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#### Abstract

We propose and analyze a chord summation algorithm, which combines the ideas of Viète and Archimedes to calculate the value of $\pi$. The error of the algorithm decreases exponentially per iteration and becomes pinched at a critical iteration, depending on the accuracy of the first input value, $\sqrt{2}$. The critical iteration is also analyzed.
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## 1. Introduction

$\operatorname{Pi}(\pi)$, approximately 3.14 numerically, is widely applied in the fields of mathematics, science, and engineering. Due to its popularity, there have been many attempts to calculate the numerical value of $\pi$ more and more accurately. One of the earliest methods to calculate $\pi$ is Archimedes algorithm from the third century BC [4], in which the perimeters of the inscribed and the circumscribed regular polygons with $6 \times 2^{n}$ sides provide the lower and upper bounds of $\pi$ respectively. Viète in the 16 th century [2] twisted the Archimedes algorithm by considering the area of the regular polygons with $2^{n}$ sides inscribed in a circle, giving the infinite product expression for the value of $\pi$. Brent-Salamin algorithm [5] was also successful in calculating $\pi$, using converging arithmeticgeometric mean and elliptic integrals. There are many other methods that are also very fast and accurate for $\pi$ calculation that are not mentioned here, including Chudnovsky algorithm [3], Bailey-Borwein-Plouffe formula [1], and so on.
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Figure 1. Geometry of a sector with unit radius and chords $x_{n}$ and $x_{n+1}$.

A new and intuitive algorithm for $\pi$ calculation called chords summation algorithm, which combines the ideas of Viète algorithm and Archimedes algorithm, is introduced. The error of the algorithm decreases exponentially per iteration until it becomes pinched and stops decreasing due to the approximation error of the input of $\sqrt{2}$.

## 2. Derivation of Chords Summation Algorithm

Using a semicircle with a radius of 1 , let $x_{n}$ be the length of a chord when there are $2^{n}$ congruent chords distributed within the semicircle. For example, $x_{1}$ is equal to $\sqrt{2}$, because two chords and the diameter form two isosceles right triangles with a hypotenuse of 2 . A recurrence relation is derived by considering Figure 1.

Let point $D$ lie on the semicircle such that line segment $A D$ bisects the chord perpendicularly. Let point $C$ be the intersection between the chord and the bisector $A D$. Let $\alpha$ be the length of the segment. Applying Pythagorean theorem on $\triangle A B C$, an algebraic expression for $\alpha$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x_{n}}{2}\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2}=r^{2}=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq.(1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\sqrt{1-\frac{x_{n}^{2}}{4}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Pythagorean theorem on $\triangle B C D$, a recurrence relation is derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha)^{2}+\left(\frac{x_{n}}{2}\right)^{2}=x_{n+1}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting $\alpha$ from Eq.(2) into Eq.(3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{x_{n}^{2}}{4}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{x_{n}}{2}\right)^{2}=x_{n+1}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the recurrence relation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\sqrt{2-\sqrt{4-x_{n}^{2}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $x_{n}$ can be successively obtained by starting with $x_{1}=\sqrt{2}$. Let $\pi_{n}$ be the sum of all the length of the chords present at the $n^{t h}$ iteration. Chords summation algorithm calculates the value of $\pi_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}=2^{n} \times x_{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is convergent to $\pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n}=\pi \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\pi_{n}$ is an appropriate choice in approximating $\pi$ due to its quick exponential convergence. This will be discussed in detail in the following section.

## 3. Numerical Analysis

3.1. Rate of Convergence. Let $e_{n}$ be the absolute error between the approximate and real value of $\pi$ at the $n^{\text {th }}$ iteration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}=\left|\pi-\pi_{n}\right|=\pi-\pi_{n} \quad\left(\because \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \pi \geq \pi_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In theory, $e_{n}$ should converge to zero as $n$ tends to infinity. However, in practice, $e_{n}$ eventually converges to a constant that is not zero. This is due to the rounding error of $\sqrt{2}$, an irrational number that cannot be expressed exactly on computer floating point numbering systems. The effect of this rounding error on the deviation from the exponential decrease of $e_{n}$ will be discussed in detail in the next subsection.

In the following, it is proven that the error of chords summation algorithm, $e_{n}$, decreases by a quarter per valid iteration.


Figure 2. Geometry of a sector with unit radius, angles $\theta_{n}$ and $\theta_{n+1}$, and chords $x_{n}$ and $x_{n+1}$

Theorem 3.1. The gradient of $\log _{10} e_{n}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{10} e_{n+1}-\log _{10} e_{n}=\log _{10}\left(\frac{e_{n+1}}{e_{n}}\right)=-0.602 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\theta_{n}$ be the angle formed by chord $x_{n}$ in Figure 2, giving an equation $\theta_{n}=2 \times \theta_{n+1}=\pi / 2^{n}$ and $x_{n}=2 \sin \left(\theta_{n} / 2\right)$.

Ratio of errors between an iteration is calculated as the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e_{n}}{e_{n+1}}=\frac{\pi-\pi_{n}}{\pi-\pi_{n+1}}=\frac{\pi-2^{n} \times x_{n}}{\pi-2^{n+1} \times x_{n+1}}=\frac{\pi-2^{n} \times 2 \sin \left(\theta_{n} / 2\right)}{\pi-2^{n+1} \times 2 \sin \left(\theta_{n+1} / 2\right)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RHS of Eq.(10) is simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi-2^{n} \times 2 \sin \left(\theta_{n+1}\right)}{\pi-2^{n+1} \times 2 \sin \left(\theta_{n+1} / 2\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taylor Series expansion for $\sin \theta$ up to the third order gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \theta \approx \theta-\frac{\theta^{3}}{3!} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\theta$ is sufficiently small to make the approximation of Eq.(12) valid. Combining Eq.(11) and Eq.(12) gives:

$$
\frac{e_{n}}{e_{n+1}} \approx \frac{\pi-2^{n+1}\left(\theta_{n+1}-\frac{\theta_{n+1}^{3}}{6}\right)}{\pi-2^{n+2}\left(\frac{\theta_{n+1}}{2}-\frac{\theta_{n+1}^{3}}{48}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\frac{\pi-2^{n+1}\left(\frac{\pi}{2^{n+1}}-\frac{\pi^{3}}{6 \times 2^{3 n+3}}\right)}{\pi-2^{n+2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2^{n+2}}-\frac{\pi^{3}}{48 \times 2^{3 n+3}}\right)} \\
=\frac{2^{n+1} \pi^{3} / 6 \times 2^{3 n+3}}{2^{n+1} \pi^{3} / 24 \times 2^{3 n+3}} \\
=4 . \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

This result predicts that the gradient of $\log _{10} e_{n}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{10} e_{n+1}-\log _{10} e_{n}=\log _{10}\left(\frac{e_{n+1}}{e_{n}}\right)=-0.602 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2. Validity of the Algorithm.

Theorem 3.2. For a criterion of the number of valid iterations, we formulate the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{32 \times \alpha^{(k)} \delta^{(k)}}{e_{n}}<0.25 \Longleftrightarrow n<\log _{4}\left(\frac{e_{1}}{32 \times \alpha^{(k)} \delta^{(k)}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we will obtain $n_{c}$, the criterion of the number of valid iterations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{c}=\left\lfloor\log _{4}\left(\frac{e_{1}}{32 \times \alpha^{(k)} \delta^{(k)}}\right)\right\rfloor . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x_{1}^{(k)}$ be an approximate value of $\sqrt{2}$ with $k(\in \mathbb{N})$ decimal places. Let $f(x)$ be defined as $f(x)=\sqrt{2-\sqrt{4-x^{2}}}$. From Eq.(5), $x_{2}^{(k)}$ is calculated as $f\left(x_{1}^{(k)}\right)$. Likewise, $x_{n}^{(k)}$ is calculated as $x_{n}^{(k)}=f\left(x_{n-1}^{(k)}\right)$. Let $\delta^{(k)}$ be the rounding error of $x_{1}^{(k)}: \delta^{(k)}=\sqrt{2}-x_{1}^{(k)}$. For example, if $k$ is $3, x_{1}^{(3)}=1.414$ and $\delta^{(3)}=2.1356 \cdots \times 10^{-4}$.
$x_{2}$ of chords summation algorithm can be calculated as the following, using the first order Taylor expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}=f\left(x_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{1}^{(k)}+\delta^{(k)}\right) \approx f\left(x_{1}^{(k)}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{(k)}\right) \delta^{(k)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RHS of Eq.(17) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}^{(k)}+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{(k)}\right) \delta^{(k)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where derivative of $f(x)$ is given as the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{x}{2 \sqrt{4-x^{2}} \times f(x)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for $x_{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}=f\left(x_{2}^{(k)}+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{(k)}\right) \delta^{(k)}\right) \approx f\left(x_{2}^{(k)}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}^{(k)}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{(k)}\right) \delta^{(k)} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RHS is simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}^{(k)}+f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}^{(k)}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{(k)}\right) \delta^{(k)}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $n>5$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \approx x_{n}^{(k)}+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n-1}^{(k)}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n-2}^{(k)}\right) \cdots f^{\prime}\left(x_{5}^{(k)}\right) \alpha^{(k)} \delta^{(k)}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{(k)}=f^{\prime}\left(x_{4}^{(k)}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{3}^{(k)}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}^{(k)}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{(k)}\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is safe to assume $2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \approx 1$ for a sufficiently small $x_{n}$ because $2 f^{\prime}(x)$ converges to 1 as $x$ tends to zero. The assumption is valid for $n \geq 5$. To be specific, $2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{5}\right)=1.0009 \approx 1$, and further iterations of $2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ give the values closer to 1 .

Let $\pi_{n}^{(k)}$ be the estimate $\pi$ value of chords summation algorithm using $x_{n}^{(k)}$ instead of $x_{n}$. Referring to Equation 8 , let $e_{n}^{(k)}$ be the absolute error defined as $e_{n}^{(k)}=\pi-\pi_{n}^{(k)}$. The numerical value of the error is $e_{n}^{(k)}$ rather than $e_{n}$, which is assumed to use the exact value of $\sqrt{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}^{(k)}-e_{n}=\left(\pi-\pi_{n}^{(k)}\right)-\left(\pi-\pi_{n}\right)=\pi_{n}-\pi_{n}^{(k)}=2^{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n}^{(k)}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}^{(k)}-e_{n}=2^{n} \times f^{\prime}\left(x_{n-1}^{(k)}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n-2}^{(k)}\right) \cdots f^{\prime}\left(x_{5}^{(k)}\right) \alpha^{(k)} \delta^{(k)}=32 \times \alpha^{(k)} \delta^{(k)}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha^{(k)}$ is constant for a given $k$. For example, $\alpha^{(7)}=0.0882815651$.
The value of error does not decrease due to the constant nature of $32 \times \alpha^{(k)} \delta^{(k)}$, while $e_{n} \approx e\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{n-1}$ term continues to decrease. For a criterion of the number of valid iterations, we formulate the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{32 \times \alpha^{(k)} \delta^{(k)}}{e_{n}}<0.25 \Longleftrightarrow n<\log _{4}\left(\frac{e_{1}}{32 \times \alpha^{(k)} \delta^{(k)}}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we will obtain $n_{c}$, the criterion of the number of valid iterations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{c}=\left\lfloor\log _{4}\left(\frac{e_{1}}{32 \times \alpha^{(k)} \delta^{(k)}}\right)\right\rfloor . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. $e_{n}^{(k)} \log$ Values of the absolute errors at nth iteration are represented by dots. Solid line refers to the linear fitting of data points up to the 20th iteration, which is marked by dashed vertical line. Valid iterations of the algorithm are left to the dashed line. After the 20th iteration, values of the error starts to deviate from the linear fitting line, converging to 12.57 .

## 4. Numerical Results

Figure 3 shows the $\log$ plot of $e_{n}^{(k)}$ with respect to iteration n, where $\sqrt{2}$, with 12 correct decimal places, is used to calculate $e_{n}^{(k)}$. It gives the value of $\pi$ with 50 correct decimal places. It is interesting to observe an initial exponential decrease of $e_{n}^{(k)}$. Data points of $\log _{10} e_{n}^{(k)}$ lie on the straight line almost perfectly up to the 20th iteration, after which they start to deviate, eventually becoming constant. Valid iterations of chords summation algorithm are the first 20 iterations that lie on the line and decrease exponentially. It shows that $e_{n}^{(k)}$ eventually converges to a constant and stops decreasing after a certain number of iterations due to the rounding error of $x_{1}=\sqrt{2}$.

In fact, the linear fitting in Figure 3 is applied from $n=1$ to $n=20$, giving the equation of $-0.600 x+0.096$. The gradient of the linear fitting line is -0.6 , which is very close to $\log _{10}(1 / 4)=-0.602 \ldots$, showing that $e_{n}$ is quartered per iteration. Small discrepancy between the actual gradient (-0.6) and the predicted


Figure 4. Number of decimal places of $\sqrt{2}$ used.
gradient (-0.602) shows that the Taylor expansion approximation in Eq. 12 is a valid assumption.

Also, it is observed in Figure 3 that the exponential decrease of $e_{n}^{(k)}$ is valid up to more iterations if more decimal places of $x_{1}=\sqrt{2}$ are used. It is noted that a criterion for determining the number of valid iterations of the algorithm at a given number of decimal places of $x_{1}=\sqrt{2}$ is formulated.

In Figure 4, the effect of the number of decimal places of $\sqrt{2}$ used in chords summation algorithm on the number of valid iterations, for which $e_{n}^{(k)}$ decreases exponentially, is shown.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, by combining the ideas of Viète and Archimedes, we proposed a chord summation algorithm for calculating the $\pi$ value and analyzed the algorithm.

The chords summation algorithm provides an approximation of $\pi$ and has an error that decreases exponentially. We analyzed the accuracy of the approximation and showed that the accuracy depends on the number of iterations, along with the number of decimal digits used in the initial input value of $\sqrt{2}$.

As a reference, we put our python program for the algorithm in Appendix.

## Appendix

```
from decimal import *
import math
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
piDecimalPlaces = 0
sqrtDecimalPlaces = 0
iterations = 0
# Gets maximum number of iterations valid
# based on current value of sqrtDecimalPlaces
def getMaximumIterations():
    i = (getError(Decimal('2') * Decimal('2').sqrt()) /
                                    ((Decimal('32') * getAlpha()
                                    * getDelta())))
        i = math.log(i, 4)
        return i
# Gets values for piDecimalPlaces, sqrtDecimalPlaces, and
    iterations
# from user Displays number of maximum iterations.
def getInput():
    global piDecimalPlaces, sqrtDecimalPlaces, iterations
    piDecimalPlaces = int(input(
            "\nPlease enter the number of decimal digits for
                    PI: ")) + 1
    sqrtDecimalPlaces = int(input(
            "Please enter the number of decimal digits for
                        sqrt of 2: ")) + 1
    maximumIterations = int(getMaximumIterations())
    print("\nThe maximum number of valid iterations is "
            + str(maximumIterations) + "\n")
        iterations = int(input(
        "Please enter the number of iterations you would like
            to complete: "))
# Recursively calculates the chord length at the given
    number of iterations
def getChordLength(chordLength, iterationsLeft):
```

    if (iterationsLeft \(=1\) ):
        return chordLength
    newChordLength \(=\) Decimal (Decimal \((\)
        '2') - Decimal(Decimal ('4')
            - chordLength \(* * 2\) ).sqrt()).sqrt()
    return getChordLength(newChordLength, iterationsLeft
        - 1)
    \# Calculates the error in the given approximation
    of pi, using math.pi
    \# as the "correct" value for pi
def getError (piApproximation):
getcontext(). prec $=$ piDecimalPlaces
return abs(Decimal(math.pi) - piApproximation)
\# Calculates approximation of pi using the current
number of decimal places
\# forsqrt 2, pi, and the number of iterations
def approximatePi():
getcontext().prec $=$ sqrtDecimalPlaces
initialChordLength $=$ Decimal('2').sqrt()
getcontext(). prec $=$ piDecimalPlaces
piApproximation $=2 * *$ iterations
* getChordLength(initialChordLength,
iterations)
return piApproximation
\# Used in calculating the alpha value
def getDerivative(chordLength):
quantizedPart $=\operatorname{Decimal}\left(\right.$ Decimal (' $\left.4^{\prime}\right)$
- chordLength**2).sqrt()
places $=\operatorname{Decimal}\left({ }^{\prime} 10^{\prime}\right) * *(-1 *($ sqrtDecimalPlaces -1$))$

```
    quantizedPart
    = quantizedPart.quantize(places, ROUNDDOWN)
    print(quantizedPart)
    getcontext(). prec = sqrtDecimalPlaces
    denominator = Decimal('2') * Decimal(Decimal('4')
    - chordLength **2).sqrt()
    * Decimal(Decimal('2') - quantizedPart).sqrt()
    return chordLength / denominator
# Used to calculate the value for alpha
def getAlpha():
    return getAlphaHelper(4)
# [HELPER MEIHOD] Used to recursively calculate the
    value for alpha
def getAlphaHelper(iterationsLeft):
    initialChordLength = Decimal('2').sqrt()
    if (iterationsLeft=1):
            return getDerivative(initialChordLength)
        return getDerivative(getChordLength(initialChordLength
            ,iterationsLeft))*getAlphaHelper(iterationsLeft - 1)
# Used to calculate value of delta
def getDelta():
    getcontext().prec = sqrtDecimalPlaces * 2
    accurateRoot = Decimal('2').sqrt()
    approximateRoot = Decimal('2').sqrt()
    places = Decimal('10') ** (-1*(sqrtDecimalPlaces - }1)
    approximateRoot = approximateRoot.quantize(places,
        ROUNDDOWN)
```

difference $=$ accurateRoot - approximateRoot return difference
\# Used to create error vs. iterations graph
def iterationsVsError ():
global iterations originallterations $=$ iterations maximumIterations $=\operatorname{int}($ getMaximumIterations () )
$+10$
iterationList $=$ populateList(maximumIterations)
errorList $=[0] *$ maximumIterations
for currentNumIterations in range (1, maximumIterations):
iterations $=$ currentNumIterations errorList [currentNumIterations] $=$ math. $\log ($ getError (approximatePi()), 10)
iterations $=$ originalIterations
plt.figure (1)
plt.ylabel("log(e)")
plt.xlabel("n (iteration)")
plt.plot(iterationList, errorList, "ro")
\# Used to create sqrt vs. iterations graph
def sqrtVsIterations():
global sqrtDecimalPlaces
maximumDecimalPlaces $=$ sqrtDecimalPlaces
decimalPlaceList $=$ populateList (maximumDecimalPlaces)
iterationList $=[0] *$ maximumDecimalPlaces
for currentDecimalPlace in range(2,
maximumDecimalPlaces +1 ):
sqrtDecimalPlaces $=$ currentDecimalPlace
iterationList [currentDecimalPlace - 1 ]
$=$ getMaximumIterations()

```
    plt.figure(2)
    plt.ylabel("Number of Valid Iterations")
    plt.xlabel("Number of Decimal Places of Root 2 Used")
    plt.plot(decimalPlaceList, iterationList, "ro")
    def sqrtVsError():
    global sqrtDecimalPlaces
    maximumDecimalPlaces = sqrtDecimalPlaces
    decimalPlaceList = populateList(maximumDecimalPlaces)
    errorList = [0] * maximumDecimalPlaces
    for currentDecimalPlace in range(2,
    maximumDecimalPlaces +1):
        sqrtDecimalPlaces = currentDecimalPlace
        errorList[currentDecimalPlace - 1] = math.log(
            getError(approximatePi()), 10)
    plt.figure(3)
    plt.ylabel("log(e)")
    plt.xlabel("Number of Decimal Places of Root 2 Used")
    plt.plot(decimalPlaceList, errorList, "ro")
# Used to create x-axis list easily for graphs
def populateList(maximum):
    list = [0] * maximum
    for i in range(0, maximum):
        list[i] = i
    return list
getInput()
iterationsVsError()
sqrtVsIterations()
sqrtVsError()
plt.show()
```
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