

Editorial



Cardiac Magnetic Resonance in the Aging Heart

Suyon Chang , MD

Department of Radiology, Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Korea

OPEN ACCESS

► See the article “What is Normal for an Aging Heart?: A Prospective CMR Cohort Study” in volume 30 on page 202.

Received: May 31, 2022
Accepted: Jun 24, 2022
Published online: Jul 5, 2022

Address for Correspondence:

Suyon Chang, MD

Department of Radiology, Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 222 Banpo-daero, Seocho-gu, Seoul 06591, Korea.
Email: ohyes723@gmail.com

Copyright © 2022 Korean Society of Echocardiography

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Suyon Chang 
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9221-8116>

Conflict of Interest

The author has no financial conflicts of interest.

Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) enables comprehensive evaluation of the cardiac structure, function, perfusion, blood flow quantitation, and tissue characterization.¹⁾ As the lifespan of people increases, the elderly population is growing. Therefore, it is critical to determine the physiologic change and reference values of CMR parameters according to age to discriminate between normal subjects and patients.

Researchers have conducted studies to determine reference CMR values according to age.²⁻⁶⁾ The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) study, a large population study, suggested that concentric remodeling occurs with aging; the ventricular volume decreases while left ventricular (LV) mass remains constant or decreases slightly, increasing the LV mass-to-volume ratio. However, ejection fraction remained normal even with advancing age.³⁾

In this issue of the *Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging*, Kersten et al.⁷⁾ comprehensively evaluated ventricular function, native T1 and T2 values of the myocardium, aortic distensibility, and deformation parameters using CMR in 75 healthy subjects and 10 otherwise healthy diabetics. Despite the small sample size, the authors applied relatively strict inclusion criteria to reduce potential confounding factors. With aging, the biventricular volumes decreased while the ejection fraction remained normal, confirming the previous results.³⁻⁴⁾ Similar to the prior studies,²⁻⁶⁾ a decrease in aortic distensibility and LV peak diastolic strain rates were observed with aging. Interestingly, the myocardial native T1 value decreased with aging in this study. T1 mapping revealed a strong correlation with diffuse myocardial fibrosis on endomyocardial biopsy.⁸⁻⁹⁾ Age-related cardiac remodeling is known to accompany diffuse interstitial fibrosis.⁵⁻¹⁰⁾ However, previous studies have reported different results for relationships between aging and native T1 value.⁵⁻¹¹⁻¹²⁾ The differences may result from the different inclusion criteria and age-dependence of T1 indices according to sex.⁵⁻¹¹⁾ Type 2 diabetes patients showed lower end-diastolic volume index and stroke volume index, but there was no difference in ejection fraction or LV mass in this study. This result is different from the MESA study that reported diabetes was associated with higher LV mass and lower stroke volume and ejection fraction.¹³⁾ However, only 10 patients were included in this study and the duration since the diagnosis of diabetes was not analyzed. Although the authors applied strict inclusion criteria, the significance of the results seems limited because of the small sample size. Further studies with a larger population are necessary to confirm the results.

CMR enables quantification of morphological and functional parameters, and tissue characterization. It helps better understand cardiac aging and identify physiologic changes with age. With the development of various techniques of CMR, further research on the aging heart needs to be updated.

REFERENCES

1. Kramer CM, Barkhausen J, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Flamm SD, Kim RJ, Nagel E. Standardized cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) protocols: 2020 update. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2020;22:17.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Andre F, Steen H, Matheis P, et al. Age- and gender-related normal left ventricular deformation assessed by cardiovascular magnetic resonance feature tracking. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2015;17:25.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Cheng S, Fernandes VR, Bluemke DA, McClelland RL, Kronmal RA, Lima JA. Age-related left ventricular remodeling and associated risk for cardiovascular outcomes: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:191-8.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Yoneyama K, Venkatesh BA, Bluemke DA, McClelland RL, Lima JA. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in an adult human population: serial observations from the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2017;19:52.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Liu CY, Liu YC, Wu C, et al. Evaluation of age-related interstitial myocardial fibrosis with cardiac magnetic resonance contrast-enhanced T1 mapping: MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;62:1280-7.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Voges I, Jerosch-Herold M, Hedderich J, et al. Normal values of aortic dimensions, distensibility, and pulse wave velocity in children and young adults: a cross-sectional study. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2012;14:77.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Kersten J, Hackenbroch C, Bouly M, Tyl B, Bernhardt P. What is normal for an aging heart?: a prospective CMR cohort study. *J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2022;30:202-11.
[CROSSREF](#)
8. Bull S, White SK, Piechnik SK, et al. Human non-contrast T1 values and correlation with histology in diffuse fibrosis. *Heart* 2013;99:932-7.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
9. Sibley CT, Noureldin RA, Gai N, et al. T1 Mapping in cardiomyopathy at cardiac MR: comparison with endomyocardial biopsy. *Radiology* 2012;265:724-32.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Lakatta EG. Cardiovascular regulatory mechanisms in advanced age. *Physiol Rev* 1993;73:413-67.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
11. Piechnik SK, Ferreira VM, Lewandowski AJ, et al. Normal variation of magnetic resonance T1 relaxation times in the human population at 1.5 T using ShMOLLI. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2013;15:13.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
12. Dabir D, Child N, Kalra A, et al. Reference values for healthy human myocardium using a T1 mapping methodology: results from the International T1 Multicenter cardiovascular magnetic resonance study. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2014;16:69.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
13. Heckbert SR, Post W, Pearson GD, et al. Traditional cardiovascular risk factors in relation to left ventricular mass, volume, and systolic function by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: the Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:2285-92.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)