

## ON ARTINIANNES OF GENERAL LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

NGUYEN MINH TRI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show some results on the artinianness of local cohomology modules with respect to a system of ideals. If  $M$  is a  $\Phi$ -minimax ZD-module, then  $H_{\Phi}^{\dim M}(M)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^{\dim M}(M)$  is artinian for all  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ . Moreover, if  $M$  is a  $\Phi$ -minimax ZD-module,  $t$  is a non-negative integer and  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is minimax for all  $i > t$ , then  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is artinian for all  $i > t$ .

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  is a noetherian commutative (with non-zero identity) ring and  $\Phi$  is a system of ideals of  $R$ . It is well-known that the local cohomology theory of Grothendieck is an important tool in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. In [3], a non-empty set of ideals  $\Phi$  of  $R$  is called to be a system of ideals if whenever  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \Phi$ , then there is an ideal  $\mathfrak{c} \in \Phi$  such that  $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ . For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , the  $\Phi$ -torsion submodule of  $M$  is  $\Gamma_{\Phi}(M) = \{x \in M \mid \mathfrak{a}x = 0 \text{ for some } \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}$ . The authors denoted by  $H_{\Phi}^i$  the  $i$ -th right derived functor of the functor  $\Gamma_{\Phi}$ . It is clear that when  $\Phi = \{\mathfrak{a}^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , the functor  $H_{\Phi}^i$  coincides with the usual local cohomology functor  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i$ . In [3, Proposition 2.3], Bijan-Zadeh showed that

$$H_{\Phi}^i(M) \cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$$

for all  $i \geq 0$ . Moreover, [4, Lemma 2.1] gave us the isomorphism

$$H_{\Phi}^i(M) \cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$$

for all  $i \geq 0$ .

We recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is minimax if there is a finitely generated submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $M/N$  is artinian. The minimax modules were first introduced in [12] and then developed in [9, 13]. It is clear that if  $M$  is a minimax  $R$ -module and  $\text{Supp}_R M \subseteq \text{Max} R$ , then  $M$  is artinian. We see that  $M$

---

Received May 26, 2020; Revised January 28, 2021; Accepted March 8, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13D45, 13E10.

*Key words and phrases*. Artinianness, local cohomology, system of ideals,  $\Phi$ -minimax, ZD-modules.

is minimax if and only if  $M/N$  has finite Goldie dimension for each submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . Note that, an  $R$ -module  $N$  is said to have finite Goldie dimension (written  $\text{Gdim} N < \infty$ ) if  $N$  does not contain an infinite direct sum of non-zero submodules, or equivalently the injective hull  $E(N)$  of  $N$  decomposes as a finite direct sum of indecomposable (injective) submodules.

For a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ , let  $\mu^0(\mathfrak{p}, N)$  denote the 0-th Bass number of  $N$  with respect to the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . It is known that  $\mu^0(\mathfrak{p}, N) > 0$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N$ . It is clear by the definition of the Goldie dimension that

$$\text{Gdim} N = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N} \mu^0(\mathfrak{p}, N).$$

In [6], the authors introduced and studied the concept  $\mathfrak{a}$ -relative Goldie dimension which is a generalization of Goldie dimension. They used the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -relative Goldie dimension to investigate the artinianness of local cohomology modules with respect to an ideal. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $R$ . The  $\mathfrak{a}$ -relative Goldie dimension of  $N$ , denoted by  $\text{Gdim}_{\mathfrak{a}} N$ , is defined as

$$\text{Gdim}_{\mathfrak{a}} N = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \text{Ass}_R N} \mu^0(\mathfrak{p}, N).$$

Since  $\text{Ass}_R N \cap V(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{Ass}_R \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$ , one obtains

$$\text{Gdim}_{\mathfrak{a}} N = \text{Gdim} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N).$$

By using  $\mathfrak{a}$ -relative Goldie dimension and ZD-modules, many results on the artinianness of local cohomology modules were provided in [6].

In [5], the author showed that  $H_{\Phi}^{\dim R}(R)$  is artinian provided that  $R$  is a local ring. In generally, if  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, then  $H_{\Phi}^{\dim M}(M)$  is not artinian.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the artinianness of local cohomology modules with respect to a system of ideals  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$ . First, we introduce the concept  $\Phi$ -relative Goldie dimension of a module which is an extension of  $\mathfrak{a}$ -relative Goldie dimension in [6]. Next, an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $\Phi$ -minimax if the  $\Phi$ -relative Goldie dimension of any quotient module of  $M$  is finite. The first main result is Theorem 3.1 which says that if  $M$  is a  $\Phi$ -minimax ZD-module, then  $H_{\Phi}^{\dim M}(M)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^{\dim M}(M)$  is artinian for all  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ . Next, we will see in Theorem 3.2 that if  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring and  $M$  is a  $\Phi$ -minimax ZD-module such that  $\text{Supp}_R H_{\Phi}^i(M) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  for all  $i < t$ , then  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is artinian for all  $i < t$ . Theorem 3.3 is devoted to the study the relationship on the vanishing and the finiteness of  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$ . The paper is closed by Theorem 3.8 which shows that if  $M$  is a  $\Phi$ -minimax ZD-module and  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is minimax for all  $i > t$ , then  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is artinian for all  $i > t$ .

## 2. $\Phi$ -minimax modules

Let  $\Phi$  be a system of ideals of  $R$ . An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $\Phi$ -torsion-free if  $\Gamma_\Phi(M) = 0$ . It is worth noting that

$$\Gamma_\Phi(M) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi} (0 :_M \mathfrak{a}) \cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M).$$

Therefore, if  $M$  is  $\Phi$ -torsion-free, then it is  $\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion-free for all  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ . Let  $\Omega = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi} V(\mathfrak{a})$ , we introduce a new notion which is motivated by  $\mathfrak{a}$ -relative Goldie dimension.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Phi$  be a system of ideals of  $R$  and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. The  $\Phi$ -relative Goldie dimension of  $M$ , denoted by  $\text{Gdim}_\Phi M$ , is defined as

$$\text{Gdim}_\Phi M = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega \cap \text{Ass}_R M} \mu^0(\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\Phi$  be a system of ideals of  $R$  and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then

$$\text{Ass}_R \Gamma_\Phi(M) = \text{Ass}_R M \cap \Omega.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R \Gamma_\Phi(M)$ . There exists a non-zero element  $x \in \Gamma_\Phi(M)$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R x$ . Since  $x \in \Gamma_\Phi(M)$ , we have an ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}x = 0$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and then  $\text{Ass}_R \Gamma_\Phi(M) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R M \cap \Omega$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R M \cap \Omega$ . Then we have a non-zero element  $x \in M$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R x$ . Therefore, one obtains  $\mathfrak{a}x = 0$ . Hence,  $x \in \Gamma_\Phi(M)$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R \Gamma_\Phi(M)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $\Phi$  be a system of ideals of  $R$  and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{Gdim}_\Phi M = \text{Gdim} \Gamma_\Phi(M)$ .

In [7], an  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a ZD-module if for any submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , the set  $Z_R(M/N)$  is a finite union of prime ideals in  $\text{Ass}_R(M/N)$ . Let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ , we denote

$$S^{-1}\Phi = \{S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \Phi\}.$$

If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} R$  and  $S = R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ , we rewrite  $S^{-1}\Phi$  by  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $M$  be a ZD-module. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\text{Gdim}_\Phi M$  is finite;
- (ii)  $\text{Gdim}_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is finite for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{Gdim}_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is finite for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$ , which is maximal in  $\text{Ass}_R M$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) It follows from Corollary 2.3 that  $\text{Gdim}_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Gdim} \Gamma_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Let  $E(\Gamma_\Phi(M))$  be the injective hull of  $\Gamma_\Phi(M)$ . Note that, if  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ , then

$$S^{-1}E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & S \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq \emptyset, \\ E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}), & S \cap \mathfrak{p} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, if  $S \cap \mathfrak{p} = \emptyset$ , then

$$S^{-1}E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong E_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}(R/\mathfrak{p})).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Gamma_\Phi(M))_{\mathfrak{p}} &= \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_R \Gamma_\Phi(M)} \mu^0(\mathfrak{q}, M) E_R(R/\mathfrak{q}) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_R \Gamma_\Phi(M)} \mu^0(\mathfrak{q}, M) E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &= E(\Gamma_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can claim that  $\text{Gdim}_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \text{Gdim}_{\Phi} M$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) It is clear.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Since  $M$  is a ZD-module, by [6, Lemma 2.3] we may assume that  $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k\}$  is the set of maximal elements in  $\text{Ass}_R M$ . For each  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ , we have by Corollary 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gdim}_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} M_{\mathfrak{p}_i} &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} \Gamma_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}_i})} \mu^0(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}, M_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R \Gamma_\Phi(M), \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i} \mu^0(\mathfrak{p}, M). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gdim}_{\Phi} M &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R \Gamma_\Phi(M), \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i} \mu^0(\mathfrak{p}, M) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Gdim}_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}_i}} M_{\mathfrak{p}_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and which completes the proof.  $\square$

Azami, Naghipour and Vakili [2] defined that an  $R$ -module  $N$  is  $\mathfrak{a}$ -minimax if the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -relative Goldie dimension of any quotient module of  $N$  is finite. The concept of  $\mathfrak{a}$ -minimax modules is a generalization of the one of minimax modules. The following definition is an extension of  $\mathfrak{a}$ -minimax modules.

**Definition 2.5.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $\Phi$ -minimax if the  $\Phi$ -relative Goldie dimension of any quotient module of  $M$  is finite.

We have some primary properties on  $\Phi$ -minimax modules.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. The following statements hold:

- (i) If  $M$  is a  $\Phi$ -minimax  $R$ -module, then  $\text{Ass}_R M \cap \Omega$  is a finite set.
- (ii) Let  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. Then  $B$  is  $\Phi$ -minimax if and only if  $A$  and  $C$  are both  $\Phi$ -minimax. Thus any submodule and quotient of a  $\Phi$ -minimax module as well as any finite direct sum of  $\Phi$ -minimax modules are  $\Phi$ -minimax.

- (iii) Let  $N$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $M$  a  $\Phi$ -minimax  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$  and  $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$  are  $\Phi$ -minimax for all  $i \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) It follows from Definition 2.5.

(ii) We can assume that  $A$  is a submodule of  $B$  and  $C \cong B/A$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $B$  be a  $\Phi$ -minimax  $R$ -module and  $A'$  a submodule of  $A$ . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{A}{A'} \rightarrow \frac{B}{A'} \rightarrow \frac{B}{A} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \frac{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}{A'_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \frac{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}{A'_{\mathfrak{p}}}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} R$  and  $k(\mathfrak{p}) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\text{Ass}_R(A/A') \cap \Omega \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(B/A') \cap \Omega.$$

Consequently, we can conclude that  $A$  is  $\Phi$ -minimax. Next, let  $C'$  be a submodule of  $C$ . There exists a submodule  $D$  of  $B$  containing  $A$  such that  $C' \cong D/A$ . It follows that  $C/C' \cong B/D$  and then  $C$  is  $\Phi$ -minimax.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $A, C$  are both  $\Phi$ -minimax and  $B'$  a submodule of  $B$ . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{A}{A \cap B'} \rightarrow \frac{B}{B'} \rightarrow \frac{B}{A + B'} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \frac{A_{\mathfrak{p}} + B'_{\mathfrak{p}}}{B'_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \frac{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}{B'_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \frac{B_{\mathfrak{p}}}{A_{\mathfrak{p}} + B'_{\mathfrak{p}}}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} R$  and  $k(\mathfrak{p}) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Moreover, there is an isomorphism

$$\frac{B}{A + B'} \cong \frac{B/A}{(A + B')/A}$$

and an inclusion

$$\text{Ass}_R(B/B') \cap \Omega \subseteq (\text{Ass}_R(A/A \cap B') \cap \Omega) \cup (\text{Ass}_R(B/(A + B')) \cap \Omega).$$

Therefore, one can claim that  $B$  is  $\Phi$ -minimax.

(iii) We will prove the assertion for the Ext modules, and it is similar to the case of the Tor modules. Since  $N$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module over a noetherian ring,  $N$  has a free resolution

$$\mathbf{F} : \cdots \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $F_i$  is finitely generated free for all  $i \geq 0$ . Consequently, for each non-negative integer  $i$ , there is a positive integer  $t$  such that  $\text{Hom}_R(F_i, M) = \oplus^t M$ . We know that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) = H^i(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{F}, M))$  which is a subquotient of the  $\Phi$ -minimax module  $\text{Hom}_R(F_i, M)$ . Hence, the assertion follows from (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ass}_R M \subseteq \Omega$ . Then  $M = \Gamma_\Phi(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M$  a non-zero element. Then  $\text{Ass}_R(Rx) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k\}$  is a finite set. There exist  $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_k \in \Phi$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i \in V(\mathfrak{a}_i)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Note that

$$\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(Rx)} = \cap_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_i \supseteq \cap_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{a}_i \supseteq \prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{a}_i.$$

Hence, there is an ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}x = 0$ . We assert that  $M = \Gamma_\Phi(M)$ , as required.  $\square$

The following result is an extension of [2, Proposition 2.6].

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\Phi$ -minimax  $R$ -module and  $\text{Ass}_R M \subseteq \Omega$ . Then  $H_\Phi^i(M)$  is  $\Phi$ -minimax for all  $i \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Gamma_\Phi(M)$  is a submodule of  $M$ , it follows from Proposition 2.6(ii) that  $\Gamma_\Phi(M)$  is  $\Phi$ -minimax.

By Lemma 2.7, we have  $M = \Gamma_\Phi(M)$ . It follows from [10, 1.4] that  $H_\Phi^i(M) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. On the artinianness of local cohomology modules

In this section, we will consider the artinianness of general local cohomology module  $H_\Phi^i(M)$  under condition that  $M$  is a  $\Phi$ -minimax ZD-module. In [6, Corollary 3.3], if  $M$  is a  $\mathfrak{a}$ -minimax ZD-module of dimension  $n$ , then  $H_\mathfrak{a}^n(M)$  is artinian. We have the first main result of this paper which is an extension of [6, Corollary 3.3].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a ZD-module of dimension  $n$ . Assume that  $M$  is  $\Phi$ -minimax. Then  $H_\Phi^n(M)/\mathfrak{a}H_\Phi^n(M)$  is artinian for all  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 0$ . By Proposition 2.6(ii),  $H_\Phi^0(M)$  is  $\Phi$ -minimax. Hence, the set  $\text{Ass}_R H_\Phi^0(M)$  is finite. Moreover, we have  $\text{Ass}_R H_\Phi^0(M) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R M \subseteq \text{Max} R$  since  $\dim M = 0$ . This implies that the injective hull  $E(H_\Phi^0(M))$  is artinian. Hence, so is  $H_\Phi^0(M)$ .

Let  $n > 0$ . It follows from [10, 1.4] that  $H_\Phi^n(M) \cong H_\Phi^n(M/\Gamma_\Phi(M))$ . We may assume that  $M$  is  $\Phi$ -torsion-free. Therefore,  $M$  is  $\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion-free for all  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ , there exists an element  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$  which is  $M$ -regular. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$$

yields the following exact sequence

$$H_\Phi^{n-1}(M/xM) \rightarrow H_\Phi^n(M) \xrightarrow{x} H_\Phi^n(M) \rightarrow H_\Phi^n(M/xM).$$

By [7, Proposition 4] and Proposition 2.6,  $M/xM$  is a  $\Phi$ -minimax ZD-module and  $\dim M/xM \leq n - 1$ . In view of [3, 2.7], one obtains  $H_\Phi^n(M/xM) = 0$ .

Applying the functor  $R/\mathfrak{a} \otimes_R -$  to the above exact sequence, we have a following exact sequence

$$H_{\Phi}^{n-1}(M/xM)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^{n-1}(M/xM) \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^n(M)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^n(M) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\Phi}^n(M)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^n(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

The inductive hypothesis shows that  $H_{\Phi}^{n-1}(M/xM)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^{n-1}(M/xM)$  is artinian. This leads the artinianness of  $0 :_{H_{\Phi}^n(M)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^n(M)} x$ . Since  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ , it follows from [8, Theorem 1.3] that  $H_{\Phi}^n(M)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^n(M)$  is artinian.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring,  $M$  a ZD-module and  $t$  a non-negative integer. Assume that  $M$  is  $\Phi$ -minimax and  $\text{Supp}_R H_{\Phi}^i(M) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  for all  $i < t$ . Then  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is artinian for all  $i < t$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $i$ . It is similar to the argument of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that  $H_{\Phi}^0(M)$  is artinian. Let  $i > 0$  and assume that  $H_{\Phi}^{t-2}(M)$  is artinian. Let  $\overline{M} = M/\Gamma_{\Phi}(M)$ , it follows from [10, 1.4] that  $H_{\Phi}^i(M) \cong H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M})$ . Since  $\overline{M}$  is  $\Phi$ -torsion-free, it is  $\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion-free for any  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}$  contains an element  $x$  which is  $\overline{M}$ -regular. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{M} \xrightarrow{x} \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}/x\overline{M} \rightarrow 0$$

leads the following exact sequence

$$H_{\Phi}^{i-1}(\overline{M}/x\overline{M}) \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}) \rightarrow \dots$$

By the assumption,  $\text{Supp}_R H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}/x\overline{M}) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$  for all  $i < t-1$ . Combining Proposition 2.6 with [7, Proposition 1.4] we see that  $\overline{M}/x\overline{M}$  is a ZD-module as well as  $\Phi$ -minimax module. The inductive hypothesis deduces that  $H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}/x\overline{M})$  is artinian for all  $i < t-1$ . Hence, one gets that  $0 :_{H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(M)} x$  is artinian. Since  $\text{Supp}_R H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(M) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\} \subseteq V(xR)$ , the artinianness of  $H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(M)$  is followed from [8, Theorem 1.3].  $\square$

Next, we have a connection on the finiteness and the vanishing of local cohomology modules with respect to a system of ideals. This is also an improvement of [11, Proposition 3.1].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring,  $M$  a  $\Phi$ -minimax ZD-module and  $t$  a positive integer. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H_{\Phi}^i(M) = 0$  for all  $i \geq t$ ;
- (ii)  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is finitely generated for all  $i \geq t$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) The proof is by induction on  $\dim M$ . Let  $n = \dim M$ . If  $n = 0$ , then  $H_{\Phi}^i(M) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ .

Let  $n > 0$ , it follows from [10, 1.4] that

$$H_{\Phi}^i(M) \cong H_{\Phi}^i(M/\Gamma_{\Phi}(M))$$

for all  $i > 0$ . Let  $\overline{M} = M/\Gamma_{\Phi}(M)$ , it is clear that  $\overline{M}$  is  $\Phi$ -torsion-free. This implies that  $\overline{M}$  is  $\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion-free for all  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ . In particular, there is an element  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  which is regular on  $\overline{M}$ . Now, the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{M} \xrightarrow{x} \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}/x\overline{M} \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}) \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}/x\overline{M}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

By the assumption,  $H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}/x\overline{M})$  is finitely generated for all  $i \geq t$ . Since  $\dim(\overline{M}/x\overline{M}) < \dim(\overline{M}) \leq n$  and  $\overline{M}/x\overline{M}$  is a ZD-module, it follows from the inductive hypothesis that  $H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}/x\overline{M}) = 0$  for all  $i \geq t$ . Now the long exact sequence yields

$$H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}) = xH_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M})$$

for all  $i \geq t$ . By Nakayama's Lemma, we can conclude that  $H_{\Phi}^i(\overline{M}) = 0$  for all  $i \geq t$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

It is clear that finitely generated  $R$ -modules are  $\Phi$ -minimax ZD-modules. Hence, the following consequence is deduced immediately from Theorem 3.3.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $t$  a positive integer. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H_{\Phi}^i(M) = 0$  for all  $i \geq t$ ;
- (ii)  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is finitely generated for all  $i \geq t$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring,  $M$  a minimax  $R$ -module and  $t > 1$  a positive integer. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H_{\Phi}^i(M) = 0$  for all  $i \geq t$ ;
- (ii)  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is finitely generated for all  $i \geq t$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Trivial. We now prove (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Since  $M$  is a minimax  $R$ -module, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $N$  is finitely generated and  $A$  is artinian. By applying the functor  $\Gamma_{\Phi}(-)$  to the above exact sequence, we get a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^0(N) \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^0(M) \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^0(A) \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^1(N) \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^1(M) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$H_{\Phi}^i(N) \cong H_{\Phi}^i(M)$$

for all  $i \geq 2$ . By the hypothesis,  $H_{\Phi}^i(N)$  is finitely generated for all  $i \geq t$ . It follows from Corollary 3.4 that  $H_{\Phi}^i(N) = 0$  for all  $i \geq t$  and which completes the proof.  $\square$

We recall the cohomological dimension of  $M$  with respect to a system of ideals

$$\text{cd}(\Phi, M) = \sup\{i \mid H_{\Phi}^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$



**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module with  $\text{cd}(\Phi, M) > 0$ . Then  $H_{\Phi}^{\text{cd}(\Phi, M)}(M)$  is not finitely generated.*

*Proof.* The assertion follows easily from Corollary 3.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module with finite dimension and  $t > 1$  a positive integer such that  $H_{\Phi}^i(M) = 0$  for all  $i \geq t$ . Then  $H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(M)/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(M) = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{a} \in \Phi$ , in the proof of Theorem 3.3, there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(\overline{M}) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(\overline{M}) \rightarrow H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(\overline{M}/x\overline{M}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ . By the inductive hypothesis of the dimension of  $M$ , one asserts that  $H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(\overline{M}/x\overline{M})/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(\overline{M}/x\overline{M}) = 0$ . Moreover, there is an isomorphism

$$H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(\overline{M})/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(\overline{M}) \cong H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(\overline{M}/x\overline{M})/\mathfrak{a}H_{\Phi}^{t-1}(\overline{M}/x\overline{M}),$$

and which complete the proof.  $\square$

By using Theorem 3.3 and a fact of minimax modules, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\Phi$ -minimax ZD-module and  $t$  a positive integer. Assume that  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is a minimax  $R$ -module for all  $i \geq t$ . Then  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is an artinian  $R$ -module for all  $i \geq t$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $i \geq t$ . Since  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$  is minimax, we see that  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong H_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is a finitely generated  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  which is not maximal. By [7, Proposition 3(2)],  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a ZD  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. By using Proposition 2.6, we can check that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -minimax  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . This implies that  $\text{Supp}_R H_{\Phi}^i(M) \subseteq \text{Max} R$  and then we have the artinianness of  $H_{\Phi}^i(M)$ .  $\square$

The following consequence is an extension of [1, Theorem 2.3].

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $M$  be an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -minimax ZD-module and  $t$  a positive integer. Assume that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$  is a minimax  $R$ -module for all  $i \geq t$ . Then  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$  is an artinian  $R$ -module for all  $i \geq t$ .*

**Acknowledgments.** The author is deeply grateful to the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and for the helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] M. Aghapournahr and L. Melkersson, *Finiteness properties of minimax and coatomic local cohomology modules*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **94** (2010), no. 6, 519–528. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-010-0127-z>
- [2] J. Azami, R. Naghipour, and B. Vakili, *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for  $\mathfrak{a}$ -minimax modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 2, 439–448. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09530-0>

- [3] M. H. Bijan-Zadeh, *Torsion theories and local cohomology over commutative and Noetherian rings*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **19** (1979), no. 3, 402–410. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-19.3.402>
- [4] ———, *A common generalization of local cohomology theories*, Glasgow Math. J. **21** (1980), no. 2, 173–181. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089500004158>
- [5] ———, *On the Artinian property of certain general local cohomology modules*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **32** (1985), no. 3, 399–403. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-32.3.399>
- [6] K. Divaani-Aazar and M. A. Esmkhani, *Artinianness of local cohomology modules of ZD-modules*, Comm. Algebra **33** (2005), no. 8, 2857–2863. <https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-200063983>
- [7] E. G. Evans, Jr., *Zero divisors in Noetherian-like rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **155** (1971), 505–512. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1995700>
- [8] L. Melkersson, *On asymptotic stability for sets of prime ideals connected with the powers of an ideal*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **107** (1990), no. 2, 267–271. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100068535>
- [9] P. Rudlof, *On minimax and related modules*, Canad. J. Math. **44** (1992), no. 1, 154–166. <https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1992-009-7>
- [10] R. Y. Sharp and P. Schenzel, *Cousin complexes and generalized Hughes complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **68** (1994), no. 3, 499–517. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-68.3.499>
- [11] K.-I. Yoshida, *Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of dimension one*, Nagoya Math. J. **147** (1997), 179–191. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000006371>
- [12] H. Zöschinger, *Minimax-moduln*, J. Algebra **102** (1986), no. 1, 1–32. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(86\)90125-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(86)90125-0)
- [13] ———, *Über die Maximalbedingung für radikalvolle Untermoduln*, Hokkaido Math. J. **17** (1988), no. 1, 101–116. <https://doi.org/10.14492/hokmj/1381517790>

NGUYEN MINH TRI

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL SCIENCE EDUCATION

DONG NAI UNIVERSITY

4 LE QUY DON STREET, TAN HIEP WARD, BIEN HOA CITY

DONG NAI PROVINCE, VIETNAM

Email address: [nguyenminhtri@dnpu.edu.vn](mailto:nguyenminhtri@dnpu.edu.vn), [triminhng@gmail.com](mailto:triminhng@gmail.com)