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#### Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to obtain some conditions for strongly starlikeness and univalence of normalized analytic functions in the open unit disk. Further, we prove an univalence and argument properties for certain integral operators.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of the functions $f$ which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ with the usual normalization $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. A function $f$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$ if it satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all starlike functions of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{*}(0) \equiv \mathcal{S}^{*}$.

If $f$ and $g$ are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$. Further, if $g$ is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$ (see [13, p. 36]).

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be strongly starlike of order $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1)[5,6]$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]We denote by $\mathcal{S}[\alpha]$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\mathcal{S}[0] \equiv \mathcal{S}^{*}$, which is the well-known class of starlike functions in $\mathbb{U}$. We note that the condition (1) can be written by

$$
\left|\arg \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

A function $f$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(A, B)$ if it satisfies

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

for some $A$ and $B(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$. The class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(A, B)(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$ can be reduced to several well-known classes of starlike functions by selecting special values $A$ and $B$. Note that $\mathcal{S}^{*}(1-2 \alpha,-1) \equiv \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$. Also the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(A, B)(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$ is called as the class of Janoski type starlike functions [4].

The strongly starlike functions and related interesting developments play a very important role in the study of pure and applied mathematical sciences and have been extensively studied by several authors (see, e.g., $[1,2,3,7,8,9,10,11$, 14]. Additionally, the theory of integral operators and derivatives of an arbitrary real or complex order (see, for details, [16]; see also [12, 17]) has been applied not only in geometric function theory of complex analysis, but has also emerged as a potentially useful direction in the mathematical modeling and analysis of real-world problems in applied sciences (see, for example, [18]).

Motivated by the works mentioned above, in this paper, we will investigate some conditions for strongly starlikeness and univalence of functions belonging to $\mathcal{A}$. Also, we prove an univalence and argument properties of certain integral operators.

## 2. Main Results

In proving our results, we shall need the following lemma due to Numokawa[6].
Lemma 2.1. Let $p$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg p(z)|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \text { for }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}=i \alpha k \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right) \text { when } \arg p\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right) \text { when } \arg p\left(z_{0}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{p\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}= \pm i a \quad(a>0) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of Lemma 2.1, we now derive the following theorem.
Theorem 2.2. Let $p$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. If
$\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\beta}{p(z)}-\gamma p(z)-\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right)\right|<2 \sqrt{\beta \gamma} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1, \beta, \gamma>0, z \in \mathbb{U})$,
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg p(z)|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ such that the conditions (2) and (3) are satisfied, then (by Lemma 2.1) we obtain (4) under the restrictions (5), (6), and (7). At first, we suppose that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=(i a)^{\alpha}(a>0)$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\beta}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}-\gamma p\left(z_{0}\right)-\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) & =\operatorname{Im}\left(\beta(i a)^{-\alpha}-\gamma(i a)^{\alpha}-i \alpha k\right) \\
& =-\frac{\beta}{a^{\alpha}} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha-a^{\alpha} \gamma \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha-\alpha k \\
& \leq-\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \cdot g(t)-\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
g(t)=\frac{\beta}{t}+\gamma t \quad\left(t=b^{\alpha}>0\right)
$$

Since $g(t) \geq g(\sqrt{\beta / \gamma})$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\beta}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}-\gamma p\left(z_{0}\right)-\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) & \leq-\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \cdot g(t)-\alpha \\
& =-\left(2 \sqrt{\beta \gamma} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\alpha\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This evidently contradicts the assumption (8). Next, we suppose that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=$ $(-i a)^{\alpha}(a>0)$. Applying the same method as the above, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\beta}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}-\gamma p\left(z_{0}\right)-\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) \geq 2 \sqrt{\beta \gamma} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\alpha
$$

which contradicts the assumption (8). Therefore we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Taking $p(z)=\frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following result.
Corollary 2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f(z) f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U} \backslash\{0\}$. If
$\left|\operatorname{Im}\left((\beta-1) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\gamma \frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}+1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right|<2 \sqrt{\beta \gamma} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})$,
then $f$ is a strongly starlike of order $\alpha$.

Putting $\beta=1$ and $\gamma=\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ in corollary 2.3 , we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If

$$
\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{3}{2} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

then $f$ is a strongly starlike of order $\frac{1}{2}$.
Theorem 2.5. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U} \backslash\{0\}$ and let

$$
\rho=\sup _{|z| \leq 1}\left|\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \alpha \quad(0<\alpha \leq 1)
$$

If

$$
\left|\arg \left(\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \delta(\rho, \alpha) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\rho, \alpha)=\alpha+\frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho}{\alpha+\rho}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left|\arg \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Proof. Let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we see that $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. From (10), we have

$$
\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\left(\alpha+\frac{z g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)
$$

Suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ such that the conditions (2) and (3) hold. Then (by Lemma 2.1) we get (4) with conditions (5), (6) and (7). For $p\left(z_{0}\right)=(i a)^{\alpha}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \left(\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) & =\arg p\left(z_{0}\right)+\arg \left(\alpha+\frac{z_{0} g^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}+\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha k+\operatorname{Im} \frac{z_{0} g^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}}{\alpha+\operatorname{Re} \frac{z_{0} g^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho}{\alpha+\rho}\right) \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2} \delta(\rho, \alpha),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta(\rho, \alpha)$ is given by (9). This is a contradiction to the assumption of Theorem 2.5. Similarly, for the case $p\left(z_{0}\right)=(-i a)^{\alpha}(a>0)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \left(\alpha \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) & \leq-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho}{\alpha+\rho}\right) \\
& =-\frac{\pi}{2} \delta(\rho, \alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta(\rho, \alpha)$ is given by (9), which contradicts the assumption of our Theorem 2.5. Therefore we have the result.

Letting $g(z)=z$ in Theorem 2.5, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U} \backslash\{0\}$. If

$$
\left|\arg \left(\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad(0<\alpha \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

then

$$
\left|\arg f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Taking $\alpha=1$ in Corollary 2.6, we have the following result.
Corollary 2.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U} \backslash\{0\}$. If

$$
\left|\arg \left(\alpha f^{\prime}(z)+z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)\right|<\frac{3}{4} \pi \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

that is, $f$ is univalent.
By using a similar method of the proof in Theorem 2.5, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.8. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and let

$$
\rho=\sup _{|z| \leq 1}\left|\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \alpha \quad(0<\alpha \leq 1)
$$

If

$$
\left|\arg \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \eta(\rho, \alpha) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where

$$
\eta(\rho, \alpha)=\alpha+\frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho}{1+\rho}\right)
$$

then

$$
\left|\arg \frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where $F$ is the integral operator given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{t} d t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us put

$$
p(z)=\frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}
$$

Then $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. By a simple calculation, we have

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z)\left(1+\frac{z g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)
$$

The remaining part of the proof is a similar to that of Theorem 2.5 and we omit it.

Putting $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 2.8, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.9. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ and let

$$
\rho=\sup _{|z| \leq 1}\left|\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq 1
$$

If

$$
\left|\arg \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \eta(\rho) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where

$$
\eta(\rho)=1+\frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho}\right)
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where $F$ is the integral operator given by (11).
Letting $g(z)=z$ in corollary 2.9, we have the following result.
Corollary 2.10. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If

$$
\left|\arg f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\frac{3}{4} \pi \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

then the integral operator given by (11) is univalent.
Theorem 2.11. Let $g \in \mathcal{A}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\sup _{|z| \leq 1}\left|\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right| \leq \alpha \quad(0<\alpha \leq 1) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in S^{*}[\beta(\alpha)]$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(\alpha)=\alpha+\frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho}{\rho}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left|\arg \frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right|<\pi \alpha+\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho}{\rho}\right) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{g(t)}{f(t)} f^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the assumption (23), we note that $g(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Since

$$
F^{\prime}(z)=\frac{g(z) f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}
$$

where $F$ is integral operator given by (14) and $f \in S^{*}[\beta(\alpha)]$, it follows that $F^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z) F(z)}{f(z) F^{\prime}(z)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. A simple calculation of (15). shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z p^{\prime}(z)+\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)} p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ satisfying the conditions $(2)$ and $(3)$, then by Lemma 2.1, we obtain (4) under the restrictions (5), (6), and (7). At first, for $p\left(z_{0}\right)=(i a)^{\alpha}(a>0)$, from (16) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \frac{z f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)} & =\arg \left(z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g\left(z_{0}\right)} p\left(z_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\arg p\left(z_{0}\right)+\arg \left(\frac{z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g\left(z_{0}\right)}+\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Im} \frac{z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g\left(z_{0}\right)}+\alpha k}{\operatorname{Re} \frac{z_{0} g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g\left(z_{0}\right)}}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho}{\rho}\right) \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2} \beta(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta(\alpha)$ is given by (13). This contradicts the assumption, $f \in S^{*}[\beta(\alpha)]$. Next, we suppose that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=(-i a)^{\alpha}(a>0)$. Applying the same method as the above, we have

$$
\arg \frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)} \leq-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho}{\rho}\right)
$$

which also contradicts the assumption. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha & >|\arg p(z)| \\
& =\left|\arg \frac{f^{\prime}(z) F(z)}{f(z) F^{\prime}(z)}\right|  \tag{17}\\
& \geq\left|\arg \frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right|-\left|\arg \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

From (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\arg \frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right| & \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\left|\arg \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \\
& <\pi \alpha+\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho}{\rho}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we completes the proof of Theorem 2.11.
Finally, we prove the following results by using Lemma 2.1.
Theorem 2.12. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\left|\arg \frac{g^{\prime}(z) f(z)}{g(z) f^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \beta(\alpha) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where

$$
\beta(\alpha)= \begin{cases}\alpha+\frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}{\frac{1+A}{1+B}+\alpha \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}\right), & (B \neq-1)  \tag{19}\\ \alpha, & (B=-1)\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(A, B)=\frac{2}{\pi} \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{A-B}{1-A B}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left|\arg \frac{g^{\prime}(z) F(z)}{g(z) F^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where $F$ is the integral operator given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} g^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
h(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}
$$

Then, form (18), we observe [15] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h(z)-\frac{1-A B}{1-B^{2}}\right|<\frac{A-B}{1-B^{2}} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}, B \neq-1) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} h(z)>\frac{1-A}{2} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}, B=-1) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (22) and (23), we have

$$
h(z)=\rho e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \phi}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1-A}{1-B}<\rho<\frac{1+A}{1+B} \\
-A(A, B)<\phi<t(A, B) \quad \text { for } B \neq-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

when $t(A, B)$ is given by (20), and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1-A}{2}<\rho<\infty \\
-1<\phi<1
\end{array} \quad \text { for } B=-1\right.
$$

From (18) and the definition of $F$, it follows that $F^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$, and hence

$$
p(z)=\frac{g^{\prime}(z) F(z)}{g(z) F^{\prime}(z)}
$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$
\frac{g^{\prime}(z) f(z)}{g(z) f^{\prime}(z)}=p(z)+\frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)} z p^{\prime}(z)
$$

Suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.1. At first, we assume that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=(i a)^{\alpha}(b>0)$. For the case $B \neq-1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \left(\frac{g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) f\left(z_{0}\right)}{g\left(z_{0}\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) & =\arg \left(p\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{h\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) \\
& =\arg p\left(z_{0}\right)+\arg \left(1+\frac{1}{h\left(z_{0}\right)} \cdot \frac{z p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\arg \left(1+\left(\rho e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \phi}\right)^{-1} i \alpha k\right) \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha k \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-\phi)}{\rho+\alpha k \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-\phi)}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha+\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha k \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}{\frac{1+A}{1+B}+\alpha k \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}\right) \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha k \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}{\frac{1+A}{1+B}+\alpha k \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t(A, B)$ and $\beta(\alpha)$ are given by (20) and (19), respectively. Similarly, for the case $B=-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \left(\frac{g^{\prime}(z) f(z)}{g(z) f^{\prime}(z)}\right) & \leq-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{2}{\pi} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha k \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}{\frac{1+A}{1+B}+\alpha k \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-t(A, B))}\right)\right) \\
& =-\frac{\pi}{2} \beta(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t(A, B)$ and $\beta(\alpha)$ are given by (20) and (19), respectively and for the case $B=-1$, we have

$$
\arg \left(\frac{g^{\prime}(z) f(z)}{g(z) f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \leq-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha
$$

which contradict the assumption. this completes the proof of our theorem.

Taking $A=1, B=-1$ and $g(z)=z$ in theorem 2.12, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.13. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0<\alpha \leq 1$. If

$$
\left|\arg \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

then

$$
\left|\arg \frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where $F$ is integral operator given by (11).
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