

COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR A SUBCLASS OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH DZIOK-SRIVASTAVA OPERATOR

MOHAMMAD MEHDI SHABANI AND SAEED HASHEMI SABABE*

ABSTRACT. In this article, we represent and examine a new subclass of holomorphic and bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk \mathfrak{U} , which is associated with the Dziok-Srivastava operator. Additionally, we get upper bound estimates on the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ of functions in the new class and improve some recent studies.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \quad (1.1)$$

which are holomorphic in the open unit disk $\mathfrak{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Also, we let \mathcal{S} to denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in \mathfrak{U} .

The Koebe one-quarter theorem [4] ensures that the image of \mathfrak{U} under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. So every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , which is defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad z \in \mathfrak{U},$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad \text{for } |w| < r_0(f) \text{ such that } r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4},$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2^2 w + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots.$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathfrak{U} if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathfrak{U} . Let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathfrak{U} given by (1.1).

Lewin [10] enquired the class Σ of bi-univalent functions and established that $|a_2| < 1.51$ for the functions belonging to Σ . Afterward, Brannan and Clunie [3] conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Kedzierawski [9] proved this conjecture for a special case when the function f and f^{-1} are starlike functions. Tan [15] obtained the bound for $|a_2|$ namely

Received November 17, 2021. Revised January 22, 2022. Accepted January 24, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases: Dziok-Srivastava operator, Bi-univalent functions, Coefficient estimates.

* Corresponding Author.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2022.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

$|a_2| \leq 1.485$ which is the best-known estimate for functions in the class Σ . Recently, their relevance to research the bi-univalent functions class Σ (see [7, 8, 11–13, 16, 17]) and get non-sharp bounds on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$. The coefficient estimate problem i.e. bound of $|a_j|$ ($j \in \mathbb{N} - \{1, 2\}$) for each $f \in \Sigma$ given by [1] is still an open problem.

The Hadamard product of two analytic functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j,$$

is defined as

$$(f * h)(z) = (h * f)(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j a_j z^j.$$

For the complex parameters \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{c} with $\mathbf{c} \neq 0, -1, -2, -3, \dots$, the Gaussian hypergeometric function ${}_2\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}; z)$ is defined as

$${}_2\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}; z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a})_j (\mathbf{b})_j}{(\mathbf{c})_j} \frac{z^j}{j!} = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a})_{j-1} (\mathbf{b})_{j-1}}{(\mathbf{c})_{j-1}} \frac{z^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \quad z \in \mathfrak{U},$$

where $(\tau)_j$ is the Pochhammer symbol (or the shifted factorial) defined as follows:

$$(\tau)_j = \frac{\Gamma(\tau + j)}{\Gamma(\tau)} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 0 \\ \tau(\tau + 1)(\tau + 2)\dots(\tau + j - 1) & j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_q\mathcal{F}_s(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}; z)$, ($q \leq s + 1, z \in \mathfrak{U}$) is defined by the following infinite series:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\mathcal{F}_s(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s; z) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_j \dots (\mathbf{a}_q)_j}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_j \dots (\mathbf{b}_s)_j} \frac{z^j}{j!} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_{j-1} \dots (\mathbf{a}_q)_{j-1}}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_{j-1} \dots (\mathbf{b}_s)_{j-1}} \frac{z^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \end{aligned}$$

correspondingly a function $h(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s; z)$ is defined by

$$h(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s; z) = z {}_q\mathcal{F}_s(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s; z), \quad z \in \mathfrak{U}.$$

Dziok and Srivastava [5] (see also [6]) considered a linear operator

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s; z) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

defined by the following Hadamard product:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s) f(z) = h(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s) * f(z) \quad q \leq s + 1, \quad z \in \mathfrak{U}.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by (1.1), then we have

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s) f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_j[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1] a_j z^j$$

where

$$\Gamma_j[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1] = \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_{j-1} \dots (\mathbf{a}_q)_{j-1}}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_{j-1} \dots (\mathbf{b}_s)_{j-1}} \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To make the notation simple, we write

$$\mathcal{H}_{q,s}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z] = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_s) \mathbf{f}(z).$$

The linear operator $\mathcal{H}_{q,s}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z]$ is a generalization of many other linear operators considered earlier.

In the present article, we innovate a new subclass of the bi-univalent functions which are defined by the Dziok-Srivastava operator also we get upper bound estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ by applying the methods used earlier by Srivastava et al. [14] (see also [8]). Our results generalize and improve those in related studies of several earlier authors.

2. The subclass ${}_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H}_{q,s}^{\Theta, \Upsilon}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; \xi]$

In this section, we represent and examine the general subclass ${}_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H}_{q,s}^{\Theta, \Upsilon}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; \xi]$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let the analytic functions $\Theta, \Upsilon : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be so constrained that

$$\min\{\Re(\Theta(z)), \Re(\Upsilon(z))\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathfrak{U} \text{ and } \Theta(0) = 1 = \Upsilon(0). \quad (2.1)$$

We say that a function $\mathbf{f} \in {}_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H}_{q,s}^{\Theta, \Upsilon}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; \xi]$, ($\xi \geq 1$), if the following conditions satisfy

$$\mathbf{f} \in \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - \xi) \frac{\mathcal{H}_{q,s}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z]}{z} + \xi(\mathcal{H}_{q,s}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z])' \in \Theta(\mathfrak{U}), \quad z \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$(1 - \xi) \frac{\mathbf{g}(w)}{w} + \xi \mathbf{g}'(w) \in \Upsilon(\mathfrak{U}), \quad w \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad (2.3)$$

where the function $\mathbf{g}(w)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}(w) &= \mathcal{H}_{q,s}^{-1}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z] \\ &= w - \Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1] a_2 w^2 + (2(\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1])^2 a_2 - \Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1] a_3) w^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

REMARK 2.2. There are different options of the functions $\Theta(z)$ and $\Upsilon(z)$ which would provide interesting subclasses of the analytic function class \mathcal{A} .

1. If we take

$$\Theta(z) = \Upsilon(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\lambda} \quad z \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 1,$$

then the functions $\Theta(z)$ and $\Upsilon(z)$ satisfy the hypotheses of Definition 2.1. Clearly, if $\mathbf{f} \in {}_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H}_{q,s}^{\Theta, \Upsilon}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; \xi]$, then we have

$$\left| \arg \left((1 - \xi) \frac{\mathcal{H}_{q,s}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z]}{z} + \xi(\mathcal{H}_{q,s}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z])' \right) \right| < \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \quad z \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad \xi \geq 1,$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left((1 - \xi) \frac{\mathbf{g}(w)}{w} + \xi \mathbf{g}'(w) \right) \right| < \frac{\lambda\pi}{2} \quad w \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad \xi \geq 1.$$

2. If we take

$$\Theta(z) = \Upsilon(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\delta)z}{1 - z} \quad z \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad 0 \leq \delta < 1,$$

then the functions $\Theta(z)$ and $\Upsilon(z)$ satisfy the hypotheses of Definition 2.1. Clearly, if $f \in {}_{\Sigma}\mathcal{H}_{q,s}^{\Theta,\Upsilon}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; \xi]$, then we have

$$\Re \left[(1 - \xi) \frac{\mathcal{H}_{q,s}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z]}{z} + \xi (\mathcal{H}_{q,s}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z])' \right] > \delta \quad z \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad \xi \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq \delta < 1,$$

and

$$\Re \left[(1 - \xi) \frac{\mathbf{g}(w)}{w} + \xi \mathbf{g}'(w) \right] > \delta, \quad w \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad \xi \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq \delta < 1.$$

3. For $q = 2, s = 1, \mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{b}_1 = \xi = 1$ and $\Theta(z) = \Upsilon(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\lambda$, we have

$${}_{\Sigma}\mathcal{H}_{1,2}^{\Theta,\Upsilon}[1; 1; 1] = \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda},$$

where the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}$ was studied by Srivastava et al [14].

4. For $q = 2, s = 1, \mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{b}_1 = \xi = 1$ and $\Theta(z) = \Upsilon(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\delta)z}{1-z}$, we have

$${}_{\Sigma}\mathcal{H}_{1,2}^{\Theta,\Upsilon}[1; 1; 1] = H_{\Sigma}(\delta),$$

where the class $H_{\Sigma}(\delta)$ was studied by Srivastava et al [14].

5. For $\Theta(z) = \Upsilon(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\lambda$ we have

$${}_{\Sigma}\mathcal{H}_{q,s}^{\Theta,\Upsilon}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; \xi] = \mathcal{H}_{q,s}^{\Sigma}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; \lambda; \xi],$$

where the class $\mathcal{H}_{q,s}^{\Sigma}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; \lambda; \xi]$ was introduced and studied by M. K. Aouf [2].

3. Coefficient Estimates

For proof of the theorem, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. [4] *If $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|\phi_j| \leq 2$ for each j , where \mathcal{P} is the class of all functions $\phi(z)$ analytic in \mathfrak{U} for which $\Re(\phi(z)) > 0$, $\phi(z) = 1 + \phi_1 z + \phi_2 z^2 + \dots$ for $z \in \mathfrak{U}$.*

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $f(z)$ given by the Taylor Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) be in the class ${}_{\Sigma}\mathcal{H}_{q,s}^{\Theta,\Upsilon}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; \xi]$, ($\xi \geq 1$). Then,*

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{|\Theta'(0)|^2 + |\Upsilon'(0)|^2}{2(\xi + 1)^2 |\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|^2}}, \sqrt{\frac{|\Theta''(0)| + |\Upsilon''(0)|}{4(2\xi + 1) |\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|^2}} \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|\Theta'(0)|^2 + |\Upsilon'(0)|^2}{2(\xi + 1)^2 |\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|} + \frac{|\Theta''(0)| + |\Upsilon''(0)|}{4(2\xi + 1) |\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|}, \frac{|\Theta''(0)|}{2(2\xi + 1) |\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|} \right\}.$$

Proof. First of all, it follows from the conditions (2.2) and (2.3) that,

$$(1 - \xi) \frac{\mathcal{H}_{q,s}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z]}{z} + \xi (\mathcal{H}_{q,s}[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1; z])' = \Theta(z) \quad z \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$(1 - \xi) \frac{\mathbf{g}(w)}{w} + \xi \mathbf{g}'(w) = \Upsilon(w) \quad w \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad (3.3)$$

where the function $\mathbf{g}(w)$ is given by (2.4), respectively, $\Theta(z)$ and $\Upsilon(w)$ satisfy in (2.1). Also, the functions $\Theta(z)$ and $\Upsilon(w)$ have the following Taylor-Maclaurin series expansions:

$$\Theta(z) = 1 + \Theta_1 z + \Theta_2 z^2 + \cdots, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Upsilon(w) = 1 + \Upsilon_1 w + \Upsilon_2 w^2 + \cdots.$$

Now, by comparing the series expansions (3.4) by the coefficients (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$(\xi + 1)\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]a_2 = \Theta_1 \quad (3.5)$$

$$(2\xi + 1)\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]a_3 = \Theta_2 \quad (3.6)$$

$$-(\xi + 1)\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]a_2 = \Upsilon_1 \quad (3.7)$$

$$(2\xi + 1)(2(\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1])^2 a_2^2 - \Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]a_3) = \Upsilon_2. \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.5) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\Theta_1 = -\Upsilon_1 \quad (3.9)$$

$$\Theta_1^2 + \Upsilon_1^2 = 2(\xi + 1)^2(\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1])^2 a_2^2.$$

Also, From (3.6) and (3.8), we find that

$$\Theta_2 + \Upsilon_2 = 2(2\xi + 1)(\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1])^2 a_2^2. \quad (3.10)$$

Therefore, we find from the equations (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{|\Theta'(0)|^2 + |\Upsilon'(0)|^2}{2(\xi + 1)^2 |\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|^2}$$

and

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{|\Theta''(0)| + |\Upsilon''(0)|}{4(2\xi + 1) |\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|^2}.$$

So we get the requested estimate on the coefficient $|a_2|$ as asserted in (3.1). Next, in order to find the bound on the coefficient $|a_3|$, we subtract (3.8) from (3.6). We thus get

$$\Theta_2 - \Upsilon_2 = 2(2\xi + 1)(\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]a_3 - (\Gamma_2[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1])^2 a_2^2). \quad (3.11)$$

Upon substituting the value of a_2^2 from (3.9) into (3.11), it follows that

$$a_3 = \frac{\Theta_1^2 + \Upsilon_1^2}{2(\xi + 1)^2 \Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]} + \frac{\Theta_2 - \Upsilon_2}{2(2\xi + 1) \Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]}.$$

We thus find that

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\Theta'(0)|^2 + |\Upsilon'(0)|^2}{2(\xi + 1)^2 |\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|} + \frac{|\Theta''(0)| + |\Upsilon''(0)|}{4(2\xi + 1) |\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|}.$$

On the other hand, upon substituting the value of a_2^2 from (3.10) into (3.11), it follows that

$$a_3 = \frac{\Theta_2}{(2\xi + 1) \Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\Theta''(0)|}{2(2\xi + 1) |\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

4. Corollaries and Consequences

By setting $\Theta(z) = \Upsilon(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\lambda$, $\xi = 1$, $\mathfrak{q} = 2$ and $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathfrak{b}_1 = 1$ in Theorem 3.2. we get the following result.

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let the function $f(z)$ given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^\lambda$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\lambda}{\sqrt{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{2\lambda^2}{3}.$$

REMARK 4.2. Corollary 4.1 is an development of the following estimates obtained by Srivastava et al. [14].

COROLLARY 4.3. [14] *Let the function $f(z)$ given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^\lambda$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda+2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{(3\lambda+2)\lambda}{3}.$$

By setting $\Theta(z) = \Upsilon(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\lambda$ in Theorem 3.2, we get the following consequence.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let the function $f(z)$ given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class ${}_\Sigma \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{s}}^{\Theta,\Upsilon}[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1; \xi]$, ($\eta \geq 1$). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2\lambda}{|\Gamma_2[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1]|(\xi+1)}, \frac{2\lambda}{|\Gamma_2[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1]|\sqrt{2(2\xi+1)}} \right\},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2\lambda^2}{|\Gamma_3[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1]|(2\xi+1)}.$$

Thus, Corollary 4.4 is an improvement of the following estimates obtained by Auof [2].

COROLLARY 4.5. [2] *Let the function $f(z)$ given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{s}}^\Sigma[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1; \lambda; \xi]$ ($\xi \geq 1$). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\lambda}{|\Gamma_2[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1]|\sqrt{(\xi+1)^2 + \lambda(1+2\xi-\xi^2)}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\lambda^2}{|\Gamma_3[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1]|(\xi+1)^2} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\Gamma_3[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1]|(2\xi+1)}.$$

REMARK 4.6. For the coefficient $|a_2|$ with conditions $0 < \lambda \leq 1, \xi \geq 1 + \sqrt{2}$

$$\frac{2\lambda}{|\Gamma_2[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1]|(\xi+1)} \leq \frac{2\lambda}{|\Gamma_2[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1]|\sqrt{(\xi+1)^2 + \lambda(1+2\xi-\xi^2)}},$$

and with conditions $0 < \lambda \leq 1, 1 \leq \xi < 1 + \sqrt{2}$

$$\frac{2\lambda}{|\Gamma_2[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1]|\sqrt{2(2\xi+1)}} \leq \frac{2\lambda}{|\Gamma_2[\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{b}_1]|\sqrt{(\xi+1)^2 + \lambda(1+2\xi-\xi^2)}}.$$

Otherwise, for the coefficient $|a_3|$, we make the following investigations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\lambda^2}{|\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|(2\xi + 1)} &\leq \frac{2\lambda}{|\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|(2\xi + 1)} \\ &\leq \frac{4\lambda^2}{|\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|(\xi + 1)^2} + \frac{2\lambda}{|\Gamma_3[\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{b}_1]|(2\xi + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

A part of this research was carried out while the second author was visiting the University of Alberta. The authors are grateful to professor Sibel Yalçın for her comments.

References

- [1] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Subramaniam, *Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **25** (2012), 344–351.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah, and A. Abd-Eltawab, *New Subclasses of Biunivalent Functions Involving Dziok-Srivastava Operator*, ISRN Mathematical Analysis (2013), Article ID 387178, 5 pages.
- [3] D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie (Eds.), *Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis*, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute held at the University of Durham, Durham; July 1–20, 1979, (Academic Press, New York and London, 1980).
- [4] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1983.
- [5] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput. **103** (1999), 1–13.
- [6] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, *Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **14** (2003), 7–18.
- [7] T. Hayami and S. Owa, *Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions*, PanAm. Math. J. **22** (2012), 15–26.
- [8] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 1569–1573.
- [9] A. W. Kedzierawski, *Some remarks on bi-univalent functions*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie Skłodowska Sect. A. **39** (1985), 77–81.
- [10] M. Lewin, *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 63–68.
- [11] M.M. Shabani and S. Hashemi Sababe, *On Some Classes of Spiral-like Functions Defined by the Salagean Operator*, Korean J. Math. **28** (2020), 137–147.
- [12] M.M. Shabani, Maryam Yazdi and S. Hashemi Sababe, *Coefficient Bounds for a Subclass of Harmonic Mappings Convex in one direction*, KYUNGPOOK Math. J. **61** (2021), 269–278
- [13] M.M. Shabani, Maryam Yazdi and S. Hashemi Sababe, *Some distortion theorems for new subclass of harmonic univalent functions*, Honam Mathematical J. **42(4)** (2020), 701–717.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, *Certain subclasses of analytic and biunivalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (2010), 1188–1192.
- [15] D. L. Tan, *Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A. **5** (1984), 559–568.
- [16] Q. H. Xu, Y. C. Gui, and H. M. Srivastava, *Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **25** (2012), 990–994.
- [17] Q. H. Xu, H.G. Xiao, and H. M. Srivastava, *A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (2012), 11461–11465.

Mohammad Mehdi Shabani

Faculty of sciences, Imam Ali University, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail: Mohammadmehdishabani@yahoo.com

Saeed Hashemi Sababe

Young Researchers and Elite Club, Malard Branch, Islamic Azad University, Malard, Iran.

E-mail: Hashemi_1365@yahoo.com