

Original Research



Regional disparities related to cardiovascular diseases and diet quality in Korean adults: based on the 2013–2016 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Data

Bo Young Seo  and Eun Sil Her  [§]

Department of Food and Nutrition, Changshin University, Changwon 51352, Korea



Received: Nov 19, 2021

Revised: Dec 8, 2021

Accepted: Dec 30, 2021

Published online: Jan 24, 2022

§Corresponding Author:

Eun Sil Her

Department of Food and Nutrition, Changshin University, 262 Paryong-ro, Masanhoiwon-gu Changwon 51352, Korea.

Tel. +82-55-250-1203

Fax. +82-55-250-1206

Email. heres@cs.ac.kr

©2022 The Korean Nutrition Society and the Korean Society of Community Nutrition
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Bo Young Seo 

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2753-1322>

Eun Sil Her 

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7296-3944>

Funding

This research was supported by grant from Changshin university in 2020 (Changshin-2020-042).

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES: Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are the leading cause of death in Koreans, and eating habits, including diet quality, are among the etiologies of these diseases. Recently, various studies on regional health disparities have been conducted. However, there are limited studies on their relationship with nutritional factors. This study aimed to identify the magnitude of regional disparities in diet quality and prevalence of CVD in Korean adults.

SUBJECTS/METHODS: This study included 17,646 participants aged ≥ 20 years from the 7th (2013–2016) Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Participants were classified into four groups based on their residential areas: City 1, City 2, City 3, and non-city. Demographic characteristics, health-related factors, body mass index (BMI), metabolic syndrome index, diet quality, and CVD prevalence were evaluated.

RESULTS: In terms of demographic characteristics, age ($P < 0.001$), marital status ($P < 0.001$), educational level ($P < 0.001$), and income ($P < 0.001$) were lower in the non-city category. Health-related factors such as monthly drinking rate ($P < 0.01$) and mental stress ($P < 0.05$) were the highest in City 1 and lowest in the non-city group. Conversely, the current smoking rate ($P < 0.05$), BMI ($P < 0.05$), and prevalence of metabolic syndrome ($P < 0.001$) were the highest in the non-city group ($P < 0.05$). The non-city group also had the highest prevalence of CVDs (35.6%). This group had the lowest diet quality index (68.36 ± 0.22 , $P < 0.01$), caused by low intake of fruit and calcium, a lack of sodium moderation, and an overall imbalance in the macronutrient and fatty acid ratio. When the diet quality index was increased by 1, the odds ratio for the prevalence of CVDs was reduced by 0.991 ($P < 0.001$), but this was not the case in all regions.

CONCLUSIONS: This study provides useful information and data in identifying and resolving the regional health disparities related to CVD prevalence and implementation of public health nutrition systems.

Keywords: Health status disparities; diet; cardiovascular disease

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interests.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Her ES, Seo B; Formal analysis: Her ES, Seo B; Funding acquisition: Her ES; Investigation: Her ES, Seo B; Methodology: Her ES, Seo B; Supervision: Her ES; Writing - original draft: Her ES, Seo B; Writing - review & editing: Her ES, Seo B.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are defined as chronic diseases such as hypertension, arteriosclerosis, cerebral hemorrhage, cerebral thrombosis, cerebral infarction, angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, and congestive heart failure [1]. A rapidly aging population, westernized dietary habits, increasing obesity, and metabolic syndrome have led to increased morbidity and mortality from CVDs in South Korea [2-4]. According to the cause of death statistics released by Statistics Korea in 2019, heart disease was the second leading cause of death after cancer, cerebrovascular disease was the fourth leading cause, and hypertension was the ninth leading cause of death [5]. CVDs are characterized by complicated etiologies, long disease courses, and severe health damage. They are also responsible for serious harm to the state and society, as they increase the economic burden of treating chronic diseases [6].

Health is particularly valuable because it is essential for an individual's well-being and ability to fully participate in the workforce and society [7]. Health and nutrition disparities or inequalities include differences caused by sex, race or ethnicity, education, income, disability, place of residence and domicile, or sexual orientation [8]. Article 3 of the Regional Public Health Act stipulates that state and local governments are responsible for establishing policies to prevent health disparities among local residents [9]. One of the two overarching goals of Healthy Plan 2020 was to improve health equity nationally [10].

Numerous studies on regional health disparities have focused on urban-rural or metropolitan-non-metropolitan areas [11-14]. However, few studies have compared regional disparities in CVD morbidity and mortality in South Korea [15].

Lifestyle, including dietary habits, is an important determinant of health. The major focus in the area of dietary assessment has been to measure diet quality from diverse perspectives in a comprehensive manner [16,17]. Many studies suggest that a composite measure of diet is a preferred alternative to using the levels of a single nutrient or the intake of certain foods as a measure of diet quality [18,19]. Commonly used food quality evaluation tools include the nutrient adequacy ratio, mean adequacy ratio, and index of nutritional quality, which evaluate subjects based on nutrient intake. Recently, several tools have been developed to evaluate the overall diet quality, including the healthy eating index, recommended food score, alternate Mediterranean diet index, and diet quality index-international (DQI-I). All of these tools are useful in assessing variability within a diet and the overall diet quality of individual populations [20]. The DQI-I focuses on concerns related not only to chronic diseases, but also to problems of undernutrition, thereby providing a global tool for monitoring the healthfulness of diet and exploring aspects of diet quality related to nutrition transition [21].

Previous studies were conducted only on the relationship between DQI-Is and CVDs such as stroke [22], and cerebrovascular disease and CVDs [2]. There is a dearth of literature on the effect of DQI-Is on regional disparities in CVD prevalence. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the differences in health-related factors, body mass index (BMI), metabolic syndrome and CVD prevalence, and DQI-I according to four residential areas, and the effect of diet quality on regional disparities of CVD prevalence in Korean adults using the 2013–2016 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). This study provides basic data to resolve regional health disparities related to the prevalence of CVDs.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

This study used data from the KNHANES (2013–2016) conducted by the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency of the Ministry of Health and Welfare. Among the 24,095 participants aged 20 years and older, subjects with outlying dietary intake (< 500 kcal or > 5,000 kcal/day; $n = 3,249$) [23], participants with missing data on demographic characteristics ($n = 2,536$), women who were pregnant or breastfeeding ($n = 323$), and those who did not fast for 8 h before the blood test ($n = 341$) were excluded. Ultimately, 17,646 participants were included in the analysis. The Institutional Review Board of the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency approved KNHANES (IRB No. 2013-07CON-03-4C, 2013-12EXP-03-5C, 2015-01-02-6C, and 2016-02CON-06-C).

Demographic and health-related variables

Demographic variables included residential area, sex, age, marital status, educational level, and household income level. Residential areas were categorized into city (Dong area) and non-city (Eup and Myeon area) groups. The city group was subdivided into three parts to reflect the relative city status: City 1 (Seoul and Gyeonggi cities), City 2 (Gwangyeoksi cities), and City 3 (other cities) [16]. The age groups were 20–29, 30–49, 50–64, and ≥ 65 years. Marital status was classified as married or unmarried. Educational level was classified as elementary school graduate or lower, middle school graduate, high school graduate, and college graduate or higher. Household income levels were divided into four groups (lowest, lower-middle, upper-middle, and highest quartiles of monthly household income). Health-related factors included the monthly drinking rate, current smoking rate, mental stress status, walking (d/wk), and strength exercise (d/wk).

BMI, metabolic syndrome and CVD prevalence

BMI, an indicator of obesity, was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by height squared (m). Metabolic syndrome was defined according to the criteria of the modified National Cholesterol Education Program III for the Asian population [24] and the modified abdominal obesity cutoff for Korean adults [25]. Subjects who met at least three of the following criteria were defined as having metabolic syndrome: 1) waist circumference of ≥ 90 cm in men and ≥ 85 cm in women; 2) fasting glucose of ≥ 100 mg/dL or use of medication for diabetes (insulin or oral agents); 3) triglyceride level of ≥ 150 mg/dL or use of lipid-lowering medication; 4) HDL-cholesterol of < 40 mg/dL in men and < 50 mg/dL in women or use of dyslipidemia medication; and 5) systolic blood pressure of ≥ 130 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure of ≥ 85 mmHg, or use of antihypertensive medication. The prevalence of CVD was determined by the presence or absence of one or more of the following: hypertension, dyslipidemia, stroke, myocardial infarction, and angina pectoris.

DQI-I

The DQI-I can evaluate diet quality in terms of nutritional variety, adequacy, moderation, and overall balance. Dietary variety, such as diversity in food groups (meat/poultry/fish/egg, dairy/beans, grains, fruit, and vegetables) and protein sources (meat, poultry, fish, dairy, beans, and eggs), was scored out of 20 points. The adequacy category (composed of vegetables, fruits, grains, dietary fiber, protein, iron, calcium, and vitamin C) was calculated according to the ratio of intake to a given serving size or recommended daily intake and was scored out of 40 points. The moderation category (including total fat, saturated fat, cholesterol, sodium, and empty calorie foods) was scored out of 30 points. Finally, the overall balance category,

which considers macronutrient ratios and fatty acid ratios, received a score of 10 points. The scores for each component in the four categories were added to obtain the final DQI-I score (100 points). A high DQI-I score is considered an indicator of a high-quality diet [22].

Statistical analysis

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS 23.0 package (IBM Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and complex sampling analyses with cluster sampling variables, strata, and weighted values were performed. Nominal and rank variables are presented as frequency and percentage using the chi-square test, and continuous variables are presented as mean \pm SE using a general linear model. The effect of diet quality by region on the prevalence of CVDs was analyzed using a complex sampling logistic regression model. This analysis was performed to obtain the odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals adjusted for confounding variables (general characteristics and health-related factors). The statistical significance level was set at a *P*-value of < 0.05 .

RESULTS

Comparative analysis of demographic and health-related factors by regions

The results of the demographic analysis are presented in **Table 1**. As a result of age analysis, the proportion of the population over 50 years in the non-city group was 67.9%, reflecting the highest mean age (51.6 ± 0.3 years). In the case of City 1 and City 3, the 30–49 age group was the largest ($P < 0.001$). The percentage of married people was the highest in the non-city category ($P < 0.001$). City 1 had the highest educational level, with 42% of the population being college

Table 1. Demographic and health-related factors by regions

Variables	Total (n = 17,646)	City 1 (n = 4,638)	City 2 (n = 4,275)	City 3 (n = 5,247)	Non-city (n = 3,486)	<i>P</i> -value
Sex						0.225
Male	7,230 (41.0)	1,882 (49.5)	1,729 (49.0)	2,113 (49.2)	1,506 (51.7)	0.225
Female	10,416 (59.0)	2,756 (50.5)	2,546 (51.0)	3,134 (50.8)	1,980 (48.3)	0.225
Age (yrs)	47.6 \pm 0.1	45.8 \pm 0.3	47.1 \pm 0.3	45.8 \pm 0.2	51.6 \pm 0.3	< 0.001
20–29	1,801 (10.2)	576 (12.4)	480 (11.2)	568 (10.8)	177 (5.0)	< 0.001
30–49	6,035 (34.2)	1,730 (37.3)	1,359 (31.8)	2,002 (38.2)	944 (27.1)	$< 0.001^{***}$
50–64	5,039 (28.6)	1,263 (27.2)	1,281 (30.0)	1,448 (27.6)	1,047 (30.1)	$< 0.001^{***}$
≥ 65	4,771 (27.5)	1,069 (23.1)	1,155 (27.0)	1,229 (23.4)	1,318 (37.8)	$< 0.001^{***}$
Marital status						< 0.001
Married	15,216 (86.2)	3,806 (82.1)	3,632 (85.0)	4,530 (86.3)	3,248 (93.2)	$< 0.001^{***}$
Unmarried	2,430 (13.8)	832 (17.9)	643 (15.0)	717 (13.7)	238 (6.8)	$< 0.001^{***}$
Education level						< 0.001
\leq Primary school	4,347 (24.6)	857 (18.5)	986 (23.1)	1,126 (21.5)	1,378 (39.5)	$< 0.001^{***}$
Middle school	1,909 (10.8)	427 (9.2)	506 (11.8)	521 (9.9)	455 (13.0)	$< 0.001^{***}$
High school	5,666 (32.1)	1,406 (30.3)	1,455 (34.0)	1,797 (34.2)	1,008 (28.9)	$< 0.001^{***}$
\geq College	5,724 (32.5)	1,948 (42.0)	1,328 (31.1)	1,803 (34.4)	645 (18.6)	$< 0.001^{***}$
Household income						< 0.001
Low	3,547 (20.1)	690 (14.9)	925 (21.7)	877 (16.7)	1,055 (30.3)	$< 0.001^{***}$
Middle low	4,432 (25.1)	1,120 (24.1)	1,092 (25.5)	1,268 (24.2)	952 (27.3)	$< 0.001^{***}$
Middle high	4,721 (26.8)	1,237 (26.7)	1,117 (26.1)	1,530 (29.2)	837 (24.0)	$< 0.001^{***}$
High	4,946 (28.0)	1,591 (34.3)	1,141 (26.7)	1,572 (29.9)	642 (18.4)	$< 0.001^{***}$
Monthly drinking rate	9,134 (52.1)	2,518 (54.3)	2,219 (51.9)	2,733 (52.1)	1,664 (47.7)	0.004
Current smoking rate	2,967 (16.9)	780 (16.8)	696 (16.3)	878 (16.7)	613 (17.6)	0.026
Mental stress	4,274 (24.4)	1,200 (25.9)	1,022 (23.9)	1,262 (24.0)	790 (22.7)	0.038
Exercise						
Walking (d/wk)	5.13 \pm 0.05	5.58 \pm 0.08	5.22 \pm 0.10	5.00 \pm 0.07	4.73 \pm 0.15	< 0.001
Strength exercise (d/wk)	1.81 \pm 0.01	1.86 \pm 0.03	1.88 \pm 0.03	1.85 \pm 0.03	1.65 \pm 0.03	< 0.001

Values are presented as number (%) or mean \pm SE. *P*-values were analyzed by complex sampling χ^2 test or general linear model *F*-test.

City 1, Seoul, Gyeonggi cities; City 2, Gwangyeoksi cities; City 3, other cities; Non-city, rural area.

*** $P < 0.001$.

educated or higher, whereas the proportion of people who had not graduated from primary school was highest in the non-city group at 39.5% ($P < 0.001$). Household income levels showed the same trend as educational level ($P < 0.001$). The ratio of drinkers to smokers was used to analyze health-related lifestyles. The ratio of monthly drinking was the highest occurred in City 1 ($P < 0.01$), and the ratio of the current smoking rate was the highest occurred in the non-city group ($P < 0.05$). Regarding exercise habits, those in the non-city category showed the lowest rates of both walking ($P < 0.001$) and strength exercises ($P < 0.001$).

Comparative analysis of BMI and metabolic syndrome index by regions

The results of the regional BMI and metabolic syndrome index analyses are shown in **Table 2**. In the case of BMI, all groups showed values outside the normal range ($< 22.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$). The non-city group showed the highest average BMI ($P < 0.05$), and the same trend was observed for triglyceride levels ($P < 0.05$) and waist circumference ($P < 0.01$). Conversely, the mean HDL-cholesterol level was the lowest in the non-city group ($P < 0.05$). Systolic blood pressure was the highest in the non-city category ($P < 0.05$), and diastolic blood pressure was the highest in City 1 ($P < 0.001$). These results also affected the analysis of the retention numbers of metabolic syndrome components. Therefore, the non-city group also had the highest risk of developing metabolic syndrome ($P < 0.001$).

Comparative analysis of CVD prevalence by regions

Regional analysis of the prevalence of CVD (hypertension, dyslipidemia, stroke, myocardial infarction, and angina pectoris) revealed that only hypertension and dyslipidemia were significant (**Table 3**). Hypertension was the most prevalent condition, especially in the non-city group (29.1%, $P < 0.001$). In the analysis of the prevalence of dyslipidemia, City 3 (10.8%) showed the lowest prevalence and City 1 (13.6%) showed the highest prevalence ($P < 0.001$), which is in contrast to hypertension. The non-city group had the highest percentage of all CVDs, except dyslipidemia. The percentage of patients with more than one CVD was 35.6% ($P < 0.001$), indicating that more than one in three people had multiple CVDs.

Table 2. BMI and metabolic syndrome index by regions

Variables	Total (n = 17,646)	City 1 (n = 4,638)	City 2 (n = 4,275)	City 3 (n = 5,247)	Non-city (n = 3,486)	P-value
BMI (kg/m^2)	24.01 \pm 0.06	23.96 \pm 0.08	23.90 \pm 0.09	24.01 \pm 0.08	24.17 \pm 0.09	0.022
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	119.14 \pm 0.22	119.33 \pm 0.30	118.58 \pm 0.31	119.00 \pm 0.29	119.67 \pm 0.35	0.033
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	75.14 \pm 0.17	76.00 \pm 0.22	74.54 \pm 0.23	74.70 \pm 0.22	75.30 \pm 0.24	< 0.001
Fasting blood sugar (mg/dL)	99.92 \pm 0.37	99.68 \pm 0.46	99.51 \pm 0.49	99.67 \pm 0.47	100.83 \pm 0.58	0.164
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	49.18 \pm 0.20	49.49 \pm 0.26	49.40 \pm 0.28	49.30 \pm 0.26	48.54 \pm 0.30	0.017
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	151.87 \pm 2.23	150.69 \pm 2.68	148.01 \pm 2.87	150.49 \pm 2.89	158.28 \pm 13.34	0.012
Waist circumference (cm)	82.80 \pm 0.17	82.45 \pm 0.22	82.67 \pm 0.23	82.66 \pm 0.22	83.41 \pm 0.26	0.004
Retention numbers of metabolic syndrome components (≥ 3)	4,969 (28.2)	1,252 (27.0)	1,154 (27.0)	1,364 (26.0)	1,199 (34.4)	< 0.001

Values are presented as mean \pm SE or number (%). Adjusted for age, marital status, education level, income, drinking, smoking, mental stress and exercise in total subjects. P-values were analyzed by complex sampling χ^2 test or general linear model F-test.

BMI, body mass index; City 1, Seoul, Gyeonggi cities; City 2, Gwangyeoksi cities; City 3, other cities; Non-city, rural area.

Table 3. Prevalence of cardiovascular diseases by regions

Variables	Total (n = 17,646)	City 1 (n = 4,638)	City 2 (n = 4,275)	City 3 (n = 5,247)	Non-city (n = 3,486)	P-value
Hypertension	4,158 (23.6)	1,029 (22.2)	996 (23.3)	1,119 (21.3)	1,014 (29.1)	< 0.001
Dyslipidemia	2,177 (12.3)	631 (13.6)	554 (13.0)	566 (10.8)	426 (12.2)	< 0.001
Stroke	347 (2.0)	78 (1.7)	85 (2.0)	94 (1.8)	90 (2.6)	0.214
Myocardial infarction	170 (1.0)	35 (0.8)	46 (1.1)	45 (0.9)	44 (1.3)	0.055
Angina pectoris	315 (1.8)	83 (1.8)	69 (1.6)	89 (1.7)	74 (2.1)	0.198
Prevalence of circulatory system	5,273 (29.9)	1,338 (28.8)	1,277 (29.9)	1,416 (27.0)	1,242 (35.6)	< 0.001

Values are presented number (%). P-value was analyzed by complex sampling χ^2 test.

City 1, Seoul, Gyeonggi cities; City 2, Gwangyeoksi cities; City 3, other cities; Non-city, rural area.

Comparative analysis of diet quality using DQI-I by regions

Table 4 shows the results of the diet quality analysis using DQI-I for each region. City 3 (69.34 ± 0.17) showed the best diet quality level, and the non-city group (68.36 ± 0.22) showed the lowest diet quality level ($P < 0.01$). Within-group variety for protein source in the variety category of the DQI-I was lowest in the non-city category (3.41 ± 0.02), and highest in City 1 (3.52 ± 0.03, $P < 0.01$). In the adequacy category, the intake of fruit ($P < 0.001$) and calcium ($P < 0.001$), known to be associated with the development of CVDs, was lowest in the non-city category, but the intake of grain was highest. In the moderation category, City 1 had the lowest overall ($P < 0.001$), total fat ($P < 0.001$), and saturated fat scores ($P < 0.001$) by a significant margin, whereas in the non-city group, the opposite results were observed, confirming conflicting diet quality. Moderate sodium intake was lowest in the non-city category ($P < 0.001$). As for the overall balance category, City 1 showed the highest score, whereas the non-city group showed the lowest score ($P < 0.001$). Similar results were observed for the ratio of macronutrients ($P < 0.01$) and fatty acids ($P < 0.05$).

Odds ratio for prevalence of CVDs by the DQI-I

After correcting for demographic and health-related factors that showed significant differences according to region, logistic regression analysis was performed on the effect of the DQI-I on the prevalence of CVDs to obtain odds ratio (**Table 5**). When the DQI-I score increased by 1 point, the odds ratio for the prevalence of CVDs reduced by a factor of 0.991 ($P < 0.001$), but this was not the case in all regions.

Table 4. DQI-I component scores by regions

Variables	Total (n = 17,646)	City 1 (n = 4,638)	City 2 (n = 4,275)	City 3 (n = 5,247)	Non-city (n = 3,486)	P-value
DQI-I overall score (100)	68.85 ± 0.10	68.59 ± 0.18	69.10 ± 0.20	69.34 ± 0.17	68.36 ± 0.22	0.002
Variety (20)	14.96 ± 0.03	15.07 ± 0.06	14.94 ± 0.07	15.00 ± 0.06	14.84 ± 0.08	0.120
Overall food group variety (15)	11.51 ± 0.02	11.56 ± 0.04	11.50 ± 0.05	11.57 ± 0.04	11.45 ± 0.05	0.168
Within-group variety for protein source (5)	3.45 ± 0.01	3.52 ± 0.03	3.46 ± 0.03	3.43 ± 0.02	3.41 ± 0.02	0.045
Adequacy (40)	28.74 ± 0.12	28.27 ± 0.16	28.78 ± 0.17	28.99 ± 0.17	28.91 ± 0.19	< 0.001
Vegetable group (5)	4.66 ± 0.03	4.49 ± 0.05	4.62 ± 0.06	4.84 ± 0.05	4.70 ± 0.06	< 0.001
Fruit group (5)	2.87 ± 0.02	3.01 ± 0.04	2.88 ± 0.04	2.91 ± 0.04	2.69 ± 0.05	< 0.001
Grain group (5)	4.45 ± 0.01	4.39 ± 0.02	4.43 ± 0.02	4.46 ± 0.01	4.52 ± 0.02	< 0.001
Fiber (5)	3.95 ± 0.01	3.97 ± 0.02	3.96 ± 0.02	3.97 ± 0.02	3.88 ± 0.03	0.060
Protein (5)	4.74 ± 0.01	4.77 ± 0.01	4.74 ± 0.01	4.47 ± 0.02	4.70 ± 0.01	0.006
Iron (5)	4.28 ± 0.01	4.18 ± 0.02	4.27 ± 0.02	4.36 ± 0.02	4.29 ± 0.02	< 0.001
Calcium (5)	2.63 ± 0.01	2.58 ± 0.03	2.67 ± 0.03	2.76 ± 0.03	2.51 ± 0.03	< 0.001
Vitamin C (5)	2.18 ± 0.09	2.29 ± 0.10	1.79 ± 0.10	2.25 ± 0.10	2.18 ± 0.12	< 0.001
Moderation (30)	21.33 ± 0.05	21.00 ± 0.10	21.54 ± 0.10	21.32 ± 0.10	21.45 ± 0.12	< 0.005
Total fat (6)	4.42 ± 0.02	4.24 ± 0.04	4.45 ± 0.04	4.41 ± 0.03	4.59 ± 0.04	< 0.001
Saturated fat (6)	4.83 ± 0.02	4.67 ± 0.04	4.89 ± 0.04	4.88 ± 0.03	4.89 ± 0.04	< 0.001
Cholesterol (6)	4.49 ± 0.02	4.41 ± 0.04	4.48 ± 0.04	4.50 ± 0.04	4.54 ± 0.05	0.191
Sodium (6)	2.43 ± 0.02	2.51 ± 0.04	2.55 ± 0.05	2.37 ± 0.04	2.28 ± 0.05	< 0.001
Empty calorie foods (6)	5.16 ± 0.02	5.18 ± 0.03	5.17 ± 0.03	5.15 ± 0.03	5.15 ± 0.04	0.892
Overall balance (10)	2.36 ± 0.02	2.46 ± 0.04	2.41 ± 0.04	2.38 ± 0.03	2.22 ± 0.04	< 0.001
Macronutrient ratio (6)	1.79 ± 0.10	1.86 ± 0.03	1.78 ± 0.03	1.81 ± 0.02	1.70 ± 0.03	< 0.008
Fatty acid ratio (4)	0.54 ± 0.01	0.56 ± 0.02	0.60 ± 0.03	0.53 ± 0.02	0.48 ± 0.03	< 0.032

Values are presented as mean ± SE. Adjusted for age, marital status, education level, income, drinking, smoking, mental stress and exercise in total subjects. P-value was analyzed by complex sampling general linear model F-test.

DQI-I, diet quality index-international; City 1, Seoul, Gyeonggi cities; City 2, Gwangyeoksi cities; City 3, other cities; Non-city, rural area.

Table 5. Odds ratio for prevalence of cardiovascular diseases by DQI-I

Variables	Total (n = 17,646)	City 1 (n = 4,638)	City 2 (n = 4,275)	City 3 (n = 5,247)	Non-city (n = 3,486)
Wald F	12.522	1.330	7.087	1.460	4.342
P-value	< 0.001	0.249	0.007	0.229	0.018

Adjusted for age, marital status, education level, household income, monthly drinking rate, current smoking rate, mental stress, and exercise in all subjects. P-value was analyzed by complex sampling logistic regression model.

DQI-I, diet quality index-international; City 1, Seoul, Gyeonggi cities; City 2, Gwangyeoksi cities; City 3, other cities; Non-city, rural area.

DISCUSSION

Studies on the relationship between residential environment factors and health status have been actively conducted in the United States for a long time [26,27]. Among various factors, this study focused on differences in diet quality by regional type. We also identified a relationship between dietary quality and CVD risk. Risk factors for CVDs include smoking, obesity, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, lack of physical activity, and unhealthy diet [28]. This study investigated the relationship between diet quality and CVDs by dividing them into four residential areas in Korea. There were regionally significant differences in health-related behaviors such as drinking, smoking, and exercise. In particular, the non-city area showed the lowest exercise level among the other areas. Further, non-cities showed the highest risk in the analysis of metabolic syndrome indexes such as BMI, systolic pressure, HDL-cholesterol, triglyceride, and waist circumference compared with other cities. Metabolic syndrome refers to the co-occurrence of several known cardiovascular risk factors [29]. Taken together, these results show that non-cities are at risk of CVD.

The quality of the diet results were as follows: City 3 (69.34), City 2 (69.10), City 1 (68.59), and non-city (68.36). City 2 and City 3 were found to have evenly distributed scores across all DQI-I variables. City 1 had high protein and fruit intake, in contrast to the low scores for lipid consumption moderation. Non-city had low fruit and calcium intake levels. Interestingly, it was confirmed that the amount of lipid consumption was lowest and the sodium intake level was highest. A study on the relationship between diet and CVD risk reported that the consumption of vegetables, fruits, and whole grains is typically regarded as an indicator of a healthy diet and is well known to reduce CVD risk [30]. Recommendations on diet for patients with CVD include reduced intake of saturated and trans fatty acids and low sodium intake [31].

The results of this study were also affected by those of previous studies. Kim *et al.* [32] reported that fat intake gradually increases with rapid economic growth and urbanization. In addition, rural residents tend to maintain traditional dietary patterns, characterized by a high consumption of salted vegetables, such as kimchi [33]. For these reasons, rural areas are considered to have a relatively low quality of dietary intake compared with urban areas, similar to the results of this study. These factors influenced the prevalence of hypertension ($P < 0.001$) and dyslipidemia ($P < 0.001$). These results confirmed that dietary factors play an important role in the prevalence of CVD.

Although it has been reported that health expectations differ depending on the region of residence [34], previous studies on chronic diseases according to regional differences have only focused on the regional environment and failed to adequately evaluate nutritional factors [35,36].

In this study, the difference between urban and rural areas in some demographic and health-related factors, BMI, metabolic syndrome index, CVDs, and DQI-I components was more pronounced than the difference between cities. These factors result from regional differences in various dietary patterns and lifestyles. Urbanization has also affected health-related lifestyle factors in Korea because urban residents tend to have a westernized lifestyle rather than a traditional Korean lifestyle [37,38]. Ha *et al.* [39] reported that rural residents had significantly higher prevalence of cardiometabolic diseases than metropolitan and urban residents. This is because the low level of physical exercise undertaken by the socioeconomically disadvantaged class acts as a risk factor for metabolic syndrome [40]. This

was confirmed in a study by Lee and Sa [41]. The rates of overweight and obesity were higher in the less wealthy groups than in the wealthy groups in cities. Conversely, rural areas showed the opposite results. These factors were predicted to have influenced the higher frequency of CVDs in the non-city group than in the city groups in our CVD prevalence study. In the comparison of diet quality, the non-city category had higher grain intake and lower fruit intake scores. In addition, the level of lipid intake in the moderate category was high. When the carbohydrate intake ratio is high, the frequency of intake of fish and meat groups and milk and dairy products decreases, which also affects the nutritional intake level of lipids [42]. In addition, the low sodium moderation score was due to the intake of kimchi, a major traditional food in the Korean diet, which is composed mostly of salted vegetables [43]. These dietary habits affected the non-city group, obtaining the lowest score in the diet quality analysis, and a negative correlation was found between diet quality and the prevalence of CVDs.

This study has several limitations. First, we did not completely control for factors related to the size of the city. Factors affected by sex were not considered as we focused on regions as a variable. Therefore, these findings should be cautiously interpreted. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the associations between CVD risk factors and dietary factors among regional types in the KNHANES.

In conclusion, these findings suggest that diet quality plays an important role in the onset of CVD, differs among regional types, and is affected by the type of residential area within Korea. Therefore, it is necessary to customize nutritional education and public health surveillance systems and policies regarding nutrition in each region for the prevention and management of CVD risk factors.

REFERENCES

1. Lee EH. Effect of the adult's health behaviors on disease of circulation system. *J Korean Soc Hyg Sci* 2009;15:153-61.
2. Cho IY, Lee KM, Lee Y, Paek CM, Kim HJ, Kim JY, Lee K, Han JS, Bae WK. Assessment of dietary habits using the diet quality index-international in cerebrovascular and cardiovascular disease patients. *Nutrients* 2021;13:542.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Cho TY, Cho HC, Hong SY, Song YK, Lim HH. Correlation study between obesity and cardiovascular risk factors. *J Korean Med Obes Res* 2004;4:33-43.
4. Ro YM. Metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease. *J Korea Assoc Health Promot* 2004;2:71-6.
5. Korean Statistical Information Service. Annual report on the cause of death statistics [Internet]. Daejeon: Statistics Korea; 2020 [cited 2021 July 1]. Available from: <http://kosis.kr>.
6. Haijing T, Guo C, Yu K, Xu Y. Application of data science technology on research of circulatory system disease prediction based on a prospective cohort. *Algorithms* 2018;11:162.
[CROSSREF](#)
7. Braveman PA, Kumanyika S, Fielding J, Laveist T, Borrell LN, Manderscheid R, Troutman A. Health disparities and health equity: the issue is justice. *Am J Public Health* 2011;101 Suppl 1:S149-55.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. US Department of Health and Human Services. Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Healthy People 2010: Understanding and Improving Health. 2nd ed. Washington, D.C.: US Government Printing Office; 2000.
9. Korean Law Information Center. Regional Public Health Act [Internet]. Sejong: Korean Law Information Center; 2021 [cited 2021 July 1]. Available from: <https://law.go.kr>.
10. Ministry of Health and Welfare. Health plan 2020 [Internet]. Sejong: Ministry of Health and Welfare; 2020 [cited 2021 July 1]. Available from: <https://khealth.or.kr/healthplan>.

11. Lee JH. The regional health inequality, and individual and neighborhood level health determinants. *Health Soc Welf Rev* 2016;36:345-84.
[CROSSREF](#)
12. Lee MS. Health Inequalities among Korean adults - socioeconomic status and residential area differences. *Korean J Sociol* 2005;39:183-209.
13. Kim I, Bahk J, Kim YY, Lee J, Kang HY, Lee J, Yun SC, Park JH, Shin SA, Khang YH. Prevalence of overweight and income gaps in 245 districts of Korea: comparison using the National Health Screening Database and the Community Health Survey, 2009–2014. *J Korean Med Sci* 2018;33:e3.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
14. Park JW. Socioeconomic inequalities in health at the regional level in Korea. *Health Welf Policy Forum* 2018;260:7-19.
15. Kang HJ, Kwon SM. Regional disparity of cardiovascular mortality and its determinants. *Health Policy Manag* 2016;26:12-23.
[CROSSREF](#)
16. Kant AK. Indexes of overall diet quality: a review. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1996;96:785-91.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
17. Gerber M. The comprehensive approach to diet: a critical review. *J Nutr* 2001;131 Suppl:3051S-3055S.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
18. Hu FB. Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2002;13:3-9.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
19. Oh SY. Analysis of methods on dietary quality assessment. *Korean J Community Nutr* 2000;5 Suppl 2:362-7.
20. Patterson RE, Haines PS, Popkin BM. Diet quality index: capturing a multidimensional behavior. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1994;94:57-64.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
21. Kim S, Haines PS, Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM. The Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I) provides an effective tool for cross-national comparison of diet quality as illustrated by China and the United States. *J Nutr* 2003;133:3476-84.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
22. Son JH, Choe HS, Hwang JY, Song TJ, Chang YK, Kim YJ, Kim YR. Association between intakes of minerals (potassium, magnesium, and calcium) and diet quality and risk of cerebral atherosclerosis in ischemic stroke patients. *J Nutr Health* 2015;48:167-79.
[CROSSREF](#)
23. Willet W. *Nutritional Epidemiology*. Moon HK, translator. 3rd ed. Paju: Kyomunsa; 2013. p.333.
24. Grundy SM, Cleeman JJ, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, Gordon DJ, Krauss RM, Savage PJ, Smith SC Jr, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/ National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. *Circulation* 2005;112:2735-52.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
25. Lee SY, Park HS, Kim DJ, Han JH, Kim SM, Cho GJ, Kim DY, Kwon HS, Kim SR, Lee CB, et al. Appropriate waist circumference cutoff points for central obesity in Korean adults. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2007;75:72-80.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
26. House JS, Lepkowski JM, Kinney AM, Mero RP, Kessler RC, Herzog AR. The social stratification of aging and health. *J Health Soc Behav* 1994;35:213-34.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
27. Frank LD, Andresen MA, Schmid TL. Obesity relationships with community design, physical activity, and time spent in cars. *Am J Prev Med* 2004;27:87-96.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
28. Krist AH, Davidson KW, Mangione CM, Barry MJ, Cabana M, Caughey AB, Donahue K, Doubeni CA, Epling JW Jr, Kubik M, et al. Behavioral counseling interventions to promote a healthy diet and physical activity for cardiovascular disease prevention in adults with cardiovascular risk factors: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *JAMA* 2020;324:2069-75.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
29. Huang PL. A comprehensive definition for metabolic syndrome. *Dis Model Mech* 2009;2:231-7.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
30. Oh JS, Kim H, Kim KN, Chang N. Relationship between fruit and fish intakes and cardiovascular disease risk factors in Korean women with type 2 diabetes mellitus: based on the 4th and 5th Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys. *J Nutr Health* 2016;49:304-2.
[CROSSREF](#)

31. Temple NJ. Fat, sugar, whole grains and heart disease: 50 years of confusion. *Nutrients* 2018;10:39.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
32. Kim S, Moon S, Popkin BM. The nutrition transition in South Korea. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;71:44-53.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
33. Song Y, Joung H. A traditional Korean dietary pattern and metabolic syndrome abnormalities. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2012;22:456-62.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
34. Kang HJ, Kwon S. Regional disparity of cardiovascular mortality and its determinants. *Health Policy Manag* 2006;26:12-23.
[CROSSREF](#)
35. Lim S, Jang HC, Lee HK, Kimm KC, Park C, Cho NH. A rural-urban comparison of the characteristics of the metabolic syndrome by gender in Korea: the Korean Health and Genome Study (KHGS). *J Endocrinol Invest* 2006;29:313-9.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
36. Korean Society for Equity in Health. Regional health disparity profiles [Internet]. Seoul: Korean Society for Equity in Health; 2018 [cited 2021 July 1]. Available from: <http://healthequity.or.kr>.
37. Chung SJ, Han YS, Lee SI, Kang SH. Urban and rural differences in the prevalence of gender and age specific obesity and related health behaviors in Korea. *J Korean Med Sci* 2005;20:713-20.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
38. Kang M, Joung H, Lim JH, Lee YS, Song YJ. Secular trend in dietary patterns in a Korean adult population, using the 1998, 2001, and 2005 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Korean J Nutr* 2011;44:152-61.
[CROSSREF](#)
39. Ha K, Song Y, Kim HK. Regional disparities in the associations of cardiometabolic risk factors and healthy dietary factors in Korean adults. *Nutr Res Pract* 2020;14:519-31.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
40. Lee H, Kim BH. Physical activity disparities by socioeconomic status among metabolic syndrome patients: the Fifth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *J Exerc Rehabil* 2016;12:10-4.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
41. Lee J, Sa J. Regional disparities in healthy eating and nutritional status in South Korea: Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2017. *Nutr Res Pract* 2020;14:679-90.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
42. Lee YJ, Song S, Song Y. High-carbohydrate diets and food patterns and their associations with metabolic disease in the Korean population. *Yonsei Med J* 2018;59:834-42.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
43. Kwon JH, Shim JE, Park MK, Paik HY. Evaluation of fruits and vegetables intake for prevention of chronic disease in Korean adults aged 30 years and over: using the third Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES III), 2005. *Korean J Nutr* 2009;42:146-57.
[CROSSREF](#)