J. Appl. Math. & Informatics Vol. 40(2022), No. 5 - 6, pp. 807 - 811 https://doi.org/10.14317/jami.2022.807

# GENERALIZED ABSOLUTE CESÀRO SUMMABILITY OF FACTORED INFINITE SERIES

#### HÜSEYİN BOR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we have proved a general theorem dealing with  $\varphi - | C, \alpha, \beta |_k$  summability factors of infinite series. Also, we have obtained some new and known results related to the different special summability methods.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 26D15, 40D15, 40F05, 40G05. *Key words and phrases* : Cesàro mean, absolute summability, infinite series, Hölder's inequality, Minkowski's inequality.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\sum a_n$  be an infinite series. We denote by  $t_n^{\alpha,\beta}$  the *n*th Cesàro mean of order  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , with  $\alpha + \beta > -1$ , of the sequence  $(na_n)$ , that is (see [6])

$$t_{n}^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_{v}^{\beta} v a_{v}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$A_n^{\alpha+\beta} \simeq \frac{n^{\alpha+\beta}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+\beta+1\right)}, \quad A_0^{\alpha+\beta} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_{-n}^{\alpha+\beta} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0.$$
 (2)

Let  $(\varphi_n)$  be a sequence of complex numbers. The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta|_k, k \ge 1$ , if (see [4])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k} | \varphi_n t_n^{\alpha,\beta} |^k < \infty.$$
(3)

In the special case when  $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ ,  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta|_k$  summability is the same as  $|C, \alpha, \beta|_k$  summability (see [7]). Also, if we take  $\varphi_n = n^{\delta+1-\frac{1}{k}}$ , then  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta|_k$  summability reduces to  $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$  summability (see [5]). If we take  $\beta = 0$ , then we have  $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$  summability (see [1]). If we take

Received October 3, 2020. Revised October 27, 2020. Accepted May 31, 2021. © 2022 KSCAM.

#### Hüseyin Bor

 $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then we get  $|C, \alpha|_k$  summability (see [8]). Finally, if we take  $\varphi_n = n^{\delta+1-\frac{1}{k}}$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then we obtain  $|C, \alpha; \delta|_k$  summability (see [9]). The following theorem is known dealing with the  $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$  summability factors of infinite series.

**Theorem 1.1**[2] Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Let  $(X_n)$  be a positive non-decreasing sequence and let there be sequences  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  such that

$$|\Delta\lambda_n| \le \beta_n \tag{4}$$

$$\beta_n \to 0 \quad as \quad n \to \infty \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \mid \Delta \beta_n \mid X_n < \infty \tag{6}$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (7)

If there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the sequence  $(n^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_n|^k)$  is non increasing and if the sequence  $(\omega_n^{\alpha})$  defined by (see [11])

$$\omega_n^{\alpha} = \begin{cases} |t_n^{\alpha}| & (\alpha = 1) \\ \max_{1 \le v \le n} |t_v^{\alpha}| & (0 < \alpha < 1) \end{cases}$$
(8)

satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^k} (|\varphi_n| \,\omega_n^{\alpha})^k = O(X_m) \quad as \quad m \to \infty,$$
(9)

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$ , where  $k \ge 1$  and  $(\alpha + \epsilon) > 1$ .

### 2. Main result

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1.1 for  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta|_k$  summability method. Now we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Let  $(X_n)$  be a positive non-decreasing sequence and the sequences  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  such that conditions (4)-(7) of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. If there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the sequence  $(n^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_n|^k)$  is non increasing and if the sequence  $(\omega_n^{\alpha,\beta})$  be a sequence defined by (see [3])

$$\omega_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} \left| t_n^{\alpha,\beta} \right|, & \alpha = 1, \beta > -1, \\ \max_{1 \le v \le n} \left| t_v^{\alpha,\beta} \right|, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > -1. \end{cases}$$
(10)

satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^k} (|\varphi_n| \,\omega_n^{\alpha,\beta})^k = O(X_m) \quad as \quad m \to \infty,$$
(11)

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $\varphi - |C, \alpha, \beta|_k$ , where  $k \ge 1, \beta > -1$ , and  $(\alpha + \beta)k + \epsilon > 1$ .

808

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem. Lemma 2.2 [3] If  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ,  $\beta > -1$ , and  $1 \le v \le n$ , then

$$\left|\sum_{p=0}^{v} A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_{p}^{\beta} a_{p}\right| \leq \max_{1 \leq m \leq v} \left|\sum_{p=0}^{m} A_{m-p}^{\alpha-1} A_{p}^{\beta} a_{p}\right|.$$
 (12)

**Lemma 2.3** [10] Under the conditions on  $(X_n)$ ,  $(\beta_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  as taken in the statement of Theorem 1.1, the following conditions hold, when (6) is satisfied;

$$n\beta_n X_n = O(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty.$$
(14)

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let  $(T_n^{\alpha,\beta})$  be the *n*th  $(C,\alpha,\beta)$  mean of the sequence  $(na_n\lambda_n)$ . Then, by (1), we have

$$T_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^{\beta} v a_v \lambda_v.$$

Applying Abel's transformation first and then using Lemma 2. 2, we have that

$$\begin{split} T_n^{\alpha,\beta} &= \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta \lambda_v \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta p a_p + \frac{\lambda_n}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v, \\ \mid T_n^{\alpha,\beta} \mid &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \mid \Delta \lambda_v \mid \mid \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta p a_p \mid + \frac{\mid \lambda_n \mid}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \mid \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^{(\alpha+\beta)} \omega_v^{\alpha,\beta} \mid \Delta \lambda_v \mid + \mid \lambda_n \mid \omega_n^{\alpha,\beta} = T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta} + T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}. \end{split}$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.1, by Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k} | \varphi_n T_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta} |^k < \infty, \quad \text{for} \quad r = 1, 2.$$

Now, when k > 1, applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k', where  $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$ , we get that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+2} \frac{1}{n^k} \mid \varphi_n T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta} \mid^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{-k} (A_n^{\alpha+\beta})^{-k} \mid \varphi_n \mid^k \{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^{\alpha+\beta} \omega_v^{\alpha,\beta} \mid \Delta \lambda_v \mid \}^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{-k} n^{-(\alpha+\beta)k} \mid \varphi_n \mid^k \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (A_v^{\alpha+\beta})^k (\omega_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k \beta_v . \{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \beta_v \}^{k-1} \end{split}$$

Hüseyin Bor

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (\omega_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k} \beta_{v} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{n^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_{n}|^{k}}{n^{(\alpha+\beta)k+\epsilon}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (\omega_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k} \beta_{v} v^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_{v}|^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{(\alpha+\beta)k+\epsilon}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (\omega_{v}^{\alpha,\beta})^{k} v^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_{v}|^{k} \beta_{v} \int_{v}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{(\alpha+\beta)k+\epsilon}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v \beta_{v} v^{-k} (\omega_{v}^{\alpha,\beta} |\varphi_{v}|)^{k}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v\beta_{v}) \sum_{r=1}^{v} r^{-k} (\omega_{r}^{\alpha,\beta} |\varphi_{r}|)^{k}$$

$$+ O(1) m\beta_{m} \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{-k} (\omega_{v}^{\alpha,\beta} |\varphi_{v}|)^{k}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(v\beta_{v})| X_{v} + O(1) m\beta_{m} X_{m}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta\beta_{v}| X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_{v} X_{v} + O(1) m\beta_{m} X_{m}$$

$$= O(1) as m \to \infty,$$

by the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3. Since,  $|\lambda_n| = O(1)$  by (7), finally we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^{k}} |\varphi_{n} T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}|^{k}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} |\lambda_{n}| n^{-k} (\omega_{n}^{\alpha,\beta} |\varphi_{n}|)^{k} = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_{n}| \sum_{v=1}^{n} v^{-k} (\omega_{v}^{\alpha,\beta} |\varphi_{v}|)^{k}$$

$$+ O(1) |\lambda_{m}| \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{-k} (\omega_{n}^{\alpha,\beta} |\varphi_{n}|)^{k} = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_{n}| X_{n} + O(1) |\lambda_{m}| X_{m}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \beta_{n} X_{n} + O(1) |\lambda_{m}| X_{m} = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty,$$

by the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3. This completes the proof.

### 4. Conclusions

If we take  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ , then we obtain a new result concerning the  $|C, \alpha, \beta|_k$  summability factors of infinite series. Also, if we take  $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and  $\varphi_n = n^{\delta+1-\frac{1}{k}}$ , then we have a new result dealing with the  $|C, \alpha; \delta|_k$ 

810

summability factors of infinite series. Finally, if we set  $\beta = 0$ , then we obtain Theorem 1.1.

#### References

- 1. M. Balcı, Absolute  $\varphi$ -summability factors, Comm. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara, Ser.  $A_1$  29 (1980), 63-68.
- H. Bor, Factors for generalized absolute Cesàro summability methods, Publ. Math. Debrecen 43 (1993), 297-302.
- H. Bor, On a new application of quasi power increasing sequences, Proc. Est. Acad. Sci. 57 (2008), 205-209.
- H. Bor, A newer application of almost increasing equences, Pac. J. Appl. Math. 2 (2010), 211-216.
- H. Bor, An application of almost increasing sequence, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), 298-301.
- D. Borwein, Theorems on some methods of summability, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2 9 (1958), 310-316.
- G. Das, A Tauberian theorem for absolute summability, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 67 (1970), 32-326.
- 8. T.M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, Proc. London Math. Soc. 7 (1957), 113-141.
- T.M. Flett, Some more theorems concerning the absolute summability of Fourier series, Proc. London Math. Soc. 8 (1958), 357-387.
- K.N. Mishra and R.S.L. Srivastava, On absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series, Portugal. Math. 42 (1983-1984), 53-61.
- 11. T. Pati, The summability factors of infinite series, Duke Math. J. 21 (1954), 271-284.

**Hüseyin Bor** received his Ph.D. degree from Department of Mathematics, Ankara University in 1982 and he retired from Erciyes University in 2011. He is currently an Emeritus Professor and he is an independent researcher in Ankara. His research interests include sequences, series, summability, and Fourier Analysis. He is the author of 250 research papers published in the reputed international mathematics journals. He also serves as referee and editor in many mathematical journals.

P.O. Box 121, TR-06502 Bahçelievler, Ankara, Turkey e-mail: hbor33@gmail.com