F})$ . Let  $\delta_{\nabla}$  be a formal adjoint of  $d_{\nabla}$  with respect to the inner product. Note that

(3.3) 
$$d_{\nabla}(d_T\phi) = 0, \quad \delta_{\nabla}d_T\phi = -\tau_b(\phi) + d_T\phi(\kappa_R^{\sharp}),$$

where  $\tau_b(\phi)$  is the transversal tension field of  $\phi$  defined by

(3.4) 
$$\tau_b(\phi) := \operatorname{tr}_Q(\nabla_{\operatorname{tr}} d_T \phi).$$

The Laplacian  $\Delta$  on  $\Omega_B^*(E)$  is defined by

$$\Delta = d_{\nabla} \delta_{\nabla} + \delta_{\nabla} d_{\nabla}.$$

Moreover, the operator  $A_X$  is extended to  $\Omega_B^r(E)$  as follows:

$$A_X\Psi = L_X\Psi - \nabla_X\Psi,$$

where  $L_X = d_{\nabla}i(X) + i(X)d_{\nabla}$  for any  $X \in \Gamma TM$  and  $i(X)(\omega \otimes s) = i(X)\omega \otimes s$ . Hence  $\Psi \in \Omega_B^*(E)$  if and only if  $i(X)\Psi = 0$  and  $L_X\Psi = 0$  for all  $X \in \Gamma T\mathcal{F}$ . Then the generalized Weitzenböck type formula (2.2) is extended to  $\Omega_B^*(E)$  as follows [12]: for any  $\Psi \in \Omega_B^r(E)$ ,

$$\Delta\Psi = \nabla_{\rm tr}^* \nabla_{\rm tr} \Psi + A_{\kappa_B^\sharp} \Psi + F(\Psi),$$

where  $\nabla_{\mathrm{tr}}^* \nabla_{\mathrm{tr}}$  is the operator induced from (2.3) and  $F(\Psi) = \sum_{a,b=1}^q \theta^a \wedge i(E_b) R(E_b, E_a) \Psi$ . Moreover, we have that for any  $\Psi \in \Omega_B^r(E)$ ,

(3.6) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_B|\Psi|^2 = \langle \Delta\Psi, \Psi \rangle - |\nabla_{tr}\Psi|^2 - \langle A_{\kappa_B^{\sharp}}\Psi, \Psi \rangle - \langle F(\Psi), \Psi \rangle.$$

#### **3.1.** $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic maps

About this section, see [6]. Let  $\Omega$  be a compact domain of M. Then the transversal energy functional of  $\phi$  on  $\Omega$  is defined by

(3.7) 
$$E_B(\phi;\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |d_T \phi|^2 \mu_M.$$

Then Dragomir and Tommasoli [6] defined  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic if  $\phi$  is a critical point of the transversal energy functional  $E_B(\phi)$ . Also, we obtain the first variational formula [6, 12]

(3.8) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}E_B(\phi_t;\Omega)\Big|_{t=0} = -\int_{\Omega} \langle \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), V \rangle \mu_M,$$

where  $V = \frac{d\phi_t}{dt}|_{t=0}$  is the normal variation vector field of a foliated variation  $\{\phi_t\}$  of  $\phi$  and

(3.9) 
$$\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) := \tau_b(\phi) - d_T \phi(\kappa_R^{\sharp}).$$

From (3.8), we have the following [6]

**Proposition 3.1.** A foliated map  $\phi$  is  $(\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{F}')$ -harmonic map if and only if  $\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) = 0$ 

**Remark 3.2.** (1) If  $\phi: M \to \mathbb{R}$  is a basic function, then  $\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) = -\Delta_B \phi$ . So  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic map is a generalization of a basic harmonic function.

(2) On foliated manifold, there is another kinds of harmonic map, transvesally harmonic map, which is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation  $\tau_b(\phi) = 0$  by Konderak and Wolak [15]. But the transversally harmonic map is not a critical point of the energy functional  $E_B(\phi)$ . Two definitions are equivalent when the foliation is minimal.

Now, we define the transversal Jacobi operator  $J_{\phi}^T: \Gamma \phi^{-1}Q' \to \Gamma \phi^{-1}Q'$  by

(3.10) 
$$J_{\phi}^{T}(V) = \nabla_{tr}^{*} \nabla_{tr} V - \operatorname{tr}_{Q} R^{Q'}(V, d_{T}\phi) d_{T}\phi.$$

Then  $J_{\phi}^{T}$  is a formally self-adjoint operator. That is, for any  $V, W \in \Gamma \phi^{-1}Q'$ ,

(3.11) 
$$\int_{M} \langle J_{\phi}^{T}(V), W \rangle \mu_{M} = \int_{M} \langle V, J_{\phi}^{T}(W) \rangle \mu_{M}.$$

Also, we have the second variation formula for the transversal energy functional  $E_B(\phi)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** ([6], The second variation formula) Let  $\phi: (M, g, \mathcal{F}) \to (M', g', \mathcal{F}')$  be a  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic map and let  $\{\phi_{s,t}\}$  be the foliated variation of  $\phi$  supported in a compact domain  $\Omega$ . Then

(3.12) 
$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} E_B(\phi_{s,t}; \Omega) \Big|_{(s,t)=(0,0)} = \int_{\Omega} \langle J_{\phi}^T(V), W \rangle \mu_M,$$

where V and W are the variation vector fields of  $\phi_{s,t}$ .

Proof. Let  $V=\frac{\partial \phi_{s,t}}{\partial s}\Big|_{(s,t)=(0,0)}$  and  $W=\frac{\partial \phi_{s,t}}{\partial t}\Big|_{(s,t)=(0,0)}$  be the variation vector fields of  $\phi_{s,t}$ . Let  $\Phi: M\times (-\epsilon,\epsilon)\times (-\epsilon,\epsilon)\to M'$  be a smooth map, which is defined by  $\Phi(x,s,t)=\phi_{s,t}(x)$ . Let  $\nabla^\Phi$  be the pull-back connection on  $\Phi^{-1}Q'$ . It is trivial that  $[X,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}]=[X,\frac{\partial}{\partial s}]=0$  for any vector field  $X\in TM$ . From (3.8), we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} E_B(\phi_{s,t}; \Omega) = -\int_{\Omega} \langle \frac{\partial^2 \phi_{s,t}}{\partial s \partial t}, \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_{s,t}) \rangle \mu_M - \int_{\Omega} \langle \frac{\partial \phi_{s,t}}{\partial t}, \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_{s,t}) \rangle \mu_M.$$

At (s,t)=(0,0), the first term vanishes because of  $\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)=0$ . So

(3.13) 
$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} E_B(\phi_{s,t}; \Omega) \Big|_{(s,t)=(0,0)} = -\int_{\Omega} \langle W, \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_{s,t}) \Big|_{(s,t)=(0,0)} \rangle \mu_M.$$

At  $x \in M$ , by a straight calculation, we have

(3.14)

$$\nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi_{s,t}) = \sum_{a} \nabla^{\Phi}_{E_{a}} \nabla^{\Phi}_{E_{a}} d\Phi(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}) - \nabla^{\Phi}_{\kappa_{B}^{\sharp}} d\Phi(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}) + \sum_{a} R^{\Phi}(\frac{d}{dt}, E_{a}) d\Phi(E_{a}).$$

Hence at (s,t) = (0,0), we have

$$\left. \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_{s,t}) \right|_{(s,t)=(0,0)} = -\nabla^*_{tr} \nabla_{tr} V + \operatorname{tr}_Q R^{Q'}(V, d_T \phi) d_T \phi.$$

That is, we have

(3.15) 
$$\nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_{s,t}) \Big|_{(s,t)=(0,0)} = -J_{\phi}^T(V).$$

Hence the proof of (3.12) follows from (3.13) and (3.15).

Now, we define the basic Hessian  $Hess_{\phi}^{T}$  of  $\phi$  by

(3.16) 
$$Hess_{\phi}^{T}(V,W) = \int_{M} \langle J_{\phi}^{T}(V), W \rangle \mu_{M}.$$

Then  $Hess_{\phi}^T(V,W) = Hess_{\phi}^T(W,V)$  for any  $V,W \in \phi^{-1}Q'$ . If  $Hess_{\phi}^T$  is positive semi-definite, that is,  $Hess_{\phi}^T(V,V) \geq 0$  for any normal vector field V along  $\phi$ , then  $\phi$  is said to be weakly stable. Hence we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** ([6], Stability) Let M be a closed Riemannian manifold and M' be of non-positive transversal sectional curvature. Then any  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}')$ -harmonic map  $\phi: (M, \mathfrak{F}) \to (M', \mathfrak{F}')$  is weakly stable.

**Remark 3.5.** For the stability of transversally harmonic map (that is,  $\tau_b(\phi) = 0$ ), see [11, Corollary 4.6]. In fact, under the same assumption, a transversally harmonic map is transversally f-stable, that is,  $\int_M \langle (J_\phi^T - \nabla_{\kappa_B^\sharp})V, V \rangle e^{-f} \mu_M \geq 0$ , where f is a basic function such that  $\kappa_B = -df$ .

## **3.2.** $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic maps

We define the transversal bienergy functional  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi)$  on a compact domain  $\Omega$  by

(3.17) 
$$\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi;\Omega) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2 \mu_M.$$

**Definition 3.6.** A foliated map  $\phi: (M, g, \mathcal{F}) \to (M', g', \mathcal{F}')$  is said to be  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ biharmonic map if  $\phi$  is a critical point of the transversal bienergy functional  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** (The first variation formula) For a foliated map  $\phi$ ,

(3.18) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi_t;\Omega)\Big|_{t=0} = -\int_{\Omega} \langle J_{\phi}^T(\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)), V \rangle \mu_M,$$

where  $V = \frac{d\phi_t}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}$  is the variation vector field of a foliated variation  $\phi_t$  of  $\phi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi: M \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to M'$  be a smooth map, which is defined by  $\Phi(x, t) = \phi_t(x)$ . Let  $\nabla^{\Phi}$  be the pull-back connection on  $\Phi^{-1}Q'$ . It is trivial that  $[X, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}] = 0$  for any vector field  $X \in TM$ . From (3.17), we have

(3.19) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi_t;\Omega)\Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_t)|_{t=0}, \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle \mu_M.$$

From (3.11), (3.15) and (3.19), we finish the proof.

From the first variation formula for the transversal bienergy functional, we know the following fact.

**Proposition 3.8.** A  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}')$ -biharmonic map  $\phi$  is a solution of the following equation

(3.20) 
$$(\tilde{\tau}_2)_b(\phi) := J_{\phi}^T(\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)) = 0.$$

Here  $(\tilde{\tau}_2)_b(\phi)$  is called the  $(\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{F}')$ -bitension field of  $\phi$ .

**Remark 3.9.** (1) From Remark 3.2, if  $\phi$  is a basic function on M, then

$$(\tilde{\tau}_2)_b(\phi) = J_{\phi}^T(\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)) = -J_{\phi}^T(\Delta_B\phi) = -\nabla_{tr}^*\nabla_{tr}(\Delta_B\phi) = \Delta_B^2\phi.$$

So  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map is a generalization of basic biharmonic function.

- (2) A  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic map is trivially  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map.
- (3) There is another kinds of biharmonic map on foliations, called transversally biharmonic map, which is a solution of  $(\tau_2)_b(\phi) := J_{\phi}^T(\tau_b(\phi)) \nabla_{\kappa_B^{\sharp}} \tau_b(\phi) = 0$  [11]. Actually, transversally biharmonic map is a critical point of the transversal f-bienergy functional  $E_{2,f}(\phi)$ , which is defined by

$$E_{2,f}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |\tau_b(\phi)|^2 e^{-f} \mu_M,$$

where f is a solution of  $\kappa_B = -df$ .

#### 3.3. Generalized Chen's conjecture

Now, we consider the generalized Chen's conjecture for  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic maps.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $(M, g, \mathcal{F})$  be a foliated Riemannian manifold and let  $(M', g', \mathcal{F}')$  be of non-positive transversal sectional curvature, that is,  $K^{Q'} \leq 0$ . Let  $\phi : M \to M'$  be a  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map. Then

- (1) if M is closed, then  $\phi$  is automatically  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}')$ -harmonic;
- (2) if M is complete with  $Vol(M) = \infty$  and  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi) < \infty$ , then  $\phi$  is  $(\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{F}')$ -harmonic:
- (3) If M is complete with  $E_B(\phi) < \infty$  and  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi) < \infty$ , then  $\phi$  is  $(\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{F}')$ -harmonic.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi: M \to M'$  be a  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map. Then from (3.20)

$$(3.21) \qquad (\nabla_{tr}^{\phi})^*(\nabla_{tr}^{\phi})\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) - \sum_a R^{Q'}(\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), d_T\phi(E_a))d_T\phi(E_a) = 0,$$

where  $\{E_a\}$  be a local orthonomal basic frame of Q. From the generalized Weitzenbock formula (3.5) and (3.6), we have

(3.22) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_B|\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2 = \langle \nabla_{tr}^* \nabla_{tr} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle - |\nabla_{tr} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2.$$

Hence from (3.21), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_B|\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2 = -|\nabla_{tr}\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2 + \sum_a \langle R^{Q'}(\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), d_T\phi(E_a))d_T\phi(E_a), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle.$$

That is,

$$|\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)|\Delta_{B}|\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)| = |d_{B}|\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)||^{2} - |\nabla_{tr}\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)|^{2} + \sum_{a} \langle R^{Q'}(\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi), d_{T}\phi(E_{a}))d_{T}\phi(E_{a}), \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle.$$
(3.23)

By the Kato's inequality, that is,  $|\nabla_{tr}\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)| \ge |d_B|\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|$ , and  $K^{Q'} \le 0$ , we have

$$(3.24) \frac{1}{2}\Delta_B|\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)| \le 0.$$

That is,  $|\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|$  is basic subharmonic.

(1) If M is closed, then  $|\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|$  is trivially constant. From (3.23), we have that for all a,

$$(3.25) \nabla_{E_a} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) = 0.$$

Now, we define the normal vector field Y by

$$Y = \sum_{a} \langle d_T \phi(E_a), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle E_a.$$

Then from (3.25), we have

(3.26) 
$$\operatorname{div}_{\nabla}(Y) = \sum_{a} \langle \nabla_{E_a} Y, E_a \rangle = \langle \tau_b(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle.$$

So by integrating (3.26) and by using the transversal divergence theorem (Theorem (2.1)), we get

$$(3.27) \qquad \int_{M} |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2 \mu_M = 0,$$

which implies that  $\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) = 0$ , that is,  $\phi$  is the  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic map.

(2) Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold. Note that for any basic 1-form  $\omega$ , it is trivial that  $\delta_B \omega = \delta \omega$  and so  $\Delta_B f = \Delta f$  for any basic function f. Hence by the Yau's maximum principle [25, Theorem 3], we have following lemma.

**Lemma 3.11.** If a nonnegative basic function f is basic-subharmonic, that is,  $\Delta_B f \leq 0$ , with  $\int_M f^p < \infty \ (p > 1)$ , then f is constant.

Since  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi) < \infty$ , by (3.24) and Lemma 3.11,  $|\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|$  is constant. Moreover, since  $Vol(M) = \infty$ ,  $\int_M |\tilde{\tau}_B(\phi)|^2 \mu_M < \infty$  implies  $\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) = 0$ , that is,  $\phi$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic.

(3) Now we define a basic 1-form  $\omega$  on M by

(3.28) 
$$\omega(X) = \langle d_T \phi(X), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle$$

for any normal vector field X. By using the Schwartz inequality, we get

$$\int_{M} |\omega| \mu_{M} = \int_{M} \left( \sum_{a} |\omega(E_{a})|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu_{M}$$

$$= \int_{M} \left( \sum_{a} |\langle d_{T}\phi(E_{a}), \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu_{M}$$

$$\leq \int_{M} |d_{T}\phi| |\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)| \mu_{M}$$

$$\leq \left( \int_{M} |d_{T}\phi|^{2} \mu_{M} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{M} |\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)|^{2} \mu_{M} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= 2\sqrt{E_{B}(\phi)E_{B,2}(\phi)} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, by a straight calculation, we know that

(3.29) 
$$\delta_B \omega = -|\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2.$$

Since  $\int_M |\omega| \mu_M < \infty$  and  $\int_M (\delta_B) \omega \mu_M = -\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\infty) < \infty$ , by the Gaffney's theorem [8], we know that

(3.30) 
$$\int_{M} |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2 \mu_M = -\int_{M} (\delta_B \omega) \mu_M = -\int_{M} (\delta \omega) \mu_M = 0.$$

Hence  $\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) = 0$ , that is,  $\phi$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic.

**Remark 3.12.** Note that for transversally biharmonic map, we need some conditions that the transversal Ricci curvature of M is nonnegative and positive at some point (cf. [11, Theorem 6.5]).

Now, we study the second variation formula for the transversal bienergy functional  $\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi)$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** (The second variation formula) For a foliated map  $\phi:(M,g,\mathcal{F})\to (M',g',\mathcal{F}')$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi_t;\Omega) \Big|_{t=0} &= -\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_V V, (\tilde{\tau}_2)_b(\phi) \rangle \mu_M + \int_{\Omega} |J_{\phi}^T(V)|^2 \mu_M \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \langle R^{Q'}(V,\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi))\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), V \rangle \mu_M \\ &- 4\int_{M} \langle R^{Q'}(\nabla_{tr}V,\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)) d_T \phi, V \rangle \mu_M \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \langle (\nabla_{\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)} R^{Q'})(V, d_T \phi) d_T \phi, V \rangle \mu_M \\ &+ 2\int_{\Omega} \langle (\nabla_{tr} R^{Q'})(d_T \phi, V) \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), V \rangle \mu_M, \end{split}$$

where  $V = \frac{d\phi_t}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}$  is the normal variation vector field of  $\{\phi_t\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi: M \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to M'$  be a smooth map, which is defined by  $\Phi(x, t) = \phi_t(x)$ . Let  $\nabla^{\Phi}$  be the pull-back connection on  $\Phi^{-1}Q'$ . It is trivial that  $[X, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}] = 0$  for any vector field  $X \in TM$ . From definition, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi_t;\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_t), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_t) \rangle \mu_M + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_t)|^2 \mu_M.$$

Let  $\{E_a\}$  be a local orthonormal basic frame on Q such that  $\nabla^{\Phi} E_a = 0$  at  $x \in M$ . From (3.14), we have

$$\begin{split} \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_t) &= \sum_a \nabla^{\Phi}_{E_a} \nabla^{\Phi}_{E_a} \nabla^{\Phi}_{E_a} \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} d\Phi(\frac{d}{dt}) - \nabla^{\Phi}_{\kappa_B^{\sharp}} \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} d\Phi(\frac{d}{dt}) + R^{\Phi}(\kappa_B^{\sharp}, \frac{d}{dt}) d\Phi(\frac{d}{dt}) \\ &+ \sum_a \nabla^{\Phi}_{E_a} R^{\Phi}(\frac{d}{dt}, E_a) d\Phi(\frac{d}{dt}) + \sum_a \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} R^{\Phi}(\frac{d}{dt}, E_a) d\Phi(E_a) \\ &+ \sum_a R^{\Phi}(\frac{d}{dt}, E_a) \nabla^{\Phi}_{E_a} d\Phi(\frac{d}{dt}). \end{split}$$

At t=0, since  $d\Phi(\frac{d}{dt})|_{t=0}=\frac{d\phi_t}{dt}|_{t=0}=V$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_t) \Big|_{t=0} &= \sum_a \nabla_{E_a} \nabla_{E_a} \nabla_V V - \nabla_{\kappa_B^{\sharp}} \nabla_V V + R^{Q'} (d_T \phi(\kappa_B^{\sharp}), V) V \\ &+ \sum_a \nabla_{E_a} R^{Q'} (V, d_T \phi(E_a)) V \\ &+ \sum_a \nabla_V R^{Q'} (V, d_T \phi(E_a)) d_T \phi(E_a) \\ &+ \sum_a R^{Q'} (V, d_T \phi(E_a)) \nabla_{E_a} V. \end{split}$$

By a straight calculation together with the Bianchi identities, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{a} \nabla_{E_{a}} R^{Q'}(V, d_{T}\phi(E_{a}))V &= \sum_{a} (\nabla_{E_{a}} R^{Q'})(V, d_{T}\phi(E_{a}))V + R^{Q'}(V, \tau_{b}(\phi))V \\ &+ 2 \sum_{a} R^{Q'}(V, d_{T}\phi(E_{a}))\nabla_{E_{a}} V \\ &- \sum_{a} R^{Q'}(V, \nabla_{E_{a}} V) d_{T}\phi(E_{a}) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{a} \nabla_{V} R^{Q'}(V, d_{T}\phi(E_{a})) d_{T}\phi(E_{a}) &= \sum_{a} (\nabla_{V} R^{Q'})(V, d_{T}\phi(E_{a})) d_{T}\phi(E_{a}) \\ &+ \sum_{a} R^{Q'}(\nabla_{V} V, d_{T}\phi(E_{a})) d_{T}\phi(E_{a}) \\ &+ \sum_{a} R^{Q'}(V, \nabla_{E_{a}} V) d_{T}\phi(E_{a}) \\ &+ \sum_{a} R^{Q'}(V, d_{T}\phi(E_{a})) \nabla_{E_{a}} V. \end{split}$$

By summing the above equations, we have

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\frac{d}{dt}} \nabla_{\frac{d}{dt}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_t) \Big|_{t=0} &= -J_\phi^T(\nabla_V V) + R^{Q'}(V, \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)) V \\ &+ \sum_a (\nabla_V R^{Q'})(V, d_T \phi(E_a)) d_T \phi(E_a) \\ &+ \sum_a (\nabla_{E_a} R^{Q'})(V, d_T \phi(E_a)) V \\ &+ 4 \sum_a R^{Q'}(V, d_T \phi(E_a)) \nabla_{E_a} V. \end{split}$$

Then by integrating, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi_t) \Big|_{t=0}, \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle &= -\int_{\Omega} \langle J^T_{\phi}(\nabla_V V), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \langle R^{Q'}(V, \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)) V, \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{a} \int_{\Omega} \langle (\nabla_V R^{Q'}) (V, d_T \phi(E_a)) d_T \phi(E_a), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{a} \int_{\Omega} \langle (\nabla_{E_a} R^{Q'}) (V, d_T \phi(E_a)) V, \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle \\ &+ 4 \sum_{a} \int_{\Omega} \langle R^{Q'}(V, d_T \phi(E_a)) \nabla_{E_a} V, \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle. \end{split}$$

From the second Bianchi identity, we get

$$\langle (\nabla_{V} R^{Q'})(V, d_{T} \phi(E_{a})) d_{T} \phi(E_{a}), \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle = \langle (\nabla_{E_{a}} R^{Q'})(V, d_{T} \phi(E_{a}))V, \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)} R^{Q'})(V, d_{T} \phi(E_{a})) d_{T} \phi(E_{a}), V \rangle.$$

From the above equation, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \nabla^{\Phi}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi_{t}) \Big|_{t=0}, \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle &= -\int_{\Omega} \langle J^{T}_{\phi}(\nabla_{V}V), \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \langle R^{Q'}(V, \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi))V, \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{a} \int_{\Omega} \langle (\nabla_{\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)} R^{Q'})(V, d_{T}\phi(E_{a})) d_{T}\phi(E_{a}), V \rangle \\ &+ 2 \sum_{a} \int_{\Omega} \langle (\nabla_{E_{a}} R^{Q'})(V, d_{T}\phi(E_{a}))V, \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle \\ &+ 4 \sum_{a} \int_{\Omega} \langle R^{Q'}(V, d_{T}\phi(E_{a}))\nabla_{E_{a}} V, \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle. \end{split}$$

From the above equation and (3.15), by using the curvature properties and self-adjointness of  $J_{\phi}^{T}$ , the proof follows.

**Definition 3.14.** A  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map  $\phi : (M, g, \mathcal{F}) \to (M', g', \mathcal{F}')$  is said to be weakly stable if  $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi_t)\Big|_{t=0} \geq 0$ .

Now, we consider the generalized Chen's conjecture for  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map when the transversal sectional curvature of M' is positive, that is,  $K^{Q'} > 0$ . In case of  $K^{Q'} \leq 0$ , see Theorem 3.10.

Let us recall the transversal stress-energy tensor  $S_T(\phi)$  of  $\phi$  [4, 11]:

(3.31) 
$$S_T(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} |d_T \phi|^2 g_Q - \phi^* g_{Q'}.$$

Note that for any vector field  $X \in \Gamma Q$ ,

$$(3.32) \qquad (\operatorname{div}_{\nabla} S_{T}(\phi))(X) = -\langle \tau_{b}(\phi), d_{T}\phi(X) \rangle.$$

If  $\operatorname{div}_{\nabla} S_T(\phi) = 0$ , then we say that  $\phi$  satisfies the transverse conservation law [4]. If there exists a basic function  $\lambda^2$  such that  $\phi^* g_{Q'} = \lambda^2 g_Q$ , then  $\phi$  is called a transversally weakly conformal map. In the case of  $\lambda$  being nonzero constant,  $\phi$  is called a transversally homothetic map. Hence we have the following propositions.

**Proposition 3.15.** [7] Let  $\phi:(M,g,\mathfrak{F})\to (M',g',\mathfrak{F}')$  be a transversally weakly conformal map with  $codim(\mathfrak{F})>2$ . Then  $\phi$  is transversally homothetic if and only if  $\phi$  satisfies the transverse conservation law.

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $(M, g, \mathcal{F})$  be a closed foliated Riemannian manifold and  $(M', g', \mathcal{F}')$  be a foliated Riemannian manifold with a positive constant transversal sectional curvature  $K^Q$ . Let  $\phi: M \to M'$  be a  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map such that  $\phi$  is transversally weakly stable and satisfies the transverse conservation law. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is minimal or  $\phi$  is transversally weakly conformal with codim $\mathcal{F} > 2$ , then  $\phi$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi: M \to M'$  be a  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -biharmonic map, that is,  $(\tilde{\tau}_2)_b(\phi) = 0$ . Let  $K^{Q'} = c > 0$ , where c is a positive constant. Then for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma Q'$ 

$$(3.33) R^{Q'}(X,Y)Z = c\{\langle Y,Z\rangle X - \langle X,Z\rangle Y\}.$$

So  $(\nabla_X R^{Q'})(Y,Z)=0$ . Hence if we take  $V=\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)$  in Theorem 3.13, then from (3.33)

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi_t) \Big|_{t=0} &= -4 \int_M \langle R^{Q'}(\nabla_{tr} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_b) d_T \phi, \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle \mu_M \\ &= -4c \int_M \langle \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), d_T \phi \rangle \langle \nabla_{tr} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle \mu_M \\ &+ 4c \int_M \langle d_T \phi, \nabla_{tr} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2 \mu_M \\ &= -4c \int_M \langle \tau_b(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2 \mu_M \\ &+ 4c \sum_a \int_M E_a(\langle d_T \phi(E_a), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2) \mu_M \\ &- 12c \sum_a \int \langle d_T \phi(E_a), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle \langle \nabla_{E_a} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle \mu_M. \end{split}$$

If we choose a normal vector field X as

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), d_T \phi(Y) \rangle |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2$$

for any normal vector field Y, then

$$\operatorname{div}_{\nabla} X = \sum_{a} E_a(\langle \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), d_T \phi(E_a) \rangle |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^2).$$

Hence by the transversal divergence theorem, we have

$$\int \sum_{a} E_{a}(\langle d_{T}\phi(E_{a}), \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)\rangle |\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)|^{2}) \mu_{M} = \int \operatorname{div}_{\nabla}(X) \mu_{M} = \int \langle X, \kappa_{B}^{\sharp} \rangle \mu_{M}$$
$$= \int \langle d_{T}\phi(\kappa_{B}^{\sharp}), \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle |\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)|^{2} \mu_{M}.$$

Combining the above equations, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi_t) \Big|_{t=0} = -4c \int_M |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^4 \mu_M 
-12c \sum_a \int_M \langle \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), d_T \phi(E_a) \rangle \langle \nabla_{E_a} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle \mu_M.$$

Since  $\phi$  satisfies the transverse conservation law, that is,  $(\operatorname{div}_{\nabla} S_T(\phi))(X) = 0$  for any X, we have

$$\langle \tau_b(\phi), d_T \phi(E_a) \rangle = (\text{div}_{\nabla} S_T(\phi))(E_a) = 0.$$

Moreover, since  $\phi$  is transversally weakly conforml, from Proposition 3.15,  $\phi$  is transversally homothetic. Hence

$$\sum_{a} \langle d_T \phi(\kappa_B^{\sharp}), d_T \phi(E_a) \rangle \langle \nabla_{E_a} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle = \alpha \langle \nabla_{\kappa_B^{\sharp}} \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) \rangle$$

for some constant  $\alpha$ . So if we choose the bundle-like metric such that  $\delta_B \kappa_B = 0$ , then

$$\int_{M} \sum_{a} \langle \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi), d_{T}\phi(E_{a}) \rangle \langle \nabla_{E_{a}} \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle \mu_{M}$$

$$= -\alpha \int_{M} \langle \nabla_{\kappa_{B}^{\sharp}} \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi), \tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi) \rangle \mu_{M}$$

$$= -\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{M} \langle \delta_{B} \kappa_{B}, |\tilde{\tau}_{b}(\phi)| \rangle \mu_{M}$$

$$= 0.$$

Hence from (3.34), we have

(3.35) 
$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \tilde{E}_{B,2}(\phi_t) \Big|_{t=0} = -4c \int |\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi)|^4 \mu_M.$$

In case  $\mathcal{F}$  is minimal, (3.35) also holds. Hence since  $\phi$  is weakly stable and c > 0, we have  $\tilde{\tau}_b(\phi) = 0$ , that is,  $\phi$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}')$ -harmonic.

**Remark 3.17.** The generalized Chen's conjectures for the transversally biharmonic map have been studied in [11, 13] under some additional conditions such that the transversal Ricci curvature of M is nonnegative.

# References

- [1] J. A. Alvarez López, The basic component of the mean curvature of Riemannian foliations, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 10(1992), 179–194.
- [2] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo and P. Piu, On biharmonic maps, Contemp. Math., 288(2001), 286–290.
- [3] B.-Y. Chen, Some open problems and conjectures on submanifolds of finite type, Soochow J. Math., 17(1991), 169–188.
- [4] Y. J. Chiang and R. Wolak, Transversally biharmonic maps between foliated Riemannian manifolds, Internat. J. Math., 19(2008), 981–996.

- [5] D. Domínguez, A tenseness theorem for Riemannian foliations, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 320(1995), 1331–1335.
- [6] S. Dragomir and A. Tommasoli, Harmonic maps of foliated Riemannian manifolds, Geom. Dedicata, 162(2013), 191–229.
- [7] X. Fu, J. Qian and S. D. Jung, *Harmonic maps on weighted Riemannian foliations*, arXiv:2212.05639v2 [math.DG].
- [8] M. P. Gaffney, A special Stokes' theorem for complete Riemannian manifold, Ann. of Math., 60(1954), 140–145.
- [9] G. Y. Jiang, 2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formula, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A, 7(1986), 389–402.
- [10] S. D. Jung, The first eigenvalue of the transversal Dirac operator, J. Geom. Phys., **39**(2001), 253–264.
- [11] S. D. Jung, Variation formulas for transversally harmonic and biharmonic maps, J. Geom. Phys., 70(2013), 9–20
- [12] M. J. Jung and S. D. Jung, On transversally harmonic maps of foliated Riemannian manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc., 49(2012), 977–991.
- [13] M. J. Jung and S. D. Jung, Liouville type theorems for transversally harmonic and biharmonic maps, J. Korean Math. Soc., **54**(2017), 763–772.
- [14] F. W. Kamber and P. Tondeur, Infinitesimal automorphisms and second variation of the energy for harmonic foliations, Tohoku Math. J., **34**(1982), 525–538.
- [15] J. J. Konderak and R. A. Wolak, Transversally harmonic maps between manifolds with Riemannian foliations, Q. J. Math., 54(2003), 335–354
- [16] P. March, M. Min-Oo and E. A. Ruh, *Mean curvature of Riemannian foliations*, Canad. Math. Bull., **39**(1996), 95–105.
- [17] A. Mason, An application of stochastic flows to Riemannian foliations, Houston J. Math., 26(2000), 481–515.
- [18] P. Molino, *Riemannian foliations*, Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988, xii+339 pp.
- [19] N. Nakauchi, H. Urakawa and S. Gudmundsson, Biharmonic maps into a Riemannian manifold of non-positive curvature, Geom. Dedicata, 169(2014), 263– 272.
- [20] J. S. Pak and S. D. Jung, A transversal Dirac operator and some vanishing theorems on a complete foliated Riemannian manifold, Math. J. Toyama Univ., 16(1993), 97–108.
- [21] H. K. Pak and J. H. Park, Transversal harmonic transformations for Riemannian foliations, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 30(2006), 97–105.

- [22] E. Park and K. Richardson, The basic Laplacian of a Riemannian foliation, Amer. J. Math., 118(1996), 1249–1275.
- [23] P. Tondeur, Foliations on Riemannian manifolds, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988, xii+247 pp.
- $[24]\,$  P. Tondeur,  $Geometry\ of\ foliations,$  Monogr. Math. 90, Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1997, viii+305 pp.
- [25] S. T., Yau, Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifold and their applications to geometry, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 25(1976), 659–670.
- [26] S. Yorozu and T. Tanemura, Green's theorem on a foliated Riemannian manifold and its applications, Acta Math. Hungar., **56**(1990), 239–245.