

## SOME INVERSE RESULTS OF SUMSETS

MIN TANG AND YUN XING

ABSTRACT. Let  $h \geq 2$  and  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$  be a finite set of integers. It is well-known that  $|hA| = hk - h + 1$  if and only if  $A$  is a  $k$ -term arithmetic progression. In this paper, we give some nontrivial inverse results of the sets  $A$  with some extremal the cardinalities of  $hA$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $[a, b]$  denote the interval of integers  $n$  such that  $a \leq n \leq b$ . Let  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$  be a finite set of integers such that  $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1}$ , we define

$$d(A) = \gcd(a_1 - a_0, a_2 - a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} - a_0).$$

Let  $a'_i = (a_i - a_0)/d(A)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ . We call

$$A^{(N)} = \{a'_0, a'_1, \dots, a'_{k-1}\}$$

the normal form of the set  $A$ . For any integer  $c$ , we define the set

$$c + A = \{c + a : a \in A\}.$$

For any finite set of integers  $A$  and any positive integer  $h \geq 2$ , let

$$hA = \{a_1 + \dots + a_h : a_1, \dots, a_h \in A\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $|hA| = |hA^{(N)}|$ . For given set  $A$ , a direct problem is to determine the structure and properties of the  $h$ -fold sumset  $hA$  when the set  $A$  is known. An inverse problem is to deduce properties of the set  $A$  from properties of the sumset  $hA$ .

The following two results gave the simple lower bound of the cardinality of  $hA$  and showed that the lower bound is attained if and only if the set is an arithmetic progression.

**Theorem A** ([11], Theorem 1.3). *Let  $h \geq 2$ . Let  $A$  be a finite set of integers with  $|A| = k$ . Then*

$$|hA| \geq hk - h + 1.$$

---

Received January 18, 2020; Revised August 24, 2020; Accepted December 9, 2020.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B13.

*Key words and phrases.* Sumsets, inverse problem, arithmetic progression.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant No. 11971033) and top talents project of Anhui Department of Education(Grant No. gxbjZD05).

**Theorem B** ([11], Theorem 1.6). *Let  $h \geq 2$ . Let  $A$  be a finite set of integers with  $|A| = k$ . Then  $|hA| = hk - h + 1$  if and only if  $A$  is a  $k$ -term arithmetic progression.*

In 1959, Freiman [2] proved the following result:

**Theorem C.** *Let  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$  be a set of integers such that  $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1}$  and  $\gcd(A) = 1$ . Then*

$$|2A| \geq \min\{a_{k-1}, 2k - 3\} + k = \begin{cases} a_{k-1} + k, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \leq 2k - 3, \\ 3k - 3, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \geq 2k - 2. \end{cases}$$

In [1], [3], [8], [12], the authors generalized the above theorem to the case of summation of two distinct sets. In 1959, Freiman [2] (see also [11]) investigated the structure of set  $A$  if the cardinality of  $2A$  is between  $2k - 1$  and  $3k - 4$ .

**Theorem D** ([11], Theorem 1.16). *Let  $A$  be a set of integers such that  $|A| = k \geq 3$ . If  $|2A| = 2k - 1 + b \leq 3k - 4$ , then  $A$  is a subset of an arithmetic progression of length  $k + b \leq 2k - 3$ .*

In 1996, Lev [7] gave the following result:

**Theorem E** ([7], Theorem 1). *Let  $h, k \geq 2$  be integers. Let  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$  be a set of integers such that  $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1}$  and  $\gcd(A) = 1$ . Then*

$$|hA| \geq |(h - 1)A| + \min\{a_{k-1}, h(k - 2) + 1\}.$$

For other related problems, see [4–6], [9–11], [13].

In this paper, we consider the following inverse problem: assume that  $A$  is a finite integer set and the cardinalities of  $hA$  are extremal cases, how to determine the structure of the set  $A$ ? We obtain the following results:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $h \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 5$  be integers. Let  $A$  be an integer set with  $|A| = k$ . If  $hk - h + 1 < |hA| \leq hk + h - 2$ , then*

$$A^{(N)} = [0, k] \setminus \{i\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k - 1.$$

Moreover,  $|hA| = hk$  for  $i = 1$  or  $k - 1$ , and  $|hA| = hk + 1$  for  $2 \leq i \leq k - 2$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $h \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 5$  be integers. Let  $A$  be an integer set with  $|A| = k$ . If  $hk + h - 2 < |hA| \leq hk + 2h - 3$ , then*

$$A^{(N)} = [0, k + 1] \setminus \{i, j\}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq k + 1.$$

Moreover, we have

- (a)  $|hA| = hk + h - 1$  for  $h = 2$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq k - 2$ ,  $j = k + 1$  and  $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}, \{k - 1, k\}, \{1, k\}, \{1, 3\}, \{k - 2, k\}$ ;
- (b)  $|hA| = hk + h$  for  $i = 1$  and  $4 \leq j \leq k - 1$  when  $h \geq 2$ ; or  $2 \leq i \leq k - 3$  and  $j = k$  when  $h \geq 2$ , or  $\{i, j\} = \{2, 3\}, \{k - 2, k - 1\}$  when  $h = 2$ ;
- (c)  $|hA| = hk + h + 1$  for  $2 \leq i < j \leq k - 1$ , except for  $\{i, j\} = \{2, 3\}, \{k - 2, k - 1\}$  when  $h = 2$ .

*Remark 1.3.* By Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 we know that there is no set  $A$  such that  $|3A| = 3k - 1$ .

**2. Lemmas**

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $h \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 5$  be integers. Let  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$  be a set of integers such that  $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1}$  and  $\gcd(A) = 1$ . If  $|hA| \leq hk + 2h - 3$ , then  $a_{k-1} \leq k + 1$ . Moreover, if  $|hA| \leq hk + h - 2$ , then  $a_{k-1} \leq k$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem E, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |hA| &\geq |(h-1)A| + \min\{a_{k-1}, h(k-2) + 1\} \\ &\geq |(h-2)A| + \min\{a_{k-1}, h(k-2) + 1\} + \min\{a_{k-1}, (h-1)(k-2) + 1\} \\ &\geq \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\vdots \\ &\geq |A| + \min\{a_{k-1}, h(k-2) + 1\} + \dots + \min\{a_{k-1}, 2(k-2) + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|hA| \leq hk + 2h - 3$ , then  $a_{k-1} \leq 2(k-2) + 1$ . Otherwise, if  $a_{k-1} \geq 2k - 2$ , then by (2.1) and  $k \geq 5$ , we have

$$|hA| \geq k + (h-2)(2k-2) + 2k - 3 > hk + 2h - 3,$$

which is impossible. Thus, again by (2.1) we have

$$hk + 2h - 3 \geq |hA| \geq k + (h-1)a_{k-1},$$

hence  $a_{k-1} \leq k + 1$ .

If  $|hA| \leq hk + h - 2$ , then by the above discussion, we have  $a_{k-1} \leq 2(k-2) + 1$ . Thus, by (2.1) we have  $hk + h - 2 \geq |hA| \geq k + (h-1)a_{k-1}$ , hence  $a_{k-1} \leq k$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $i, j$  be positive integers such that  $i \geq 2$  and  $j \geq i + 2$ . Put  $A = [0, i - 1] \cup [i + 1, j]$ . Then  $hA = [0, hj]$  for all  $h \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$(2.2) \quad [0, hi - h] \cup [hi + h, hj] \subset hA.$$

Write

$$A_1 = \{i - 2, i - 1\}, \quad A_2 = \{i + 1, i + 2\}.$$

Since  $i \geq 2$  and  $j \geq i + 2$ , we have  $A_1 \cup A_2 \subset A$ .

For  $h \geq 2$ , we have  $hi - h + 3l + 1 \geq hi - 2h + 3(l + 1)$  for all  $0 \leq l \leq h$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} h(A_1 \cup A_2) &= \bigcup_{l=0}^h ((h-l)A_1 + lA_2) \\ &= \bigcup_{l=0}^h ([l(i-2)(h-l), l(i-1)(h-l)] + [l(i+1), l(i+2)]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad &= \bigcup_{l=0}^h [hi - 2h + 3l, hi - h + 3l] \\
&= [hi - 2h, hi + 2h].
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.2) and (2.3), we have  $hA = [0, hj]$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $i, j$  be positive integers such that  $i \geq 2$  and  $j \geq i + 3$ . Put  $A = [0, i - 1] \cup [i + 2, j]$ . Then  $hA = [0, hj]$  for all  $h \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$(2.4) \quad [0, hi - h] \cup [hi + 2h, hj] \subset hA.$$

Write

$$A_1 = \{i - 2, i - 1\}, \quad A_2 = \{i + 2, i + 3\}.$$

Since  $i \geq 2$  and  $j \geq i + 3$ , we have  $A_1 \cup A_2 \subset A$ .

For  $h \geq 3$ , we have  $hi - h + 4l + 1 \geq hi - 2h + 4(l + 1)$  for all  $0 \leq l \leq h$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad h(A_1 \cup A_2) &= \bigcup_{l=0}^h ((h - l)A_1 + lA_2) \\
&= \bigcup_{l=0}^h ([ (i - 2)(h - l), (i - 1)(h - l) ] + [l(i + 2), l(i + 3)]) \\
&= \bigcup_{l=0}^h [hi - 2h + 4l, hi - h + 4l] \\
&= [hi - 2h, hi + 3h].
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), we have  $hA = [0, hj]$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

### 3. Propositions

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $h \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 4$  be positive integers and  $A^{(N)} = [0, k] \setminus \{i\}$  for some  $i \in [1, k]$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $i = k$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk - h + 1$ ;*
- (2) *If  $i = 1$  or  $k - 1$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk$ ;*
- (3) *If  $2 \leq i \leq k - 2$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + 1$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $A^{(N)} = [0, k - 1]$ , then  $hA^{(N)} = [0, hk - h]$ , we have  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk - h + 1$ .

(2) If  $i = 1$ , then  $A^{(N)} = \{0\} \cup [2, k]$ . We have

$$1 \notin hA^{(N)}, \quad \{0\} \cup [2h, hk] \subset hA^{(N)}.$$

For  $2 \leq m \leq 2h - 1$ , let  $r_m$  be the least nonnegative residue of  $m$  modulo 2, we have  $2 + r_m \in A^{(N)}$  and

$$m = \underbrace{2 + \cdots + 2}_{\frac{m-r_m}{2} - 1 \text{ copies}} + \underbrace{0 + \cdots + 0}_{h - \frac{m-r_m}{2} \text{ copies}} + (2 + r_m).$$

Hence, we have  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk$ .

If  $i = k - 1$ , then by

$$A^{(N)} = [0, k - 2] \cup \{k\} = k - (\{0\} \cup [2, k]),$$

we have  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk$ .

(3) If  $2 \leq i \leq k - 2$ , then  $A^{(N)} = [0, i - 1] \cup [i + 1, k]$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $hA^{(N)} = [0, hk]$ . Thus  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + 1$ .

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1. □

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $h \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 5$  be positive integers and  $A^{(N)} = [0, k + 1] \setminus \{i, i + 1\}$  for some  $i \in [1, k]$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $i = k$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk - h + 1$ ;*
- (2) *If  $i = 1$  or  $k - 1$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h - 1$ ;*
- (3) *If  $2 \leq i \leq k - 2$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h + 1$  for  $h \geq 3$ . For  $h = 2$  and  $i = 2$  or  $k - 2$ , we have  $|2A^{(N)}| = 2k + 2$ ; For  $h = 2$  and  $3 \leq i \leq k - 3$ , we have  $|2A^{(N)}| = 2k + 3$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $A^{(N)} = [0, k - 1]$ , then by Theorem B, we have  $hA^{(N)} = [0, hk - h]$ .

(2) If  $A^{(N)} = \{0\} \cup [3, k + 1]$ , then

$$1, 2 \notin hA^{(N)}, \{0\} \cup [3h, hk + h] \subset hA^{(N)}.$$

For  $3 \leq m \leq 3h - 1$ , let  $r_m$  be the least nonnegative residue of  $m$  modulo 3. Noting that  $r_m + 3 \in A^{(N)}$  and  $1 \leq \lfloor \frac{m-r_m}{3} \rfloor \leq h - 1$ , we have

$$m = \underbrace{3 + \cdots + 3}_{\frac{m-r_m}{3} - 1 \text{ copies}} + (3 + r_m) + \underbrace{0 + \cdots + 0}_{h - \frac{m-r_m}{3} \text{ copies}}.$$

Hence,  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h - 1$ .

If  $A^{(N)} = [0, k - 2] \cup \{k + 1\}$ , then by

$$A^{(N)} = (k + 1) - (\{0\} \cup [3, k + 1]),$$

we have  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h - 1$ .

(3) If  $2 \leq i \leq k - 2$ , then

$$A^{(N)} = [0, i - 1] \cup [i + 2, k + 1].$$

If  $h \geq 3$ , then by Lemma 2.3 we have  $hA^{(N)} = [0, hk + h]$ , thus  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h + 1$ .

If  $i = 2$  and  $h = 2$ , then

$$A^{(N)} = \{0, 1\} \cup [4, k + 1],$$

thus  $2A^{(N)} = \{0, 1, 2\} \cup [4, 2k + 2]$ , we have  $|2A^{(N)}| = 2k + 2$ . If  $3 \leq i \leq k - 2$  and  $h = 2$ , then

$$2A^{(N)} = [0, 2i - 2] \cup [i + 2, k + i] \cup [2i + 4, 2k + 2].$$

If  $i \leq k - 3$ , then  $2A^{(N)} = [0, 2k + 2]$ ; if  $i = k - 2$ , then  $2A^{(N)} = [0, 2k - 2] \cup [2k, 2k + 2]$ . Hence,  $|2A^{(N)}| = 2k + 2$  or  $2k + 3$ .

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $h \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 5$  be positive integers and  $A^{(N)} = [0, k + 1] \setminus \{i, i + 2\}$  for some  $i \in [1, k - 1]$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $i = k - 1$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk$ ;*
- (2) *If  $i = 1$  or  $k - 2$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h - 1$ ;*
- (3) *If  $2 \leq i \leq k - 3$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h + 1$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $i = k - 1$ , then  $A^{(N)} = [0, k - 2] \cup \{k\}$ . By Proposition 3.1(2), we have  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk$ .

(2) If  $i = 1$ , then  $A^{(N)} = \{0\} \cup \{2\} \cup [4, k + 1]$ . We have

$$1, 3 \notin hA^{(N)}, \quad \{0, 2\} \cup [4h, hk + h] \subset hA^{(N)}.$$

For  $4 \leq m \leq 4h - 1$ , let  $r_m$  be the least nonnegative residue of  $m$  modulo 4. Then  $1 \leq \lfloor \frac{m-r_m}{4} \rfloor \leq h - 1$ . If  $r_m = 0$  or 1, then

$$m = \underbrace{4 + \cdots + 4}_{\frac{m-r_m}{4} - 1 \text{ copies}} + \underbrace{0 + \cdots + 0}_{h - \frac{m-r_m}{4} \text{ copies}} + (4 + r_m).$$

If  $r_m = 2$  or 3, then

$$m = \underbrace{4 + \cdots + 4}_{\frac{m-r_m}{4} - 1 \text{ copies}} + \underbrace{0 + \cdots + 0}_{h - \frac{m-r_m}{4} - 1 \text{ copies}} + 2 + (2 + r_m).$$

Hence,  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h - 1$ .

If  $i = k - 2$ , then

$$A^{(N)} = (k + 1) - (\{0\} \cup \{2\} \cup [4, k + 1]).$$

Thus  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h - 1$ .

(3) If  $2 \leq i \leq k - 3$ , then  $A^{(N)} = [0, i - 1] \cup \{i + 1\} \cup [i + 3, k + 1]$ . Thus

$$[0, hi - h] \cup \{hi + h\} \cup \{hi + 3h, hk + h\} \subset hA^{(N)}.$$

Now we shall show that  $h(i - 1) + m, h(i + 1) + m \in hA^{(N)}$  for  $1 \leq m \leq 2h - 1$ . For  $m = 1$  we have

$$h(i - 1) + 1 = \underbrace{(i - 1) + \cdots + (i - 1)}_{h - 2 \text{ copies}} + (i - 2) + (i + 1),$$

$$h(i + 1) + 1 = \underbrace{(i + 1) + \cdots + (i + 1)}_{h - 2 \text{ copies}} + (i - 1) + (i + 4).$$

For  $2 \leq m \leq 2h - 1$ , let  $r_m$  be the least nonnegative residue of  $m$  modulo 2. Then  $1 \leq \lfloor \frac{m-r_m}{2} \rfloor \leq h - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(i-1) + m &= \underbrace{(i-1) + \cdots + (i-1)}_{h-1 - \frac{m-r_m}{2} \text{ copies}} + (i-1-r_m) \\ &\quad + \underbrace{(i+1) + \cdots + (i+1)}_{\frac{m-r_m}{2} - 1 \text{ copies}} + (i+1+2r_m), \\ h(i+1) + m &= \underbrace{(i+1) + \cdots + (i+1)}_{h - \frac{m-r_m}{2} \text{ copies}} + \underbrace{(i+3) + \cdots + (i+3)}_{\frac{m-r_m}{2} - 1 \text{ copies}} \\ &\quad + (i+3+r_m), \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h + 1$ .

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $h \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 5$  be positive integers and  $A^{(N)} = [0, k + 1] \setminus \{i, j\}$  for some  $i \in [1, k - 2]$ ,  $j \geq i + 3$ .*

- (1) *If  $i = 1$  and  $j = k + 1$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk$ ;*
- (2) *If  $i = 1$  and  $j = k$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h - 1$ ;*
- (3) *If  $i = 1$ ,  $4 \leq j \leq k - 1$ ; or  $2 \leq i \leq k - 3$ ,  $j = k$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h$ ;*
- (4) *If  $2 \leq i \leq k - 2$ ,  $j = k + 1$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + 1$ ;*
- (5) *If  $2 \leq i \leq k - 3$  and  $j \leq k - 1$ , then  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h + 1$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $i = 1$  and  $j = k + 1$ , then  $A^{(N)} = \{0\} \cup [2, k]$ . By Proposition 3.1(2), we have  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk$ .

(2) If  $i = 1$  and  $j = k$ , then  $A^{(N)} = \{0\} \cup [2, k - 1] \cup \{k + 1\}$ . By the proof of Proposition 3.1(2), we have  $\{0\} \cup [2, hk - h] \subset hA^{(N)}$ .

For  $1 \leq m \leq 2h - 2$ , let  $r_m$  be the least nonnegative residue of  $m$  modulo 2. Then

$$h(k-1) + m = \underbrace{(k-1) + \cdots + (k-1)}_{h-1 - \frac{m+r_m}{2} \text{ copies}} + \underbrace{(k+1) + \cdots + (k+1)}_{\frac{m+r_m}{2} \text{ copies}} + (k-1-r_m).$$

Noting that  $hk + h - 1 \notin hA^{(N)}$ , we have  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h - 1$ .

- (3) If  $i = 1$  and  $4 \leq j \leq k - 1$ , then

$$A^{(N)} = \{0\} \cup [2, j - 1] \cup [j + 1, k + 1].$$

By the proof of Proposition 3.1(2) we have

$$\{0\} \cup [2, hj - h] \subset hA^{(N)}.$$

Noting that

$$[j - 2, j - 1] \cup [j + 1, j + 2] \subset A^{(N)},$$

by the proof of Lemma 2.2 we have  $[hj - 2h, hj + 2h] \subset hA^{(N)}$ .

Hence,  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk + h$ .

If  $2 \leq i \leq k-3$  and  $j = k$ , then

$$A^{(N)} = [0, i-1] \cup [i+1, k-1] \cup \{k+1\} =: A_1 \cup \{k+1\}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have  $hA_1 = [0, h(k-1)]$ . By the proof of Proposition 3.4(2), we have

$$[hk-h+1, hk+h-2] \subset hA^{(N)} \text{ and } hk+h-1 \notin hA^{(N)}.$$

Hence,  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk+h$ .

(4) If  $i = k-2$  and  $k = k+1$ , then  $A^{(N)} = [0, k-3] \cup \{k-1, k\}$ . By Lemma 2.2 we have  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk+1$ .

If  $2 \leq i \leq k-3$  and  $j = k+1$ , then

$$A^{(N)} = [0, i-1] \cup [i+1, k].$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have  $hA^{(N)} = [0, hk]$ , thus  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk+1$ .

(5) If  $2 \leq i \leq k-3$  and  $j \leq k-1$ , then

$$A^{(N)} = [0, i-1] \cup [i+1, j-1] \cup [j+1, k+1].$$

By Lemma 2.2 we have  $[0, h(j-1)] \subset hA^{(N)}$ . Noting that

$$[j-2, j-1] \cup [j+1, j+2] \subset A^{(N)},$$

by the proof of Lemma 2.2 we have  $[hj-2h, hj+2h] \subset hA^{(N)}$ .

Hence,  $|hA^{(N)}| = hk+h+1$ .

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.4.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

If  $hk-h+1 < |hA| \leq hk+h-2$ , then by Lemma 2.1, we have  $A^{(N)} = [0, k] \setminus \{i\}$  for some  $i \in [1, k]$ . By Proposition 3.1, we have  $|hA| = hk$  or  $|hA| = hk+1$ .

Again by Proposition 3.1, we have  $|hA| = hk$  if and only if  $A^{(N)} = [0, k] \setminus \{i\}$  with  $i = 1$  or  $k-1$ ;  $|hA| = hk+1$  if and only if  $A^{(N)} = [0, k] \setminus \{i\}$  with some  $2 \leq i \leq k-2$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

#### 5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

If  $hk+h-2 < |hA| \leq hk+2h-3$ , then by Lemma 2.1, we have  $A^{(N)} = [0, k+1] \setminus \{i, j\}$  for some  $1 \leq i < j \leq k+1$ . By Propositions 3.2-3.4, we have  $|hA| = hk+h-1$ ,  $hk+h$  or  $|hA| = hk+h+1$ .

Again by Proposition 3.1, we have (a), (b) and (c).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Acknowledgment.** We are grateful to Professor Yong-Gao Chen for his useful discussion and the anonymous referee for his/her valuable suggestions on improving the previous version of this work.



## References

- [1] Y.-G. Chen, *On addition of two sets of integers*, Acta Arith. **80** (1997), no. 1, 83–87. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa-80-1-83-87>
- [2] G. A. Freıman, *The addition of finite sets. I*, Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika **1959**, no. 6 (13), 202–213.
- [3] ———, *Inverse problems of additive number theory. VI. On the addition of finite sets. III*, Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika **1962**, no. 3 (28), 151–157.
- [4] ———, *On the detailed structure of sets with small additive property*, in Combinatorial number theory and additive group theory, 233–239, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8962-8\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8962-8_17)
- [5] ———, *Inverse additive number theory. XI. Long arithmetic progressions in sets with small sumsets*, Acta Arith. **137** (2009), no. 4, 325–331. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa137-4-2>
- [6] V. F. Lev, *Restricted set addition in groups. I. The classical setting*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **62** (2000), no. 1, 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610700008863>
- [7] ———, *Structure theorem for multiple addition and the Frobenius problem*, J. Number Theory **58** (1996), no. 1, 79–88. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jnth.1996.0065>
- [8] V. F. Lev and P. Y. Smeliansky, *On addition of two distinct sets of integers*, Acta Arith. **70** (1995), no. 1, 85–91. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa-70-1-85-91>
- [9] M. B. Nathanson, *Sums of finite sets of integers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **79** (1972), 1010–1012. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2318072>
- [10] ———, *Inverse theorems for subset sums*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 4, 1409–1418. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2154821>
- [11] ———, *Additive Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 165, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-3845-2>
- [12] Y. Stanchescu, *On addition of two distinct sets of integers*, Acta Arith. **75** (1996), no. 2, 191–194. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa-75-2-191-194>
- [13] Q.-H. Yang and Y.-G. Chen, *On the cardinality of general  $h$ -fold sumsets*, European J. Combin. **47** (2015), 103–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2015.02.002>

MIN TANG  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS  
ANHUI NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
WUHU 241002, P. R. CHINA  
Email address: tmzzz2000@163.com

YUN XING  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS  
ANHUI NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
WUHU 241002, P. R. CHINA