Honam Mathematical J. **42** (2020), No. 4, pp. 701–717 https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2020.42.4.701

SOME DISTORTION THEOREMS FOR NEW SUBCLASS OF HARMONIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

Mohammad Mehdi Shabani, Maryam Yazdi, and Saeed Hashemi Sababe*

Abstract. We introduced and studied a new class of harmonic univalent functions on unit disc \mathbb{U} . Also we provided coefficient conditions, extreme points and convolution conditions for that class of harmonic univalent functions.

1. Introduction

A continuous function f = u + iv is a complex-valued harmonic function in a complex domain \mathbb{C} if both u and v are real harmonic. In any simply connected domain $B \subset \mathbb{C}$, we can write f = h + g, where h and gare analytic in B. We call h and g are analytic part and co-analytic part of f respectively. Clunie and Sheil-Small [5] observed that a necessary and sufficient condition for the harmonic functions f = h + g to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in B is that |h'(z)| > |g'(z)| for all $z \in B$. Denote by S_H the class of functions $f = h + \overline{g}$ that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ for which $f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$.

In 1984, Clunie and Sheil-Small [5] investigated the class S_H as well as its geometric subclasses and obtained some coefficient bounds. They proved that although S_H is not compact, it is normal with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of U. Meanwhile the subclass S_H^0 of S_H consisting of the functions having the property $f_{\bar{z}}(0) = 0$ is compact.

Some of the subclasses studied are as follows:

Received March 31, 2020. Revised October 7, 2020. Accepted October 7, 2020. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases. Convex harmonic functions, Starlike harmonic functions, Univalent harmonic functions, Extremal problems, differential operator.

^{*}Corresponding author

- 702 Mohammad Mehdi Shabani, Maryam Yazdi, and Saeed Hashemi Sababe
 - (i) The classes $S_H(1,0;0,0) = S_H$ and $S_H(2,1;0,0) = C_H$ which is studied by Avci and Zlotkiewicz in [4].
 - (ii) The classes $S_H(1,0;\alpha,0) = S_H(\alpha)$ and $S_H(2,1;\alpha,0) = C_H(\alpha)$ which is studied by Özturk and Yalçin in [13].
- (iii) The class $S_H(m, n; \alpha, 0) = S_H(m, n, \alpha)$ which is studied by Dixit *et al.* in [8].
- (iv) The class $\mathcal{S}_H(1,0;\alpha,\beta) = \mathcal{S}_H(\alpha,\beta)$ which is studied by Seoudy in [16].
- (v) The class $S_H(n+1,n;\alpha,0) = S_H(\alpha,n)$ which is studied by Aouf *et al. in [15].*

In section 2, we denote some fundamental definitions, theorems, and lemmas and in section 3, we investigate several properties of the classes $S_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ and $S^0_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$. Also, we generalize, improve, and correct some results of Özturk and Yalçin [13]. More recent works in this area can be found in [1] and [2].

2. Preliminaries and Definitions

We begin with the basic definition on harmonic univalent functions.

Definition 2.1. A harmonic, complex-valued, orientation preserving, univalent mapping f defined on \mathbb{U} can be written as:

(1)
$$f = h + \overline{g},$$

where

(2)
$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad |b_1| < 1.$$

We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f.

Denote by $S_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ the class of all functions of the form (1) that satisfy the following inequality:

(3)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k| + |b_k|) \le (1 - \alpha)(1 - |b_1|),$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m > n, 0 \le \alpha < 1, \beta \ge 0$ and $0 \le |b_1| < 1$.

The class $\mathcal{S}_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ with $|b_1| = 0$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}_H^0(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$.

Definition 2.2. If h, g are the form (2) and if $f = h + \overline{g}, F = H + \overline{G}$, then the convolution of f and F is defined to be the function

(4)
$$(f * F)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k A_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k B_k z^k,$$

and the integral convolution is defined by:

(5)
$$(f \Diamond F)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k A_k}{k} z^k + \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k B_k}{k} z^k},$$

where

(6)
$$H(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k, \quad G(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k z^k.$$

Özturk and Yalçin [13], defined the generalized δ -neighborhood of f to be the set:

$$N(f) = \left\{ F : \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-\alpha)(|a_k - A_k| + |b_k - B_k|) + (1-\alpha)|b_1 - B_1| \le \delta(1-\alpha) \right\},$$

where $F = H + \overline{G}$, and H, G are the form (6).

Theorem 2.3. For harmonic univalent mapping f as above, $|a_1| \leq 2$ and ,

$$|a_n| = |b_n| \le 2/n$$
, for all $n \ge 2$.

Complete proof is explained in theorem 4.7 of [11].

3. Main results

We start this section with the most important following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. For $0 \le \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2 < 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_H(m,n;\alpha_2,\beta) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_H(m,n;\alpha_1,\beta),$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}(m,n;\alpha_{2},\beta) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}(m,n;\alpha_{1},\beta)$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_H(m,n;\alpha,\beta) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_H(m,n;0,\beta),$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}(m,n;\alpha,\beta) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}(m,n;0,\beta),$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m > n$ and $\beta \ge 0$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_H(m, n; \alpha_2, \beta)$ and

$$A_{1}^{i} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k^{m} - \alpha_{i}k^{n})(1 - \beta + \beta k)}{1 - \alpha_{i}}$$

Thus we have

(7)
$$A_1^2(|a_k| + |b_k|) \le 1 - |b_1|.$$

Now, using (7), we have

$$A_1^1(|a_k| + |b_k|) \le A_1^2(|a_k| + |b_k|) \le 1 - |b_1|.$$

The following theorem provides a more particular characterization.

Theorem 3.2. The following statements hold:

 $\begin{array}{l} (i) \ \mathcal{S}_{H}(m,n;\alpha,\beta) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{H}(\alpha) \ \text{for} \ m,n \in \mathbb{N}, m > n, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0 \ . \\ (ii) \ \mathcal{S}_{H}(m,n;\alpha,\beta) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{H}(\alpha) \ \text{if} \ \beta \geq 1 \ \text{or} \ m \geq 2, n \geq 1 \ \text{and} \ m > n. \end{array}$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$, Then we have

(8)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)}{1 - \alpha} (|a_k| + |b_k|) \le 1 - |b_1|.$$

Now, using (8), we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k-\alpha}{1-\alpha} (|a_k|+|b_k|)$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k^m - \alpha k^n)(1-\beta+\beta k)}{1-\alpha} (|a_k|+|b_k|)$$

$$\leq 1-|b_1|.$$

Therefore, $f \in S_H(\alpha)$ and we take $S_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta) \subseteq S_H(\alpha)$. We have to show that $S_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta) \subseteq C_H(\alpha)$. By using the inequality (8), we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-\alpha)}{1-\alpha} (|a_k| + |b_k|) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k^m - \alpha k^n)(1-\beta + \beta k)}{1-\alpha} (|a_k| + |b_k|) \\ &\leq 1 - |b_1|, \end{split}$$

where $\beta \geq 1$ or $m \geq 2, n \geq 1$ and m > n. Therefore, $f \in \mathcal{C}_H(\alpha)$ and we get $\mathcal{S}_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_H(\alpha)$.

The next worthy point is to check the preserving property.

Theorem 3.3. The class $S_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ consists of univalent sense preserving harmonic mappings.

Proof. If $z_1 \neq z_2$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \Big| &\geq 1 - \Big| \frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \Big| \\ &= 1 - \Big| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k (z_1^k - z_2^k)}{(z_1 - z_2) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (z_1^k - z_2^k)} \Big| \\ &> 1 - \Big| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k|} \Big| \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)}{1 - \alpha} |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)}{1 - \alpha} |a_k|} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves f is a univalent function. Note that f is sense preserving in $\mathbb U$ because

$$|h'(z)| \ge 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k||z|^k$$

> $1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)}{1 - \alpha}|a_k|$
 $\ge \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)}{1 - \alpha}|b_k||z|^{k-1}$
> $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)}{1 - \alpha}|b_k||z|^{k-1}$
 $\ge \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|b_k||z|^{k-1} \ge |g'(z)|.$

In this way, the proof of Theorem 3.3 is completed.

One of the interesting problems in this part is study the bounds of |f(z)|.

Theorem 3.4. If $f \in S_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$, then we have

(9)
$$(1-|b_1|)(|z|-\psi|z|^2) \le |f(z)| \le (1+|b_1|)|z|+\psi(1-|b_1|)|z|^2,$$

where $\psi = \frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\beta)(2^m-2^n\alpha)}$, and equalities are attained by the functions:

(10)
$$f_{\theta}(z) = z + |b_1|e^{i\theta}\bar{z} + (1 - |b_1|)\psi z^2,$$

and

(11)
$$f_{\theta}(z) = z + |b_1| e^{i\theta} \bar{z} + (1 - |b_1|) \psi \bar{z}^2,$$

for properly chosen real θ .

Proof. We have
(12)

$$|f(z)| \leq (1+|b_1|)|z| + |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|)$$

$$\leq (1+|b_1|)|z|$$

$$+ |z|^2 \frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\beta)(2^m - 2^n \alpha)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\beta+\beta k)(k^m - k^n \alpha)}{1-\alpha} (|a_k| + |b_k|)$$

$$\leq (1+|b_1|)|z| + |z|^2 \frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\beta)(2^m - 2^n \alpha)} (1-|b_1|).$$

and (13)

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\ge (1 - |b_1|)|z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|)|z|^k \\ &\ge (1 - |b_1|)|z| - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|) \\ &\ge (1 - |b_1|)|z| \\ &- |z|^2 \frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 + \beta)(2^m - 2^n \alpha)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \beta + \beta k)(k^m - k^n \alpha)}{1 - \alpha} (|a_k| + |b_k|) \\ &\ge (1 - |b_1|)|z| - |z|^2 \frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 + \beta)(2^m - 2^n \alpha)} (1 - |b_1|) \\ &= (1 - |b_1|) \Big(|z| - |z|^2 \frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 + \beta)(2^m - 2^n \alpha)} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

We define $\psi := \frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\beta)(2^m-2^n\alpha)}$ in inequalities (12) and (13), and so attained (9). It can be easily seen that the functions $f_{\theta}(z)$ defined by (10) and (11) are extremal for Theorem 3.4.

Thus the class $S_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ is uniformly bounded, and hence it is normal by Montel's Theorem.

Putting m = 1, n = 0 and $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3.4, we obtain the following result which correct the result of Özturk and Yalçin [13, Theorem 3.6].

Corollary 3.5. If $f \in S_H(\alpha)$, then we have

(1 - |b_1|)(|z| -
$$\frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} |z|^2$$
) $\leq |f(z)|$
(14) $\leq (1 + |b_1|)|z| + \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - |b_1|)}{2 - \alpha} |z|^2.$

Equalities are attained by the functions:

(15)
$$f_{\theta}(z) = z + |b_1|e^{i\theta}\bar{z} + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|b_1|)}{2-\alpha}z^2,$$

and

(16)
$$f_{\theta}(z) = z + |b_1|e^{i\theta}\bar{z} + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|b_1|)}{2-\alpha}\bar{z}^2,$$

for properly chosen real θ .

Remark 3.6. The above result is different from that of Özturk and Yalçin [13, Theorem 3.6]. Also, our result gives a better estimate than that of [13] because

$$|f(z)| \le (1+|b_1|)|z| + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|b_1|)}{2-\alpha}|z|^2$$

$$\le (1+|b_1|)|z| + \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-|b_1|)}{2}|z|^2,$$

and

$$|f(z)| \ge (1 - |b_1|)(|z| - \frac{1 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha}|z|^2) \ge (1 - |b_1|)\Big(|z| - \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2}|z|^2\Big).$$

Although, Özturk and Yalçin [13] state that the result is sharp for the function

$$f_{\theta}(z) = z + |b_1|e^{i\theta}\bar{z} + \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(1-|b_1|)}{2}\bar{z}^2.$$

It can be easily seen that the function $f_{\theta}(z)$ does not satisfy the coefficient condition for the class $f \in S_H(\alpha)$ defined by them. Hence, the function $f_{\theta}(z)$ does not belong to the class $f \in S_H(\alpha)$. Therefore the result of Özturk and Yalçin [13, Theorem 3.6] is incorrect. The modified result is mentioned in (14) and that is sharp for functions given by (15) and (16), respectively.

Putting m = 2, n = 1 and $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3.4, we obtain the following result which correct the result of Özturk and Yalçin [13, Theorem 3.6].

Corollary 3.7. If $f \in C_H(\alpha)$, then we have

$$(1 - |b_1|)(|z| - \frac{1 - \alpha}{2(2 - \alpha)}|z|^2) \le |f(z)|$$

(17)
$$\leq (1+|b_1|)|z| + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|b_1|)}{2(2-\alpha)}|z|^2.$$

In particular, the equalities are attained by the functions:

(18)
$$f_{\theta}(z) = z + |b_1|e^{i\theta}\bar{z} + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|b_1|)}{2(2-\alpha)}z^2,$$

and

(19)
$$f_{\theta}(z) = z + |b_1| e^{i\theta} \bar{z} + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|b_1|)}{2(2-\alpha)} \bar{z}^2,$$

for properly chosen real θ .

Remark 3.8. This result is different from the result of Özturk and Yalçin [13, Theorem 3.8], and it can be easily seen that our result gives a better estimate. Also, it can be easily verified that the sharp result for [13, Theorem 3.8] is given by the function

$$f_{\theta}(z) = z + |b_1|e^{i\theta}\bar{z} + \frac{3 - \alpha - 2\alpha}{2\alpha}\bar{z}^2,$$

does not belong to the class $f \in C_H(\alpha)$. Hence the result of Özturk and Yalçin [13] is incorrect. The correct result is given by corollary 3.7.

Theorem 3.9. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are of the form (2). Then $f \in S^0_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [x_k h_k(z) + y_k g_k(z)],$$

where

$$h_1(z) = z, \quad h_k(z) = z + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 + \beta)(2^m - 2^n \alpha)} z^k, \quad \text{for} \quad k = 2, 3, \dots,$$
$$g_k(z) = z + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 + \beta)(2^m - 2^n \alpha)} \bar{z}^k, \quad \text{for} \quad k = 2, 3, \dots,$$

and

$$x_k, y_k \ge 0,$$
 $y_1 = 0,$ $x_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (x_k + y_k).$

In particular, the extreme points of the class $S_H^0(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ are $\{h_k\}$ and $\{g_k\}$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$\begin{split} f(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(x_k h_k(z) + y_k g_k(z) \right) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{(1-\beta+\beta z)(k^m-k^n\alpha)} x_k z^k + \frac{1-\alpha}{(1-\beta+\beta k)(k^m-k^n\alpha)} y_k \bar{z}^k \right). \end{split}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\beta+\beta z)(k^m-k^n\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \Big(\frac{1-\alpha}{(1-\beta+\beta z)(k^m-k^n\alpha)} x_k z^k + \frac{1-\alpha}{(1-\beta+\beta k)(k^m-k^n\alpha)} y_k \bar{z}^k \Big) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x_k + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} y_k = 1-x_1 \le 1.$$

Therefore $f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}(m,n;\alpha,\beta)}$. Conversely, if $f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}(m,n;\alpha,\beta)}$. Set

$$x_k = \frac{1-\alpha}{(1-\beta+\beta k)(k^m-k^n\alpha)} |a_k|,$$

$$y_k = \frac{1-\alpha}{(1-\beta+\beta k)(k^m-k^n\alpha)} |b_k|,$$
 for $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$

Note that $0 \le x_k, y_k \le 1$ for integer $k \ge 2$. We define

$$x_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x_k - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} y_k, x_1 \ge 0, \quad and \quad y_1 = 0.$$

Consequently, we obtain required representation, since

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(|a_k| z^k + |b_k| \bar{z}^k \right)$$

= $z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 + \beta)(2^m - 2^n \alpha)} x_k z^k + \frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 + \beta)(2^m - 2^n \alpha)} y_k \bar{z}^k \right)$
= $z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left((h_k(z) - z) x_k + (g_k(z) - z) y_k \right)$
= $\left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x_k - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} y_k \right) z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h_k(z) x_k + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} g_k(z) y_k$
= $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [x_k h_k(z) + y_k g_k(z)].$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.9.

Remark 3.10. The following statements are significant:

- (i) Putting m = 1, n = 0 and $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3.9, we obtain the extreme points of the class $S_H^0(\alpha)$.
- (ii) Putting m = 2, n = 1 and $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3.9, we obtain the extreme points of the class $C_H^0(\alpha)$.

Let \mathcal{K}_{H}^{0} denote the class of harmonic univalent functions of the form (1) with $b_{1} = 0$ that map \mathbb{U} on to convex domains. It is known [5, Theorem 5.10], that the sharp inequalities $|A_{k}| \leq \frac{k+1}{2}, |B_{k}| \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$ hold. These results will be used in the next theorem.

From several researches (see [6,7]), recall that a function $f : \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ is subordinate to a function $F : \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$ and write $f(z) \prec F(z)$ (or simply $f \prec F$), if there exists a complex-valued function ω which maps \mathbb{U} into oneself with $\omega(0) = 0$, such that

$$f(z) = F(\omega(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Furthermore, if the function F is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence:

(20)
$$f(z) \prec F(z) \iff f(0) = F(0), \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset F(\mathbb{U}).$$

Theorem 3.11. Suppose that $F(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (A_k z^k + \overline{B_k z^k})$ belongs to the class \mathcal{K}^0_H . If $f \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$, then $f * F \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m-1, n-1; \alpha, \beta)$ if n > 1 and $f \Diamond F \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$. Moreover $f \Diamond F \prec f$.

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$, then we have

(21)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k| + |b_k|) \le (1 - \alpha).$$

Using (21), we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^{m-1} - \alpha k^{n-1})(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k A_k| + |b_k B_k|)$$

= $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k| |\frac{A_k}{k}| + |b_k| |\frac{B_k}{k}|)$
 $\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k| |\frac{k+1}{2k}| + |b_k| |\frac{k-1}{2k}|)$
 $\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k| + |b_k|) \leq (1 - \alpha).$

It follows that $f * F \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m-1, n-1; \alpha, \beta)$. By using (21) again, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k) \left(\left| \frac{a_k A_k}{k} \right| + \left| \frac{b_k B_k}{k} \right| \right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k) \left(|a_k| \left| \frac{k+1}{2k} \right| + |b_k| \left| \frac{k-1}{2k} \right| \right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k) (|a_k| + |b_k|) \leq (1 - \alpha).$$

Therefore $f \Diamond F \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$. Moreover, using the equivalent condition (20) implies the subordinating relation. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.11.

Let ${\mathcal S}$ denotes the class of analytic univalent functions of the following form

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k.$$

It is well known that the sharp inequality $|A_k| \leq k$ is true. We use this fact in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.12. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m,n;\alpha,\beta)$ and $F \in \mathcal{S}$. If n > 1 then we have

$$f * (F + \zeta \overline{F}) \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m-1, n-1; \alpha, \beta), \quad for \quad |\zeta| \le 1.$$

Moreover $f * (F + \zeta \overline{F}) \prec f$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$, then we have

(22)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k| + |b_k|) \le (1 - \alpha).$$

Using (22), we have

(23)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^{m-1} - \alpha k^{n-1})(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k A_k| + |\zeta b_k \overline{A_k}|) \\ \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k| + |b_k|) \leq (1 - \alpha).$$

It follows that $f * (F + \zeta \overline{F}) \in S^0_H(m-1, n-1; \alpha, \beta)$ if n > 1. Also, by (23) with equivalent condition (20), it is easy to see that the subordinating relation holds.

Let \mathcal{P}_{H}^{0} denote the class of complex and harmonic functions F in \mathbb{U} , then we have the decomposition $F = H + \overline{G}$ such that $Re\{F(z)\} > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}$ and

$$H(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k z^k, G(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k z^k.$$

It is known [12, Theorem 3] that the sharp inequalities $|A_k| < k + 1, |B_k| < k - 1$ are true.

Theorem 3.13. Suppose that $F(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (A_k z^k + \overline{B_k z^k})$ belong to class \mathcal{P}_H^0 . Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_H^0(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$, $\frac{2}{3} \leq |A_1| \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{A_1} f \Diamond F \in \mathcal{S}_H^0(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{A_1}f * F \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m-1, n-1; \alpha, \beta), \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 1.$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$, then we have

(24)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k| + |b_k|) \le (1 - \alpha).$$

Using (24), we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^{m-1} - \alpha k^{n-1})(1 - \beta + \beta k) \left(\left| \frac{a_k A_k}{A_1} \right| + \left| \frac{b_k B_k}{A_1} \right| \right) \\ \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k) \left(\left| \frac{a_k}{A_1} \right| \frac{k+1}{k} + \left| \frac{b_k}{A_1} \right| \frac{k-1}{k} \right) \\ \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - \alpha k^n)(1 - \beta + \beta k)(|a_k| + |b_k|) \leq (1 - \alpha).$$

Therefore
$$\frac{1}{A_1}f * F \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m-1, n-1; \alpha, \beta)$$
 for $n \ge 1$.
Similarly, we can show that $\frac{1}{A_1}f \Diamond F \in \mathcal{S}^0_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 3.14. Let f(z) of the form

$$f(z) = z + \overline{b_1 z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (a_k z^k + \overline{b_k z^k}),$$

be a member of the class $\mathcal{S}_H(m,n;\alpha,\beta)$. If we have

$$\delta \le \frac{(1-|b_1|)((2^m-2^n\alpha)(1+\beta)-1)}{(2^m-2^n\alpha)(1+\beta)},$$

then $N(f) \subset \mathcal{S}_H(\alpha)$.

Proof. Let $f \in S_H(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ and $F(z) = z + \overline{B_1 z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (A_k z^k + \overline{B_k z^k})$ belong to N(f). We have

$$\begin{split} (1-\alpha)|B_1| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-\alpha)(|A_k| + |B_k|) \\ &\leq (1-\alpha)|B_1 - b_1| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-\alpha)(|A_k - a_k| + |B_k - b_k|) \\ &+ (1-\alpha)|b_1| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-\alpha)(|a_k| + |b_k|) \\ &\leq (1-\alpha)\delta + (1-\alpha)|b_1| \\ &+ \frac{1}{(1+\beta)(2^m - 2^n\alpha)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1-\beta + \beta k)(k^m - k^n\alpha)(|a_k| + |b_k|) \\ &\leq (1-\alpha)\delta + (1-\alpha)|b_1| + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|b_1|)}{(1+\beta)(2^m - 2^n\alpha)} \leq 1-\alpha, \\ &\text{if } \delta \leq \frac{(1-|b_1|)((2^m - 2^n\alpha)(1+\beta) - 1)}{(2^m - 2^n\alpha)(1+\beta)}. \text{ Therefore } F(z) \in \mathcal{S}_H(\alpha). \quad \Box \end{split}$$

Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced the subclass $S_H^0(m, n; \alpha, \beta)$ of harmonic functions which is an extension of the special subclasses, studied before by Özturk and Yalçin in a collection of their published papers. Our most important achievement is to developed and refine their results in that subclass, particularly in theorems 3.11-3.14. We also, modify some of their results in a sharper form in theorems 3.2-3.6. We also recommend the readers to study similar theorems in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (see [9, 10]).

Acknowledgments

Authors appreciate Prof. Ahmad Zireh for his comments. A part of this research was carried out while the third author was visiting the University of Alberta. The author is grateful to his colleagues in the department of mathematics for their kind hosting.

References

- A.G. Alanoush, Subclass of harmonic univalent functions associated with the generalized Mittag-Leffler type functions, arXiv:1901.08454v1[math.CV] 24Jan2019.
- [2] A.K. Al-khafaji, W.G. Atshan, S.S. Abed, On the Generalization of a Class of Harmonic Univalent Functions Defined by Differential Operator, Mathematics, 312(6) (2018), 1–9.
- [3] O. Altintas, O. Ozkan and H.M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Appl. Math. Letters, 13 (2000), 63-67.
- [4] Y. Avci, E. Zlotkiewicz, On harmonic univalent mappings, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A, 44 (1990), 1–7.
- [5] J. Clunie, T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I, 9 (1984) ,3–25.
- [6] J. Dziok, Classes of harmonic functions defined by convolution, Bol Soc Mat Mex. 26 (2020), 399–416.
- [7] J. Dziok, S. Yalçin and S. Altınkaya, Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions associated with generalized ruscheweyh operator, Publ. de l'Institut Math. 106 (2019), 19–28.
- [8] K.K. Dixit, S. Porwal, On a subclass of harmonic unnivalent functions, JIPAM, 10(27) (2009), 1–9.
- [9] S. Hashemi Sababe and A. Ebadian, Some properties of reproducing kernel Banach and Hilbert spaces, SCMA, 12(1) (2018), 167–177.
- [10] S. Hashemi Sababe, A. Ebadian and Sh. Najafzadeh, On 2-inner product spaces and reproducing kernel property, TKJM, 49(2) (2018), 143–153.
- [11] W. Hengartner, G. Schober, Univalent harmonic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 299(1) (1987), 1-31.
- [12] Z.J. Jakubowski, W. Majchrzak, K. Skalska, Harmonic mappings with a positive real part, (1993).
- [13] M. Özturk, S. Yalçin, On univalent harmonic functions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 3(4) (2002), 1--8.
- [14] S. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981), 521-528.
- [15] T.M. Seoudy, M.K. Aouf, Several properties of certain classes of univalent harmonic functions, Afr. Mat., 26 (2014), 627–636.
- [16] T.M. Seoudy, Some Properties Of Certain Classes Of Harmonic Univalent Functions, Al.i. CUZA, (2013), 1-10.
- [17] M.M. Shabani and S. Hashemi Sababe, On some classes of spiral-like functions defined by the Salagean operator, Korean J. Math. 28 (2020), 137–147.

Mohammad mehdi Shabani Department of Mathematics, University of Shahrood, Shahrood, Iran. E-mail: Mohammadmehdishabani@yahoo.com

Maryam Yazdi Young Researchers and Elite Club, Malard Branch, Islamic Azad University, Malard Iran. E-mail: msh_yazdi@yahoo.com

Saeed Hashemi Sababe Young Researchers and Elite Club, Malard Branch, Islamic Azad University, Malard Iran. E-mail: Hashemi_1365@yahoo.com, S.Hashemi@ualberta.ca