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#### Abstract

Let $R \subseteq T$ be an ascending chain of commutative rings with identity and $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ (resp., $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ ) the composite Hurwitz series ring (resp., composite Hurwitz polynomial ring). In this article, we give some conditions for the rings $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ and $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ to be PS-rings.
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## 1. Introduction

1.1. Composite Hurwitz rings. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and let $\mathrm{H}(R)$ be the set of formal expressions of the type $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} X^{i}$, where $a_{i} \in R$ for all $i \geq 0$. Define addition and $*$-product on $\mathrm{H}(R)$ as follows: for $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} X^{i}, g=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X^{i} \in \mathrm{H}(R)$,

$$
f+g=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right) X^{i} \text { and } f * g=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} X^{n},
$$

where $c_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i} a_{i} b_{n-i}$. Then $\mathrm{H}(R)$ becomes a commutative ring with identity containing $R$ under these two operations. The ring $\mathrm{H}(R)$ is called the Hurwitz series ring over $R$. The Hurwitz polynomial ring $\mathrm{h}(R)$ is the subring of $\mathrm{H}(R)$ consisting of formal expressions of the form $f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} X^{i}$.

Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity. Let $\mathrm{H}(R, T)=$ $\{f \in \mathrm{H}(T) \mid$ the constant term of $f$ belongs to $R\}$ and $\mathrm{h}(R, T)=\{f \in \mathrm{~h}(T) \mid$ the constant term of $f$ belongs to $R\}$. Then $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ and $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ are commutative rings with identity satisfying $\mathrm{H}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{H}(R, T) \subseteq \mathrm{H}(T)$ and $\mathrm{h}(R) \subseteq \mathrm{h}(R, T) \subseteq$

[^0]$\mathrm{h}(T)$. The rings $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ and $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ are called the composite Hurwitz series ring and the composite Hurwitz polynomial ring, respectively. Note that if $R \subsetneq T$, then $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ (resp., $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ ) gives algebraic properties of Hurwitz series (resp., Hurwitz polynomial) type rings strictly between two Hurwitz series rings $\mathrm{H}(R)$ and $\mathrm{H}(T)$ (resp., Hurwitz polynomial rings $\mathrm{h}(R)$ and $\mathrm{h}(T)$ ). Also, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ (resp., $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ ) is a pullback of $R$ and $\mathrm{H}(T)$ (resp., $\mathrm{h}(T)$ ).

The readers can refer to [1] for the Hurwitz rings and to [4] for the composite Hurwitz rings.
1.2. PS-rings. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and let $\operatorname{char}(R)$ be the characteristic of $R$. For an ideal $I$ of $R$, set $\operatorname{ann}_{R}(I)=\{r \in R \mid r I=(0)\}$. Then $\operatorname{ann}_{R}(I)$ is an ideal of $R$. Recall that $R$ is a $P S$-ring if for each maximal ideal $M$ of $R, \operatorname{ann}_{R}(M)=(e)$ for some idempotent element $e$ of $R$. In [1], Benhissi and Koja studied when the Hurwitz rings $\mathrm{H}(R)$ and $\mathrm{h}(R)$ are PS-rings. In fact, they showed that $\mathrm{H}(R)$ is always a PS-ring [1, Theorem 6.4] and if $\operatorname{char}(R)>0$, then $\mathrm{h}(R)$ is a PS-ring [1, Corollary 6.5]. They also proved that if $R$ is a PS-ring which is a torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then $\mathrm{h}(R)$ is a PS-ring [1, Theorem 6.7].

Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity. In this article, we study when the composite Hurwitz rings $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ and $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ are PS-rings. More precisely, we show that $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ is always a PS-ring and if $\operatorname{char}(R)>0$, then $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ is a PS-ring. We also prove that if $T$ is a torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ is a PS-ring.

## 2. Basic results

We start this section with a simple result.
Proposition 2.1. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity and let $D$ be either $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ or $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ and $\pi: D \rightarrow R$ the canonical epimorphism. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) $D / \pi^{-1}(I)$ is isomorphic to $R / I$.
(2) $\pi^{-1}(I)$ is a prime ideal of $D$ if and only if $I$ is a prime ideal of $R$.
(3) $\pi^{-1}(I)$ is a maximal ideal of $D$ if and only if $I$ is a maximal ideal of $R$.

Proof. (1) Let $\phi: R \rightarrow R / I$ be the canonical epimorphism and let $\psi=\phi \circ \pi$. Then $\psi$ is a ring epimorphism. Note that $f \in \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ if and only if $f \in \pi^{-1}(I)$. Hence $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)=\pi^{-1}(I)$. Thus $D / \pi^{-1}(I)$ is isomorphic to $R / I$.
(2) and (3) These equivalences follow directly from (1).

Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity and let $m$ be a nonnegative integer. In order to avoid the confusion, if $f$ is an element of either $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ or $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$, then we denote the $m$ th power of $f$ by $f^{(m)}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity and let $D$ be either $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ or $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$. Let $f=\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i} X^{i} \in D$. If $\operatorname{char}(R)=m>$ 0 , then the following assertions hold.
(1) $f^{(m)}=a_{0}^{m}$.
(2) $f$ is a unit in $D$ if and only if $a_{0}$ is a unit in $R$.

Proof. (1) Note that $f \in \mathrm{H}(T)$ and $\operatorname{char}(T)=m$; so $f^{(m)}=a_{0}^{m}$ [2, Proposition 3.2].
(2) If $a_{0}$ is a unit in $R$, then by (1), $f * f^{(m-1)} *\left(a_{0}^{-1}\right)^{m}=1$. Hence $f^{-1}=$ $f^{(m-1)} *\left(a_{0}^{-1}\right)^{m} \in D$. Thus $f$ is a unit in $D$. The converse is obvious.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. Then $\operatorname{Max}(R)$ means the set of maximal ideals of $R$. We next investigate the structure of maximal ideals of the composite Hurwitz series ring and the composite Hurwitz polynomial ring.

Proposition 2.3. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) If $\pi: \mathrm{H}(R, T) \rightarrow R$ is the canonical epimorphism, then $\operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{H}(R, T))=$ $\left\{\pi^{-1}(M) \mid M \in \operatorname{Max}(R)\right\}$.
(2) If $\operatorname{char}(R)>0$ and $\pi: \mathrm{h}(R, T) \rightarrow R$ is the canonical epimorphism, then $\operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{h}(R, T))=\left\{\pi^{-1}(M) \mid M \in \operatorname{Max}(R)\right\}$.
Proof. (1) By Proposition 2.1(3), it is enough to show that for any maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$, there exists a maximal ideal $M$ of $R$ such that $\mathcal{M}=\pi^{-1}(M)$. Since $\pi$ is a ring epimorphism, $\pi(\mathcal{M})$ is an ideal of $R$. Let $M=\pi(\mathcal{M})$. If $M=R$, then $1 \in M$; so we can find an element $f \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\pi(f)=1$. Therefore $f$ is a unit in $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ [5, Lemma 2.2(1)]. This is a contradiction to the fact that $\mathcal{M} \subsetneq \mathrm{H}(R, T)$. Hence $M$ is a proper ideal of $R$. Since $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \pi^{-1}(M) \subsetneq \mathrm{H}(R, T)$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{H}(R, T), \mathcal{M}=\pi^{-1}(M)$. Note that by Proposition $2.1(3), M$ is a maximal ideal of $R$. Thus the proof is done.
(2) The result can be obtained by combining Lemma $2.2(2)$ with a similar argument as in the proof of (1).

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. Then $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ stands for the set of prime ideals of $R$. We are closing this section with a study of the prime spectrum of the composite Hurwitz series ring and the composite Hurwitz polynomial ring.

Proposition 2.4. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity and let $D$ be either $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ or $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$. Let $\pi: D \rightarrow R$ be the canonical epimorphism and $\phi: \operatorname{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(D)$ the map given by $\phi(P)=\pi^{-1}(P)$. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) $\phi$ is an order-preserving injection.
(2) If $P \subsetneq Q$ are consecutive prime ideals of $R$, then $\phi(P) \subsetneq \phi(Q)$ are consecutive prime ideals of $D$.
(3) If $\operatorname{char}(R)>0$, then $\phi$ is an order-preserving bijection.

Proof. (1) Clearly, $\phi$ is well-defined and one-to-one. If $P_{1} \subseteq P_{2}$ are prime ideals of $R$, then it is obvious that $\pi^{-1}\left(P_{1}\right) \subseteq \pi^{-1}\left(P_{2}\right)$ are prime ideals of $D$. Hence $\phi\left(P_{1}\right) \subseteq \phi\left(P_{2}\right)$. Thus $\phi$ is order-preserving.
(2) Let $A \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$ such that $\pi^{-1}(P) \subsetneq A \subseteq \pi^{-1}(Q)$. Then there exists an element $f \in A \backslash \pi^{-1}(P)$. Since $f-f(0) \in \pi^{-1}(0) \subsetneq A, f(0) \in A \backslash P$; so $f(0) \in A \cap R$. Therefore $P=\pi^{-1}(P) \cap R \subsetneq A \cap R \subseteq \pi^{-1}(Q) \cap R=Q$. Since $P \subsetneq Q$ are consecutive prime ideals of $R, Q=A \cap R$. Let $g \in \pi^{-1}(Q)$. Then $g(0) \in Q \subseteq A$. Note that $g-g(0) \in \pi^{-1}(0) \subsetneq A$; so $g \in A$. Hence $A=\pi^{-1}(Q)$. Thus $\pi^{-1}(P) \subsetneq \pi^{-1}(Q)$ are consecutive prime ideals of $D$.
(3) Suppose that $\operatorname{char}(R)=m>0$. Then by (1), it suffices to show that $\phi$ is onto. Let $Q \in \operatorname{Spec}(D)$ and let $f \in Q$. Then by Lemma $2.2(1),(\pi(f))^{m}=$ $f^{(m)} \in Q \cap R$; so $\pi(f) \in Q \cap R$. Hence $f \in \pi^{-1}(Q \cap R)$. For the reverse containment, let $g \in \pi^{-1}(Q \cap R)$. Then $\pi(g) \in Q \cap R$; so by Lemma 2.2(1), $g^{(m)}=(\pi(g))^{m} \in Q$. Hence $g \in Q$. Thus $\phi(Q \cap R)=\pi^{-1}(Q \cap R)=Q$.

## 3. Main results

3.1. When the composite Hurwitz series ring is a PS-ring. In this subsection, we study when the composite Hurwitz series ring is a PS-ring.
Lemma 3.1. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity and $\mathcal{M}$ a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$. If $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=r * \mathrm{H}(R, T)$ for some $r \in R$, then $r=0$.

Proof. Note that by Proposition 2.3(1), $X \in \mathcal{M}$; so $r * X=0$. Thus $r=0$.
Proposition 3.2. If $R \subseteq T$ is an extension of commutative rings with identity, then the following assertions are equivalent.
(1) $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ is a PS-ring.
(2) $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=(0)$ for all maximal ideals $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ is a PS-ring and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$. Then $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=r * \mathrm{H}(R, T)$ for some idempotent element $r$ of $R$ [3, Lemma 4.1]. Hence $r=0$ by Lemma 3.1. Thus $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=(0)$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ This implication is obvious.
Lemma 3.3. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity, $\pi: \mathrm{H}(R, T) \rightarrow R$ the canonical epimorphism, $\mathcal{M}$ a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ and $M$ the maximal ideal of $R$ with $\mathcal{M}=\pi^{-1}(M)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.
(1) $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} X^{i} \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$.
(2) For all $i \geq 0, a_{i} X^{i} \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$.
(3) $a_{0}=0$ and for all $i, j \geq 1, a_{i} \in \operatorname{ann}_{T}(M T)$ and $\binom{i+j}{i} a_{i}=0$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $g=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j} X^{j} \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $b_{0} \in M \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $b_{j} X^{j} \in$ $\mathcal{M}$ for all $j \geq 1$. Since $f \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M}), f * b_{j} X^{j}=0$ for all $j \geq 0$; so $a_{i} X^{i} * b_{j} X^{j}=0$ for all $i, j \geq 0$. Hence $a_{i} X^{i} * g=0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Thus $a_{i} X^{i} \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$ for all $i \geq 0$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ Note that by Proposition $2.3(1), X \in \mathcal{M}$; so $a_{0} * X=0$. Thus $a_{0}=0$. Let $b \in M$. Then $b \in \mathcal{M}$; so $a_{i} X^{i} * b=0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Hence $a_{i} b=0$ for
all $i \geq 1$. Thus $a_{i} \in \operatorname{ann}_{T}(M T)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Note that by Proposition 2.3(1), $X^{j} \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $j \geq 1$; so $\binom{i+j}{i} a_{i} X^{i+j}=a_{i} X^{i} * X^{j}=0$ for all $i, j \geq 1$. Thus $\binom{i+j}{i} a_{i}=0$ for all $i, j \geq 1$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $g=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{j} X^{j} \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $b_{0} \in M$; so by the assumption, $a_{i} X^{i} * b_{0}=0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Also, by the hypothesis, $a_{i} X^{i} * b_{j} X^{j}=$ $\binom{i+j}{i} a_{i} b_{j} X^{i+j}=0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$. Hence $f * g=0$. Thus $f \in$ $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$.

We give the main result in this subsection.
Theorem 3.4. If $R \subseteq T$ is an extension of commutative rings with identity, then $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=(0)$ for all $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{H}(R, T))$. In particular, $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ is a PS-ring.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{H}(R, T)$ and let $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} X^{i}$ be an element of $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$. Then by Lemma $3.3, a_{0}=0$ and $\binom{i+j}{i} a_{i}=0$ for all $i, j \geq 1$. Fix an integer $i \geq 1$ and let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ be all the prime integers dividing $\binom{i+1}{i}$. Then $\binom{i+p_{k}^{i+1}}{i} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{k}\right)$ for all $k=1, \ldots, n$ [6, Lemma $2(3)]$; so $\binom{i+1}{i},\binom{i+p_{i}^{i+1}}{i}, \ldots,\binom{i+p_{n}^{i+1}}{i}$ are relatively prime. Therefore there exist $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $u_{0}\binom{i+1}{i}+u_{1}\binom{i+p_{1}^{i+1}}{i}+\cdots+u_{n}\binom{i+p_{n}^{i+1}}{i}=1$. By multiplying both sides by $a_{i}, a_{i}=0$. Hence $f=0$. Thus $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{H}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=(0)$.

The last statement follows directly from Proposition 3.2.
By applying Theorem 3.4 to the case $R=T$, we recover
Corollary 3.5. ([1, Theorem 6.4]) If $R$ is a commutative ring with identity, then $\mathrm{H}(R)$ is always a PS-ring.
3.2. When the composite Hurwitz polynomial ring is a PS-ring. In this subsection, we characterize when the composite Hurwitz polynomial ring is a PS-ring.

Lemma 3.6. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity and $\mathcal{M}$ a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$. If $\operatorname{char}(R)>0$ and $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=r * \mathrm{~h}(R, T)$ for some $r \in R$, then $r=0$.

Proof. Note that by Proposition 2.3(2), $X \in \mathcal{M}$; so $r * X=0$. Thus $r=0$.
Proposition 3.7. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity. If $\operatorname{char}(R)>0$, then the following assertions are equivalent.
(1) $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ is a PS-ring.
(2) $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=(0)$ for all $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{h}(R, T))$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ is a PS-ring and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$. Then $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=r * \mathrm{~h}(R, T)$ for some idempotent element $r$ of $R$ [3, Lemma 4.1]. Hence $r=0$ by Lemma 3.6. Thus $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=(0)$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ This implication is clear.

Lemma 3.8. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity and suppose that $\operatorname{char}(R)>0$. Let $\pi: \mathrm{h}(R, T) \rightarrow R$ be the canonical epimorphism, $\mathcal{M}$ a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ and $M$ the maximal ideal of $R$ with $\mathcal{M}=\pi^{-1}(M)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.
(1) $f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} X^{i} \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$.
(2) For all $i=0, \ldots, n, a_{i} X^{i} \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$.
(3) $a_{0}=0$ and for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $j \geq 1, a_{i} \in \operatorname{ann}_{T}(M T)$ and $\binom{i+j}{i} a_{i}=$ 0 .
Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ Let $g=\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j} X^{j} \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $b_{0} \in M \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $b_{j} X^{j} \in$ $\mathcal{M}$ for all $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Since $f \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M}), f * b_{j} X^{j}=0$ for all $j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$; so $a_{i} X^{i} * b_{j} X^{j}=0$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ and $j \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$. Hence $a_{i} X^{i} * g=0$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$. Thus $a_{i} X^{i} \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ Note that by Proposition $2.3(2), X \in \mathcal{M}$; so $a_{0} * X=0$. Thus $a_{0}=0$. Let $b \in M$. Then $b \in \mathcal{M}$; so $a_{i} X^{i} * b=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Hence $a_{i} b=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Thus $a_{i} \in \operatorname{ann}_{T}(M T)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Note that by Proposition 2.3(2), $X^{j} \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $j \geq 1$; so $\binom{i+j}{i} a_{i} X^{i+j}=a_{i} X^{i} * X^{j}=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $j \geq 1$. Thus $\binom{i+j}{i} a_{i}=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $j \geq 1$.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $g=\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j} X^{j} \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $b_{0} \in M$; so by the assumption, $a_{i} X^{i} * b_{0}=0$ for all $i=0, \ldots, n$. Also, by the hypothesis, $a_{i} X^{i} * b_{j} X^{j}=$ $\binom{i+j}{i} a_{i} b_{j} X^{i+j}=0$ for all $i=0, \ldots, n$ and $j=1, \ldots, m$. Hence $f * g=0$. Thus $f \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$.

We next give two main results in this subsection.
Theorem 3.9. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity. If $\operatorname{char}(R)>0$, then $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=(0)$ for all $\mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{h}(R, T))$. In particular, $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ is a PS-ring.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ and let $f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} X^{i}$ be an element of $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$. Then by Lemma $3.8, a_{0}=0$ and $\binom{i+j}{i} a_{i}=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $j \geq 1$. Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ be fixed and let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$ be all the prime integers dividing $\binom{i+1}{i}$. Then $\binom{i+p_{k}^{i+1}}{i} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{k}\right)$ for all $k=1, \ldots, m$ [6, Lemma 2(3)]; so $\binom{i+1}{i},\binom{i+p_{1}^{i+1}}{i}, \ldots,\binom{i+p_{m}^{i+1}}{i}$ are relatively prime. Therefore there exist $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $u_{0}\binom{i+1}{i}+u_{1}\binom{i+p_{1}^{i+1}}{i}+\cdots+u_{m}\binom{i+p_{m}^{i+1}}{i}=1$. By multiplying both sides by $a_{i}, a_{i}=0$. Hence $f=0$. Thus $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=(0)$.

The last statement follows directly from Proposition 3.7.
Corollary 3.10. ([1, Corollary 6.5$]$ ) Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. If $\operatorname{char}(R)>0$, then $\mathrm{h}(R)$ is a $P S$-ring.
Proof. The result follows from Theorem 3.9.
Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity and $f=$ $\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i} X^{i} \in \mathrm{~h}(R, T)$. By the order of $f$, we shall mean the nonnegative integer
$n$ such that $a_{i}=0$ for all $i<n$ and $a_{n} \neq 0$. If $f=0$, then the order of $f$ is defined to be $\infty$. We denote the order of $f$ by $\operatorname{ord}(f)$.

Theorem 3.11. Let $R \subseteq T$ be an extension of commutative rings with identity. If $T$ is a torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=(0)$ for all $\mathcal{M} \in$ $\operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{h}(R, T))$. In particular, $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ is a PS-ring.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ and let $I$ be the ideal of $T$ generated by the set $\left\{a_{\operatorname{ord}(f)} \mid f=\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i} X^{i} \in \mathcal{M} \backslash(0)\right\}$. Suppose that $I \subsetneq T$. Then we can find an element $a \in T \bar{\backslash} I$; so $a X \in \mathrm{~h}(R, T) \backslash \mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathrm{h}(R, T), \mathcal{M}+(a X * \mathrm{~h}(R, T))=\mathrm{h}(R, T)$; so there exist $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $g \in \mathrm{~h}(R, T)$ such that $f+a X * g=1$. Therefore $1=f(0) \in I$. This is absurd. Hence $I=T$. Now, we can find $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} \in T$ and $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $t_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+t_{n} a_{n}=1$, where for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, a_{i}$ is the coefficient of $X^{\operatorname{ord}\left(f_{i}\right)}$ in $f_{i}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M}) \neq(0)$. Then there exists a nonzero element $g=\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j} X^{j} \in \operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})$; so $f_{i} * g=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Therefore for each $i=1, \ldots, n$, the coefficient of $X^{\operatorname{ord}\left(f_{i}\right)+\operatorname{ord}(g)}$ in $f_{i} * g$ is $\binom{\operatorname{ord}\left(f_{i}\right)+\operatorname{ord}(g)}{\operatorname{ord}\left(f_{i}\right)} a_{i} b_{\operatorname{ord}(g)}=0$. Since $T$ is a torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $a_{i} b_{\operatorname{ord}(g)}=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Hence $b_{\operatorname{ord}(g)}=b_{\operatorname{ord}(g)}\left(t_{1} a_{1}+\cdots+t_{n} a_{n}\right)=0$. This contradicts the fact that $b_{\operatorname{ord}(g)} \neq 0$. Thus $\operatorname{ann}_{\mathrm{h}(R, T)}(\mathcal{M})=(0)$. This means that $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ is a PS-ring.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. In [1, Theorem 6.7], it was shown that if $R$ is both a PS-ring and a torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then $\mathrm{h}(R)$ is a PS-ring. By applying Theorem 3.11 to the case $R=T$, we can remove the condition " $R$ is a PS-ring".

Corollary 3.12. (cf. [1, Theorem 6.7]) Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. If $R$ is a torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then $\mathrm{h}(R)$ is a PS-ring.

We are closing this article with the following question.
Question 3.13. If $R \subseteq T$ is an extension of commutative rings with identity, then is $\mathrm{h}(R, T)$ always a PS-ring?
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