IJASC 22-4-19

Consumer Satisfaction with Green Credit Card Benefits: The Role of Environmental Self-Accountability and Eco-Label Involvement

Moon-Yong Kim

Professor, College of Business, Hankuk University of Foreign Studies, Seoul, Korea E-mail: moonyong@hufs.ac.kr

Abstract

Given the critical importance of enhancing the level of ESG practices, the current research examines the impact of credit card users' pro-environmental characteristics (i.e., environmental self-accountability, ecolabel involvement) on their satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life. That is, this research investigates whether consumers' satisfaction with green credit card benefits varies depending on their environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement. Specifically, we predict that (1) for consumers with high (vs. low) environmental self-accountability, their satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life will be higher (hypothesis 1); and (2) when consumers have high (vs. low) eco-label involvement, they will be more likely to be satisfied with credit card benefits related to green life (hypothesis 2). An online survey (N = 293) was conducted to test the two hypotheses. In support of the hypotheses, the results indicate that (1) respondents who had high (vs. low) environmental self-accountability were more satisfied with credit card benefits related to green life, and (2) respondents with high eco-label involvement, as compared to those with low eco-label involvement, reported greater satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life. We suggest an important insight into how credit card companies approaching ESG issues can increase their consumers' satisfaction with green credit card benefits, considering consumers' individual characteristics such as environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement.

Keywords: Green Credit Card, Satisfaction, Environmental Self-Accountability, Eco-Label Involvement

1. Introduction

Today's interconnected environment is increasingly characterized by environmental, social, and corporate governance (ESG) [1]. Environmental degradations yielded the emergence of green marketing and ESG practices of organizations [2]. Green marketing within business involves the development and marketing of green products/services and stimulating pro-environmental attitudes and behaviors [3]. In particular, in the financial services industry, financial institutions and banks are responsible for bringing ethical finance and green finance as a priority, and thus they must market products/services relevant to green finance [4].

Given the critical importance of enhancing the level of ESG practices, credit card companies provide consumers with credit card benefits related to green life as well as with general credit card benefits to improve their consumers' satisfaction. Therefore, the current research examines the impact of credit card users' proenvironmental characteristics on their satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life. Of all the

Manuscript Received: November. 6, 2022 / Revised: November. 8, 2022 / Accepted: November. 11, 2022

Corresponding Author: moonyong@hufs.ac.kr

Tel: +82-2-2173-3157

Professor, College of Business, Hankuk University of Foreign Studies, Seoul, Korea

factors that can influence consumer satisfaction with green credit card benefits, we primarily focus on credit card users' pro-environmental characteristics such as environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement. That is, this research investigates whether consumers' satisfaction with green credit card benefits varies depending on their environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement. Specifically, we first propose that for consumers with high (vs. low) environmental self-accountability, their satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life will be higher. Moreover, we propose that when consumers have high (vs. low) eco-label involvement, they will be more likely to be satisfied with credit card benefits related to green life.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the theoretical framework and hypotheses development. The methodology and results are presented in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. Finally, conclusions and implications are outlined in Section 5.

2. Theoretical Background and Hypotheses Development

There have some recent studies on the factors influencing green consumption behavior in the financial services sector [4-7]. Prior research has shown that consumers' green consumption behavior is shaped by their ecological dispositions [8, 9]. For instance, recent research shows that consumers' pro-environmental disposition affects their preference for green credit card benefits [7]. In this research, we primarily focus on consumers' environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement as one of individuals' pro-environmental characteristics.

First, environmental self-accountability refers to the desire of consumers to practice environmental selfstandards [10]. Prior research found that consumers' environmental self-accountability positively influences their attitudes toward green buying [10]. In a similar vein, recent study shows that environmental selfaccountability has a positive impact on attitude toward green credit card services [4]. A number of studies have demonstrated that quality evaluation and customer satisfaction are strongly related [11, 12]. In the context of green credit card services, recent research finds that evaluation of green credit card services positively affects overall satisfaction with green credit card services [6]. Accordingly, it is hypothesized:

H1: For consumers with high (vs. low) environmental self-accountability, their satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life will be higher.

Next, eco-labels can be regarded as useful communication tools for environmental policy only if consumers take them into consideration during the purchase decision process [13]. Consumers get involved with eco-labels when they are inclined towards pro-environmental behaviors focusing on protecting the environment [14, 15]. In addition, it is generally assumed that consumers with high involvement are motivated to experience higher satisfaction [16, 17]. Accordingly, it is hypothesized:

H2: When consumers have high (vs. low) eco-label involvement, they will be more likely to be satisfied with credit card benefits related to green life.

3. Method

The targeted population of this study is Korean consumers aged 20 and over who have held and used credit or debit cards. Of the total 300 credit/debit card users who completed the online survey, 7 respondents did not meet study eligibility screening criteria, yielding a final sample size of 293. The final sample was composed of 131 women (44.7%) and 162 men (55.3%). The age profile was as follows: 20 to 29 years = 15.4%; 30 to 39 years = 39.6%; 40 to 49 years = 26.2%; 50 to 59 years = 16.8%; and 60 years and older = 2.0%. The majority of the respondents had a college or university degree (69.6%), and 17.4% of the respondents had a high school education or less, and 13.0% had a postgraduate degree. In terms of the marital status, 64.5% of the respondents are married, while the remaining respondents (35.5%) have never married or are divorced or widowed. Most

respondents (44.0%) reported a yearly household income of less than \$30,000. In sum, the demographic profiles of the sample are displayed in Table 1.

Characteristics	Percent (%)
Age	
20-29	15.4
30-39	39.6
40-49	26.2
50-59	16.8
Over 60	2.0
Gender	
Male	55.3
Female	44.7
Education	
Less than high school or high school	17.4
College or university	69.6
Postgraduate	13.0
Marital status	
Married	64.5
Not married (widowed/divorced/never married)	35.5
Yearly household income	
<\$30,000	44.0
\$30,000-\$50,000	33.8
\$50,000-\$70,000	14.3
\$70,000-\$100,000	6.5
>\$100,000	1.4

Table 1. Demographic profiles of the sample (N = 293)

This study used a seven-point Likert scale to measure the questionnaire items. First, respondents' satisfaction with a variety of green credit card benefits (i.e., credit card benefits relevant to green life) was measured using a single item. Researchers may decide to choose single-item measures in light of their manifold practical advantages [18]. Moreover, for doubly concrete constructs, single-item measures demonstrate predictive validity equal to that of multiple-item measures [19-21]. Specifically, credit card benefits related to green life were as follows: to earn points (1) when using public transportation such as subways and buses, (2) when purchasing eco-friendly products offline or online; (3) when saving energy (electricity/water/gas consumption); (4) when shopping at eco-friendly offline/online stores; and (5) free admission to national parks/recreational forests/botanical gardens. Next, respondents' environmental self-accountability was measured using three items (M = 5.45, SD = 1.08; Cronbach's σ = 0.93) [4, 10]. Finally, respondents' eco-label involvement was measured using four items (M = 4.81, SD = 1.23; Cronbach's σ = 0.89) [22]. Concerning environmental self-accountability, all the respondents were classified as two groups on the basis of a median split (M_{dn} = 5.67): high (n = 123) versus low (n = 170) in environmental self-accountability. With regard to eco-label involvement, they were classified as two groups on the basis of a median split (M_{dn} = 5.00): high (n = 170) versus low (n = 123) in eco-label involvement.

4. Results

ANOVA was used to test the hypotheses. Hypothesis 1 proposed that for consumers with high (vs. low)

environmental self-accountability, their satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life is higher. As shown in Table 2, the results indicated that for the respondents who had high (vs. low) environmental self-accountability were more satisfied with credit card benefits relevant to green life (all *ps* < 0.001). Specifically, respondents with high (vs. low) environmental self-accountability reported significantly greater satisfaction: to earn points when using public transportation such as subways and buses ($M_{low} = 4.87$, SD = 1.37 vs. $M_{high} = 5.71$, SD = 1.29; F(1, 291) = 28.144, *p* = .000), to earn points when purchasing eco-friendly products offline or online ($M_{low} = 4.61$, SD = 1.17 vs. $M_{high} = 5.33$, SD = 1.34; F(1, 291) = 24.044, *p* = .000), to earn points when saving energy (electricity/water/gas consumption) ($M_{low} = 4.73$, SD = 1.28 vs. $M_{high} = 5.32$, SD = 1.28; F(1, 291) = 15.125, *p* = .000), to earn points when shopping at eco-friendly offline/online stores ($M_{low} = 4.75$, SD = 1.26 vs. $M_{high} = 5.45$, SD = 1.33; F(1, 291) = 20.763, *p* = .000), free admission to national parks/recreational forests/botanical gardens ($M_{low} = 4.69$, SD = 1.32 vs. $M_{high} = 5.39$, SD = 1.43; F(1, 291) = 18.589, *p* = .000). Thus, the hypothesis 1 was supported.

	Low environmental self-accountability (n = 170)		High environmental self-accountability (n = 123)		<i>F</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
(1) To earn points when using public transportation such as subways and buses	4.87	1.37	5.71	1.29	28.144	0.000
(2) To earn points when purchasing eco- friendly products offline or online	4.61	1.17	5.33	1.34	24.044	0.000
(3) To earn points when saving energy (electricity/water/gas consumption)	4.73	1.28	5.32	1.28	15.125	0.000
(4) To earn points when shopping at eco- friendly offline/online stores	4.75	1.26	5.45	1.33	20.763	0.000
(5) Free admission to national parks/recreational forests/botanical gardens	4.69	1.32	5.39	1.43	18.589	0.000

Table 2. Results: the role of environmental self-accountability

Hypothesis 2 proposed that when consumers have high (vs. low) eco-label involvement, they are more likely to be satisfied with credit card benefits related to green life. As shown in Table 3, it was found that respondents with high eco-label involvement, as compared to those with low eco-label involvement, reported greater satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life (all *ps* < 0.001). Specifically, respondents with high (vs. low) eco-label involvement reported significantly greater satisfaction: to earn points when using public transportation such as subways and buses ($M_{low} = 4.80$, SD = 1.54 vs. $M_{high} = 5.53$, SD = 1.19; F(1, 291) = 19.482, *p* = .000), to earn points when purchasing eco-friendly products offline or online ($M_{low} = 4.41$, SD = 1.35 vs. $M_{high} = 5.28$, SD = 1.12; F(1, 291) = 36.866, *p* = .000), to earn points when saving energy (electricity/water/gas consumption) ($M_{low} = 4.49$, SD = 1.41 vs. $M_{high} = 5.33$, SD = 1.11; F(1, 291) = 30.443, *p* = .000), to earn points when shopping at eco-friendly offline/online stores ($M_{low} = 4.50$, SD = 1.32 vs. $M_{high} = 5.44$, SD = 1.19; F(1, 291), *p* = .000), free admission to national parks/recreational forests/botanical gardens ($M_{low} = 4.48$, SD = 1.41 vs. $M_{high} = 5.35$, SD = 1.28; F(1, 291) = 30.367, *p* = .000). Thus, the hypothesis 2 was supported.

	Low eco-label involvement (n = 123)		High eco-label involvement (n = 170)		<i>F</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
(1) To earn points when using public transportation such as subways and buses	4.80	1.54	5.53	1.19	19.482	0.000
(2) To earn points when purchasing eco- friendly products offline or online	4.41	1.35	5.28	1.12	36.866	0.000
(3) To earn points when saving energy (electricity/water/gas consumption)	4.49	1.41	5.33	1.11	30.443	0.000
(4) To earn points when shopping at eco- friendly offline/online stores	4.50	1.32	5.44	1.19	40.991	0.000
(5) Free admission to national parks/recreational forests/botanical gardens	4.48	1.41	5.35	1.28	30.367	0.000

Table 3. Results: the role of eco-label involvement

5. Conclusion

The present research examines the influence of credit card users' pro-environmental characteristics (i.e., environmental self-accountability, eco-label involvement) on their satisfaction with green credit card benefits. That is, this research investigates whether consumers' satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life varies depending on their environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement. Specifically, we predict that (1) for consumers with high (vs. low) environmental self-accountability, their satisfaction with green credit card benefits will be higher (hypothesis 1); and (2) when consumers have high (vs. low) eco-label involvement, they will be more likely to be satisfied with green credit card benefits (hypothesis 2). An online survey was conducted to test the two hypotheses. Respondents assessed their satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life (i.e., to earn points when using public transportation such as subways and buses, to earn points when purchasing eco-friendly products offline/online, to earn points when saving energy (electricity/water/gas consumption), to earn points when shopping at eco-friendly offline/online stores, and free admission to national parks/recreational forests/botanical gardens). Consistent with the hypotheses, the findings indicate that (1) respondents who had high (vs. low) environmental self-accountability were more satisfied with credit card benefits related to green life, and (2) respondents with high eco-label involvement, as compared to those with low eco-label involvement, reported greater satisfaction with credit card benefits related to green life.

This research suggests an important insight into how credit card companies approaching ESG issues can increase their consumers' satisfaction with green credit card benefits, considering consumers' individual proenvironmental characteristics such as environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement. Specifically, our findings imply that individual pro-environmental characteristics may be an effective segmentation and targeting tool in enhancing consumer satisfaction with green products or services. Given that heightening the level of environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement can lead to consumers' greater satisfaction with green products or services, marketers can activate their target consumers' environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement through a variety of subtle marketing communication techniques. Moreover, considering the marketers' assessment of consumers' environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement, marketers can choose consumers with higher level of environmental self-accountability and eco-label involvement as their target consumers.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Hankuk University of Foreign Studies Research Fund of 2022.

References

 V. Swaminathan, A. Sorescu, J. -B. Steenkamp, T. C. G. O'Guinn, and B. Schimtt, "Branding in a Hyperconnected World: Refocusing Theories and Rethinking Boundaries," *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 84, No. 2, pp. 24-46, January 2020.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0022242919899905.

- [2] L. R. Larson, R. C. Stedman, C. B. Cooper, and D. J. Decker, "Understanding the Multi-Dimensional Structure of Pro-Environmental Behavior," *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, Vol. 43, No. 4, pp. 112-124, September 2015. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.06.004.
- S. K. Jain and G. Kaur, "Green Marketing: An Attitudinal and Behavioral Analysis of Indian Consumers," *Global Business Review*, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 187-205, August 2004.
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150904005002.
- [4] M. -Y. Kim and M. Son, "What Determines Consumer Attitude toward Green Credit Card Services? A Moderated Mediation Approach," *Sustainability*, Vol. 13, pp. 1-18, October 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su131910865.
- [5] M. -Y. Kim, "Ethical Behavior in the Context of Green Credit Card Services: The Role of Individuals' Regulatory Focus," *International Journal of Advanced Culture Technology(IJACT)*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 107-112, March 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17703/IJACT.2020.8.1.107.
- [6] M. -Y. Kim, "Evaluation, Satisfaction, and Loyalty in the Context of Green Credit Card Services: Green Ethics as a Moderator," *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education*, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 317-322, June 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/turcomat.v12i5.971.
- [7] M. -Y. Kim, "Consumer Preference for Credit Card Benefits: The Effect of Pro-Environmental Disposition," *International Journal of Advanced Smart Convergence(IJASC)*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 64-69, March 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7236/IJASC.2022.11.1.64.
- [8] M. Cleveland, J. L. Robertson, and V. Volk, "Helping or Hindering: Environmental Locus of Control, Subjective Enablers and Constraints, and Pro-Environmental Behaviors," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 249, pp. 1-12, March 2020.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119394.

- S. Barr and A. Gilg, "Sustainable Lifestyles: Framing Environmental Action in and Around the Home," *Geoforum*, Vol. 37, No. 6, pp. 906-920, November 2006.
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2006.05.002.
- [10] J. Peloza, K. White, and J. Shang, "Good and Guilt-Free: The Role of Self-Accountability in Influencing Preferences for Products with Ethical Attributes," *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 77, No. 1, pp. 104-119, January 2013. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.11.0454.
- [11] A. Caruana, "Service Quality: The Effects of Service Quality and the Mediating Role of Customer Satisfaction," *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 36, No. 7/8, pp. 811-828, 2002.
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560210430818.
- [12] R. A. Spreng and J. Chiou, "A Cross-Cultural Assessment of the Satisfaction Formation Process," *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 36, No. 7/8, pp. 829-839, 2002.
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560210430827.
- [13] J. L. Orquin and S. M. Loose, "Attention and Choice: A Review on Eye Movements in Decision Making," Acta Psychologica, Vol. 144, No. 1, pp. 190-206, September 2013. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2013.06.003.
- [14] J. Thøgersen, P. Haugaard, and A. Olesen, "Consumer Responses to Ecolabels," *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 44, No. 11/12, pp. 1787-1810, 2010.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561011079882.

- [15] J. Thøgersen, "Psychological Determinants of Paying Attention to Eco-Labels in Purchase Decisions: Model Development and Multinational Validation," *Journal of Consumer Policy*, Vol. 23, pp. 285-313, September 2000. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007122319675.
- [16] P. Mudie, A. Cottam, and R. Raeside, "An Exploratory Study of Consumption Emotion in Services," *The Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 23, No. 5, pp. 84-87, June 2003. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/02642060308565625.
- [17] M. L. Richins and P. H. Bloch, "Post-Purchase Product Satisfaction: Incorporating the Effects of Involvement and Time," *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 23, No. 2, pp. 145-158, September 1991. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-2963(91)90025-S.
- [18] U. Böckenholt and D. R. Lehmann, "On the Limits of Research Rigidity: The Number of Items in a Scale," *Marketing Letters*, Vol. 26, No. 3, pp. 257-260, September 2015.
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-015-9373-y.
- [19] L. Bergkvist, "Appropriate Use of Single-Item Measures is Here to Stay," *Marketing Letters*, Vol. 26, No. 3, pp. 245-255, September 2015.
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-014-9325-y.
- [20] L. Bergkvist and J. R. Rossiter, "The Predictive Validity of Multiple-Item versus Single-Item Measures of the Same Constructs," *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 44, No. 2, pp. 175-184, May 2007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.44.2.175.
- [21] J. R. Rossiter, "The C-OAR-SE Procedure for Scale Development in Marketing," *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 19, No. 4, pp. 305-335, December 2002.
 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8116(02)00097-6.
- [22] K. M. R. Taufique, M. J. Polonsky, A. Vocino, and C. Siwar, "Measuring Consumer Understanding and Perception of Eco-Labelling: Item Selection and Scale Validation," *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol. 43, No. 3, pp. 298-314, May 2019.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12510.