Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 37 (2022), No. 3, pp. 723-734

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c210196} \\ {\rm pISSN:~1225\text{-}1763~/~eISSN:~2234\text{-}3024} \end{array}$

FEKETE-SZEGÖ INEQUALITIES FOR A NEW GENERAL SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS INVOLVING THE (p,q)-DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

SERAP BULUT

ABSTRACT. In this work, we introduce a new subclass of analytic functions of complex order involving the (p,q)-derivative operator defined in the open unit disc. For this class, several Fekete-Szegö type coefficient inequalities are derived. We obtain the results of Srivastava et al. [22] as consequences of the main theorem in this study.

1. Introduction and definitions

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

(1)
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

which are analytic in the unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}.$$

Also let S denote the subclass of A consisting of univalent functions in \mathbb{U} . For $f \in S$, Fekete and Szegö [11] proved a noticeable result that the estimate

(2)
$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} -4\mu + 3, & \mu \le 0, \\ 1 + 2\exp\left(\frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu}\right), & 0 \le \mu \le 1, \\ 4\mu - 3, & \mu \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

holds. The result is sharp in the sense that for each μ there is a function in the class under consideration for which equality holds.

The coefficient functional

$$\phi_{\mu}(f) = a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1}{6} \left(f'''(0) - \frac{3\mu}{2} (f''(0))^2 \right)$$

Received May 28, 2021; Revised September 30, 2021; Accepted December 14, 2021. 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic function, univalent function, coefficient inequalities, Fekete-Szegö problem, subordination, Hadamard product (or convolution), (p,q)-derivative operator.

on $f \in \mathcal{A}$ represents various geometric quantities as well as in the sense that this behaves well with respect to the rotation, namely

$$\phi_{\mu}\left(e^{-i\theta}f\left(e^{i\theta}z\right)\right) = e^{2i\theta}\phi_{\mu}\left(f\right) \quad (\theta \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Thus it is quite natural to ask about inequalities for ϕ_{μ} corresponding to subclasses of S. This is called Fekete-Szegö problem. Actually, many authors have considered this problem for typical classes of univalent functions (see, for instance [1, 3, 7-11, 14, 16-19]).

For two functions f and g, analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function f(z) is subordinate to g(z) in \mathbb{U} , and write

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function w(z), analytic in \mathbb{U} , with

$$w(0) = 0$$
 and $|w(z)| < 1$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$,

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

In particular, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0)$$
 and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$.

Quantum calculus is ordinary classical calculus without the notion of limits. It defines q-calculus and h-calculus. Here h ostensibly stands for Planck's constant, while q stands for quantum. The area of q-calculus has attracted the serious attention of researchers. This great interest is due to its application in various branches of mathematics and physics. The application of q-calculus was initiated by Jackson [12,13]. He was the first to develop q-integral and q-derivative in a systematic way. Later, geometrical interpretation of q-analysis has been recognized through studies on quantum groups. It also suggests a relation between integrable systems and q-analysis. A comprehensive study on applications of q-calculus in operator theory may be found in [4]. Recently, there is an extension of q-calculus, denoted by (p,q)-calculus which obtained by substituting q by q/p in q-calculus. The (p,q)-integer was considered by Chakrabarti and Jagannathan [6].

For a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1) and $0 < q < p \le 1$, the (p,q)-derivative of function f is defined by (see [2,15])

(3)
$$D_{p,q}f(z) = \frac{f(pz) - f(qz)}{(p-q)z} \qquad (z \neq 0).$$

From (3), we deduce that

(4)
$$D_{p,q}f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_{p,q} a_k z^{k-1},$$

where $[k]_{p,q}$ denotes the (p,q)-integer and is given by

(5)
$$[k]_{p,q} = \frac{p^k - q^k}{p - q}.$$

As p=1 and $q\to 1^-$, $[k]_{p,q}\to k$. For a function $g(z)=z^k$, we get

$$D_{p,q}(z^k) = [k]_{p,q} z^{k-1}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal P$ the class of all functions φ which are analytic and univalent in \mathbb{U} and for which $\varphi(\mathbb{U})$ is convex with

$$\varphi(0) = 1$$
 and $\Re \{\varphi(z)\} > 0$ $(z \in \mathbb{U})$.

By making use of the (p,q)-derivative of a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and the principle of subordination, we introduce the following subclass.

Definition 1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^{\lambda}(\varphi) \qquad (0 \le \lambda \le 1, \ b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \ \varphi \in \mathcal{P})$$

if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z D_{p,q} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \left(z \right)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \left(z \right)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi \left(z \right) \qquad \left(z \in \mathbb{U} \right).$$

where

(6)
$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(z) = \lambda z D_{p,q} f(z) + (1 - \lambda) f(z).$$

Remark 1.1. For p=1, the class $\mathcal{M}_{p,q,b}^{\lambda}\left(\varphi\right)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{M}_{q,b}^{\lambda}\left(\varphi\right)$ introduced and studied by Bulut [5].

Remark 1.2. (i) If we set $\lambda = 0$ in Definition 1, then we have the class

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,q,b}^{0}\left(\varphi\right)=\mathcal{S}_{p,q}^{b}\left(\varphi\right)$$

of (p,q)-starlike functions of complex order b which consists of functions satisfying

$$1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{zD_{p,q}f\left(z\right)}{f\left(z\right)}-1\right)\prec\varphi\left(z\right)\qquad\left(z\in\mathbb{U}\right).$$

(ii) If we set $\lambda = 1$ in Definition 1, then we have the class

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,q,b}^{1}\left(\varphi\right)=\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^{b}\left(\varphi\right)$$

of (p,q)-convex functions of complex order b which consists of functions satisfying

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{D_{p,q} \left(z D_{p,q} f \left(z \right) \right)}{D_{p,q} f \left(z \right)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi \left(z \right) \qquad \left(z \in \mathbb{U} \right).$$

 $1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{D_{p,q}\left(zD_{p,q}f\left(z\right)\right)}{D_{p,q}f\left(z\right)}-1\right)\prec\varphi\left(z\right)\qquad\left(z\in\mathbb{U}\right).$ The classes $\mathcal{S}_{p,q}^{b}\left(\varphi\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^{b}\left(\varphi\right)$ was introduced and studied by Yatkın and

Remark 1.3. In Remark 1.2, letting b=1, we get the classes $\mathcal{S}_{p,q}^1(\varphi)=\mathcal{S}_{p,q}^*(\varphi)$ and $C_{p,q}^1(\varphi) = C_{p,q}(\varphi)$ of (p,q)-starlike functions and (p,q)-convex functions, respectively. These classes was introduced by Srivastava et al. [22].

Remark 1.4. In Remark 1.2, letting p=1, we get the classes $\mathcal{S}_{1,q}^b(\varphi)=\mathcal{S}_{q,b}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1,q}^b(\varphi)=\mathcal{C}_{q,b}(\varphi)$ of q-starlike functions of complex order b and q-convex functions of complex order b, respectively. These classes was introduced by Seoudy and Aouf [21].

We shall require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.5 ([20]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$. Then for any complex number ν

$$\left| c_2 - \nu c_1^2 \right| \le 2 \max \left\{ 1, \left| 2\nu - 1 \right| \right\},$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p\left(z\right)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}\quad and\quad p\left(z\right)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Lemma 1.6 ([19]). If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$, then

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \le \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2, & \nu \le 0, \\ 2, & 0 \le \nu \le 1, \\ 4\nu - 2, & \nu \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

When $\nu < 0$ or $\nu > 1$, equality holds if and only if p(z) is $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one of its rotations. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then equality holds if and only if p(z) is $\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$ or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 0$, then the equality holds if and only if

$$p\left(z\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\eta\right)\frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\eta\right)\frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad \left(0 \le \eta \le 1\right)$$

or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 1$, then the equality holds if and only if p(z) is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that the equality holds in the case when $\nu = 0$.

Although the above upper bound is sharp, in the case when $0 < \nu < 1$, it can be further improved as follows:

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1|^2 \le 2$$
 $\left(0 < \nu \le \frac{1}{2}\right)$

and

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + (1 - \nu) |c_1|^2 \le 2$$
 $\left(\frac{1}{2} \le \nu \le 1\right)$.

2. Fekete-Szegő problem for the function class $\mathcal{M}_{p,q,b}^{\lambda}(\varphi)$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that

$$0 < \lambda < 1$$
, $0 < q < p < 1$, $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$,

 $[k]_{p,q}$ is given by (5) and $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots$ with $B_1 \neq 0$. If f(z) given by (1) belongs to the function class $\mathcal{M}_{p,q,b}^{\lambda}(\varphi)$, then for any complex number μ

$$|a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| \leq \frac{|B_{1}b|}{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + [3]_{p,q}\lambda\right)}$$

$$\times \max\left\{1, \left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}} + \frac{B_{1}b}{[2]_{p,q} - 1}\left(1 - \frac{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + [3]_{p,q}\lambda\right)}{\left([2]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + [2]_{p,q}\lambda\right)^{2}}\mu\right)\right|\right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q,b}^{\lambda}(\varphi)$, then we have

$$h(z) \prec \varphi(z)$$
,

where

(8)
$$h(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z D_{p,q} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(z)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(z)} - 1 \right) = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

By the definition of the function \mathcal{F}_{λ} given by (6) and by the (p,q)-derivative defined by (4), we obtain

(9)
$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(z) = \lambda z D_{p,q} f(z) + (1 - \lambda) f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \lambda + [k]_{p,q} \lambda \right) a_k z^k.$$

Therefore from (8) and (9), we have

(10)
$$h_1 = \frac{1}{b} \left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [2]_{p,q} \lambda \right) a_2,$$

$$(11) \quad h_2 = \frac{1}{b} \left[\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [3]_{p,q} \lambda \right) a_3 - \left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [2]_{p,q} \lambda \right)^2 a_2^2 \right].$$

Since $\varphi(z)$ is univalent and $h(z) \prec \varphi(z)$, the function

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1 + \varphi^{-1}(h(z))}{1 - \varphi^{-1}(h(z))} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \cdots$$

is analytic and has a positive real part in \mathbb{U} . Also we have

(12)
$$h(z) = \varphi\left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1}\right)$$
$$= 1 + \frac{B_1c_1}{2}z + \left[\frac{B_1}{2}\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{B_2c_1^2}{4}\right]z^2 + \cdots$$

Thus by (8)-(12) we get

(13)
$$a_2 = \frac{B_1 c_1 b}{2\left([2]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + [2]_{p,q} \lambda\right)},$$

$$(14) \ a_3 = \frac{B_1 b}{2\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + \left[3\right]_{p,q} \lambda\right)} \left[c_2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{B_1 b}{\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1}\right)c_1^2\right].$$

Taking into account (13) and (14), we obtain

(15)
$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{B_1 b}{2([3]_{p,q} - 1)(1 - \lambda + [3]_{p,q} \lambda)} (c_2 - \delta c_1^2),$$

where

$$(16) \quad \delta = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{B_1 b}{[2]_{p,q} - 1} \left(1 - \frac{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [3]_{p,q} \lambda \right)}{\left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [2]_{p,q} \lambda \right)^2} \mu \right) \right].$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 1.5. The result is sharp for the functions

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z D_{p,q} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \left(z \right)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \left(z \right)} - 1 \right) = \varphi \left(z^2 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z D_{p,q} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \left(z \right)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \left(z \right)} - 1 \right) = \varphi \left(z \right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. Taking $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we get [23, Theorem 4] and [23, Theorem 5], respectively.

Corollary 2.3. Taking $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ with b = 1 in Theorem 2.1, we get [22, Theorem 2.1] and [22, Theorem 2.2], respectively.

Corollary 2.4. Taking $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ with p = 1 in Theorem 2.1, we get [21, Theorem 1] and [21, Theorem 2], respectively.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots$ with $B_1 > 0$ and $B_2 \ge 0$. If f(z) given by (1) belongs to the function class $\mathcal{M}_{p,q,b}^{\lambda}(\varphi)$ with b > 0, then

$$\begin{split} &|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{-}| \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{B_{2}b}{\left([3]_{p,q}-1 \right) \left(1-\lambda + [3]_{p,q}\lambda \right)} + \frac{B_{1}^{2}b^{2}}{\left([2]_{p,q}-1 \right)} \left[\frac{1}{\left([3]_{p,q}-1 \right) \left(1-\lambda + [3]_{p,q}\lambda \right)} - \frac{\mu}{\left([2]_{p,q}-1 \right) \left(1-\lambda + [2]_{p,q}\lambda \right)^{2}} \right], \\ & if \ \mu \leq \sigma_{1} \\ & \frac{B_{1}b}{\left([3]_{p,q}-1 \right) \left(1-\lambda + [3]_{p,q}\lambda \right)}, \\ & if \ \sigma_{1} \leq \mu \leq \sigma_{2} \\ & - \frac{B_{2}b}{\left([3]_{p,q}-1 \right) \left(1-\lambda + [3]_{p,q}\lambda \right)} - \frac{B_{1}^{2}b^{2}}{\left([2]_{p,q}-1 \right)} \left[\frac{1}{\left([3]_{p,q}-1 \right) \left(1-\lambda + [3]_{p,q}\lambda \right)} - \frac{\mu}{\left([2]_{p,q}-1 \right) \left(1-\lambda + [2]_{p,q}\lambda \right)^{2}} \right], \\ & if \ \mu \geq \sigma_{2}, \end{split}$$

where

(17)
$$\sigma_{1} = \frac{\left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + \left[2\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)^{2} \left[B_{1}^{2}b + \left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(B_{2} - B_{1}\right)\right]}{\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + \left[3\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)B_{1}^{2}b},$$

(18)
$$\sigma_{2} = \frac{\left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + \left[2\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)^{2} \left[B_{1}^{2}b + \left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(B_{2} + B_{1}\right)\right]}{\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + \left[3\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)B_{1}^{2}b},$$

(19)
$$\sigma_{3} = \frac{\left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + \left[2\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)^{2} \left[B_{1}^{2}b + \left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)B_{2}\right]}{\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)\left(1 - \lambda + \left[3\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)B_{1}^{2}b}.$$

If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| + \frac{\left(\left[2\right]_{p,q}-1\right)^{2}\left(1-\lambda+\left[2\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)^{2}}{\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q}-1\right)\left(1-\lambda+\left[3\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)B_{1}^{2}b} \\ \times \left\{B_{1}-B_{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}b}{\left(\left[2\right]_{p,q}-1\right)}\left(1-\frac{\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q}-1\right)\left(1-\lambda+\left[3\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)}{\left(\left[2\right]_{p,q}-1\right)\left(1-\lambda+\left[2\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)^{2}}\mu\right)\right\} \left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \\ \leq \frac{B_{1}b}{\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q}-1\right)\left(1-\lambda+\left[3\right]_{p,q}\lambda\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2} \right| + \frac{\left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right)^{2} \left(1 - \lambda + [2]_{p,q} \lambda \right)^{2}}{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [3]_{p,q} \lambda \right) B_{1}^{2} b} \\ \times \left\{ B_{1} + B_{2} + \frac{B_{1}^{2} b}{\left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right)} \left(1 - \frac{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [3]_{p,q} \lambda \right)}{\left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [2]_{p,q} \lambda \right)^{2}} \mu \right) \right\} \left| a_{2} \right|^{2} \\ \leq \frac{B_{1} b}{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [3]_{p,q} \lambda \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these results is sharp.

Proof. Applying Lemma 1.6 to (15) and (16), we can get our results. On the other hand, using (15) for the values of $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| + \left(\mu - \sigma_1 \right) \left| a_2 \right|^2 &= \frac{B_1 b}{2 \left(\left[3 \right]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + \left[3 \right]_{p,q} \lambda \right)} \left| c_2 - \delta c_1^2 \right| \\ &+ \left(\mu - \sigma_1 \right) \frac{B_1^2 b^2 \left| c_1 \right|^2}{4 \left(\left[2 \right]_{p,q} - 1 \right)^2 \left(1 - \lambda + \left[2 \right]_{p,q} \lambda \right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{B_1 b \left\{ \left| c_2 - \delta c_1^2 \right| + \delta \left| c_1 \right|^2 \right\}}{2 \left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [3]_{p,q} \lambda \right)} \\ \leq \frac{B_1 b}{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + [3]_{p,q} \lambda \right)}.$$

Similarly, for the values of $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2} \right| + \left(\sigma_{2} - \mu \right) \left| a_{2} \right|^{2} &= \frac{B_{1}b}{2 \left(\left[3 \right]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + \left[3 \right]_{p,q} \lambda \right)} \left| c_{2} - \delta c_{1}^{2} \right| \\ &+ \left(\sigma_{2} - \mu \right) \frac{B_{1}^{2}b^{2} \left| c_{1} \right|^{2}}{4 \left(\left[2 \right]_{p,q} - 1 \right)^{2} \left(1 - \lambda + \left[2 \right]_{p,q} \lambda \right)^{2}} \\ &= \frac{B_{1}b \left\{ \left| c_{2} - \delta c_{1}^{2} \right| + \left(1 - \delta \right) \left| c_{1} \right|^{2} \right\}}{2 \left(\left[3 \right]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + \left[3 \right]_{p,q} \lambda \right)} \\ &\leq \frac{B_{1}b}{\left(\left[3 \right]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \lambda + \left[3 \right]_{p,q} \lambda \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

To show that the bounds asserted by Theorem 2.5 are sharp, we define the following functions:

$$K_{\omega_n}(z) \qquad (n=2,3,\ldots),$$

with

$$K_{\varphi_n}(0) = 0 = K'_{\varphi_n}(0) - 1,$$

by

$$1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{zD_{p,q}K_{\varphi_{n}}\left(z\right)}{K_{\varphi_{n}}\left(z\right)}-1\right)=\varphi\left(z^{n-1}\right),$$

and the functions $F_{\eta}\left(z\right)$ and $G_{\eta}\left(z\right)$ $(0\leq\eta\leq1),$ with

$$F_n(0) = 0 = F'_n(0) - 1$$
 and $G_n(0) = 0 = G'_n(0) - 1$,

by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z D_{p,q} F_{\eta} \left(z \right)}{F_{\eta} \left(z \right)} - 1 \right) = \varphi \left(\frac{z \left(z + \eta \right)}{1 + \eta z} \right)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z D_{p,q} G_{\eta} \left(z \right)}{G_{\eta} \left(z \right)} - 1 \right) = \varphi \left(-\frac{z \left(z + \eta \right)}{1 + \eta z} \right),$$

respectively. Then, clearly, the functions $K_{\varphi_n}, F_{\eta}, G_{\eta} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q,b}^{\lambda}(\varphi)$. If $\mu < \sigma_1$ or $\mu > \sigma_2$, then the equality in Theorem 2.5 holds if and only if f is K_{φ_2} or one of its rotations. When $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is K_{φ_3} or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_1$, then the equality holds if and only if f is F_{η} or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is G_{η} or one of its rotations.

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2.5, we get following consequence.

Corollary 2.6. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots$ with $B_1 > 0$ and $B_2 \geq 0$. If f(z) given by (1) belongs to the function class $\mathcal{S}_{p,q}^b(\varphi)$ with b > 0, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{B_2 b}{[3]_{p,q} - 1} + \frac{B_1^2 b^2}{[2]_{p,q} - 1} \left[\frac{1}{[3]_{p,q} - 1} - \frac{\mu}{[2]_{p,q} - 1} \right], & \mu \le \sigma_1, \\ \frac{B_1 b}{[3]_{p,q} - 1}, & \sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_2, \\ -\frac{B_2 b}{[3]_{p,q} - 1} - \frac{B_1^2 b^2}{[2]_{p,q} - 1} \left[\frac{1}{[3]_{p,q} - 1} - \frac{\mu}{[2]_{p,q} - 1} \right], & \mu \ge \sigma_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \sigma_1 &= \frac{\left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left[B_1^2 b + \left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right) (B_2 - B_1) \right]}{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) B_1^2 b}, \\ \sigma_2 &= \frac{\left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left[B_1^2 b + \left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right) (B_2 + B_1) \right]}{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) B_1^2 b}, \\ \sigma_3 &= \frac{\left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right) \left[B_1^2 b + \left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right) B_2 \right]}{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) B_1^2 b}. \end{split}$$

If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{\left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right)^2}{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) B_1^2 b} \times \left\{ B_1 - B_2 - \frac{B_1^2 b}{[2]_{p,q} - 1} \left(1 - \frac{[3]_{p,q} - 1}{[2]_{p,q} - 1} \mu \right) \right\} |a_2|^2 \le \frac{B_1 b}{[3]_{p,q} - 1}.$$

Furthermore, if $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 \right| &+ \frac{\left([2]_{p,q} - 1 \right)^2}{\left([3]_{p,q} - 1 \right) B_1^2 b} \\ &\times \left\{ B_1 + B_2 + \frac{B_1^2 b}{[2]_{p,q} - 1} \left(1 - \frac{[3]_{p,q} - 1}{[2]_{p,q} - 1} \mu \right) \right\} \left| a_2 \right|^2 \le \frac{B_1 b}{[3]_{p,q} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these results is sharp.

Remark 2.7. Letting b = 1 in Corollary 2.6, we get [22, Theorem 3.1].

Remark 2.8. Letting p = 1 in Corollary 2.6, we get [21, Theorem 3].

Taking $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2.5, we get following consequence.

Corollary 2.9. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots$ with $B_1 > 0$ and $B_2 \geq 0$. If f(z) given by (1) belongs to the function class $C_{p,q}^b(\varphi)$ with b > 0, then

$$|a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_{2}b}{[3]_{p,q}([3]_{p,q}-1)} + \frac{B_{1}^{2}b^{2}}{[2]_{p,q}-1} \left[\frac{1}{[3]_{p,q}([3]_{p,q}-1)} - \frac{\mu}{[2]_{p,q}^{2}([2]_{p,q}-1)} \right], \\ \mu \leq \sigma_{1}, \\ \frac{B_{1}b}{[3]_{p,q}([3]_{p,q}-1)}, \\ \sigma_{1} \leq \mu \leq \sigma_{2}, \\ -\frac{B_{2}b}{[3]_{p,q}([3]_{p,q}-1)} - \frac{B_{1}^{2}b^{2}}{[2]_{p,q}-1} \left[\frac{1}{[3]_{p,q}([3]_{p,q}-1)} - \frac{\mu}{[2]_{p,q}^{2}([2]_{p,q}-1)} \right], \\ \mu \geq \sigma_{2}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \sigma_1 &= \frac{\left[2\right]_{p,q}^2 \left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right) \left[B_1^2 b + \left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right) (B_2 - B_1)\right]}{\left[3\right]_{p,q} \left(\left[3\right]_{p,q} - 1\right) B_1^2 b},\\ \sigma_2 &= \frac{\left[2\right]_{p,q}^2 \left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)^2 \left[B_1^2 b + \left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right) (B_2 + B_1)\right]}{\left[3\right]_{p,q} \left(\left[3\right]_{p,q} - 1\right) B_1^2 b},\\ \sigma_3 &= \frac{\left[2\right]_{p,q}^2 \left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right) \left[B_1^2 b + \left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right) B_2\right]}{\left[3\right]_{p,q} \left(\left[3\right]_{p,q} - 1\right) B_1^2 b}. \end{split}$$

If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| + \frac{\left[2\right]_{p,q}^{2}\left(\left[2\right]_{p,q}-1\right)^{2}}{\left[3\right]_{p,q}\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q}-1\right)B_{1}^{2}b} \\ \times \left\{B_{1}-B_{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{2}b}{\left[2\right]_{p,q}-1}\left(1-\frac{\left[3\right]_{p,q}\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q}-1\right)}{\left[2\right]_{p,q}^{2}\left(\left[2\right]_{p,q}-1\right)}\mu\right)\right\}\left|a_{2}\right|^{2} \\ \leq \frac{B_{1}b}{\left[3\right]_{p,q}\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q}-1\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|+rac{\left[2\right]_{p,q}^{2}\left(\left[2\right]_{p,q}-1\right)^{2}}{\left[3\right]_{p,q}\left(\left[3\right]_{p,q}-1\right)B_{1}^{2}b}$$

$$\times \left\{ B_1 + B_2 + \frac{B_1^2 b}{\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1} \left(1 - \frac{\left[3\right]_{p,q} \left(\left[3\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)}{\left[2\right]_{p,q}^2 \left(\left[2\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)} \mu \right) \right\} |a_2|^2$$

$$\leq \frac{B_1 b}{\left[3\right]_{p,q} \left(\left[3\right]_{p,q} - 1\right)}.$$

Each of these results is sharp.

Remark 2.10. Letting b = 1 in Corollary 2.9, we get [22, Theorem 3.2].

Remark 2.11. Letting p = 1 in Corollary 2.9, we get [21, Theorem 4].

References

- H. R. Abdel-Gawad and D. K. Thomas, The Fekete-Szegő problem for strongly closeto-convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), no. 2, 345-349. https://doi. org/10.2307/2159653
- T. Acar, A. Aral, and S. A. Mohiuddine, On Kantorovich modification of (p, q)-Baskakov operators,
 J. Inequal. Appl. 2016 (2016), Paper No. 98, 14 pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-016-1045-9
- [3] H. S. Al-Amiri, Certain generalizations of prestarlike functions, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 28 (1979), no. 3, 325–334.
- [4] A. Aral, V. Gupta, and R. P. Agarwal, Applications of q-Calculus in Operator Theory, Springer, New York, 2013. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6946-9
- [5] S. Bulut, Fekete-Szegö type coefficient inequalities for a new subclass of analytic functions involving the Q-derivative operator, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform. No. 47 (2016), 133–145.
- [6] R. Chakrabarti and R. Jagannathan, A (p,q)-oscillator realization of two-parameter quantum algebras, J. Phys. A **24** (1991), no. 13, L711–L718.
- [7] J. H. Choi, Y. C. Kim, and T. Sugawa, A general approach to the Fekete-Szegö problem, J. Math. Soc. Japan 59 (2007), no. 3, 707-727. http://projecteuclid.org/euclid. jmsj/1191591854
- [8] A. Chonweerayoot, D. K. Thomas, and W. Upakarnitikaset, On the Fekete-Szegő theorem for close-to-convex functions, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 52(66) (1992), 18–26.
- [9] M. Darus and D. K. Thomas, On the Fekete-Szegö theorem for close-to-convex functions, Math. Japon. 44 (1996), no. 3, 507–511.
- [10] M. Darus and D. K. Thomas, On the Fekete-Szegö theorem for close-to-convex functions, Math. Japon. 47 (1998), no. 1, 125–132.
- [11] M. Fekete and G. Szegö, Eine Bemerkung Über Ungerade Schlichte Funktionen, J. London Math. Soc. 8 (1933), no. 2, 85–89. https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-8.2.85
- [12] F. H. Jackson, On q-functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 46 (1908), 253–281.
- [13] F. H. Jackson, On q-definite integrals, Quarterly J. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1910), 193– 203
- [14] S. Kanas and A. Lecko, On the Fekete-Szegö problem and the domain of convexity for a certain class of univalent functions, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat. Fiz. No. 10 (1990), 49–57.
- [15] S. M. Kang, A. Rafiq, A. Acu, F. Ali, and Y. C. Kwun, Some approximation properties of (p,q)-Bernstein operators, J. Inequal. Appl. 2016 (2016), Paper No. 169, 10 pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-016-1111-3

- [16] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 8-12. https://doi.org/10.2307/2035949
- [17] W. Koepf, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1987), no. 1, 89-95. https://doi.org/10.2307/2046556
- [18] R. R. London, Fekete-Szegö inequalities for close-to-convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1993), no. 4, 947-950. https://doi.org/10.2307/2159520
- [19] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992), 157– 169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [20] V. Ravichandran, A. Gangadharan, and M. Darus, Fekete-Szegö inequality for certain class of Bazilevic functions, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 15 (2004), no. 2, 171–180.
- [21] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, Coefficient estimates of new classes of q-starlike and q-convex functions of complex order, J. Math. Inequal. 10 (2016), no. 1, 135–145. https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-10-11
- [22] H. M. Srivastava, N. Raza, E. S. A. AbuJarad, G. Srivastava, and M. H. AbuJarad, Fekete-Szegö inequality for classes of (p,q)-starlike and (p,q)-convex functions, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM 113 (2019), no. 4, 3563–3584. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-019-00713-5
- [23] F. Yatkın and E. Kadıoğlu, Fekete-Szegö inequality for (p,q)-starlike and (p,q)-convex functions of complex order, J. Inst. Sci. Tech. 10 (2020), no. 2, 1247–1253.

SERAP BULUT
FACULTY OF AVIATION AND SPACE SCIENCES
KOCAELI UNIVERSITY
ARSLANBEY CAMPUS
41285 KARTEPE-KOCAELI, TURKEY
Email address: serap.bulut@kocaeli.edu.tr