

COMPLETE CONTROLLABILITY OF SEMILINEAR STOCHASTIC INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH INFINITE DELAY AND POISSON JUMPS

D.N. CHALISHAJAR*, A. ANGURAJ, K. RAVIKUMAR, K. MALAR

ABSTRACT. This manuscript deals with the exact (complete) controllability of semilinear stochastic differential equations with infinite delay and Poisson jumps utilizing some basic and readily verified conditions. The results are obtained by using fixed-point approach and by using advance phase space definition for infinite delay part. We have used the axiomatic definition of the phase space in terms of stochastic process to consider the time delay of the system. An infinite delay along with the Poisson jump is the new investigation for the given stochastic system. An example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the results.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 34K50, 34C29, 60H15.

Key words and phrases : Complete controllability, delayed system, stochastic control system, Poisson jumps.

1. Introduction

Controllability concepts play a vital role in deterministic control theory. It is well known that controllability of deterministic equations is widely used in many fields of science and technology. But in many practical systems such as fluctuating stock prices or physical system subject to thermal fluctuations, population dynamics etc, some randomness appear, so the system should be modeled by a stochastic form.

In setting of deterministic systems: Kalman [13] introduced the concept of controllability for finite-dimensional deterministic linear control systems. The basic concepts of control theory in finite and infinite-dimensional spaces have been introduced in [2]. In [28] Naito established sufficient conditions for approximate controllability of deterministic semi-linear control system dominated by the linear part using Schauder's fixed point theorem. Balachandran and Dauer

Received June 24, 2021. Revised July 30, 2021. Accepted September 28, 2022. *Corresponding author.

[3] studied the controllability of nonlinear systems in Banach spaces. However, in many cases, some kind of randomness can appear in the problem, so that the system should be modeled by a stochastic form. Only few authors have studied the extensions of deterministic controllability concepts to stochastic control systems [14, 20, 22, 24].

In setting of stochastic systems: In [4] Bashirov et al. provides some concepts for controllability of linear stochastic systems. Using these concepts, Mahmudov [24] established sufficient conditions for controllability of linear stochastic systems in Hilbert spaces. In [21]-[26], Mahmudov et al. established results for controllability of linear and semi-linear stochastic systems in Hilbert spaces. In [34] Sukavanam et al. obtained some results for stochastic controllability of an abstract first order semi-linear control system using Schauder's fixed point theorem. Sakthivel et al. [33] studied the controllability of nonlinear stochastic systems in finite-dimensional spaces using Banach fixed-point theorem.

Now, in the last few decades, stochastic differential equations with Poisson jumps have witnessed a growing interest. To be more precise, in [30] Sakthivel established results for complete controllability of stochastic evolution equations with jumps in a separable Hilbert space. Recently, Shukla and Sukavanam et al. [31] studied the complete controllability of semi-linear stochastic system with delay using Banach fixed point theorem. Diop, et.al [8] studied the stability results for a partial impulsive stochastic integrodifferential equations with infinite delay; Dimplekumar et.al [6] studied the Approximate controllability of impulsive fractional neutral evolution equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces. Anguraj and Ramkumar [1] discussed approximate controllability of semi-linear stochastic integrodifferential system with nonlocal conditions through Sadovskii's fixed point theorem.

Moreover, Numerous practical systems (such as sudden price variations [jumps] like earthquakes, market crashes, hurricanes and so on) may undergo some jump type stochastic perturbations. For examples if a system jumps from a "normal state" to a "bad state" the paths are not being continuous then it is seize to consider stochastic processes with jumps in describing such models. Stochastic differential equations with Poisson jumps are examined by several authors [19, 29, 27].

Also, it has been observed that the existence or the controllability results proved by different authors are through an axiomatic definition of the phase space given by Hale and Kato [11]. However, as remarked by Hino, Murakami, and Naito [12], it has come to our attention that these axioms for the phase space are not correct for the systems with infinite time or state dependent delay.

Motivated by these facts, our main purpose in this paper is to study the complete controllability of semi-linear stochastic differential equations with delay and Poisson jumps. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no results on the complete controllability of semi-linear stochastic differential equations with infinite delay and Poisson jumps as treated in the current paper.

Highlights:

- (1) Complete controllability of semi-linear stochastic differential equations with time dependent delay and Poisson jumps has been studied. No literature is reported so far in this direction.
- (2) Advanced definition of phase space has been used particularly for infinite time delay part of the system. Researchers are using the phase space defined by Hall and Kato [11] for infinite delay but we claim that it is wrong due to Hino, Murakami, and Naito [12]. For more detail pl refer to [5].
- (3) An example is given to illustrate the theory. Detailed future work is mentioned in the conclusion part.

Consider a stochastic differential equations with infinite time dependent delay and Poisson jumps given in the form :

$$\begin{aligned}
 dy(t) &= \left[Ay(t) + Bu(t) + \int_0^t Q(t-s)y(s)ds + f(t, y(t-h)) \right] dt \\
 &+ \sigma(t, y(t-h))dw(t) + \int_{\mathcal{Z}} g(t, y(t-h), z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz), \quad t \in J = [0, T] \\
 y(t) &= \psi(t) \in \mathcal{C}_b, \quad t \in (-\infty, 0], \quad y(0) = y_0 = \psi(0) \text{ (say)}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

where $A : D(A) \subset \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semi-group of bounded linear operators $R(t), t \geq 0$ on Hilbert space \mathbb{H} . The control function $u(\cdot)$ takes values in $u \in \mathcal{L}_J^2(J, U)$, the space of admissible control functions, U is a Hilbert space, B is a bounded linear operator from U into \mathbb{H} and $Q(t)$ is a closed linear operator with domain $D(Q(t)) \supset D(A)$. The functions $f : J \times \mathcal{C}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{H}; \sigma : J \times \mathcal{C}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^0$ and $g : J \times \mathcal{C}_b \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ are nonlinear suitable functions. \mathcal{C}_b is defined later. For simplicity of considerations, we generally assume that the set of admissible controls is $U_{ad} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{F}}^2(J, U)$.

2. Preliminaries

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space equipped with a normal filtration $\mathfrak{F}_t, t \in J = [0, T]$. Let \mathbb{K} be the separable Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{K}}$. and \mathcal{W} is a Q -Wiener process on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ with the covariance operator Q such that $trQ < \infty$. We use same notation $\|\cdot\|$ for the norm of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{H})$, where $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{H})$ denotes the space of all bounded linear operators from \mathbb{K} into \mathbb{H} , simply $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{H}$. We assume that there exists a complete orthonormal system e_n in \mathbb{K} , a bounded sequence of non-negative real numbers λ_n such that $Qe_n = \lambda_n e_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and a sequence β_n of independent Brownian motions such that

$$\mathcal{W}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_n} \beta_n(t) e_n, \quad t \in J = [0, T]$$

and $\mathfrak{F}_t = \mathfrak{F}_t^{\omega}$, where \mathfrak{F}_t^{ω} is the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{W} . Let $\mathcal{L}_2^0 = \mathcal{L}_2(Q^{1/2}\mathbb{K}; \mathbb{H})$ be the space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $Q^{1/2}\mathbb{K}$ to \mathbb{H} . Then the space

\mathcal{L}_2^0 is a separable Hilbert space equipped with the norm $\|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^0}^2 = tr(\zeta Q \zeta^*)$. Let $\mathcal{L}_2^{\mathfrak{S}}(J, \mathbb{H})$ be the space of all \mathfrak{S}_t -adapted, \mathbb{H} -valued measurable square integrable processes on $J \times \Omega$. Let $C([0, T]; \mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{H}))$ be the Banach space of continuous maps from $[0, T]$ into $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{H})$ satisfying the condition $\sup_{t \in J} \mathbf{E} \|y(t)\|^2 < \infty$. Let \mathbb{H}_2 is the closed subspace of $C([0, T]; \mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{H}))$ consisting of measurable and \mathfrak{S}_t -adapted \mathbb{H} valued processes $\psi \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{S}, \mathbb{H}))$ endowed with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathbb{H}} = \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbf{E} \|\psi(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\{q = (q(t)), t \in D_q\}$, be a stationary \mathfrak{S}_t -Poisson point process with characteristic measure λ . Let $\mathcal{N}(dt, dz)$ be the Poisson counting measure associated with q . Thus we have $\mathcal{N} = \sum_{s \in D_q, s \leq t} I_Z(q(s))$ with a measurable set $Z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{K} - \{0\})$, which denotes the Borel σ field of $\mathbb{K} - \{0\}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(dt, dz) = \mathcal{N}(dt, dz) - dt\lambda(dz)$ be the compensated Poisson measure that is independent of $\mathcal{W}(t)$. Let $\mathbb{P}^2([0, T] \times \mathcal{Z}; \mathbb{H})$ be the space of all predictable mappings $g : [0, T] \times \mathcal{Z} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ for which

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(t, z)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 dt \lambda(dz) < \infty.$$

Then, we can define the \mathbb{H} -valued stochastic integral $\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} g(t, z) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(dt, dz)$, which is a centered square-integrable martingale. Now, we define the abstract phase space \mathcal{C}_b [12]. Assume that $b : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function satisfying $l = \int_{-\infty}^0 b(t) dt < +\infty$. The Banach space $(\mathcal{C}_b, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_b})$ induced by the function b is defined as: $\mathcal{C}_b = \{\psi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, \text{ for any } a > 0, \mathbf{E}(|\psi(\theta)|^2)^{1/2} \text{ is a bounded and measurable function on } [-a, 0] \text{ and } \int_{-\infty}^0 b(s) \sup_{s \leq \theta \leq 0} \mathbf{E}(|\psi(\theta)|^2)^{1/2} ds < +\infty\}$. If \mathcal{C}_b is endowed with norm $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_b} = \int_{-\infty}^0 b(s) \sup_{s \leq \theta \leq 0} \mathbf{E}(|\psi(\theta)|^2)^{1/2} ds$. $C((-\infty, v], \mathbb{H})$ denote the space of all continuous \mathbb{H} - valued stochastic process $\{\xi(t), t \in (-\infty, v]\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_v = \{y; y \in C((-\infty, v], \mathbb{H}), y_0 = \psi \in \mathcal{C}_b\}$.

Set $\|\cdot\|_v$ be a semi-norm defined by

$$\|x\|_v = \|x_0\|_{\mathcal{C}_b} + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \mathbf{E} |x(s)|^2)^{1/2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{C}_v$$

Now, the corresponding linear system with respect to (1)-(2) is given by the equation

$$dx(t) = \left[Ax(t) + Bu(t) + \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s) ds \right] dt \tag{3}$$

$$x(0) = x_0 \tag{4}$$

Definition 2.1. A resolvent operator for (3)-(4) is a bounded linear operator valued function $R(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$ for $t \geq 0$, having the following properties:

- (i) $R(0) = I$ and $|R(t)| \leq \lambda e^{\beta t}$ for some constants λ and β .
- (ii) For each $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $R(t)x$ is strongly continuous for $t \geq 0$.

(iii) $R(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Y})$ for $t \geq 0$. For $x \in \mathbb{Y}$, $R(\cdot)x \in C^1([0, +\infty); \mathbb{X}) \cap C([0, +\infty); \mathbb{Y})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R'(t)x &= AR(t)x + \int_0^t B(t-s)R(s)x ds \\ &= R(t)Ax + \int_0^t R(t-s)B(s)x ds \text{ for } t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The resolvent operator plays an important role to study the existence of solutions and to give a variation of constants formula for nonlinear systems. We need to know that the linear system (3)-(4) has a resolvent operator. For more details on resolvent operators, we refer to [10].

Definition 2.2. A stochastic process $\{y(t), t \in (-\infty, v]\}$ is a mild solution of (1)-(2) if $y_0 = \psi \in \mathcal{C}_b$ and for each $u \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{S}}^2([0, T], U)$, it satisfies the following integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned} y(t; y_0, u) &= R(t)y_0 + \int_0^t R(t-s)[Bu(s) + f(s, y(s-h))]ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t R(t-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(t-s)g(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz), \\ y(t; y_0, u) &= \psi(t) \in \mathcal{C}_b \text{ for } t \in (-\infty, 0]. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Let us introduce the following operators and sets (see [33]): $\mathcal{L}_T \in \mathbf{L}(U_{ad}, \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{S}_T, \mathbb{H}))$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_T u = \int_0^T R(T-s)Bu(s)ds.$$

Then its adjoint operator $\mathcal{L}_T^* : \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{S}_T, \mathbb{H}) \rightarrow U_{ad}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_T^* z = B^* R^*(T-s)\mathbf{E}\{z|\mathfrak{S}_t\}.$$

The set of all states reachable in time T from initial state $y(0) = y_0 \in \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{S}_T, \mathbb{H})$, using admissible controls is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_T(U_{ad}) = \{y(T; y_0, u) \in \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{S}_T, \mathbb{H}) : u \in U_{ad}\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y(T; y_0, u) &= R(T)y_0 + \int_0^T R(T-s)Bu(s)ds + \int_0^T R(T-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T R(t-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(T-s)g(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz) \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the linear controllability operator $\Psi_0^T \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{S}_T, \mathbb{H}), \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{S}_T, \mathbb{H}))$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0^T \{\cdot\} &= \mathcal{L}_T(\mathcal{L}_T)^* \{\cdot\} \\ &= \int_0^T R(T-t)BB^*R^*(T-t)\mathbf{E}\{|\mathfrak{S}_t\} dt \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding controllability operator for deterministic model is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_s^T &= \mathcal{L}_T(s)\mathcal{L}_T^*(s) \\ &= \int_0^T R(T-t)BB^*R^*(T-t)dt \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3. The stochastic dynamic system (1)-(2) is said to be completely controllable on $[0, T]$ if

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}_T(U_{ad})} = \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{S}_T, \mathbb{H})$$

i.e., all points in $\mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{S}_T, \mathbb{H})$ can be reached from the point y_0 in time T .

Lemma 2.4. Let $\sigma : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^0$ be a strongly measurable mapping such that $\int_0^T \mathbf{E} \|\sigma(t)\|^p dt < \infty$. Then

$$\mathbf{E} \left\| \int_0^t \sigma(s)dw(s) \right\|^p \leq L_\sigma \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|\sigma(s)\|^p ds, \quad (6)$$

Lemma 2.5. (Schwartz inequality): Let $\phi_1(x)$ and $\phi_2(x)$ be any two square-integrable real functions in $[a, b]$, then

$$\left[\int_a^b \phi_1(x)\phi_2(x)dx \right]^2 \leq \int_a^b [\phi_1(x)]^2 dx \int_a^b [\phi_2(x)]^2 dx$$

3. Main results

Lemma 3.1. Assume that the operator Ψ_0^T is invertible. Then for arbitrary $y_T \in \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{S}_T, \mathbb{H})$, $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}_2([0, T], \mathbb{H})$, $\sigma(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}_2([0, T], \mathbb{H})$ and $g(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}_2([0, T], \mathbb{H})$, the control defined as

$$u(t) = B^*R^*(T-t)\mathbf{E}\{(\Psi_0^T)^{-1}p(y)|\mathfrak{S}_t\}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p(y) &= y_T - R(T)y_0 - \int_0^T R(T-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds \\ &+ \int_0^T R(T-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s) \\ &+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(T-s)g(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz) \end{aligned}$$

transfers the system (1)-(2) from $y_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ to the final state y_T at time T , provided the system (1)-(2) has a solution.

Proof. By substituting (5) in (3), we can easily obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t; y_0, u) &= R(t)y_0 + \int_0^t R(t-s)BB^*R^*(T-s)\mathbf{E}\{(\Psi_0^T)^{-1}p(y)|\mathfrak{F}_s\} ds \\
 &+ \int_0^t R(t-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds + \int_0^t R(t-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s) \\
 &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(t-s)g(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(dt, dz).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for a given final time $t = T$, we simply have the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(T; y_0, u) &= R(T)y_0 + \int_0^T R(T-s)(BB^*R(T-s))\mathbf{E}\left\{(\Psi_0^T)^{-1}\right. \\
 &\times \left(\left.y_T - R(T)y_0 - \int_0^T R(T-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds\right.\right. \\
 &+ \left.\int_0^T R(T-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))ds\right. \\
 &+ \left.\left.\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(T-s)g(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz)\right)\right\} | \mathfrak{F}_s ds \\
 &+ \int_0^T R(T-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds \\
 &+ \int_0^T R(T-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s) \\
 &+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(T-s)g(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking into account the form of the operator Ψ_0^T , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(T; y_0, u) &= R(T)y_0 + (\Psi_0^T)(\Psi_0^T)^{-1}\left(y_T - R(T)y_0\right. \\
 &- \int_0^T R(T-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds \\
 &+ \int_0^T R(T-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s) \\
 &+ \left.\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(T-s)h(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz)\right) \\
 &+ \int_0^T R(T-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds \\
 &+ \int_0^T R(T-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(T-s)g(s, y(s-h), z) \tilde{N}(ds, dz) \\
& = y_T.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we see that the control $u(t)$ transfers the system (1)-(2) from the initial state $y_0 \in \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, \mathbb{H})$ to the final state $y_T \in \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, \mathbb{H})$ at time T . \square

Now we assume the following hypotheses:

(H1) f , σ and g satisfy the Lipschitz condition with respect to y . i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(t, y_1) - f(t, y_2)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 + \|\sigma(t, y_1) - \sigma(t, y_2)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 + \\
& \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \|g(t, y_1, z) - g(t, y_2, z)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 v(dz) \leq C \|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathcal{C}_b}^2
\end{aligned}$$

(H2) f , σ and g is continuous on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{H}$ and satisfies

$$\|f(t, y)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 + \|\sigma(t, y)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 + \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \|g(t, y, z)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 v(dz) \leq C(1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}_b}^2)$$

(H3) There exists a number $\tilde{C}_0 > 0$ such that for any arbitrary $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{C}_b$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \|h(t, y_1, z) - h(t, y_2, z)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^4 v(dz) \leq C_0 (\|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathcal{C}_b}^4), \\
& \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \|h(t, y, z)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^4 v(dz) \leq C_0(1 + \|y\|_{\mathcal{C}_b}^4)
\end{aligned}$$

(H4) The linear system corresponding to (1)-(2) is exactly controllable.

Let us define the nonlinear operator $\mathbf{S} : \mathbb{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2$ for $t \in (-\infty, 0]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{S}_\alpha \mathbf{y})(t) & = R(t)y_0 + \int_0^t R(t-s)Bu(s)ds + \int_0^t R(t-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds \\
& + \int_0^t R(t-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s) \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(t-s)g(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz) \\
y(t) & = \psi(t) \text{ for } t \in (-\infty, 0]
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1, the control $u(t)$ transfers the system (1)-(2) from the initial state y_0 to the final state y_T provided that the operator \mathbf{S} has a fixed point. So, if the operator \mathbf{S} has a fixed point then the system (1)-(2) is exactly controllable. Now for convenience, let us introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned}
n_1 & = \max \left\{ \|R(t)\|^2 : t \in [0, T] \right\}, \quad n_2 = \|B\|^2, \\
n_3 & = \mathbf{E} \|y_T\|^2, \quad M = \max \|\Pi_0^T\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *For every $v \in \mathcal{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, \mathbb{H})$, there exists a process $\varphi(\cdot) \in \mathbb{L}_2([0, T], \mathbb{H})$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \mathbf{E}v + \int_0^T \varphi(s)dw(s) \\ \Psi_0^T v &= \Gamma_0^T \mathbf{E}v + \int_0^T \Gamma_s^T \varphi(s)dw(s) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \|\Psi_0^T v\|^2 &\leq M \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{E}\{v|\mathfrak{F}_T\}\|^2 \\ &\leq M \mathbf{E} \|v\|^2, \quad v \in \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, \mathbb{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if the hypotheses (H4) holds, then for some $\delta > 0$

$$\mathbf{E} \langle \Psi_0^T v, v \rangle \geq \delta \mathbf{E} \|v\|^2, \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{L}_2(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}_T, \mathbb{H})$$

(see Mahumudov [20]) and consequently

$$\mathbf{E} \|(\Psi_0^T)^{-1}\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta} = n_4.$$

Theorem 3.3. *System (1)-(2) is completely controllable if the conditions (H1), (H2), (H3) and (H4) are satisfied.*

Proof. As mentioned above, to prove the complete controllability it is enough to show that \mathbf{S} has a fixed point in \mathbb{H}_2 . To do this, we use the contraction mapping principle. To apply the contraction mapping principle, first we show that \mathbf{S} maps \mathbb{H}_2 into itself. Now by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \|(\mathbf{S}_\alpha y)(t)\|^2 &= \mathbf{E} \|\psi(t) + R(t)y_0 + \Psi_0^T \left[R^*(T-t)(\Psi_0^T)^{-1} \times \left(y_T - R(T)y_0 \right. \right. \\ &\quad - \int_0^T R(T-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^T R(T-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s) \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(T-s)g(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz) \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \int_0^t R(t-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds + \int_0^t R(t-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(t-s)g(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz)\|^2 \\ &\leq 6 \|\psi\|^2 + 6n_1 \|y_0\|^2 \\ &\quad + 6\mathbf{E}\Psi_0^t \left[R^*(T-t)(\Psi_0^T)^{-1} \times \left(y_T - R(T)y_0 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^T R(T-s)f(s, y(s-h))ds \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^T R(T-s)\sigma(s, y(s-h))dw(s) \\
& - \left. \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(t-s)g(s, y(s-h), z)\tilde{N}(ds, dz) \right] \\
& + 6n_1t \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|f(s, y(s-h))\|^2 ds + 6n_1L_\sigma \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|\sigma(s, y(s-h))\|^2 ds \\
& + 6n_1 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y(s-h), z)\|^2 v(dz)ds \\
& + 6n_1 \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y(s-h), z)\|^4 v(dz)ds \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq 6\|\psi\|^2 + 6n_1\|y_0\|^2 + 30Mn_1n_3n_4 + 30Mn_1^2n_4\|y_0\|^2 \\
& + 30Mn_1^2n_4T \int_0^T \mathbf{E} \|f(s, y(s-h))\|^2 ds \\
& + 30Mn_1^2n_4L_\sigma \int_0^T \mathbf{E} \|\sigma(s, y(s-h))\|^2 ds \\
& + 30Mn_1^2n_4 \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y(s-h), z)\|^2 v(dz)ds \\
& + 30Mn_1^2n_4 \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y(s-h), z)\|^4 v(dz)ds \right)^{1/2} \\
& + 6n_1T \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|f(s, y(s-h))\|^2 ds + 6n_1L_\sigma \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|\sigma(s, y(s-h))\|^2 ds \\
& + 6n_1 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y(s-h), z)\|^2 v(dz)ds \\
& + 6n_1 \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y(s-h), z)\|^4 v(dz)ds \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq B_1 + (30Mn_1^2n_4 + 6n_1) \left[T \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|f(s, y(s-h))\|^2 ds \right. \\
& + L_\sigma \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|\sigma(s, y(s-h))\|^2 ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y(s-h), z)\|^2 v(dz)ds \\
& \left. + \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y(s-h), z)\|^4 v(dz)ds \right)^{1/2} \right] \\
& \leq B_1 + B_2 \left[T \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|f(s, y(s-h))\|^2 ds \right. \\
& + L_\sigma \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|\sigma(s, y(s-h))\|^2 ds \\
& \left. + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y(s-h), z)\|^2 v(dz)ds \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y(s-h), z)\|^4 v(dz) ds \right)^{1/2} \Big]$$

where $B_1 > 0$ and $B_2 > 0$ are suitable constants. It follows from the above and the condition (H2) and (H3) that there exists K_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \|(\mathbf{S}_\alpha y)(t)\|^2 &\leq K_1 \left(1 + \int_0^T \mathbf{E} \|y(r-h)\|^2 dr \right) \\ &\leq K_1 \left(1 + T \sup_{-\infty \leq t \leq T} \mathbf{E} \|y(t)\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [-\infty, T]$. Therefore, \mathbf{S} maps \mathbb{H}_2 into itself. Second, we show that \mathbf{S} is a contraction mapping, indeed.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E} \|(\mathbf{S}_\alpha y_1)(t) - \mathbf{S}_\alpha y_2(t)\|^2 \\ &= \mathbf{E} \|\Psi_0^t \left[R^*(T-t)(\Psi_0^T)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^T R(T-s) [f(s, y_1(s-h)) - f(s, y_2(s-h))] ds \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^T R(T-s) [\sigma(s, y_1(s-h)) - \sigma(s, y_2(s-h))] dw(s) \\ &\quad + \left. \left. \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(T-s) [g(s, y_1(s-h), z) - g(s, y_2(s-h), z)] \tilde{N}(ds, dz) \right] \right) \Big] \\ &\quad + \int_0^t R(T-s) [f(s, y_1(s-h)) - f(s, y_2(s-h))] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t R(T-s) [\sigma(s, y_1(s-h)) - \sigma(s, y_2(s-h))] dw(s) \\ &\quad + \left. \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} R(T-s) [g(s, y_1(s-h), z) - g(s, y_2(s-h), z)] \tilde{N}(ds, dz) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 6Mn_1^2n_4 \left[T \int_0^T \mathbf{E} \|f(s, y_1(s-h)) - f(s, y_2(s-h))\|^2 ds \right. \\ &\quad + L_\sigma \int_0^T \mathbf{E} \|\sigma(s, y_1(s-h)) - \sigma(s, y_2(s-h))\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y_1(s-h), z) - g(s, y_2(s-h), z)\|^2 v(dz) ds \\ &\quad + \left. \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y_1(s-h), z) - g(s, y_2(s-h), z)\|^4 v(dz) ds \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &\quad + 6n_1 \left[T \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|f(s, y_1(s-h)) - f(s, y_2(s-h))\|^2 ds \right. \\ &\quad + \left. L_\sigma \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|\sigma(s, y_1(s-h)) - \sigma(s, y_2(s-h))\|^2 ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y_1(s-h), z) - g(s, y_2(s-h), z)\|^2 v(dz) ds \\
& + \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{E} \|g(s, y_1(s-h), z) - g(s, y_2(s-h), z)\|^4 v(dz) ds \right)^{1/2} \Big] \\
& \leq 6Mn_1^2 n_4 \left[CT + CL_\sigma + C + \sqrt{C_0} \right] \int_0^T \mathbf{E} \|y_1(s-h) - y_2(s-h)\|^2 ds \\
& + 6n_1 \left[CT + CL_\sigma + C + \sqrt{C_0} \right] \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|y_1(s-h) - y_2(s-h)\|^2 ds \\
& \leq 6n_1 \left[Mn_1 n_4 + 1 \right] \left[C(T + L_\sigma + 1) + \sqrt{C_0} \right] \int_0^T \mathbf{E} \|y_1(s-h) - y_2(s-h)\|^2 ds
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \in [-h, T]} \mathbf{E} \|(\mathbf{S}_\alpha y_1)(t) - \mathbf{S}_\alpha y_2(t)\|^2 \\
& \leq 6n_1 \left[Mn_1 n_4 + 1 \right] \left[C(T + L_\sigma + 1) + \sqrt{C_0} \right] T \\
& \quad \times \sup_{t \in [-\infty, T]} \mathbf{E} \|y_1(t) - y_2(t)\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every $\alpha > 0$, there exists $\eta(\alpha) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E} \|(\mathbf{S}_\alpha y_1)(t) - \mathbf{S}_\alpha y_2(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \leq t\eta(\alpha) \|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathcal{C}_b}^2$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{S}_\alpha(x_1)(t) - \mathbf{S}_\alpha(x_2)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 & \leq \eta(\alpha) \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{S}_\alpha(x_1)(s) - \mathbf{S}_\alpha(x_2)(s)\|^2 \\
& \leq \eta(\alpha) \int_0^t s\eta(\alpha) \mathbf{E} \|x_1(s) - x_2(s)\|^2 ds \\
& = \eta^2(\alpha) \frac{t^2}{2} \|x_1 - x_2\|_{\mathcal{C}_b}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Using mathematical induction, one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{S}_\alpha(y_1)(t) - \mathbf{S}_\alpha(y_2)(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 & \leq \eta(\alpha) \int_0^t \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{S}_\alpha^{n-1}(y_1)(s) - \mathbf{S}_\alpha^{n-1}(y_2)(s)\|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{(t\eta(\alpha))^n}{n} \|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathcal{C}_b}^2
\end{aligned}$$

In general,

$$\|\mathbf{S}_\alpha(y_1) - \mathbf{S}_\alpha(y_2)\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 \leq \frac{(T\eta(\alpha))^n}{n!} \|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathcal{C}_b}^2$$

So every $\alpha > 0$, there exists n such that $\frac{(T\eta(\alpha))^n}{n!} < 1$. It follows that \mathbf{S}_α^n is a contraction mapping for sufficiently large n . Now, by the contraction mapping principle, the operator \mathbf{S}_α has a unique fixed point x_α in \mathbb{H}_2 , which is the mild solution of (1)-(2). Thus the system (1)-(2) is completely controllable. So, the theorem is proved. \square

4. Example

Consider a control system with a semi-linear stochastic integro-differential equations with delay and Poisson jumps of the form:

$$\begin{cases} dx(t, v) = \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} x(t, v) + \int_{-\infty}^t \tilde{Q}(t-s)x(s)ds + \tilde{B}(t, v) + \tilde{f}(t, x(t-h), v) \right] dt \\ + \tilde{\sigma}(t, x(t-h), v)dw(t) \\ + \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t \tilde{g}(t, v(t-h), z)ds \right) \tilde{N}(dt, dz), \quad t \in [0, T], \\ x(t, 0) = x(t, \pi) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ x(0, v) + \int_0^\pi q_1(v, y)z(t, y)dy = \psi(t, v), \quad t \in (-\infty, 0]. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Let $\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{L}^2[0, \pi]$ and $U = \mathcal{L}^2[0, T]$. Here $q_1(v, y) \in \mathcal{L}^2[0, \pi]$ and $W(t), t \geq 0$ is a real standard Brownian motion and $\tilde{N}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a compensated Poisson measure on $[1, \infty)$ with parameter $v(dz)ds$ such that

$$\int_1^\infty v(ds) < \infty.$$

Let $A : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be an operator defined by $Av = v''$ with domain

$$D(A) = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{X} : w \text{ and } w' \text{ are absolutely continuous, } w'' \in \mathbb{H}, w(0) = w(\pi) = 0 \right\}$$

Then

$$Aw = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^2 \langle w, e_n \rangle e_n, \quad w \in D(A),$$

where $e_n(v) = (\frac{2}{\pi})^{1/2} \sin nv, 0 \leq v \leq \pi, n = 1, 2, \dots$ is the orthogonal set of eigenvectors of A . If A is the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group $T(t), t > 0$, in \mathbb{H} and given by

$$T(t)w = \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-n^2 t} \langle w, e_n \rangle e_n, \quad w \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Now, we present a special case \mathcal{C}_b . Let $b(s) = e^{2s}, s < 0$, then $l = \int_{-\infty}^0 b(s)ds = 1/2$. Let $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}_b} = \int_{-\infty}^0 b(s) \sup_{s \leq \theta \leq 0} (E|\psi(\theta)|^2)^{1/2} ds$, then $(\mathcal{C}_b, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}_b})$ is a Banach space. For $(t, \psi) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{C}_b$, where $\psi(\theta)(v) = \psi(\theta, v), (\theta, v) \in (-\infty, 0] \times [0, \pi]$, and we define the functions $f : [0, T] \times \mathcal{C}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{H}, \sigma : [0, T] \times \mathcal{C}_b \rightarrow L_Q(\mathbb{H})$ and $g : [0, T] \times \mathcal{C}_b \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ for infinite delay as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \psi)(v) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \tilde{f}(t, x, \theta) \psi(\theta)(v) d\theta \\ \sigma(t, \psi)(v) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \tilde{\sigma}(t, x, \theta) \psi(\theta)(v) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$g(t, \psi)(v) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \tilde{g}(t, v, \theta) \psi(\theta)(v) d\theta$$

Let $Bu : J \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be defined by

$$Bu(t)(v) = \tilde{B}(t, v), \quad 0 \leq v \leq \pi, \quad u \in J.$$

Assume that the operator L_0^T be defined by

$$(L_0^T u)(v) = \int_0^T e^{-n^2(T-s)} \tilde{B}(t, v) ds.$$

On the other hand, it is known that the linear system corresponding to (8) is exactly controllable. Hence, all conditions in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Therefore, the system (8) can be written in the abstract formulation (1)-(2). By Theorem 3.1, system (8) is completely controllable on $[0, T]$.

5. Conclusion

This paper deals with the complete controllability of semi-linear stochastic differential equations with infinite delay and Poisson jumps under some basic and readily verified conditions. The results are obtained by using fixed-point approach. This motivates the future research work such as the exact (complete) controllability of semi-linear stochastic differential equations with infinite delay driven by a fractional Brownian motion. One can extend the same work for second order system/inclusion. Complete controllability of semi-linear stochastic fractional order differential equations with infinite time dependent delay/state dependent delay and Poisson jumps under some basic and readily verified conditions of Riemann-Liouville derivative and Caputo derivative would be interesting. Complete controllability of semi-linear stochastic fractional order differential equations with infinite delay and Poisson jumps using recently developed Atangana-Baleanu-Caputo (ABC) [18] derivative.

Acknowledgments : The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. A. Anguraj and K. Ramkumar, *Approximate controllability of semilinear stochastic integrodifferential system with nonlocal conditions*, *Fractal Fract* **2** (2018), 29.
2. S. Barnett, *Introduction to mathematical control theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1975.
3. K. Balachandran and J.P. Dauer, *Controllability of nonlinear systems in Banach spaces*, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **115** (2002), 7-28.
4. A.E. Bashirov and K.R. Kerimov, *On controllability conception for stochastic systems*, *SIAM journal on control and optimization* **35** (1997), 384-398.
5. D.N. Chalishajar, *Controllability of second order impulsive neutral functional differential inclusions with infinite delay*, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **154** (2012), 672-684.

6. D.N. Chalişhajar, K. Malar and K. Karthikeyan, *Approximate controllability of abstract impulsive fractional neutral evolution equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **275** (2013), 1-21.
7. R.F. Curtain and H. Zwart, *An introduction to infinite-dimensional linear systems theory*, Springer, New York, 1995.
8. M.A. Diop, K. Ezzinbi and M.M. Zene, *Existence and stability results for a partial impulsive stochastic integro-differential equation with infinite delay*, SeMA Journal **73** (2016), 17-30.
9. G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
10. R.C. Grimmer, *Resolvent operator for integral equations in Banach space*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **273** (1982), 333-349.
11. J.K. Hale and J. Kato, *Phase Space for Retarded Equations with Infinite Delay*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **21** (1978), 11-41.
12. Y. Hino, S. Murakami and T. Naito, *Functional differential equations with infinite delay*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1473, 1991.
13. R.E. Kalman, Y.C. Ho, and K.S. Narendra, *Controllability of linear systems*, Contributions to Differential Equations **1** (1963), 189-213.
14. J. Klamka, *Stochastic controllability of linear systems with state delays*, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science **17** (2007), 5-13.
15. J. Klamka, *Stochastic controllability of linear systems with state delays*, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science **17** (2007), 5.
16. J. Klamka and L. Socha, *Some remarks about stochastic controllability*, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control **22** (1977), 880-881.
17. J. Klamka and L. Socha, *Some remarks about stochastic controllability for delayed linear systems*, International Journal of Control **32** (1980), 561-566.
18. H. Khan, A. Khan, F. Jarad and A. Shah, *Existence and data dependence theorems for solutions of an ABC-fractional order impulsive system*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals **131** (2020), 109477. DOI: 10.1016/j.chaos.2019.109477
19. H. Long, J. Hu and Y. Li, *Approximate controllability of stochastic PDE with infinite delays driven by Poisson jumps*, In 2012 IEEE International Conference on Information Science and Technology, 2012, 194-199.
20. N.I. Mahumudov, *Controllability of linear stochastic systems*, IEEE Trans. Automatic Control **46** (2001), 724-731.
21. N.I. Mahumudov, *Approximate controllability of semilinear deterministic and stochastic evolution equations in abstract spaces*, SIAM journal on control and optimization **42** (2003), 1604-1622.
22. N.I. Mahmudov and S. Zorlu, *Controllability of non-linear stochastic systems*, International Journal of Control **76** (2003), 95-104.
23. N.I. Mahmudov, *On controllability of semilinear stochastic systems in Hilbert spaces*, IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information **19** (2002), 363-376.
24. N.I. Mahmudov, *Controllability of linear stochastic systems in Hilbert spaces*, Journal of mathematical Analysis and Applications **259** (2001), 64-82.
25. N.I. Mahmudov and N. Semi, *Approximate controllability of semilinear control systems in Hilbert spaces*, TWMS J. App. Eng. Math. **2** (2012), 67-74.
26. N.I. Mahmudov and A. Denker, *On controllability of linear stochastic systems*, International Journal of Control **73** (2000), 144-151.
27. P. Muthukumar and C. Rajivganthi, *Approximate controllability of fractional order stochastic variational inequalities driven by Poisson jumps*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics **18** (2014), 1721-1738.
28. K. Naito, *Controllability of semilinear control systems dominated by the linear part*, SIAM Journal on control and Optimization **25** (1987), 715-722.

29. C. Rajivganthi, K. Thiagu, P. Muthukumar and P. Balasubramaniam, *Existence of solutions and approximate controllability of impulsive fractional stochastic differential systems with infinite delay and Poisson jumps*, Applications of Mathematics **60** (2015), 395-419.
30. R. Sakthivel and Y. Ren, *Complete controllability of stochastic evolution equations with jumps*, Reports on Mathematical Physics **68** (2011), 163-174.
31. A. Shukla, N. Sukavanam and D.N. Pandey, *Complete controllability of semi-linear stochastic system with delay*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo. **64** (2015), 209-220.
32. L. Shen and J. Sun, *Relative controllability of stochastic nonlinear systems with delay in control*, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications **13** (2012), 2880-2887.
33. R. Sakthivel, J.H. Kim and N.I. Mahumudov, *On controllability of nonlinear stochastic systems*, Reports on Mathematical Physics **58** (2006), 433-443.
34. N. Sukavanam and M. Kumar, *S-controllability of an abstract first order semilinear control system*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization **31** (2010), 1023-1034.

Dimplekumar Chalishajar is a Professor of Applied Mathematics at Virginia Military Institute (VMI), USA. He did his Ph.D. from the University of Baroda and the Indian Institute of Science, India. His fields of interest are Control Theory, Dynamical Systems/Inclusions, Fractional-order Systems, Time and State Delay systems PDEs, Mathematical Biology, Functional Analysis, etc. He has published 84 research articles in several peer-reviewed international journals with one monogram. He is on the editorial board of more than 11 international journals, and he has been serving as a reviewer in more than 25 reputed international journals. He has reviewed 185 research articles for several international journals to help the mathematical community. He has supervised 20 Ph.D. thesis. He has been invited to deliver an expert lecture by several universities nationally and is also recognized internationally. He has delivered 77 research talks so far in different parts of the globe. He has an overall teaching experience of 25 years, and he has been involved in the research for the last 27 years. He is an author/co-author of five books. He worked as a professor and head of the department for 12 years in India and since 2008 he has been serving at Virginia Military Institute (VMI). Apart from this, he is actively involved in several administrative committees at VMI like Chair of the International Program Committee, Chair of VMIRL Liaison Committee, Institute Review Board Committee, etc. He is a life member of Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Mathematical Association of America (MAA), American Mathematical Society (AMS). He has visited several countries for academic purposes like France, Spain, Portugal, Romania, Nepal, Ukraine, Bulgaria, South Korea, UK, Canada, UAE.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Mallory Hall, Virginia Military Institute, Lexington, VA 24450, USA.

e-mail: chalishajardn@vmi.edu

A. Anguraj is an Associate professor in the Department of Mathematics at PSG College of Arts and Science. He did his Ph.D. from Bharathiar University. His fields of interest are Control Theory, Impulsive Dynamical Systems/Inclusions, Fractional-order Systems and Stochastic Dynamical systems etc. He has published more than 100 research articles in several peer-reviewed international journals. He is a life member of American Mathematical Society (AMS). He has visited several countries for academic purposes like China, Spain, South Korea, Brazil.

Department of Mathematics, PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, 641 014, India.

e-mail: angurajpsg@yahoo.com

K. Ravikumar received M.Sc. from Ramakrishna Mission, Vivekandha College, Madras University and Ph.D. from PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, Bharathiar University. Currently, he works as an assistant professor at the PSG College of Arts and Sciences. His research interests are control theory and stochastic differential/integrodifferential systems.

Department of Mathematics, PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, 641 046, India.
e-mail: ravikumarkpsg@gmail.com

K. Malar is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mathematics. She completed her Ph.D. from Bharathiar University and has got 18 years of teaching experience with more than 20 M.Phil. Scholars under her guideship, her areas of specialization include Impulsive Dynamical Systems, Fractional Differential Systems and Stochastic Differential Equations. She has more than 20 papers in peer-reviewed journals and has participated in various national, international conferences and she has participated and presented a paper in International Congress at Beijing, China. She has completed UGC-Minor Research Project in Non-instantaneous Impulsive Systems. She is the life-member in Indian Mathematical Society and Indian Society of Industrial and Applied Mathematics.

Department of Mathematics, Erode Arts and Science College, Erode-638009, India.
e-mail: malarganesaneac@gmail.com