Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **59** (2022), No. 4, pp. 951–960

https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b210562 pISSN: 1015-8634 / eISSN: 2234-3016

# A GENERALIZATION OF $A_2$ -GROUPS

### Junqiang Zhang

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we determine the finite p-group such that the intersection of its any two distinct minimal nonabelian subgroups is a maximal subgroup of the two minimal nonabelian subgroups, and the finite p-group in which any two distinct  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups generate an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroup. As a byproduct, we answer a problem proposed by Berkovich and Janko.

## 1. Introduction

A finite group G is said to be minimal nonabelian if G is nonabelian but all its proper subgroups are abelian. Obviously, every finite nonabelian group contains a minimal nonabelian subgroup. In particular, every nonabelian p-group, by [2, Proposition 10.28], can be generated by its minimal nonabelian subgroups. Hence minimal nonabelian subgroups, in a sense, can be regarded as "basic elements" of a nonabelian p-group, which play a fundamental role in studying the structure of nonabelian p-groups.

Berkovich and Janko [3] introduced a more general concept than that of minimal nonabelian p-groups. A nonabelian p-group is said to be an  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -group,  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , if it has a nonabelian subgroup of index  $p^{t-1}$  but all its subgroups of index  $p^t$  are abelian. Obviously, an  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -group is a minimal nonabelian p-group. Given a nonabelian p-group G, there is a  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that G is an  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -group. Hence, in a sense, the study of nonabelian p-groups can be regarded as that of  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -groups for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . For convenience, an abelian p-group is called an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -group. We also use  $G \in \mathcal{A}_t$  to denote G is an  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -group.  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -groups were classified up to isomorphism for  $t \leq 3$  in [8, 15, 17].

In this paper, we continue the research about the structure of a nonabelian p-group by imposing hypothesis on its  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups. Motivated by [13], our interest is: what can be said about the p-groups all of whose two distinct  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups generate an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroup? Such p-groups with at lease two distinct  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups are called  $\mathcal{P}_1$ -groups. In addition, we observed that if  $G \in \mathcal{A}_2$ ,

Received July 31, 2021; Accepted November 8, 2021.

 $<sup>2020\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ Primary\ 20D15.$ 

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Finite p-groups, minimal nonabelian subgroups, maximal subgroups.

This work was financially supported by NSFC (No. 11771258 & 11971280).

then G has property  $\mathcal{P}_2$ :  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is maximal in both  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  for any two distinct  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of G. The p-groups with property  $\mathcal{P}_2$  are called  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups. The p-groups all of whose nonabelian proper subgroups are generated by two elements are called  $\mathcal{P}_3$ -groups. We will prove that the class of  $\mathcal{P}_1$ -groups is exactly the class of  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -groups, and hence [4, Problem 1016] is solved. We also prove that the class of the  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups is a proper subclass of the  $\mathcal{P}_3$ -groups. Although  $\mathcal{P}_3$ -groups were classified by Xu et al. in [9], it is not easy to pick out  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups from the list of  $\mathcal{P}_3$ -groups by using the conditions of  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups. Here we give a self-contained proof to classify  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups. It turns out that

$$\{A_2\text{-groups}\} = \{P_1\text{-groups}\} \subset \{P_2\text{-groups}\} \subset \{P_3\text{-groups}\}.$$

It should be mentioned that the class of the  $A_2$ -groups is also a proper subclass of the finite p-groups classified by Fang and An in [5].

For a finite p-group G, we use  $M \leq G$  to denote M is a maximal subgroup of G and the nth term of the lower central series of G is denoted by  $G_n$  and  $G' = G_2$ . The other terminology and notations are standard, as in [6].

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the following lemmas which are used in this paper.

**Lemma 2.1** ([9, Lemma 2.2]). Suppose that G is a finite nonabelian p-group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) G is a minimal nonabelian group.
- (2) d(G) = 2 and |G'| = p.
- (3) d(G) = 2 and  $\Phi(G) = Z(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([2, Proposition 10.28]). A nonabelian p-group is generated by its minimal nonabelian subgroups.

**Lemma 2.3** ([9, Lemma 3.1]). Let G be a nonabelian two-generator p-group with an abelian maximal subgroup. Assume  $|G/G'| = p^{m+1}$  and c(G) = c. Then

- (1)  $\Phi(G) = G'Z(G)$ ;
- (2) Z(M) = Z(G) and  $M' = G_3, M_3 = G_4, \ldots, M_{c-1} = G_c$  for any non-abelian maximal subgroup M of G;
  - (3) G has the lower central complexion  $(m+1,\underbrace{1,1,\ldots,1}_{c-1})$ . Particularly,

 $|G_c| = p$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([4, Proposition 72.1]). Let G be a metacyclic p-group. Then G is an  $A_t$ -group if and only if  $|G'| = p^t$ .

**Lemma 2.5** ([2,  $\S 1$ , Exercise 6]). Let G be a nonabelian p-group. Then the number of abelian subgroups of index p in G is 0, 1 or p + 1.

**Lemma 2.6.** ([16, Theorem 3.2]) Let G be a finite p-group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) all nonabelian subgroups of G are generated by two elements.
- (2) all subgroups of class 2 of G are generated by two elements.
- (3) all  $A_2$ -subgroups of G are generated by two elements.

## 3. Determining the $\mathcal{P}_1$ -groups

Due to the classification of  $\mathcal{A}_3$ -groups in [17], we found that  $\mathcal{P}_1$ -groups must be  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -groups. Although we can prove this by using the classification of  $\mathcal{A}_3$ -groups, it is a tedious work since  $\mathcal{A}_3$ -groups have a long list of groups. Here we give a short proof which is independent of the classification of  $\mathcal{A}_3$ -groups.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let G be a finite p-group and  $T = \bigcap_{i=1}^m M_i$ , where  $M_i \leq G$  and  $M_i \neq M_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . If  $m \geq 2 + p + \cdots + p^{k-2}$ , then  $|G:T| \geq p^k$ .

Proof. Since  $M_i$  is maximal in G, by Correspondence Theorem,  $M_i/T$  is maximal in G/T. Obviously,  $M_i/T \neq M_j/T$  for  $i \neq j$ . Thus the number of maximal subgroups of G/T is at least m. Notice that the number of maximal subgroups of G/T is  $\frac{p^{d(G/T)}-1}{p-1}$ . Since  $m \geqslant 2+p+\cdots+p^{k-2}$ ,  $d(G/T)\geqslant k$ . Obviously,  $\Phi(G)\leq T$ . It follows that G/T is elementary abelian. Hence  $|G:T|\geqslant p^k$ .  $\square$ 

Corollary 3.2. Let G be an  $A_t$ -group, where  $t \ge 2$ . Then  $\Phi(G)$  is the intersection of nonabelian maximal subgroups. In particular, the Frattini subgroup of  $A_2$ -group is the intersection of all its  $A_1$ -subgroups.

*Proof.* Let T be the intersection of all nonabelian maximal subgroups of G. Then  $\Phi(G) \leq T$ . Following, we only need to show that  $|G:T| \geqslant |G:\Phi(G)| = p^{d(G)}$ .

If d(G)=2, then the number of maximal subgroups of G is 1+p. Since  $t\geqslant 2$ , the number of abelian maximal subgroups of G is not equal 1+p. Thus the number of nonabelian maximal subgroups of G is at least p by Lemma 2.5. Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two distinct nonabelian maximal subgroups of G. Then  $|G:T|\geqslant |G:M_1\cap M_2|=p^2$ .

If  $d(G) \ge 3$ , then  $p^{d(G)-1} \ge 2 + p$ . Let m be the number of nonabelian maximal subgroups of G. By Lemma 2.5,  $m \ge p^2 + \cdots + p^{d(G)-1}$ . Now we have

$$m \geqslant p^2 + \dots + p^{d(G)-2} + p^{d(G)-1} \geqslant 2 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{d(G)-2}$$
.

By Lemma 3.1, we get  $|G:T| \geqslant p^{d(G)}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let G be a finite p-group. If G has at least two distinct  $A_1$ -subgroups, then G is a  $\mathcal{P}_1$ -group if and only if G is an  $A_2$ -group.

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If G is an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -group, then all  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups of G are of index p. It follows that any two distinct  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups generate an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroup. Thus G is a  $\mathcal{P}_1$ -group.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Then G is an  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -group, where  $t \geqslant 3$ . Thus G has an  $\mathcal{A}_3$ -subgroup H. Then H is also a counterexample. It follows by the minimality of |G| that H = G. So we may assume G is both an  $\mathcal{A}_3$ -group and a  $\mathcal{P}_1$ -group.

Let M be an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroup of G and H an  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroup of M. Notice that G is an  $\mathcal{A}_3$ -group. We have H < M < G. By Lemma 2.2, there exists  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroup A of G such that  $A \nleq M$ . It follows that G = AM. Since G is a  $\mathcal{P}_1$ -group,  $\langle H, A \rangle$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroup. It follows that  $H < \langle H, A \rangle$ ,  $A < \langle H, A \rangle$  and  $\langle H, A \rangle < G$ . Thus |H| = |A| and  $\langle H, A \rangle = HA$ . Now we have

$$\frac{|A|}{|A\cap H|} = \frac{|HA|}{|H|} = p.$$

Notice that  $H \leq M$  and  $A \nleq M$ . We have  $A \cap H \leq A \cap M < A$ . Thus  $A \cap M = A \cap H \leq A$ . By the arbitrariness of H and Corollary 3.2, we get  $A \cap M \leq \Phi(M)$ . Now we have

$$p^2 \le |M: \Phi(M)| \le |M: A \cap M| = |AM: A| = |G: A| = p^2.$$

We get  $A \cap M = \Phi(M)$  and  $|M:\Phi(M)| = p^2$ . So d(M) = 2. That is, all  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroups of G are generated by two elements. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that d(G) = 2. Thus we have  $\Phi(G) = \langle H, A \rangle \cap M = H$ . Notice that the arbitrariness of H. By Lemma 2.2, we get that  $M = \Phi(G)$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$ 

A direct result of Theorem 3.3 is:

**Corollary 3.4.** An  $A_3$ -group can be generated by its two distinct  $A_1$ -subgroups.

Remark 3.5. For  $t \ge 4$ , there exists  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -group can not be generated by its two  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups. For example,  $G = H \times K$ , where H is an  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -group and K is an elementary abelian group of order  $p^{t-1}$ . In this case, G is an  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -group by [1, Corollary 2.4]. Obviously,  $d(G) = t + 1 \ge 5$ . Thus G can not be generated by its two  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups.

## 4. Determining the $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups

In this section, we establish a criterion for a nonabelian p-group to be a  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -group. Based on the criterion, the  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups are classified. It turns out that the class of the  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups is a proper subclass of the  $\mathcal{P}_3$ -groups. For convenience, we list the results of the classification of the  $\mathcal{P}_3$ -groups, which were obtained by Xu et al. in [9]. Following Xu et al. [9],

 $\mathcal{B}_p$  denotes the class of p-groups whose non-abelian proper subgroups are two-generator.

 $\mathcal{B}'_p = \{G \in \mathcal{B}_p \mid G \text{ is neither abelian nor minimal non-abelian}\},$ 

 $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_p = \{G \in \mathcal{B}'_p \mid G \text{ has an abelian maximal subgroup}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{M}_p = \{ G \in \mathcal{B}'_p \mid G \text{ has no abelian maximal subgroup} \},$ 

 $\mathcal{D}_p(2) = \{ G \in \mathcal{D}_p \mid d(G) = 2 \} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_p(3) = \{ G \in \mathcal{D}_p \mid d(G) = 3 \},$ 

 $\mathcal{D}'_{p}(2) = \{G \in \mathcal{D}_{p}(2) \mid G \text{ is not of maximal class}\}$  and

 $\mathcal{M}'_p = \{G \in \mathcal{M}_p \mid G \text{ is neither metacyclic nor 3-group of maximal class}\}.$ 

In terms of  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -groups and notations mentioned above, the [9, Main Theorem] can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume G is a  $\mathcal{P}_3$ -group. Then G is one of the following groups:

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -groups, where  $t \leq 2$ ;
- (2) metacyclic groups;
- (3) p-groups of maximal class with an abelian maximal subgroup;
- (4) 3-groups of maximal class;
- (5)  $\mathcal{D}'_{n}(2)$ -groups with  $p \geqslant 3$ ;
- (6)  $\mathcal{M}'_3$ -groups with a unique minimal non-abelian maximal subgroup;
- (7)  $\mathcal{M}_{p}^{r}$ -groups having no minimal non-abelian maximal subgroup, where  $p \geqslant 3$ .

Remark 4.2. From the argument in [9] or a simple check, it is not difficult to get the converse of Theorem 4.1 is also true.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let G be an  $A_t$ -group with  $t \ge 1$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) the index of all  $A_1$ -subgroups of G are equal.
- (2) the index of all  $A_k$ -subgroups of G are  $p^{t-k}$  for any  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., t\}$ .
- (3) all nonabelian subgroups of index  $p^{t-k}$  are  $A_k$ -subgroups for any  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., t\}$ .

*Proof.* (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): It is obvious.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ : Let K be an  $\mathcal{A}_k$ -subgroup of G, where  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., t\}$ . Then K has an  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroup T of index  $p^{k-1}$ . Since G is an  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -group, G has  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups of index  $p^{t-1}$ . It follows from (1) that  $|G:T| = p^{t-1}$ . So

$$|G:K| = \frac{|G:T|}{|K:T|} = \frac{p^{t-1}}{p^{k-1}} = p^{t-k}.$$

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Let  $K_1$  be a nonabelian subgroup of index  $p^{t-k}$ , where  $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ . Assume  $K_1$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_s$ -group. Then  $K_1$  has an  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroup H of index  $p^{s-1}$ . It follows that

$$|G:H| = |G:K_1||K_1:H| = p^{t-k}p^{s-1} = p^{t+s-k-1}.$$

Since G is an  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -group, G has  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups of index  $p^{t-1}$ . By (2), we get  $|G:H|=p^{t-1}$ . It follows that  $p^{t+s-k-1}=p^{t-1}$  and so s=k. Thus  $K_1$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_k$ -group.

Following the notation of [10], the intersection of all  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups of a p-group G is denoted by  $I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(G)$ . We use  $\mathcal{A}_k(G)$  to denote the set consisting of the  $\mathcal{A}_k$ -subgroups of a p-group G.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let G be an  $A_t$ -group with  $t \ge 3$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) G is a  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -group.
- (2)  $I_{A_1}(G) \leq K$  for any  $A_1$ -subgroup K of G.
- (3) The orders of all  $A_1$ -subgroups of G are equal, and all  $A_2$ -subgroups of G are generated by two elements and have a same Frattini subgroup.

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): It is obvious.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two distinct  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups of G. Since G is  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -group,  $H_1 \cap H_2 \lessdot H_i$  for i = 1, 2. Thus  $|H_1| = |H_2|$ . By the arbitrariness of  $H_i$ , we get the orders of all  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups of G are equal.

Let  $M \in \mathcal{A}_2(G)$  and  $H \in \mathcal{A}_1(M)$ . Then |M:H| = p. Since G is an  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -group with  $t \geq 3$ , there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}_1(G) \setminus \mathcal{A}_1(M)$  by Lemma 2.2. Thus  $A \cap H \leq A \cap M < A$ . Since G is a  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -group,  $A \cap H \leq A$ . It follows that  $A \cap H = A \cap M \leq A$ . Notice that |H| = |A|. We get  $A \cap M = A \cap H \leq H$ . By the arbitrariness of H, we get  $A \cap M \leq I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(M)$ . Notice that  $M \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . By Lemma 3.2, we get  $I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(M) = \Phi(M)$ . Now, we have

 $p^2 \leqslant |M:\Phi(M)| = |M:I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(M)| \leqslant |M:A\cap M| = |M:H| \cdot |H:A\cap M| = p^2.$  It follows that  $A\cap M = \Phi(M)$  and d(M) = 2. Particularly,  $\Phi(M) \le A$ . Notice that  $\Phi(M) \le H$ . Then  $\Phi(M) \le I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(G)$ . It follows that

$$\mathrm{I}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(G) \leq \mathrm{I}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(M) = \Phi(M) \leq \mathrm{I}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(G).$$

Thus  $\Phi(M) = I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(G)$ . By the arbitrariness of M, we get all  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroups of G have a same Frattini subgroup.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ : Let K be an  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroup of G and M a subgroup of G such that  $K \leqslant M$ . Since the orders of all  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups of G are equal, M is an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -group by Lemma 4.3. Since all  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroups of G have a same Frattini subgroup, we may let T be the same Frattini subgroup of  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroups of G. It follows that  $T = \Phi(M)$  and so  $T \le K$ . By the arbitrariness of K, we get  $T \le I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(G)$ . Now, we have

$$\Phi(M) = T \le I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(G) < K \lessdot M.$$

Since M is an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -group, by the hypothesis, d(M) = 2 and so  $|M : \Phi(M)| = p^2$ . It follows that  $\Phi(M) = I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(G)$  and  $I_{\mathcal{A}_1}(G) < K$ .

Combining with Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 4.4, we have:

Corollary 4.5. Assume  $G \in \mathcal{A}_t$ , where  $t \geq 3$ . If  $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{P}_3$ .

In following, we will classify the  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups. Since  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -,  $\mathcal{A}_1$ - and  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -groups are  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups, we assume G is an  $\mathcal{A}_t$ -group with  $t \geqslant 3$  in Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.9.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let G be an  $A_t$ -group with an abelian subgroup of index p, where  $t \ge 3$ . Then  $G \in \mathcal{P}_2$  if and only if  $G \in \mathcal{P}_3$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  The conclusion follows by Corollary 4.5.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Since  $G \in \mathcal{A}_t$  with  $t \geqslant 3$ , all  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroups of G are proper subgroups. Thus all  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroups of G are generated by two elements. It follows by Lemma 2.6 that all nonabelian subgroups of G are generated by two elements.

By Theorem 4.4, it is enough to show that the orders of all  $A_1$ -subgroups of G are equal and all  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroups have a same Frattini subgroup.

Let K be a nonabelian subgroup of index  $p^k$  of G. We assert that  $K' = G_{k+1}$ . Take a maximal subgroup M of G such that  $K \leq M$ . Then  $|M:K| = p^{k-1}$ . Assume c(G) = c. Then, by Lemma 2.3(2), we get

$$M_2 = G_3, M_3 = G_4, \dots, M_{c-1} = G_c.$$

By induction on k, and by using Lemma 2.3(2), we get  $K' = M_k = G_{k+1}$ .

Let A be an  $A_1$ -subgroup of G. Then  $A' = G_i$  for some  $i \leq c$ . By Lemma 2.1, we have |A'| = p. By Lemma 2.3(3), we get  $|G_c| = p$ . It follows that  $A' = G_c$  and so  $|G:A| = p^{c-1}$ . Thus the order of all  $A_1$ -subgroups of G are equal.

Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two distinct  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroups of G. Then  $|G: H_1| =$  $|G:H_2|=p^{c-2}$  by Lemma 4.3. It follows that  $H_1'=H_2'=G_{c-1}$ . By Lemma 2.3(2), we get  $Z(H_1) = Z(H_2) = Z(G)$ . It follows by Lemma 2.3(1) that

$$\Phi(H_1) = H_1' Z(H_1) = H_1' Z(G) = H_2' Z(G) = \Phi(H_2).$$

That is, all  $A_2$ -subgroups have a same Frattini subgroup.

Remark 4.7. By using Theorem 4.6 to check the groups in Theorem 4.1, we have  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups with an abelian subgroup of index p are the groups (3) and (5).

**Lemma 4.8** ([9, Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.4]). Let G be one of the groups (7) of Theorem 4.1, i.e., G is a  $\mathcal{M}'_n$ -group having no minimal non-abelian maximal subgroup, where  $p \geqslant 3$ . Then

- (1)  $|G|=p^6$  and  $|G_4|=p$ ; (2)  $K\in \mathcal{D}'_p(2), \ \Phi(K)=G_3$  and  $K_3=G_4$  for any maximal subgroup K of

**Theorem 4.9.** Let G be an  $A_t$ -group without any abelian subgroup of index p, where  $t \geqslant 3$ . Then G is a  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -group if and only if G is one of the groups (7) in Theorem~4.1.

*Proof.* Assume that G is a  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -group. Then, by Corollary 4.5,  $G \in \mathcal{P}_3$ . Thus G is one of the groups listed in Theorem 4.1. If G has an  $A_1$ -subgroup of index p, by Theorem 4.4, we get all  $A_1$ -subgroups are of index p. Thus G is an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -group. This contradicts  $t \geq 3$ . Thus G has no  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroup of index p. By hypothesis, G has no abelian subgroup of index p. By a simple check to those groups in Theorem 4.1, we get G is a metacyclic p-group or one of the groups (7) in Theorem 4.1.

Assume G is a metacyclic p-group. Let  $G = \langle a, b \rangle$  and  $G' < \langle a \rangle$ . Then  $M_1 = \langle a^p, b \rangle$  and  $M_2 = \langle a, b^p \rangle$  are two distinct maximal subgroups of G. Since G has no abelian subgroup of index p,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are nonabelian maximal subgroups of G. Since G is a  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -group, the orders of all  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups of G are equal by Theorem 4.4. It follows by Lemma 4.3 that  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are

 $A_{t-1}$ -subgroups of G. From Lemma 2.4 we get  $|M'_1| = |M'_2| = p^{t-1}$  and so  $o([a^p, b]) = o([a, b^p]) = p^{t-1}$ .

Let 
$$H_1 = \langle a^{p^{t-2}}, b \rangle$$
 and  $H_2 = \langle a^{p^{t-3}}, b^p \rangle$ . Notice that  $t \geqslant 3$ . We get  $[a^{p^{t-2}}, b] = [a^p, b]^{p^{t-3}}$  and  $[a^{p^{t-3}}, b^p] = [a, b^p]^{p^{t-3}}$ .

It follows that

$$|H_1'| = o([a^{p^{t-2}}, b]) = p^2$$
 and  $|H_2'| = o([a^{p^{t-3}}, b^p]) = p^2$ .

From Lemma 2.4 we get  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroups of G. Now, it is obvious that  $b^p \in \Phi(H_1)$  and  $b^p \notin \Phi(H_2)$ . This implies that  $\Phi(H_1) \neq \Phi(H_2)$ , which contradicts G is a  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -group by Theorem 4.4. Hence G is one of the groups (7) in Theorem 4.1.

Conversely, if G is one of the groups (7) in Theorem 4.1, by Lemma 4.8,  $K \in \mathcal{D}'_p(2)$  and  $|K_3| = |G_4| = p$  for any maximal subgroup K of G. Let H be a nonabelian subgroup of index p of K. Since  $K \in \mathcal{D}'_p(2)$ ,  $H' = K_3$  by Lemma 2.3(2). It follows that |H'| = p. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, H is an  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroup. By the arbitrariness of H, we get K is an  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -subgroup. By Lemma 4.8(2),  $\Phi(K) = G_3$ . It follows by Theorem 4.4 that G is a  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -group.

Notice that  $A_t$ -groups with  $t \leq 2$  are  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups. Now, combining Theorem 4.9 and Remark 4.7, we have:

**Theorem 4.10.** Let G be a finite p-group. Then G is a  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -group if and only if G is one of the groups (1), (3), (5) and (7) listed in Theorem 4.1.

An  $A_t$ -group G satisfies a chain condition if every  $A_i$ -subgroup of G is contained in an  $A_{i+1}$ -subgroup for all  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., t-1\}$ . The concept was introduced by Zhang and Qu in [14]. Zhang in [11] proved that for  $t \geq 3$ , an  $A_t$ -group G satisfies a chain condition if and only if G is an ordinary metcyclic p-group. We call an  $A_t$ -group G satisfies a weakly chain condition if the included relations hold for  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., t-1\}$ . It is easy to see that G satisfies a weakly chain condition is equivalent to (3) in Lemma 4.3. In other words, G satisfies a weakly chain condition is equivalent to the orders of all  $A_1$ -subgroups of G are equal. By Theorem 4.4(3) we get  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups satisfy (1) in Lemma 4.3, That is,  $\mathcal{P}_2$ -groups satisfies a weakly chain condition. Conversely, it is not true in general. We propose the following.

**Problem.** Classify the p-groups satisfying a weak chain condition. Equivalently, classify the p-groups all of whose  $A_1$ -subgroups have the same order.

Remark 4.11. If the p-groups all of whose  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups are of order  $p^3$ , then for p=2, such p-groups were classified by Janko in [7]. For p odd prime, the p-groups all of whose  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -subgroups are nonmetacyclic of order  $p^3$  were classified by Zhang in [12].

**Acknowledgements.** We owe our sincere gratitude to the referee. She/He read our paper very carefully and put forward a lot of suggestions. The author

also thanks Professor Qinhai Zhang for his value suggestions. Their suggestions are quite valuable and helpful for improving our paper.

#### References

- L. An, L. Li, H. Qu, and Q. Zhang, Finite p-groups with a minimal non-abelian subgroup of index p (II), Sci. China Math. 57 (2014), no. 4, 737-753. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11425-013-4735-5
- [2] Y. Berkovich, Groups of prime power order. Vol. 1, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 46, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110208238.512
- [3] Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko, Structure of finite p-groups with given subgroups, in Ischia group theory 2004, 13–93, Contemp. Math., 402, Israel Math. Conf. Proc, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/402/07570
- [4] Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko, Groups of prime power order, Vol. 2, Walter de Gruyter. Berlin, New York, 2008.
- [5] X. Fang and L. An, A classification of finite metahamiltonian p-groups, Commun. Math. Stat. 9 (2021), no. 2, 239–260. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40304-020-00229-0
- [6] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen. I, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [7] Z. Janko, On finite nonabelian 2-groups all of whose minimal nonabelian subgroups are of exponent 4, J. Algebra 315 (2007), no. 2, 801-808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jalgebra.2007.02.010
- [8] L. Rédei, Das "schiefe Produkt" in der Gruppentheorie mit Anwendung auf die endlichen nichtkommutativen Gruppen mit lauter kommutativen echten Untergruppen und die Ordnungszahlen, zu denen nur kommutative Gruppen gehören, Comment. Math. Helv. 20 (1947), 225–264. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02568131
- [9] M. Xu, L. An, and Q. Zhang, Finite p-groups all of whose non-abelian proper subgroups are generated by two elements, J. Algebra 319 (2008), no. 9, 3603-3620. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2008.01.045
- [10] L. Zhang, The intersection of nonabelian subgroups of finite p-groups, J. Algebra Appl. 16 (2017), no. 1, 1750020, 9 pp. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498817500207
- [11] Q. Zhang, Finite p-groups whose subgroups of given order are isomorphic and minimal non-abelian, Algebra Colloq. 26 (2019), no. 1, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1142/ S1005386719000026
- [12] Q. Zhang, Finite p-groups all of whose minimal nonabelian subgroups are nonmetacyclic of order p³, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 35 (2019), no. 7, 1179–1189. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10114-019-7308-x
- [13] Q. Zhang, L. J. Deng, and M. Y. Xu, Finite p-groups in which any two noncommutative elements generate a subgroup of order p<sup>3</sup>, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A (Chin. Ed.) 29 (2009), no. 3, 737–740.
- [14] L. Zhang and H. Qu,  $A_t$ -groups satisfying a chain condition, J. Algebra Appl. 13 (2014), no. 4, 1350137, 5 pp. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498813501375
- [15] Q. Zhang, X. Sun, L. An, and M. Xu, Finite p-groups all of whose subgroups of index p<sup>2</sup> are abelian, Algebra Colloq. 15 (2008), no. 1, 167–180. https://doi.org/10.1142/ S1005386708000163
- [16] L. Zhang and J. Zhang, Finite p-groups all of whose A<sub>2</sub>-subgroups are generated by two elements, J. Group Theory 24 (2021), no. 1, 177–193. https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2019-0159
- [17] Q. Zhang, L. Zhao, M. Li, and Y. Shen, Finite p-groups all of whose subgroups of index p<sup>3</sup> are abelian, Commun. Math. Stat. 3 (2015), no. 1, 69–162. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s40304-015-0053-2

JUNQIANG ZHANG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY
LINFEN SHANXI 041004, P. R. CHINA
Email address: junqiangchang@163.com