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#### Abstract

In this paper, we solve and investigate the superstability of the $p$-radical functional equations $$
\begin{aligned} & f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda f(x) g(y), \\ & f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda g(x) f(y), \end{aligned}
$$ which is related to the trigonometric(Kim's type) functional equations, where $p$ is an odd positive integer and $f$ is a complex valued function. Furthermore, the results are extended to Banach algebras.


## 1. Introduction

In 1940, the stability problem of the functional equation was conjectured by Ulam [22]. In 1941, Hyers [13] obtained a partial answer for the case of additive mapping in this problem.

Thereafter, the stability of the functional equation was improved by Bourgin [8] in 1949, Aoki [3] in 1950, Th. M. Rassias [21] in 1978 and Gǎvruta [12] in 1994.

In 1979, Bakeret al. [7] announced the superstability as the new concept as follows: If $f$ satisfies $|f(x+y)-f(x) f(y)| \leq \epsilon$ for some fixed $\epsilon>0$, then either $f$ is bounded or $f$ satisfies the exponential functional equation $f(x+y)=f(x) f(y)$.

D'Alembert [1] in 1769 (see Kannappen's book [15]) introduced the cosine functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x) f(y), \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

and which superstability was proved by Baker [6] in 1980.
Baker's result was generalized by Badora [4] in 1998 to a noncommutative group under the Kannappen condition [14]: $f(x+y+z)=f(x+z+y)$, and it again was improved by Badora and Ger [5] in 2002 under the condition $\mid f(x+y)+f(x-y)-$ $2 f(x) f(y) \mid \leq \varphi(x)$ or $\varphi(y)$.

The cosine (d'Alembert) functional equation (C) was generalized to the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x) g(y),  \tag{W}\\
& f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 g(x) f(y), \tag{K}
\end{align*}
$$
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in which $(W)$ is called the Wilson equation, and $(K)$ arised by Kim was appeared in Kannappen and Kim's paper ( [16]).

The superstability of the cosine (C), Wilson ( $W$ ) and $\operatorname{Kim}(K)$ function equations were founded in Badora, Ger, Kannappan and Kim ( $[4,5,16,17]$ ).

In 2009, Eshaghi Gordji and Parviz [11] introduced the radical functional equation related to the quadratic functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right)=f(x)+f(y) . \tag{R}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [19], Kim introduced the trigonometric functional equation as the Pexider-type's as following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=2 f(x) f(y),  \tag{-ff}\\
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=2 g(x) f(y) .  \tag{-gf}\\
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=2 f(x) g(y),  \tag{-fg}\\
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=\lambda f(x) f(y), \\
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=\lambda f(x) g(y), \\
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=\lambda g(x) f(y) .
\end{align*}
$$

Recently, Almahalebiet al. [2] obtained the superstability in Hyer's sense for the $p$-radical functional equations related to Wilson equation and Kim's equation.

The aim of this paper is to solve and investigate the superstability in Gavurta's sense for the $p$-radical functional equations related to Kim's equation. as following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda f(x) f(y),  \tag{r}\\
& f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda f(x) g(y),  \tag{r}\\
& f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda g(x) f(y),  \tag{r}\\
& f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda g(x) g(y) . \tag{r}
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper, let $\mathbb{R}$ be the field of real numbers, $\mathbb{R}_{+}=[0, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{C}$ be the field of complex numbers. We may assume that $f$ is a nonzero function, $\varepsilon$ is a nonnegative real number, $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a given nonnegative function and $p$ is an odd positive integer.

Let us denoted the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=2 f(x) f(y),  \tag{r}\\
& f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=2 g(x) f(y),  \tag{r}\\
& f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)+f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=2 f(x) f(y),  \tag{r}\\
& f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)+f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=2 f(x) g(y),  \tag{r}\\
& f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)+f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda f(x) f(y),  \tag{r}\\
& f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)+f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda f(x) g(y) . \tag{r}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. Superstability of the $p$-radical equations $\left(-g f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

In this section, we find a solution and investigate the superstability of $p$-radical functional equations $\left(-g f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ related to the functional equations $\left(-g f^{\lambda}\right)$ and ( $-f g^{\lambda}$ ) arised by Kim.

In the following lemmas, we find solutions of the functional equations $\left({ }_{-} f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(-g f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, which confirm are easy.

Lemma 1. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\left(-f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ if and only if $f(x)=F\left(x^{p}\right)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $F$ is a solution of $\left(-f f^{\lambda}\right)$. In particular, for the case $\lambda=2$, a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\left(f f_{r}\right)$ if and only if $f(x)=\cos \left(x^{p}\right)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, namely, $F$ is a solution of (C).

Lemma 2. A function $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ if and only if $f(x)=F\left(x^{p}\right)$ and $g(x)=G\left(x^{p}\right)$, where $F$ and $G$ are solutions of $\left(-f g^{\lambda}\right)$. In particular, for the case $\lambda=2$, a function $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\left(f g_{r}\right)$ if and only if $f(x)=F\left(x^{p}\right)=\sin \left(x^{p}\right)$ and $g(x)=G\left(x^{p}\right)=\cos \left(x^{p}\right)$, where $F$ and $G$ are solutions of equation ( $W$ ).

Lemma 3. A function $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the functional equation ( $-g f_{r}^{\lambda}$ ) if and only if $f(x)=F\left(x^{p}\right)$ and $g(x)=G\left(x^{p}\right)$, where $F$ and $G$ are solutions of ( $-g f^{\lambda}$ ). In particular, for the case $\lambda=2$, a function $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies ( $\quad g f_{r}$ ) if and only if $f(x)=F\left(x^{p}\right)$ and $g(x)=G\left(x^{p}\right)$, where $F$ and $G$ are solutions of $(-g f)$.

Now we investigate the superstability of the $p$-radical trigonometric functional equations $\left(-g f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Theorem 1. Assume that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g(x) f(y)\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) \varphi(x)  \tag{2.1}\\
(i i) \varphi(y) \text { and } \varphi(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then
(i) either $f$ is bounded or $g$ satisfies $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$,
(ii) either $g$ is bounded or $g$ satisfies $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, and $f$ and $g$ satisfy $\left(-g f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $f$ is unbounded. Then we can choose $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ such that $0 \neq$ $\left|f\left(y_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Putting $y=y_{n}$ in (2.1) and dividing both sides by $\lambda f\left(y_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(y_{n}\right)}-g(x)\right| \leq \frac{\varphi(x)}{\lambda f\left(y_{n}\right)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(y_{n}\right)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
Replacing $y$ by $\sqrt[p]{y^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}$ and $\sqrt[p]{y^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}$ in (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+\left(y^{p}+y_{n}^{p}\right.}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-\left(y^{p}+y_{n}^{p}\right)}\right)-\lambda g(x) f\left(\sqrt[p]{y^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}\right)\right| \leq \varphi(x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+\left(y^{p}-y_{n}^{p}\right.}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-\left(y^{p}-y_{n}^{p}\right)}\right)-\lambda g(x) f\left(\sqrt[p]{y^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}\right)\right| \leq \varphi(x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, y_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$.
By (2.4) - (2.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+\left(y^{p}+y_{n}^{p}\right)}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+\left(y^{p}-y_{n}^{p}\right)}\right)+f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-\left(y^{p}-y_{n}^{p}\right)}\right) \\
& \quad-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-\left(y^{p}+y_{n}^{p}\right)}\right)-\lambda g(x)\left[f\left(\sqrt[p]{y^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{y^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}\right)\right] \mid \leq 2 \varphi(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, y_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$.
This implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x^{p}+y^{p}\right)+y_{n}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x^{p}+y^{p}\right)-y_{n}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(y_{n}\right)}\right.  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad+\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x^{p}-y^{p}\right)+y_{n}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x^{p}-y^{p}\right)-y_{n}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(y_{n}\right)} \\
& \left.\quad-\lambda g(x) \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{y^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{y^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(y_{n}\right)} \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{2 \varphi(x)}{\lambda f\left(y_{n}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, y_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$.
Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), by applying (2.3), $g$ satisfies the desired result $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.
(ii) First, we show that if $f$ is bounded, then $g$ is also bounded.

If $f$ is bounded, then we choose $y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f\left(y_{0}\right) \neq 0$, and then by (2.1) we can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
|g(x)|- & \left|\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{0}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{0}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(y_{0}\right)}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{0}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{0}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(y_{0}\right)}-g(x)\right| \leq \frac{\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)}{\lambda\left|f\left(y_{0}\right)\right|} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and it follows that $g$ is also bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.
That is, if $g$ is unbounded, then so is $f$. Hence, by (i), $g$ also satisfies $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.
Let $g$ be unbounded. Then $f$ is also unbounded. So we can choose sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $g\left(x_{n}\right) \neq 0$ and $\left|g\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty, f\left(y_{n}\right) \neq 0$ and $\left|f\left(y_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For the case $\varphi(y)$ in (ii) of (2.1), taking $x=x_{n}$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-y^{p}}\right)}{\lambda g\left(x_{n}\right)}=f(y) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$.
Replacing $x$ by $\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}}$ and $\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-x^{p}}$ in (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}\right)+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}\right)-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}}\right) f(y)  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad+f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x_{n}^{p}-x^{p}\right)+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x_{n}^{p}-x^{p}\right)-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-x^{p}}\right) f(y) \mid \leq 2 \varphi(y)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+\left(x^{p}+y^{p}\right)}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-\left(x^{p}+y^{p}\right)}\right)}{\lambda g\left(x_{n}\right)}\right. \\
& -\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+\left(x^{p}-y^{p}\right)}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-\left(x^{p}-y^{p}\right)}\right)}{\lambda g\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
& \left.-\frac{\lambda g\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}}\right)+g\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-x^{p}}\right)}{\lambda g\left(x_{n}\right)} f(y) \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{2 \varphi(y)}{\lambda g\left(x_{n}\right)}, \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Apply the limit (2.8) in (2.10) with the use of $\left|g\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Since $g$ satisfies $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ by (i), $f$ and $g$ are solutions of $\left(-g f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$,

Finally, replace $(x, y)$ by $\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+y^{p}}, x\right)$ and replace $(x, y)$ by $\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-y^{p}}, x\right)$ for $\varphi(y)$ in (ii) of (2.1), respectively. Let us follows the same procedure as from (2.9) to (2.10). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x_{n}^{p}+y^{p}\right)+x^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x_{n}^{p}+y^{p}\right)-x^{p}}\right)-\lambda g\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+y^{p}}\right) f(x) \\
& \quad+f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x_{n}^{p}-y^{p}\right)+x^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x_{n}^{p}-y^{p}\right)-x^{p}}\right)-\lambda g\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-y^{p}}\right) f(x) \mid \leq 2 \varphi(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+\left(x^{p}+y^{p}\right)}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-\left(x^{p}+y^{p}\right)}\right)}{\lambda g\left(x_{n}\right)}\right. \\
& +\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+\left(x^{p}-y^{p}\right)}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-\left(x^{p}-y^{p}\right)}\right)}{\lambda g\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
& \left.-\frac{\lambda g\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+y^{p}}\right)+g\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-y^{p}}\right)}{\lambda g\left(x_{n}\right)} f(x) \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{2 \varphi(x)}{\lambda g\left(x_{n}\right)} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Then, by applying (2.8) and (i)'s result, it follows from (2.11) that $f$ and $g$ are solutions of $\left(f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

By a similar process of the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Assume that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda f(x) g(y)\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) \varphi(y)  \tag{2.12}\\
(i i) \varphi(x) \text { and } \varphi(y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then
(i) either $f$ (:odd) is bounded or $g$ satisfies $\left(-f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$,
(ii) either $g$ (with $f$ :odd) is bounded or $g$ satisfies $\left(-f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, and $f$ and $g$ satisfy $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Proof. (i) Let $f$ is unbounded. then let us choose $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \neq$ $\left.\mid f\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \mid \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Taking $x=x_{n}$ (with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) in (2.12), dividing both sides by $\left|\lambda \cdot f\left(x_{n}\right)\right|$, and passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-y^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(x_{n}\right)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$.
Replace $x$ by $\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}}$ and $\sqrt[p]{-x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}}$ in (2.12). Thereafter we go through the same procedure as in $(2.4) \sim(2.6)$ of Theorem 1 . Then, by oddness of $f$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}\right)+y^{p}}\right)+f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(-x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}\right)+y^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(x_{n}\right)}\right. \\
& \quad-\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}\right)-y^{p}}\right)+f\left(\sqrt[p]{\left(-x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}\right)-y^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
& \left.\quad-\lambda \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}}\right)+f\left(\sqrt[p]{-x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(x_{n}\right)} g(y) \right\rvert\, \\
& =\left\lvert\, \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+\left(x^{p}+y^{p}\right)}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-\left(x^{p}+y^{p}\right)}\right)}{\lambda f\left(x_{n}\right)}\right.  \tag{2.14}\\
& \quad-\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+\left(x^{p}-y^{p}\right)}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-\left(x^{p}-y^{p}\right)}\right)}{\lambda f\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
& \left.\quad-\lambda \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}+x^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{n}^{p}-x^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(x_{n}\right)} g(y) \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{2 \varphi(y)}{\lambda f\left(x_{n}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the right-hand side of the inequality converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.14), by (2.13), $g$ satisfies $\left(-f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.
(ii) if $f$ is bounded, then we choose $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, and then in (2.12) we can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
|g(y)|- & \left|\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{0}^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{0}^{p}-y^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(x_{0}\right)}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{0}^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x_{0}^{p}-y^{p}}\right)}{\lambda f\left(x_{0}\right)}-g(y)\right| \leq \frac{\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)}{\lambda\left|f\left(x_{0}\right)\right|} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

and it follows that $g$ is also bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.
That is, assume $g$ is unbounded, then so is $f$. Hence, by (i), $g$ satisfies $\left(-f f^{\lambda}\right)$.
Let us choose $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \neq\left|g\left(y_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
As before, for the chosen sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda g\left(y_{n}\right)} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$
Let go through the same procedure as in (2.4) $\sim(2.6)$ of Theorem 1 as above.
First, Replace $x$ by $\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}$ and $\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}$ in (2.12), respectively, from replaced $\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}$ difference to replaced $\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}$, next divided by $\lambda g\left(y_{n}\right)$.

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{n}^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{n}^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}\right) g(y) \\
& \quad-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{n}^{p}+y^{p}}\right)+f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{n}^{p}-y^{p}}\right)+\lambda f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}\right) g(y) \mid \\
& =\left\lvert\, \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda g\left(y_{n}\right)}\right.  \tag{2.17}\\
& \quad-\frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda g\left(y_{n}\right)} \\
& \left.\quad-\lambda \frac{f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y_{n}^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y_{n}^{p}}\right)}{\lambda g\left(y_{n}\right)} g(y) \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{2 \varphi(x)}{\lambda g\left(y_{n}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the right-hand side of the inequality converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.17), $f$ and $g$ satisfy the required $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ from (2.16) and (2.17).

The following corollaries follow immediate from Theorems 1 and 2.
Corollary 1. Assume that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g(x) f(y)\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

Then
(i) either $f$ is bounded or $g$ satisfies $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$,
(ii) either $g$ is bounded or $g$ satisfies $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, and $f$ and $g$ satisfy $\left(-g f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Corollary 2. Assume that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda f(x) g(y)\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

Then
Then
(i) either $f$ (:odd) is bounded or $g$ satisfies $\left(-f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$,
(ii) either $g$ (with $f$ :odd) is bounded or $g$ satisfies $\left(\_f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, and $f$ and $g$ satisfy $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Corollary 3. Assume that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda f(x) f(y)\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) \varphi(x) \\
(i i) \varphi(y) \\
(i i i) \varepsilon
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then either $f$ is bounded or $f$ satisfies ( $-f f^{\lambda}$ ),
Remark 1. In results, letting $p=1$ or $\lambda=2$, one can obtain (C), ( $W$ ), ( $K$ ), $\left({ }_{-} f f^{\lambda}\right),\left(-f g^{\lambda}\right),\left(-g f^{\lambda}\right)$. Hence they can be applied to stability results of cosine, Wilson, Kim, trigonometric functional equations, etc. See Badora [4], Badora and Ger [5], Baker [6], Fassi, et al. [10], Kannappan and Kim [16], [17,19], and Almahalebi, et al. [2]. Letting $p=2,3,4$ and $\lambda=1,2$, we can obtain the other functional equations. If the obtained results can be extend to them, then it will be applied similarly to stability results.

## 3. Extension to Banach algebras

In this section, we will extend our main results to Banach algebras.
Theorem 3. Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Assume that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left\|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g(x) f(y)\right\| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) \varphi(x)  \tag{3.1}\\
(i i) \varphi(y) \text { and } \varphi(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $z^{*} \in E^{*}$ be an arbitrary linear multiplicative functional.
(i) If $z^{*} \circ f$ is unbounded, then $g$ satisfies $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.
(ii) If $z^{*} \circ g$ is unbounded, then $g$ satisfies $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, and $f$ and $g$ satisfy $\left(\_g f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Proof. Assume that (6) holds and let $z^{*} \in E^{*}$ be a linear multiplicative functional. Since $\left\|z^{*}\right\|=1$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x) & \geq\left\|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g(x) f(y)\right\| \\
& =\sup _{\left\|z^{*}\right\|=1}\left|z^{*}\left(f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g(x) f(y)\right)\right| \\
& \geq\left|z^{*}\left(f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)\right)-z^{*}\left(f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)\right)-\lambda \cdot z^{*}(g(x)) \cdot z^{*}(f(y))\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which states that the superpositions $z^{*} \circ f$ and $z^{*} \circ g$ yield solutions of the inequality (2.1) in Theorem 1.

Hence we can apply to (i) of Theorem 1.
(i) Since, by assumption, the superposition $z^{*} \circ f$ is unbounded, an appeal to Theorem 1 shows that the superposition $z^{*} \circ g$ is a solution of $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, that is,

$$
\left(z^{*} \circ g\right)\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)+\left(z^{*} \circ g\right)\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda\left(z^{*} \circ g\right)(x)\left(z^{*} \circ g\right)(y) .
$$

Since $z^{*}$ is a linear multiplicative functional, we get

$$
z^{*}\left(g\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)+g\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g(x) g(y)\right)=0 .
$$

Hence an unrestricted choice of $z^{*}$ implies that

$$
g\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)+g\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g(x) g(y) \in \bigcap\left\{\operatorname{ker} z^{*}: z^{*} \in E^{*}\right\} .
$$

Since $E$ is a semisimple Banach algebra, $\bigcap\left\{\operatorname{ker} z^{*}: z^{*} \in E^{*}\right\}=0$, which means that $g$ satisfies the claimed equation $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.
(ii) By assumption, the superposition $z^{*} \circ g$ is unbounded, an appeal to Theorem 1 shows that the results hold.

From a similar process as in (2.15) of Theorem 1, we can show that the unboundedness of the superposition $z^{*} \circ g$ implies the unboundedness of the superposition $z^{*} \circ f$.

First, it follows from the above result (i) that $g$ satisfies the claimed equation $\left(-f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Next, an appeal to Theorem 1 shows that $z^{*} \circ f$ and $z^{*} \circ g$ are solutions of the equations $\left(-g f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z^{*} \circ f\right)\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-\left(z^{*} \circ f\right)\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda\left(z^{*} \circ g\right)(x)\left(z^{*} \circ f\right)(y), \\
& \left(z^{*} \circ f\right)\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-\left(z^{*} \circ f\right)\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)=\lambda\left(z^{*} \circ f\right)(x)\left(z^{*} \circ g\right)(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means by a linear multiplicativity of $z^{*}$ that the differences

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D} K^{\lambda}(x, y) & :=f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g(x) f(y), \\
\mathcal{D} W^{\lambda}(x, y) & :=f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda f(x) g(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

fall into the kernel of $z^{*}$. That is, $z^{*}\left(\mathcal{D} K^{\lambda}(z, w)\right)=0$ and $z^{*}\left(\mathcal{D} W^{\lambda}(z, w)\right)=0$.
Hence an unrestricted choice of $z^{*}$ implies that

$$
\mathcal{D} K^{\lambda}(x, y), \mathcal{D} W^{\lambda}(x, y) \in \bigcap\left\{\operatorname{ker} z^{*}: z^{*} \in E^{*}\right\}
$$

Since the algebra $E$ is semisimple, $\bigcap\left\{\operatorname{ker} z^{*}: z^{*} \in E^{*}\right\}=0$, which means that $f$ and $g$ satisfy the claimed equations $\left(-g f^{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Corollary 4. Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Assume that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left\|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda g(x) f(y)\right\| \leq \varepsilon
$$

Let $z^{*} \in E^{*}$ be an arbitrary linear multiplicative functional.
(i) If $z^{*} \circ f$ is unbounded, then $g$ satisfies $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.
(ii) If $z^{*} \circ g$ is unbounded, then $g$ satisfies $\left(f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, and $f$ and $g$ satisfy $\left(-g f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$ and $\left(f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

By a same procedure as Theorem 3, we can prove the next theorem as an extension of Theorem 2.

Theorem 4. Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Assume that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left\|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda f(x) g(y)\right\| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) \varphi(y)  \tag{3.2}\\
(i i) \varphi(x) \text { and } \varphi(y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $z^{*} \in E^{*}$ be an arbitrary linear multiplicative functional, $f$ is odd.
(i) If $z^{*} \circ f$ is unbounded, then $g$ satisfies $\left(-f f^{\lambda}\right)$.
(ii) If $z^{*} \circ g$ is unbounded, then $g$ satisfies $\left(-f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$, and $f$ and $g$ satisfy $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Corollary 5. Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Assume that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left\|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda f(x) g(y)\right\| \leq \varepsilon
$$

Let $z^{*} \in E^{*}$ be an arbitrary linear multiplicative functional.
(i) If $z^{*} \circ f$ is unbounded, then $g$ satisfies $\left(-f f^{\lambda}\right)$.
(ii) If $z^{*} \circ g\left(o r z^{*} \circ f\right)$ is unbounded, then $g$ satisfies $\left(-f f^{\lambda}\right)$, and $f$ and $g$ satisfy $\left(-f g_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Corollary 6. Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Assume that $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\left\|f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}+y^{p}}\right)-f\left(\sqrt[p]{x^{p}-y^{p}}\right)-\lambda f(x) f(y)\right\| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) \varphi(x) \\
(i i) \varphi(y) \\
(i i i) \varepsilon
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then either the superposition $z^{*} \circ f$ is bounded for each linear multiplicative functional $z^{*} \in E^{*}$ or $f$ satisfies $\left(\_f f_{r}^{\lambda}\right)$.

Remark 2. Letting $p=1$ or $\lambda=2$, then the considered equations impliy (C), $(W),(K),\left(\_f f\right),\left(\_g f\right),(-f g)$. Hence they can be appled to stability results of cosine, Wilson, Kim, trigonometric functional equations combined with the minus (See [2, 4, 5, 16-20]).
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