



## SOME COINCIDENCE POINT THEOREMS FOR PREŠIĆ-ĆIRIĆ TYPE CONTRACTIONS

Qamrul Haq Khan<sup>1</sup> and Faruk Sk<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India  
e-mail: qhkhan.ssitm@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India  
e-mail: sk.faruk.amu@gmail.com

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove some coincidence point theorems for mappings satisfying nonlinear Prešić-Ćirić type contraction in complete metric spaces as well as in ordered metric spaces. As a consequence, we deduce corresponding fixed point theorems. Further, we give some examples to substantiate the utility of our results.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental fixed point result, called Banach contraction principle, is due to Polish mathematician Banach [3] in 1922. This classical result states:

**Theorem 1.1.** ([3]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. If there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

---

<sup>0</sup>Received September 18, 2020. Revised October 18, 2020. Accepted December 24, 2020.

<sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10, 37C25.

<sup>0</sup>Keywords: Prešić type mapping, coincidence point, common fixed point, ordered metric space.

<sup>0</sup>Corresponding author: F. Sk(sk.faruk.amu@gmail.com).

There are many generalizations of Banach contraction principle, like as [1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. One of the most generalizations is given by Prešić [12] in 1965.

**Theorem 1.2.** ([12]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. If there exist constants  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \in (0, 1)$  satisfying  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k < 1$  such that*

$$d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i d(x_i, x_{i+1})$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point, that is, there exists a unique  $x \in X$  such that  $T(x, x, \dots, x) = x$ .

The result of Prešić is very important because this theorem can be used to investigate the existence of solution for several linear and nonlinear difference equations. For instance, consider  $k$ -th order nonlinear difference equations:

$$x_{n+k} = T(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (1.1)$$

with initial value  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ , where  $(X, d)$  is a metric space,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$ . The equation (1.1) can be studied by means of fixed point theory in view of the fact that  $x^* \in X$  is a solution of (1.1) if and only if  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ , that is,

$$x^* = T(x^*, x^*, \dots, x^*).$$

Afterward, some generalizations of Theorem 1.2 were established (See [4, 13, 15] and references therein). In this continuation, Ćirić and Prešić [4] extended Theorem 1.2 as follows:

**Theorem 1.3.** ([4]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. If there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point, that is, there exists a  $x \in X$  such that  $T(x, x, \dots, x) = x$ .

If in addition, we suppose that on the diagonal  $\Delta \subset X^k$ ,

$$d(T(u, u, \dots, u), T(v, v, \dots, v)) < d(gu, gv) \quad (1.2)$$

holds for all  $v, u \in X$  with  $g(u) \neq g(v)$ , then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

In this paper, firstly, we prove a coincidence point theorem for mappings satisfying nonlinear Prešić-Ćirić type contraction in complete metric spaces which is a generalization of some existing fixed point results. Then we prove a coincidence point theorem in the context of ordered metric spaces for  $g$ -increasing

mappings satisfying nonlinear Prešić-Ćirić type contraction. Further, we give some examples to substantiate the utility of our results.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give some basic definitions which will be required to prove our main results. Throughout this paper, we denote  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  as  $\mathbb{N}_0$  and  $g(x)$  as  $gx$  for some places.

**Definition 2.1.** ([5]) Two mappings  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  are said to be commuting if for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ ,

$$T(gx_1, gx_2, \dots, gx_k) = g(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)).$$

**Definition 2.2.** ([5]) Let  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. A point  $x \in X$  is called a coincidence point of  $T$  and  $g$  if

$$T(x, x, \dots, x) = g(x).$$

**Definition 2.3.** ([5]) Let  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. A point  $x \in X$  is called a common fixed point of  $T$  and  $g$  if

$$T(x, x, \dots, x) = g(x) = x.$$

Let  $\Phi$  denote all functions  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying

- (i)  $\varphi$  is continuous and increasing,
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi^i(t) < \infty$  for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** ([9]) *Suppose that  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is increasing. Then for every  $t > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$  implies  $\varphi(t) < t$ .*

The property (ii) of  $\varphi$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$  for every  $t > 0$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, if  $\varphi \in \Phi$  then  $\varphi(t) < t$ .

Now we are well equipped to establish our results.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove a coincidence point theorem for a nonlinear Prešić-Ćirić type contraction in a complete metric space.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings such that the following conditions hold:*

- (a)  $T(X^k) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (b)  $T$  and  $g$  commuting pair,
- (c)  $g$  is continuous,

(d) there exists  $\varphi \in \Phi$

$$d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \varphi(\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{d(gx_i, gx_{i+1})\}) \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$ .

Then  $T$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point.

If in addition to the above hypothesis, we consider the following condition:

(e) On the diagonal  $\Delta \subset X^k$ ,

$$d(T(u, u, \dots, u), T(v, v, \dots, v)) < d(gu, gv) \quad (3.2)$$

holds for all  $u, v \in X$  with  $g(u) \neq g(v)$ ,

then  $T$  and  $g$  have unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  be  $k$  arbitrary points in  $X$ . Using these points and condition (a) define a sequence  $\{gx_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as follows:

$$g(x_{n+k}) = T(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}). \quad (3.3)$$

Suppose  $\alpha = \max\{d(gx_1, gx_2), d(gx_2, gx_3), \dots, d(gx_k, gx_{k+1})\}$ . Now if  $gx_1 = gx_2 = \dots = gx_k = gx_{k+1} = x$ , then we are done. Otherwise, we may assume that  $gx_1, gx_2, \dots, gx_k, gx_{k+1}$  are not all equal, then we know that  $\alpha > 0$ . By assumption (d), (3.3) and Lemma 2.4 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{k+1}, gx_{k+2}) &= d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(gx_1, gx_2), d(gx_2, gx_3), \dots, d(gx_k, gx_{k+1})\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\alpha) < \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{k+2}, gx_{k+3}) &= d(T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}), T(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{k+2})) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(gx_2, gx_3), d(gx_3, gx_4), \dots, d(gx_{k+1}, gx_{k+2})\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha)\}) < \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{2k}, gx_{2k+1}) &= d(T(x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{2k-1}), T(x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{2k})) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(gx_k, gx_{k+1}), d(gx_{k+1}, gx_{k+2}), \dots, d(gx_{2k-1}, gx_{2k})\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{\alpha, \varphi(\alpha), \dots, \varphi(\alpha)\}) = \varphi(\alpha) < \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{2k+1}, gx_{2k+2}) &= d(T(x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{2k}), T(x_{k+2}, x_{k+3}, \dots, x_{2k+1})) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{d(gx_{k+1}, gx_{k+2}), d(gx_{k+2}, gx_{k+3}), \dots, \\ &\quad d(gx_{2k}, gx_{2k+1})\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{\varphi(\alpha), \varphi(\alpha), \dots, \varphi(\alpha)\}) = \varphi^2(\alpha) < \alpha \end{aligned}$$

and so on

$$d(gx_{nk+1}, gx_{nk+2}) \leq \varphi^n(\alpha), \quad n \geq 1$$

and

$$d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) \leq \varphi^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}(\alpha), \quad n \geq k. \quad (3.4)$$

By the property (ii) of  $\varphi$  and (3.4), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

For any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > k$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_n, gx_{n+m}) &\leq d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + \dots \\ &\quad + d(gx_{n+m-1}, gx_{n+m}) \\ &\leq \varphi^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \rfloor}(\alpha) + \varphi^{\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor}(\alpha) + \dots + \varphi^{\lfloor \frac{n+m-2}{k} \rfloor}(\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Assume  $l = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{k} \rfloor$  and  $m' = \lfloor \frac{n+m-2}{k} \rfloor$ . Then  $l \leq m'$ . It follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_n, gx_{n+m}) &\leq \underbrace{\varphi^l(\alpha) + \varphi^l(\alpha) + \dots + \varphi^l(\alpha)}_{k \text{ times}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\varphi^{l+1}(\alpha) + \varphi^{l+1}(\alpha) + \dots + \varphi^{l+1}(\alpha)}_{k \text{ times}} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\varphi^{m'}(\alpha) + \varphi^{m'}(\alpha) + \dots + \varphi^{m'}(\alpha)}_{k \text{ times}}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+m}) \leq k \sum_{i=l}^{m'} \varphi^i(\alpha). \quad (3.7)$$

By the property (ii) of  $\varphi$ , we have

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=l}^{\infty} \varphi^i(t) = 0$$

and in view of (3.7), we have  $d(gx_n, gx_{n+m}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This means that  $\{gx_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = x. \quad (3.8)$$

Using assumption (c) and (3.8), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(gx_n) = g(x). \quad (3.9)$$

By using (3.3) and commutativity of  $T$  and  $g$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(gx_{n+k}) &= g(T(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})) \\ &= T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By using triangular inequality and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx, T(x, x, \dots, x)) &\leq d(gx, g(gx_{n+k})) + d(g(gx_{n+k}), T(x, x, \dots, x)) \\ &= d(gx, g(gx_{n+k})) \\ &\quad + d(T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}), T(x, x, \dots, x)) \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx, T(x, x, \dots, x)) &\leq d(gx, g(gx_{n+k})) + d(T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}), T(gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}, x)) \\ &\quad + d(T(gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}, x), T(gx_{n+2}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}, x, x)) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + d(T(gx_{n+k-1}, x, \dots, x), T(x, x, \dots, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by assumption (d), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx, T(x, x, \dots, x)) &\leq d(gx, g(gx_{n+k})) + \varphi(\max\{d(g(gx_n), g(gx_{n+1})), \dots, d(g(gx_{n+k-1}), g(x))\}) \\ &\quad + \varphi(\max\{d(g(gx_{n+1}), g(gx_{n+2})), \dots, d(g(gx_{n+k-1}), g(x)), d(g(x), g(x))\}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + \varphi(\max\{d(g(gx_{n+k-1}), g(x)), d(g(x), g(x)), \dots, d(g(x), g(x))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (3.8), (3.9), (3.5) and properties of  $\varphi$ , we have

$$d(gx, T(x, x, \dots, x)) \leq 0,$$

that is,

$$d(gx, T(x, x, \dots, x)) = 0$$

which gives

$$g(x) = T(x, x, \dots, x).$$

Hence,  $x$  is a coincidence point of  $T$  and  $g$ .

Now, suppose assumption (e) holds. We show that  $T$  and  $g$  have unique common fixed point. Let  $x$  and  $y$  be the two coincidence points of  $T$  and  $g$  then

$$T(x, x, \dots, x) = g(x) = \bar{x} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$T(y, y, \dots, y) = g(y) = \bar{y}. \quad (3.12)$$

Then we shall show that

$$\bar{x} = \bar{y}. \quad (3.13)$$

On contrary, suppose that  $\bar{x} \neq \bar{y}$ , then by using assumption (e), (3.11) and (3.12), we get

$$d(T(x, x, \dots, x), T(y, y, \dots, y)) < d(gx, gy)$$

so that

$$d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < d(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

which is a contradiction yielding that (3.13) holds.

Again since  $T$  and  $g$  are commuting pair, from (3.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{x}) &= g(T(x, x, \dots, x)) \\ &= T(gx, gx, \dots, gx) \\ &= T(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$g(\bar{x}) = T(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x}), \quad (3.14)$$

which implies that  $\bar{x}$  is also coincidence point of  $T$  and  $g$ .

Using (3.13) and (3.14), we get

$$T(\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x}) = g(\bar{x}) = \bar{x},$$

which yields that  $\bar{x}$  is a common fixed point of  $T$  and  $g$ .

Suppose that  $x^*$  is another common fixed point of  $T$  and  $g$ . Then again by using assumption (3.13), we get

$$x^* = g(x^*) = g(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}.$$

Hence,  $T$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (a)  $T(X^k) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (b)  $T$  and  $g$  is a commuting pair,
- (c)  $g$  is continuous,
- (d) There exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} d(gx_i, gx_{i+1})$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$ .

Then  $T$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point.

If in addition to the above hypothesis we consider the following condition:

(e) On the diagonal  $\Delta \subset X^k$ ,

$$d(T(u, u, \dots, u), T(v, v, \dots, v)) < d(gu, gv)$$

holds for all  $u, v \in X$  with  $g(u) \neq g(v)$ ,

then  $T$  and  $g$  have unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* In Theorem 3.1, taking  $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  with  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  we obtain Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Some of existing results are deducible from our newly proved results, as given below:

- (1) In Theorem 3.1, taking  $g$  as identity map and considering  $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  with  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  we obtain Theorem 1.3.
- (2) If we take  $g$  as identity map with  $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  with  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and consider the map  $T$  on  $X$  in Theorem 3.1, then we obtain Theorem 1.1.
- (3) Contractive condition of Theorem 1.2 implies contractive conditions of Corollary 3.2. So, by considering the map  $g$  as identity in Corollary 3.2 we obtain Theorem 1.2.
- (4) Theorem 3.1 improves other fixed point results given by Luong and Thuan (Theorem 2.2) [8].

#### 4. RESULTS IN ORDERED METRIC SPACES

In this section, we prove a coincidence point theorem for  $g$ -increasing mappings satisfying nonlinear Prešić-Ćirić type contraction in an ordered complete metric space.

Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set. We endow  $X^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with the following partial order:

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \sqsubseteq (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \text{ if and only if } x_1 \preceq y_1, x_2 \preceq y_2, \dots, x_k \preceq y_k.$$

**Definition 4.1.** ([10]) Let  $X$  be a nonempty set with partial order  $\preceq$  and  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. Now,

(a) A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be increasing with respect to  $\preceq$  if

$$x_1 \preceq x_2 \preceq \dots \preceq x_n \preceq \dots,$$

(b)  $T$  is said to be increasing with respect to  $\preceq$  if for any finite increasing sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{k+1}$  we have,

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \preceq T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}),$$

(c)  $T$  is said to be  $g$ -increasing with respect to  $\preceq$  if for any finite increasing sequence  $\{gx_n\}_{n=1}^{k+1}$  we have,



$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \preceq T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}).$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $k$  be a positive integer and the mapping  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$  be  $g$ -increasing. Suppose the following conditions hold:*

- (a)  $T(X^k) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (b)  $T$  and  $g$  commuting pair,
- (c)  $T$  is continuous or if  $\{x_n\}$  is an increasing sequence with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then  $x_n \preceq x$  for all  $n$ ,
- (d)  $g$  is continuous,
- (e) there exist  $k$  elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$  such that

$$gx_1 \preceq gx_2 \preceq \dots \preceq gx_k \text{ and } gx_k \preceq T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k),$$

- (f) there exists  $\varphi \in \Phi$  such that

$$d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \varphi(\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{d(gx_i, gx_{i+1})\}) \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$  with  $gx_1 \preceq gx_2 \preceq \dots \preceq gx_{k+1}$ .

Then  $T$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point.

If in addition to the above hypothesis we consider the following condition:

- (g) On the diagonal  $\Delta \subset X^k$ ,

$$d(T(u, u, \dots, u), T(v, v, \dots, v)) < d(gu, gv) \quad (4.2)$$

holds for all  $u, v \in X$  with  $g(u) \neq g(v)$ ,

then  $T$  and  $g$  have unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* By assumption (e) there exist  $k$  elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$  such that

$$gx_1 \preceq gx_2 \preceq \dots \preceq gx_k \text{ and } gx_k \preceq T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k).$$

Using assumption (a) we can define a sequence  $\{gx_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$g(x_{n+k}) = T(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}). \quad (4.3)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} gx_{k+1} &= T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \succeq gx_k, \\ gx_{k+2} &= T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}) \succeq T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = gx_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we can show

$$gx_1 \preceq gx_2 \preceq \dots \preceq gx_n \preceq \dots \quad (4.4)$$

Proceeding in the same way as in Theorem 3.1, we can prove that  $\{gx_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = x. \quad (4.5)$$

By using assumption (d) and (4.5), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(g(x_n)) = g(x). \quad (4.6)$$

Using (4.3) and commutativity of  $T$  and  $g$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(gx_{n+k}) &= g(T(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})) \\ &= T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Now suppose that assumption (c) holds, i.e.,  $T$  is continuous. Using continuity of  $T$ , (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(gx_{n+k}) \\ &= T(g(x_n, gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1})) \\ &= T(x, x, \dots, x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $x$  is a coincidence point of  $T$  and  $g$ . Alternately, suppose that if  $\{x_n\}$  is an increasing sequence with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $x_n \preceq x$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\{gx_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is increasing, we have

$$gx_n \preceq x \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.8)$$

Using triangular inequality and (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx, T(x, x, \dots, x)) &\leq d(gx, g(gx_{n+k})) + d(g(gx_{n+k}), T(x, x, \dots, x)) \\ &= d(gx, g(gx_{n+k})) \\ &\quad + d(T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}), T(x, x, \dots, x)), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} &d(gx, T(x, x, \dots, x)) \\ &\leq d(gx, g(gx_{n+k})) + d(T(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}), T(gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}, x)) \\ &\quad + d(T(gx_{n+1}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}, x), T(gx_{n+2}, \dots, gx_{n+k-1}, x, x)) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + d(T(gx_{n+k-1}, x, \dots, x), T(x, x, \dots, x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in view of (4.8) and assumption (f), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d(gx, T(x, x, \dots, x)) \\ &\leq d(gx, g(gx_{n+k})) + \varphi(\max\{d(g(gx_n), g(gx_{n+1})), \dots, d(g(gx_{n+k-1}), g(x))\}) \\ &\quad + \varphi(\max\{d(g(gx_{n+1}), g(gx_{n+2})), \dots, d(g(gx_{n+k-1}), g(x)), d(g(x), g(x))\}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + \varphi(\max\{d(g(gx_{n+k-1}), g(x)), d(g(x), g(x)), \dots, d(g(x), g(x))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, following the lines of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can show that  $x$  is a coincidence point of  $T$  and  $g$ . The proof of existence of unique common fixed point is similar to Theorem 3.1. □

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose there is a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $k$  be a positive integer and the mapping  $T : X^k \rightarrow X$  be  $g$ -increasing. Suppose the following conditions hold:*

- (a)  $T(X^k) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (b)  $T$  and  $g$  commuting pair,
- (c)  $T$  is continuous or if  $\{x_n\}$  is an increasing sequence with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then  $x_n \preceq x$  for all  $n$ ,
- (d)  $g$  is continuous,
- (e) there exist  $k$  elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$  such that

$$gx_1 \preceq gx_2 \preceq \dots \preceq gx_k \text{ and } gx_k \preceq T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k),$$

- (f) there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{d(gx_i, gx_{i+1})\}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$  with  $gx_1 \preceq gx_2 \preceq \dots \preceq gx_{k+1}$ .

Then  $T$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point.

If in addition to the above hypothesis we consider the following condition:

- (g) On the diagonal  $\Delta \subset X^k$ ,

$$d(T(u, u, \dots, u), T(v, v, \dots, v)) < d(gu, gv)$$

holds for all  $u, v \in X$  with  $g(u) \neq g(v)$ ,

then  $T$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* In Theorem 4.2, taking  $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  with  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  we obtain Corollary 4.3. □

**Remark 4.4.** In Theorem 4.2, the contractive condition need not to hold on the whole space. Therefore, Theorem 4.2 is more general than Theorem 3.1.

### 5. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES

Now we give examples to support our results.

**Example 5.1.** Consider  $X = [0, 1]$  with usual metric  $d$ . Let  $T : X^2 \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings given by

$$T(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1^2 + 2x_2^2}{7} \text{ and } g(x_1) = x_1^2.$$

Then for any  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(T(x_1, x_2), T(x_2, x_3)) &= \left| \frac{x_1^2 + 2x_2^2}{7} - \frac{x_2^2 + 2x_3^2}{7} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{x_1^2}{7} + \frac{2x_2^2}{7} - \frac{x_2^2}{7} - \frac{2x_3^2}{7} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{(x_1^2 - x_2^2)}{7} + \frac{2}{7}(x_2^2 - x_3^2) \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{7} |x_1^2 - x_2^2| + \frac{2}{7} |x_2^2 - x_3^2| \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{7} |x_1^2 - x_2^2| + \frac{2}{7} |x_2^2 - x_3^2| \\
 &= \frac{2}{7} [d(gx_1, gx_2) + d(gx_2, gx_3)] \\
 &\leq \frac{4}{7} \max\{d(gx_1, gx_2), d(gx_2, gx_3)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(T(x_1, x_1), T(x_2, x_2)) &= \left| \frac{3x_1^2}{7} - \frac{3x_2^2}{7} \right| \\
 &= \frac{3}{7} |x_1^2 - x_2^2| \\
 &< |x_1^2 - x_2^2| \\
 &= d(gx_1, gx_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied with  $\varphi(t) = \frac{4}{7}t$ . Hence,  $T$  and  $g$  have unique common fixed point, that is,

$$T(0, 0) = g(0) = 0.$$

**Example 5.2.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  with usual metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Consider the partial order on  $X$ :

$$x, y \in X, x \preceq y \iff x, y \in \{0, 1\} \text{ and } x \leq y,$$

where  $\leq$  is usual order. Then  $X$  has the property: if  $\{x_n\}$  is increasing sequence,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then  $x_n \preceq x$  for all  $n$ . Define  $T : X^2 \rightarrow X$  as follows:

$$T(0, 0) = T(0, 1) = T(1, 1) = T(1, 0) = T(2, 2) = T(0, 2) = 0,$$

$$T(2, 1) = 1, T(1, 2) = T(2, 0) = 2,$$

and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  as follows:

$$g(0) = 0, g(1) = 2, g(2) = 1.$$

Then, obviously,  $T$  is  $g$ -increasing. Let  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be given by  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . If  $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in X$  with  $gy_1 \preceq gy_2 \preceq gy_3$ , then  $gy_1 = gy_2 = gy_3 = 0$  or  $gy_1 = gy_2 = gy_3 = 1$  or  $gy_1 = gy_2 = 0, gy_3 = 1$  or  $gy_1 = 0, gy_2 = gy_3 = 1$ . In all cases, we have  $d(T(y_1, y_2), T(y_2, y_3)) = 0$ , so

$$d(T(y_1, y_2), T(y_2, y_3)) \leq \varphi(\max\{d(gy_1, gy_2), d(gy_2, gy_3)\}).$$

Also,  $d(T(0, 0), T(1, 1)) = 0 < 2 = d(g(0), g(1))$ ,  $d(T(1, 1), T(2, 2)) = 0 < 1 = d(g(1), g(2))$  and  $d(T(0, 0), T(2, 2)) = 0 < 1 = d(g(0), g(2))$ . Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied. Applying Theorem 4.2, we can conclude that  $T$  has a unique common fixed point which is 0. However,

$$d(T(1, 1), T(1, 2)) = 2 > 1 > \varphi(1) = \varphi(\max\{d(g(1), g(1)), d(g(1), g(2))\})$$

for every  $\varphi \in \Phi$ . Hence, the contractive condition of Theorem 3.1 is not satisfied by the mapping. Therefore, we cannot apply this example to Theorem 3.1.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which improve the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alam, Q.H. Khan and M. Imdad, *Enriching the recent coincidence theorem for non-linear contraction in ordered metric spaces*, Fixed point theory Appl., 2015, **141** (2015), 1-14.
- [2] A. Alam, Q.H. Khan and M. Imdad, *Discussion on some recent order-theoretic metrical coincidence theorems involving nonlinear contractions*, J. Funct. Spaces, Vol **2016**, Article ID 6275367, 1-11.
- [3] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales*, Fund. Math. **3** (1922), 133-181.
- [4] L.B. Ćirić and S.B. Prešić, *On Prešić type generalization of Banach contraction principle*, Acta Math. Uni. Com., **76** (2007), 143-147.
- [5] R. George, K.P. Freshman and R. Palanquin, *A generalized fixed point theorem of Ćirić type in cone metric spaces and application to Markov process*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2011 : **85** (2011) doi 10.1186/1687-1812-2011-85.
- [6] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed point*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **60** (1968), 71-76.
- [7] Q.H. Khan and T. Rashid, *Coupled coincidence point of  $\phi$ -contraction type  $T$ -coupling in partial metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal., **8** (2018), 136-149.
- [8] N.V. Long and N. Xian Thuan, *Some fixed point theorems of Prešić-Ćirić type*, Acts Uni.Plus, **30** (2012), 237-249.
- [9] J. Matkowski, *Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **62**(2) (1977), 344-348.
- [10] S. Malhotra, S. Shukla and S. Sen, *A generalization of Banach contraction principle in ordered cone metric spaces*, J. Adv. Math. Stud. **5**(2) (2012), 59-67.
- [11] S.B. Prešić, *Sur la convergence des suites. (French)*, C. R. Cad Sci. Paris, **260** (1965), 3828-73830.
- [12] S.B. Prešić, *Sur une classe d'inéquations aux différences nite et sur la convergence de certaines suites. (French)*, Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd (N.S.), **19**(5) (1965), 75-78.

- [13] M. Păcurar, *A multi-step iterative method for approximating fixed point of Prešić-Kannan operators*, Acta. Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol.LXXIX, **1** (2010), 77-88.
- [14] S. Riech, *Some remarks concerning contraction mappings*, Can. Math. Bull., **14** (1971), 121-124.
- [15] I.A. Rus, *An iterative method for the solution of the equation  $x = f(x, \dots)$* , Rev. Anal. Numer. Theor. Approx., **10**(1) (1981), 95-100.
- [16] B.E. Rhoades, *A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **226** (1977), 257-290.
- [17] T. Rashid, Q.H. Khan and H. Aydi, *On Strong coupled coincidence points of  $g$ -coupling and an application*, J. Funct. Spaces, **2018**, Article ID 4034535; 10.
- [18] T. Rashid, N. Alharbi, Q.H. Khan, H. Aydi and C. Ozel, *Order-Theoretic metrical coincidence theorem involving point  $(\phi, \psi)$ - Contractions*, J. Math. Anal., **9** (2018), 119-135.