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Investigations on borate glasses within SBC-Bx system for gamma-ray shielding applications
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A B S T R A C T

This paper examines gamma-ray shielding properties of SBC-Bx glass system with the chemical composition of 40SiO2–10B2O3–xBaO–(45-x)CaO–yZnO–zMgO (where x = 0, 10, 20, 30, and 35 mol% and y = z = 6 mol%). Mass attenuation coefficient (\(\mu/p\)) which is an essential parameter to study gamma-ray shielding properties was obtained in the photon energy range of 0.015–15 MeV using PHITS Monte Carlo code for the proposed glasses. The obtained results were compared with those calculated by WinXCOM program. Both the values of PHITS code and WinXCOM program were observed in very good agreement. The \(\mu/p\) values were then used to derive mean free path (MFP), electron density (N\(_e\)), effective atomic number (Z\(_{\text{eff}}\)), and half value layer (HVL) for all the glasses involved. Additionally, G-P method was employed to estimate exposure buildup factor (EBF) for each glass in the energy range of 0.015–15 MeV up to penetration depths of 40 mfp. The results reveal that gamma-ray shielding effectiveness of the SBC-Bx glasses evolves with increasing BaO content in the glass sample. Such that SBC-B35 glass has superior shielding capacity against gamma-rays among the studied glasses. Gamma-ray shielding properties of SBC-B35 glass were compared with different conventional shielding materials, commercial glasses, and newly developed HMO glasse. Therefore, the investigated glasses have potential uses in gamma shielding applications.

© 2020 Korean Nuclear Society, Published by Elsevier Korea LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Progress and development technologies have brought many risk for humanity. For example, people may be exposed to low or high photon energies whether this is in X-ray rooms, nuclear power plants, or laboratories. These photons can travel fast and also can penetrate deeply through materials. An excessive exposure to such photons could cause many harmful effects such as genetic disorders, cataracts, cancer and possibly death [1]. This triggered many scientists to pay tremendous efforts for studying gamma-ray interaction properties with different types of shielding materials [2–4]. In this regard, different materials such as alloys, ceramics, concrete, rocks and polymers are utilized as shielding materials against gamma-ray radiation [5–13]. Although these materials are low cost and widely available, they also have many disadvantages such as they are not transparent to the visible light, cracks formation and water permeability [14–16]. On the contrary, glass materials are transparent to the light and can be used to design doors and windows in medical and nuclear establishments. Also, glasses have many unique mechanical and physical advantages such as hardness, excellent corrosion resistance, and ease of preparation by different methods [17–20].

Recently, many experimental, theoretical, and Monte Carlo Simulation (MCS) studies were carried out to study the gamma-ray shielding properties of different glass compositions [4,5,7,9,12–14,17,21–27]. The significant finding of these studies is that the heavy metal oxides (HMO) play an important role to evolve
the gamma-ray shielding properties. For example, the glass system of $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{ZnO}$-$\text{MgO}$-$\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ offers the best performance to block gamma-ray radiation as $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ content increases in the glass sample [23]. Further, Vani et al. concluded that the glasses doping with heavy elements like barium led to improve the efficient shielding materials [24]. Tekin et al. proposed many samples among SPCG glasses which showed clear advantages to use as shielding against the X- and gamma rays [25]. Agar et al. studied $\text{BaO}$, $\text{MoO}_3$ and $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ based glasses using MCS [26]. Among the studied glasses, it was found that $\text{BaMoP8}$ glass sample has an excellent gamma-ray shielding features. Finally, Sayyed et al. evaluated the gamma-ray shielding features for new glass system. This study was found that $\text{Bi40B20}$ glass which contains the highest $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ mol % becomes the best glass to attenuate gamma-ray [27].

The radiation shielding properties of any composed material such as glass can be tested by determining several parameters such as $\mu/p$, HVL, $\text{Z}_{\text{eff}}$, MFP, and EBF. The $\mu/p$ is considered as a key parameter to characterize gamma photons interaction with shields as well as to calculate the other aforementioned shielding parameters. One way to obtain $\mu/p$ is experimentally by using the well-known transmission geometry. However, this method is restricted to limited photon energies as well as other difficulties in the samples preparation and radiation safety [28,29]. Therefore, it has mushroomed among researchers that MCS is the best way to study the gamma-ray shielding properties of materials [29–31]. Geant4 code was employed for several similar studies as well as MCNPX, PHITS, and FLUKA codes were also carried out to achieve many successful works [30,32–34]. Moreover, exposure buildup factor (EBF) is a significant parameter in predicting and evaluating the distribution of gamma flux in a material [35]. EBF values were obtained by different methods such as invariant embedding method which applied for 26 materials up to depths of 100 mfp [36]. However, Geometric Progression (G-P) formula is the most suitable method to calculate EBF [3,37,38]. This method is widely applied for photon energy in the range of 0.015−15 MeV. Very recently, the SBC-Bx glass system was studied in terms of gamma shielding properties by using PHITS and FLUKA codes for a short energy range between 356 keV and 2.51 MeV [39]. This study showed promising properties of the SBC-Bx glass system, and this encouraged us to establish an extended investigation of the SBC-Bx glass system.

In the present work, SBC-Bx glass system with the chemical composition of $40\text{SiO}_2-10\text{B}_2\text{O}_3-x\text{ZnO}-(45-x)\text{CaO}-y\text{ZnO}-2\text{MgO}$ (where $x = 0, 10, 20, 30$, and 35 mol% and $y = z = 6$ mol%) have been studied to serve in various radiation shielding applications. PHITS code and Geant4 toolkit were carried out to simulate the narrow beam experiment to investigate gamma-ray shielding parameters such as $\mu/p$, $\text{Z}_{\text{eff}}$, HVL, and MFP. The results obtained by PHITS Monte Carlo code were compared with those of WinXCOM program. The EBF values for each glass were also calculated in the energy range of 0.015−15 MeV up to penetration depths of 40 mfp. The radiation shielding properties of the proposed glasses were compared with some competing shielding materials. This study would be useful for scientific community to move up the progress in radiation protection field.

2. Gamma shielding properties

2.1. Mass attenuation coefficient ($\mu/p$)

Gamma photon experiences an attenuation as it passes a certain material thickness. More attenuation for a given thickness refers more preferable shielding material. Therefore, the selection of shielding material depends mainly on attenuation degree which is expressed by Lambert-Beer law [40]:

\[ I = I_0 e^{-\mu x} \]  

where $I$ is an attenuated photon intensity, $I_0$ is an incident photon intensity, $\mu$ (cm$^{-1}$) is the linear attenuation coefficient and $x$ is the thickness of the material. This law is valid for all materials when the gamma photon is monochromatic. In order to study the shielding capacity of the material, it is reasonable to deal with mass attenuation coefficient ($\mu/p$) in a unit of cm$^2$g$^{-1}$. For composite materials (e.g. glass), the $\mu/p$ is given at certain photon energy by the following relation [34,41]:

\[ \mu/p = \sum_i w_i (\mu/p)_i \]  

where $w_i$ is a weight fraction of $i^{th}$ constituent element in the shielding material sample and $(\mu/p)_i$ is a total mass attenuation coefficient of that element at certain photon energy.

2.2. Effective atomic number ($\text{Z}_{\text{eff}}$)

The $\text{Z}_{\text{eff}}$ refers to the attenuation of the gamma-rays that occurs due to the partial photon interactions with matter and it is given by the following equation [41,42]:

\[ \text{Z}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\sum_i f_i A_i (\frac{\mu}{p})_i}{\sum_j f_j (A_j/Z_j) (\frac{\mu}{p})_j} \]  

Where $\mu/p$ is the mass attenuation coefficient, $f_i$ is the fractional abundance of the element inside the glass sample, and $A_i$ is the atomic weight. The effective electron density ($\text{N}_{\text{eff}}$) is given by the relation of [43]:

\[ \text{N}_{\text{eff}} = N_0 \frac{n\text{Z}_{\text{eff}}}{\sum_i n_i A_i} \] (electrons / g)

2.3. Half-value layer (HVL)

Half-value layer is a very useful parameter to study the shielding properties of gamma-ray and to estimate the penetrating power of gamma radiation inside the sample. HVL is the thickness that attenuates the incident photon intensity by one half and it indicates to the penetration ability of the photons as the energy increases. HVL values have been calculated for the present glasses by applying the next formula [44,45]:

\[ \text{HVL} = \frac{\ln(2)}{\mu} \]  

2.4. Mean free path (MFP)

The mean free path is the average distance between two successive collisions by the photons and can be obtained according to the equation [46,47]:

\[ \text{MFP} = \frac{1}{\mu} \]
2.5. Exposure buildup factor (EBF)

EBF is a correction term resulting from secondary particles that are produced by Compton scattering. EBF can be computed by using the interpolation method for the equivalent atomic number ($Z_{eq}$) according to the following equation [43].

$$Z_{eq} = Z_1 \left( \log R_2 - \log R \right) + Z_2 \left( \log R - \log R_1 \right)$$

$$\log R \approx \log \frac{1}{C0}$$

where $R$ is the ratio of $(\mu_n)_{comp}$ and $(\mu_n)_{total}$ for a given glass, $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ are related to the ratio $R_1$ and $R_2$ respectively. The calculated $Z_{eq}$ values for the glasses were then used to calculate the five parameters (b, c, a, Xr, and d) of G-P fitting by using the formula [32].

$$P = \frac{P_1 \left( \log Z_2 - \log Z_{eq} \right) + P_2 \left( \log Z_{eq} - \log Z_1 \right)}{\log Z_2 - \log Z_1}$$

where $P_1$ and $P_2$ are the G-P fitting parameters, respectively. More details were reported by Refs. [48]. Finally, EBF values can be obtained by the following equations [32,43].

$$B(E, X) = 1 + \frac{b - 1}{K - 1} \left( K^X - 1 \right) \text{ for } K \neq 1$$

$$B(E, X) = 1 + (b - 1) x \text{ for } K = 1$$

where,

$$K(E, X) = c x^a + d \frac{\tanh \left( \frac{x}{x_i} - 2 \right) - \tanh(-2)}{1 - \tanh(-2)} \text{ for } x \leq 40$$

where $x$ refers to mfp, $E$ refers to the incident energy, and $K(E, X)$ refers to the absorbed dose [3,48].

3. PHITS Monte Carlo code

PHITS is a general-purpose Monte Carlo particle transport simulation code developed by JAEA [49]. This code can deal with several nuclear reaction models and nuclear data libraries for simulating many types of particles collision process and interactions with matter over wide energy range. The simulation parameters such as materials composition, spatial geometry configuration, source specification, and external environment have to be defined in a PHITS data card with [Parameters], [Material], [Surface], [Cell] and [Source] categories.

In this work, we have performed the narrow beam transmission geometry which consists of radiation source, glass sample target, detector cell, lead containers and collimators as shown in Fig. 1. This geometry is virtually the same as the experimental transmission geometry used in Refs. [30–52]. A disk gamma source of diameter 0.5 cm, having different photon energies ranging from 0.015 to 15 MeV, was enclosed in the lead container and placed front left the glass sample. A disc of glass sample with various thicknesses (d) was defined as the absorber material and placed 66 cm at distance from the front face of the detection area. The detection cell considered in the simulation is sodium iodide (NaI) crystal, with 7.62 cm in diameter and 7.62 cm in height adapted from Refs. [53]. The glass sample specifications including calculated weight fractions of each constituent and densities of the present SiO2-B2O3-BaO-CaO-ZnO-MgO glass systems were designated in the PHITS material card, as given in Table 1. When photon interacted with glass sample passing through a detection cell, incident (f0) and transmitted (f) photon fluences were evaluated by utilizing tally and estimator cards. In PHITS simulations, T-Track tally card was used to score average particle fluence determined by dividing tailed track lengths by the detection cell volume and the number of source particles. Then, $\mu/\rho$ for the investigated glass were calculated by applying the well-known Beer-Lambert law Eq. (1). In simulation code, statistical uncertainties of the results were less than 0.1% achieved for the number of photon histories being 10 million. The obtained results from PHITS code were compared with theoretical values calculated by XCOM program [54].

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Mass attenuation coefficients

Table 1 shows the sample code, density, and fractional weight for SiO2–B2O3–BaO–CaO–ZnO–MgO glass system. From Table 1, one can see that the density values for these glasses increase from 2.89 to 3.46 (g/cm3) as BaO content increases from 0 to 35 mol %. The reason can be explained by that the atomic weight of BaO (153.326 g/mol) is higher than that of CaO (56.077 g/mol). Therefore, the glass matrix becomes denser by adding more amount of BaO content into the glass network. The $\mu/\rho$ values for these glasses were determined using PHITS simulation code in the photon energy ranges between 0.015 and 15 MeV. The validation of the simulation values with theoretical predictions plays a basic role to know the accuracy of the present results. Thus, PHITS results of $\mu/\rho$ were compared to the theoretical values obtained using XCOM software. Table 2 and 3 show the results of PHITS, XCOM, and the deviation between theoretical results (XCOM) and simulation values (PHITS) of $\mu/\rho$ for all of the studied glasses. It is clear that the theoretical and simulation results of $\mu/\rho$ are in good agreement. The deviation between PHITS simulations and XCOM values was evaluated according to the following equation [55]:

$$\text{Dev.} = \frac{\left( \frac{\mu/\rho}{\mu/\rho} \right)_{\text{XCOM}} - \left( \frac{\mu/\rho}{\mu/\rho} \right)_{\text{PHITS}}}{\left( \frac{\mu/\rho}{\mu/\rho} \right)_{\text{XCOM}}} \times 100$$

We found that the difference between the theoretical values (XCOM) and simulated values (PHITS) is less than 2% and this confirms the accuracy of the present results (see Table 3). Moreover, Table 4 and Table 5 show the results of Geant4 simulations and XCOM standard database. The comparison between PHITS simulation (Table 2 and 3) and Geant4 simulation (Table 4 and 5), shows that PHITS simulation is superior than Geant4 simulation in terms of the lower deviation with the XCOM standard database. Fig. 2 shows the variation of $\mu/\rho$ with both the photon energy and the chemical composition for each glass sample. It is obvious that the $\mu/\rho$ decreases with increasing the photon energy, and it increases with increasing BaO content in the glass sample. The $\mu/\rho$ values of...
the glasses are found to be large around 15 keV and gradually decrease as photon energy increases. This can be explained on the base of the photon partial interaction processes. In the low energy region (e.g around 356 keV), the attenuation is governed by the law of photoelectric absorption which is proportional inversely to $E^3$. In the high energy region (e.g 0.2 – 4 MeV) Compton scattering dominates the attenuation process and this is proportional inversely to $E$. Beyond 4 MeV the $\mu/\rho$ values seem to be constant due to the pair production process dominates in this region. On the other hand, the attenuation ability of the glass is strongly related to the chemical composition of the glass. Such that gamma photons experience higher attenuation as the BaO content increases in the glass sample. Moreover, it is seen clearly that the curve of SBC-B00 glass (without BaO content) is typical without any peak, while there are peaks in the other curves. These peaks occur at 37.44 keV (K-absorption edge of Ba) due to the photoelectric effect. Further, by increasing the BaO content in the samples the peaks become more clear and high. As a result of aforementioned discussion we can conclude that the SBC-B35 glass has a superior ability to attenuate gamma-ray among our proposed glasses. Consequently, it is worthy to compare the attenuation ability of SBC-B35 glass with other conventional materials and commercial glasses (see Fig. 3). From Fig. 3, it is seen that the mass attenuation coefficient values of SBC-B35 are higher than those of RS-253-G18 glass, window glass type A, and ordinary concrete taken from Ref. [56,57], and [58], respectively.

### 4.2. Effective atomic number ($Z_{eff}$)

In order to investigate the shielding effectiveness of the glasses to serve in gamma shielding applications, we also calculated $Z_{eff}$. Fig. 4 shows the calculated values of $Z_{eff}$ for all the glasses and their variation with both the photon energy and the chemical composition of the glass. The $Z_{eff}$ values of the present glasses vary from 11.21 to 18.78 for SBC-B00 glass, from 12.69 to 22.54 for SBC-B10 glass, from 14.09 to 26.33 for SBC-B20 glass, from 15.55 to 29.77 for SBC-B30 glass, and from 16.24 to 31.40 for SBC-B35 glass.
Table 3
Comparison of theoretical mass attenuation coefficient (μ/ρ) values with those obtained by PHITS simulation code for SiO₂–B₂O₃–BaO–CaO–ZnO–MgO glass system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E (keV)</th>
<th>SBC-B30</th>
<th>SBC-B35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XCOM</td>
<td>PHITS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>25.130</td>
<td>24.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>11.475</td>
<td>11.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.828</td>
<td>3.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>6.591</td>
<td>6.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>7.372</td>
<td>7.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.336</td>
<td>2.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.141</td>
<td>1.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
Comparison of theoretical mass attenuation coefficient (μ/ρ) values with those obtained by Geant4 simulation code for SiO₂–B₂O₃–BaO–CaO–ZnO–MgO glass system.

Table 5
Comparison of theoretical mass attenuation coefficient (μ/ρ) values with those obtained by Geant4 simulation code for SiO₂–B₂O₃–BaO–CaO–ZnO–MgO glass system.

Table 6
Comparison of theoretical mass attenuation coefficient (μ/ρ) values with those obtained by Geant4 simulation code for SiO₂–B₂O₃–BaO–CaO–ZnO–MgO glass system.

Table 7
Comparison of theoretical mass attenuation coefficient (μ/ρ) values with those obtained by Geant4 simulation code for SiO₂–B₂O₃–BaO–CaO–ZnO–MgO glass system.

Table 8
Comparison of theoretical mass attenuation coefficient (μ/ρ) values with those obtained by Geant4 simulation code for SiO₂–B₂O₃–BaO–CaO–ZnO–MgO glass system.

Table 9
Comparison of theoretical mass attenuation coefficient (μ/ρ) values with those obtained by Geant4 simulation code for SiO₂–B₂O₃–BaO–CaO–ZnO–MgO glass system.

Table 10
Comparison of theoretical mass attenuation coefficient (μ/ρ) values with those obtained by Geant4 simulation code for SiO₂–B₂O₃–BaO–CaO–ZnO–MgO glass system.
found that for a given glass the maximum $Z_{\text{eff}}$ value is observed at 0.04 MeV. It is also observed that SBC-B35 glass possesses a maximum $Z_{\text{eff}}$, while SBC-B00 glass possesses a minimum $Z_{\text{eff}}$. This is due to that the partial photon processes are directly related to $Z$ (atomic number) of the material constituent elements. Such that the photoelectric process depends on $Z^4$ and the Compton process depends on $Z$. Therefore, Max. $Z_{\text{eff}}$ is for SBC-B35 glass which contains the highest weight fraction of Ba (0.27989%), while the Min. $Z_{\text{eff}}$ is for SBC-B00 glass which does not contain Ba in the glass chemical composition. In fact, the good shielding materials should have a higher $Z_{\text{eff}}$. For example, the gamma-rays interact more with high $Z_{\text{eff}}$ materials leading to decrease the photon energy that becomes incapable to penetrate the material. Thus, SBC-B35 is a better shielding material among the present glasses. By using Eq. (4), the $N_{\text{eff}}$ can be calculated and these values are drawn in Fig. 5. From this figure, it can be seen that the behavior of $N_{\text{eff}}$ with the photon energy is similar to that $Z_{\text{eff}}$ for all the studied glasses. Unlike $Z_{\text{eff}}$, however, the $N_{\text{eff}}$ values do not show a strong dependence of photon energy. Such that the $N_{\text{eff}}$ values vary from 2.99 to...
5.01 for SBC-B00 glass, from 2.95 to 5.25 for SBC-B10 glass, from 2.93 to 5.43 for SBC-B20 glass, from 2.88 to 5.51 for SBC-B30 glass, and from 2.86 to 5.54 for SBC-B35 glass.

4.3. Half value layer (HVL)

HVL was calculated to estimate the required thickness to attenuate half of incident gamma photons. HVL values give particular information of the shielding capacity for the studied glasses against gamma-rays (e.g. the lower HVL, the higher shielding capacity). HVL values were calculated by Eq. (5) and drawn in Fig. 6. The last figure depicts the relations between HVL – photon energy and HVL – BaO content. The HVL values increase with increasing the photon energy, such behavior of HVL with the photon energy reflects the partial photon interactions with the glass samples. On the other hand, at a given photon energy the BaO content plays an important role to decrease the HVL values of the studied glasses. Therefore, SBC-B35 glass is a promising candidate for gamma-ray protection applications among our glasses.

4.4. Mean free path (MFP)

The average distance traveled by photon between two successive interactions is measured by MFP. The MFP values can confirm the competence of the glasses under the study to serve in gamma-ray shielding applications. Fig. 7 represents the relation between MFP – photon energy and MFP – BaO content for the studied glasses. It is clear that the MFP is small when the photon energy is low and becomes higher as the photon energy increases. Thus, in practical application it is better to increment the thickness of the glass because of the high energy photons can penetrate the glass more deeply. Moreover, it can be noted that the surplus of BaO content in the glass sample has a considerable effect to improve the shielding effectiveness of these glasses. Such that the photon interaction depends on the atomic cross sections that related to Z at the low photon energies (photoelectric absorption) and related to Z at the high photon energies (Compton scattering). Thus, the probability of the photon interaction increases as Z eff increases, which means that the attenuation of photons will be very high leading to decrease MFP of the glasses involved [59]. Therefore, SBC-B00 glass (with free BaO) possesses a maximum MFP, while SBC-B35 (with 35 % BaO content) possesses a minimum MFP and offers superior gamma-ray shielding properties among our proposed glasses. In Table 6 the gamma-ray shielding effectiveness of SBC-B35 glass is compared in terms of MFP with that of recently published glasses. This comparison reveals that the SBC-B35 glass has a very close equivalent shielding properties with those glasses newly developed such as Bi10 [23], TeZnBa5 [24], OD [25], BaMoP8 [26], Pb30 [32], and Bi20B40 [27].

4.5. Exposure buildup factor (EBF)

The significant gamma-ray shielding parameters such as the equivalent atomic number (Z eq) and G-P exposure buildup factor (EBF) coefficients were studied and listed in Table 7 for SBC-B35 glass system. The variation of EBF with photon energy is shown in Fig. 8 for all the glasses at different penetration depths 1, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 40 mfp. These plots are generally similar to those obtained for different glasses and concretes elsewhere [3,32]. From Fig. 8, it can be seen that the values of EBF are very small at the low photon energies due to the photoelectric effect. At the intermediate photon energies, the EBF values suddenly increased and reached the maximum in these energies. This behavior can be explained by multiple scattering events of Compton effect. Finally, due to the pair production effect in high photon energies, the EBF values slowly increased at the high penetration depths (40 mfp). Furthermore, Fig. 8 shows a strong relation between the EBF and the penetration depths for each glass sample at specific photon energy. Such this relation is that EBF increase as penetration depth, and the maximum EBF value is observed at 40 mfp for all the glasses. The reason is that secondary photons are more produced as mfp increases due to the multiple Compton interactions. Also, one can observe atypical changes (like peaks) due to absorption edges of high-Z elements such as Ba element [3]. In Fig. 9 all the studied
glasses were plotted as a function of photon energy at certain penetration depths of 5 mfp and 40 mfp. This figure, one can see that the BaO content plays an important role in decreasing the EBF values leading to improve the shielding capacity of the glasses. Such that SBC-B35 glass has the lowest values of EBF either at 5 mfp and 40 mfp. This change of the EBF might be interpreted by the pair production processes. For example, the SBC-B35 glass possesses the highest EBF values, while the SBC-B00 glass possesses the lowest EBF values. This change of the EBF might be interpreted by the pair production processes.

5. Conclusion

SBC-Bx glass system in the chemical composition of 40SiO$_2$:10BaO:3-xCaO:2ZnO:2MgO (where x = 0, 10, 20, 30, and 35 mol%) has been successfully investigated in terms of gamma-ray shielding properties. The radiation shielding parameters of the glasses were obtained by using PHITS code and WinXCOM program. The values of $\mu/\rho$ generated by using PHITS code agree well with those calculated by using WinXCOM program. The results indicated that the values of $\mu/\rho$, $Z_{eff}$ and $N_{eff}$ increase and the values of HVL, MFP, and EBF decrease with increasing BaO content in the glass sample. For radiation shielding, the selection of an appropriate glass can be done based on data of $Z_{eq}$, HVL, and MFP, consideration of exposure buildup factors and also, according to the desired application. Hence, the SBC-B35 glass is an excellent candidate for shielding applications from gamma-rays among various conventional concrete and commercial glasses. This work on shielding properties of the SBC-Bx glass system would be useful for the scientific community to design, develop or select a proper shielding glass for gamma-ray applications.
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Fig. 8. The variation of exposure buildup factor (EBF) for each glass with photon energy at different penetration depths 1, 5, 10, 20, 30 and 40 mfp.
Fig. 9. The variation of exposure buildup factor (EBF) with photon energy for $\text{SiO}_2$–$\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$–$\text{BaO}$–$\text{CaO}$–$\text{ZnO}$–$\text{MgO}$ glass system at different penetration depths 5 and 40 mfp.

Fig. 10. The variation of exposure buildup factor (EBF) for each glass with photon energy at different penetration depths 1, 5, 10, 20, 30 and 40 mfp.
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