Evaluation of oral and maxillofacial swellings using ultrasonographic features

Tarek Abdallah Abdelsalam^{1,*}, Maha Eshak Amer¹, Ahmed Mahrous², Moustafa Abdelkader³

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Minia University, Egypt ²Department of Maxillofacial and Plastic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, Egypt ³Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate the characteristic features of oral and maxillofacial swellings that could be seen on ultrasonographic examinations.

Materials and Methods: Fifty patients with oral and/or maxillofacial swellings were randomly selected, thorough case histories and clinical examinations were done, ultrasonographic examinations with Doppler imaging were performed, and the features of every group were studied. Finally, histopathological evaluations were performed to identify the final diagnosis, according to which patients were classified into 5 groups; group I: inflammatory/space infection and abscess swellings, group II: cystic swellings, group III: lymph node swellings, group IV: benign swellings, and group V: malignant neoplastic swellings.

Results: A significant association (P < 0.05), with a contingency coefficient of 0.88, was found between the histopathological and ultrasonographic diagnoses, with ultrasonography having a diagnostic accuracy of 89% in diagnosing maxillofacial swellings. The diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography was 100% for lymph node and malignant swellings, followed by 98% for inflammatory and cystic swellings and 92% for benign swellings. The sensitivity of the ultrasonographic diagnosis was 100% for cystic, lymph node, and malignant swellings, followed by 91% for inflammatory swellings.

Conclusion: Ultrasonographic features with Doppler imaging greatly aid in obtaining accurate diagnoses of oral and maxillofacial swellings. Ultrasonography is a recommended imaging tool for differentiating maxillofacial swellings and classifying them accurately. (*Imaging Sci Dent 2019; 49: 201-8*)

KEY WORDS: Ultrasonography; Biopsy, Fine Needle; Sensitivity and Specificity

Introduction

The maxillofacial region is a common anatomical site for the development of infections, cysts, and tumors of odontogenic or non-odontogenic origin. During the evaluation of jaw swellings, in certain circumstances such as chronic inflammation, clinical examinations do not provide a complete assessment of the exact origin and nature of swellings; such cases require radiological imaging tools.¹⁻⁵

There are many reasons for requesting imaging informa-

Received May 4, 2019; Revised June 12, 2019; Accepted June 28, 2019

*Correspondence to : Dr. Tarek Abdallah Abdelsalam

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Minia University, Agricultural Road, Shalapy, Minia 61511, Egypt Tel) 20-86-920-1134, E-mail) tarek dentist2008@yahoo.com tion about a maxillofacial swelling, including determination of the nature of a condition, evaluating the extent of a lesion, and monitoring the progression or regression of a lesion over time. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are recent imaging tools that are often used to clarify maxillofacial lesions' nature, extent, boundaries, and effect on the surroundings, but they remain expensive and have limitations. Ultrasonography may overcome these disadvantages of CT and MRI.^{6,7}

Ultrasonography is used for the diagnosis of oral and maxillofacial swellings because it is rapid, widely available, relatively inexpensive, and painless; furthermore, it can be repeated as often as necessary without risk to the patient. In areas where a definitive diagnosis cannot be established, ultrasonographic features can at least be used

Copyright © 2019 by Korean Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Imaging Science in Dentistry · pISSN 2233-7822 eISSN 2233-7830

to categorize the swelling type. This directive analysis can justify the further investigations required and help initiate the appropriate treatment plan. Although many studies have investigated correlations between ultrasonographic features and maxillofacial lesions, little research has sought to classify maxillofacial lesions according to ultrasonographic features. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate various features appearing on ultrasonographic examinations of maxillofacial lesions.⁸⁻¹²

Materials and Methods

Fifty patients with swellings in the oral and/or maxillofacial region were randomly selected from the outpatient clinics of Minia University Hospital, Minia University Dental Hospital, and Minia General Hospital. Their minimum age and maximum age were recorded, with standard deviations. Swellings caused by trauma and/or fracture and those that extended below the neck were excluded from this study. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee (REC), Faculty of Dentistry, Minia University before starting the research, and all patients signed a standardized informed consent form established by the REC.

A comprehensive questionnaire was used to assess case history, and thorough extra-oral and intra-oral examinations were then carried out. The ultrasonographic investigations were carried out at the Department of Radiology of Minia University Hospital using an ultrasound diagnostic modality (LOGIQ- P5) (GE Medical System, Seongnam, Korea) with color Doppler function with a linear array transducer, operating at a frequency of 7.5-12 MHz. All examinations were performed over the swellings and compared to the contralateral/normal side when appropriate. All ultrasonographic images were interpreted by an expert ultrasonologist (15 years' experience). Ultrasonographic features were then recorded according to the characteristics reported by Shimizu et al.,¹³ including shape, boundary, echo intensity, ultrasound architecture of the lesion, posterior echoes, ultrasound architecture of the tissues, vascularity, presence of necrosis, and presence of calcification. The ultrasonography-guided diagnosis was obtained according to these features, and the lesions were initially categorized into 5 groups for histopathology. Finally, patients underwent either fine needle aspiration or biopsy for a histopathological examination to obtain the final diagnosis. However, in inflammatory swellings, the final diagnosis was established by a blood panel and the response of the swelling to either surgical intervention (i.e., incision and drainage) or successful medical/non-surgical treatment. According to the

final diagnosis, the patients' swellings were categorized into 5 groups; group I: inflammatory/infection/abscess swellings, group II: cystic swellings, group III: lymph node swellings, group IV: benign swellings, and group V: malignant neoplastic swellings.

The associations between the ultrasonography-guided diagnosis and histopathological findings and the contingency coefficient were analyzed using SPSS version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The same software was used to calculate the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) and accuracy of the ultrasonography-guided diagnoses, using the histopathological findings as a reference. *P* values were calculated using the chi-square test in all study groups.

Results

Among the 50 studied individuals, there were 17 males (34%) and 33 females (66%) who had oral and/or maxillofacial swellings. The minimum age was 8 years and the maximum was 71 years, with a mean age \pm standard deviation of 35.3 ± 17.1 years. A highly significant association was observed between the ultrasonographic and histopathologic diagnoses with a contingency coefficient of 0.88 and a *P* value < 0.05. This association reached 100% in groups III and V, followed by 94.7% in group IV and 91% in groups I and II (Fig. 1).

According to Table 1, most inflammatory swellings were finally diagnosed as cellulitis, dento-alveolar abscesses, space infections, osteomyelitis, or Ludwig angina. In more



Fig. 1. Ultrasonography-guided diagnosis (USGD) versus histopathological diagnosis. Group I: inflammatory/infection/abscess swellings, group II: cystic swellings, group III: lymph node swellings, group IV: benign swellings, and group V: malignant neoplastic swellings.

Ultrasonographic Features	Characteristics	Group I $(n=11)$	Group II (n=10)	Group III (n=5)	Group IV (n=19)	Group V (n=3)
Shape	Oval Round	2(18.2%)	4 (40%) 2 (20%)	1 (20%)	4(19%)	
	Lobular Polygonal	0 (01 077)	3 (30%)	2 (40%)	4 (19%) 1 (4.8%)	2 (100 %)
Boundary	Irregular Very clear	9(81.8%)	1 (10%) 7 (70%)	2 (40%)	10(47.0%) 7(33.3%)	3(100%)
	Relatively clear	1(9.1%)	2(20%)		9(42.9%)	
	Partially unclear	2(18.2%)	1(10%)	2(40%)	2(95%)	1 (33 3%)
	Ill-defined	7 (63.6%)	1(10,0)	3 (60%)	1 (4.8%)	2(66.7%)
Echo density	Anechoic	1 (9.1%)	2(20%)	1 (20%)		
	Isoechoic				2 (9.5%)	
	Hypoechoic	10 (90.9%)	4 (40%)	2 (40%)	6(28.6%)	1 (33.3%)
	Hyperechoic Mixed		4 (40%)	2 (40%)	2 (9.5%) 9 (42.9%)	2(66.7%)
Lesion architecture	Homogenous	2(18.2%)	8 (80%)	5(100%)	5 (23.8%)	
	Heterogenous	9 (81.8%)	2 (20%)		14 (66.7%)	3 (100%)
Posterior echo	Unchanged	1 (9.1%)		2 (40%)	2 (9.5%)	1 (33.3%)
	Attenuated	3 (27.3%)	1 (10%)		7 (33.3%)	1 (33.3%)
	Enhanced	7 (63.6%)	9 (90%)	3 (60%)	10 (47.6%)	1 (33.3%)
Tissue characteristics	Cystic	5 (45.5%)	9 (90%)	4 (80%)	1 (4.8%)	1 (33.3%)
	Solid	1 (9.1%)			6(28.6%)	
	Mixed	5 (45.5%)	1 (10%)	1 (20%)	12 (57.1%)	2 (66.7%)
Vascularity	Avascular	9 (81.8%)	10(100%)	5(100%)	14 (66.7%)	3 (100%)
	Vascular	2(18.2%)			5 (23.8%)	
Necrosis	No	10 (90.9%)	10(100%)	1 (20%)	19 (90.5%)	1 (33.3%)
	Eccentric	1 (9.1%)				2 (66.7%)
	Centric			4 (80%)		
Calcification	No	10 (90.9%)	8 (80%)	4 (80%)	17 (80.9%)	3 (100%)
	Small	1 (9.1%)		1 (20%)	1 (4.8%)	
	Gross		2 (20%)		1 (4.8%)	

Table 1. Ultrasonographic features of each group

detail, 82% had irregular shapes, 91% showed hypoechoic echogenicity, 82% displayed heterogeneous ultrasonographic architecture of the lesion, and 63% exhibited posterior enhancement. The cystic swellings (group II) were finally diagnosed as 4 radicular cysts, 2 dentigerous cysts, 2 nasolabial cysts, 1 epidermoid cyst, and 1 calcifying epithelial odontogenic cyst. Of these cysts, 70% had very clear boundaries, 80% showed homogenous lesion architecture, 90% displayed enhanced posterior echoes and 100% had neither vascularity nor necrosis. Group III was finally diagnosed as 3 lymph node abscesses, 1 benign lymphadenitis, and 1 metastatic lymph node. Of the lymph node abscesses, 60% had ill-defined boundaries, 100% showed homogenous lesion architecture, 80% had cystic tissue characteristics, 60% displayed posterior enhancement, and 80% had central necrosis and calcifications; moreover, vascularity was detected in 0% of these lesions. On ultrasonography, abnormal benign lymph nodes appeared as hypoechoic or mixed echogenicity with the reversible loss of the central hilus and an increase in the short axis measurement. In contrast, in malignant nodes (20%), destruction of the central fatty hilum was evident and the lymph nodes were more oval with anechoic echogenicity.

The benign swellings (group IV) were histopathologically diagnosed as 1 ossifying fibroma, 3 cases of sialolithiasis, 3 pleomorphic adenomas, 2 brown tumors of hyperparathyroidism, 2 ameloblastomas, 1 central giant cell granuloma, 1 oral ranula, 1 case of Sjögren syndrome, 1 arteriovenous malformation, 1 adenomatoid odontogenic tumor, 1 fibroma, 1 neurofibromatosis, 1 bony exostosis (torus mandibularis), 1 fibrous dysplasia, and 1 cavernous hemangioma. Of these lesions, 67% showed heterogenous

	Group I	Group II	Group III	Group IV	Group V
Sensitivity	90.9	100.0	100.0	85.7	100.0
Specificity	100.0	97.5	100.0	96.6	100.0
Positive predictive value	100.0	90.9	100.0	94.7	100.0
Negative predictive value	97.5	100.0	100.0	90.3	100.0
Accuracy	98.0	98.0	100.0	92.0	100.0

 Table 2. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of ultrasonography-guided diagnoses in each group
 (unit: %)

ultrasound architecture of the lesion, 57% had mixed tissue characteristics, 67% were without vascularity, 90% were without necrosis, and 81% were without calcifications. The malignant neoplastic swellings (group V) included 2 squamous cell carcinomas and 1 rhabdomyosarcoma, as confirmed by histopathology. Of these lesions, 100% had irregular shapes, 100% showed heterogenous ultrasound architecture of the lesion, 100% were without vascularity, and 100% were without calcifications (Table 1).

Table 2 shows that the ultrasonographic diagnostic accuracy was 100% in lymph node and malignant swellings, followed by 98% in inflammatory and cystic swellings and 92% in benign swellings, with a total diagnostic accuracy of 89%. The sensitivity of the ultrasonography-guided diagnoses was 100% in cystic, lymph node, and malignant swellings, followed by 91% in inflammatory swellings and 86% in benign swellings.

Discussion

Ultrasonography was used in this study for the diagnosis of oral and maxillofacial swellings because it is a valuable aid to oral and maxillofacial surgeons, as it is rapid, widely available, relatively inexpensive, and painless; furthermore, it can be repeated as often as necessary without risk to the patient. The ultrasonographic features could be used to categorize the swelling type, which can help to initiate the appropriate treatment plan. Furthermore, ultrasonography could provide an alternative to radiography, especially in unilocular jaw bone lesions that are difficult to diagnose because of their similar radiographic appearance.⁸⁻¹²

In the present study, swellings owing to trauma or fracture were not included because the provisional diagnosis of hematoma can be straightforwardly made on the basis of a history of trauma and changes in skin color and the mucous membrane.

In this study, the minimum age of the selected 50 individuals was 8 years and the maximum was 71 years, with a mean age \pm standard deviation of 35.3 ± 17.1 years. This corresponds well with another study, in which there were 30 subjects with minimum and maximum ages were of 8 years and 65 years, respectively, and a mean age \pm standard deviation of 33.13 ± 5.36 .⁹

In the present study, the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography in diagnosing maxillofacial swellings was 89% when compared to histopathology. This agrees with the results of other researchers, who reported that the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography in maxillofacial swellings was 88.9% in comparison to the histopathological diagnosis.

In addition, the accuracy of ultrasonography in detecting inflammatory, cystic, lymph node, benign, and malignant swellings was 98%, 98%, 100%, 92%, and 100%, respectively. For comparison, another study reported that the congruence of ultrasonography with histopathology was 78% in abscesses and infections, 100% in lymphadenitis, 75% in malignancies, 100% in cystic tumors, and 88% in benign tumors.²

In this study, the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography was 98% for inflammatory swellings. This agrees with a previous study reporting that out of 10 inflammatory swellings, which included 3 cases of osteomyelitis and 7 cases of space infections and abscesses, 9 cases were correctly identified by ultrasound, giving a diagnostic accuracy of 90%.^{2,3,14}

In this study, 1 swelling was misdiagnosed by ultrasound as fibrous dysplasia, but was confirmed by histopathology to be chronic suppurative osteomyelitis. This might have been due to inaccurate ultrasonographic features that appeared from the bone infection, as it had a relatively clear boundary with mixed echogenicity and mixed tissue character, in addition to the similar features of both lesions.

This study found that the characteristic ultrasonographic features of most inflammatory swellings involved irregular shapes, with ill-defined boundaries, hypoechoic echogenicity, and heterogenous ultrasound architecture of the lesion. This is in agreement with other studies reporting that inflammatory swellings were characterized by irregular shapes, hypoechoic echogenicity, and enhanced posterior enhancement. However, some researchers have stated that the boundaries of inflammatory swellings were relatively



Fig. 2. A. A case of epidermoid cyst in a 35-year-old male patient who complained of a right-sided, painless mass that appeared inferior to the auricle 2 years previously. B. A transverse ultrasonographic image showed a large, well-defined oblong shaped cystic lesion (large arrowheads) with heavy internal echogenicity and posterior enhancement (small arrowheads) involving the right inferior auricle region and closely related to the right temporomandibular joint (arrows).

clear, with homogenous lesion architecture.¹⁰

Moreover, 82% of inflammatory lesions in this study did not appear on Doppler examinations, in contrast with other studies that reported that abscesses appeared to have a vascular supply on color Doppler examinations.^{15,16}

In this study, the accuracy of ultrasonography for detecting cystic lesions was 98%, with 91% sensitivity. These findings agreed with other studies reporting that the sensitivity and accuracy of ultrasonography for detecting cystic lesions were 92%.^{2,17,18}

In group II, the ultrasonographic features of most cystic swellings included very clear boundaries, oval or round shapes, and mixed echogenicity (Fig. 2). This agrees with previous research findings that most cystic swellings had very clear boundaries, round shapes, and anechoic echogenicity. Other studies stated that odontogenic keratocytes were hypoechoic because of their dense content, while others reported that radicular cysts appeared as anechoic to hypoechoic, well-contoured cavities surrounded by bony walls, filled with fluid and with no evidence of internal vascularization on color Doppler examinations.^{2,9,17,18}

In this study, 1 case was diagnosed by histopathology as plexiform ameloblastoma, but it was misdiagnosed by ultrasonography as a dentigerous cyst; this could have occurred because ameloblastoma might develop from a long-standing dentigerous cyst associated with an impacted tooth. In addition to their potential to attain a large size, follicular cysts are noteworthy for their tendency to develop neoplastic changes, such as plexiform ameloblastoma and carcinoma, within an isolated segment of the cyst wall.⁶

In a study conducted by Pallagatti et al. in 2012, of 13 cases that were diagnosed by histopathology as 3 odontogenic keratocytes, 1 dentigerous cyst, and 9 radicular cysts, ultrasonography identified 12 cysts correctly. That finding is compatible with those of the present study, and could be explained by the specific features of the cysts on ultrasonographic examinations.²

In this study, ultrasonography detected all lymph node swellings accurately with 100% diagnostic accuracy, harmonizing with other finding that ultrasonography showed anaccuracy of 100% in detecting lymph node swellings.¹⁹

Another study concluded that ultrasonography could identify 1 case of benign lymphadenitis and 2 metastatic cervical lymph nodes confirmed by histopathology. It reported 100% diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonographic examinations in detecting benign lymphadenitis and metastatic lymph nodes.²

In group III, the characteristic ultrasonographic features of abnormal benign lymph nodes were hypoechoicity or mixed echogenicity, ill-defined boundaries, and reversible loss of the central hilum in addition to central necrosis. Reversible loss of the lymph node hilum (appearing as mixed echogenicity on ultrasonography) might be due to pus spread in a node that returned to normal echogenicity when drained. In malignant nodes, the destroyed central hilum (appearing anechoic on ultrasound) did not return



Fig. 3. A. A case of ossifying fibroma in an 18-year-old female patient with a right-sided maxillary swelling. B. A transverse ultrasonographic image reveals a homogenous, abnormal, hypoechoic lesion occupying and distending the right maxillary region with no evident bone destruction. C. Note the normal features of the contralateral left side.

to normal echogenicity and had no evidence of necrosis. This agrees with another study that concluded that abnormal nodes were hypoechoic on ultrasonography with loss of the central hilum. With ultrasonography, physicians can evaluate important parameters such as lymph node shape, margins, internal structure, and abnormal vascularization.²

The diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography in diagnosing different benign swellings was found to be 92%, with 86% sensitivity and 96.6% specificity. Other authors reported a 100% sensitivity of ultrasonography for diagnosing solid tumors, while others reported that ultrasonography could characterize the flow of blood and differentiate hemangiomas from other lesions.^{2,17}

The differences in the accuracy of detecting benign tumors in this study from other studies could be attributed to multiple factors. Two cases could not be identified. The first was a submental salivary gland stone (sialolithiasis) and the second was a benign bony exostosis in the mandibular premolar-molar area (torus mandibularis). The presence of a cortical bony plate overlying the swelling did not allow the penetration of sound waves and made it difficult to visualize the internal structure of the swelling. Hence, in some cases, it was observed that the efficacy of imaging could be limited due to anatomical considerations, and/or overlapping features of benign pathologies.^{20,21}

In group IV of this study, most studied benign neoplasms had very clear boundaries, irregular shapes, and hypoechoic echogenicity. The ultrasound architecture of benign neoplasms was homogeneous, with enhanced posterior echoes and mixed ultrasound characteristics of tissues (Fig. 3).



Fig. 4. A. A case of squamous cell carcinoma (grade II) in a 59-year-old female patient who presented with an eroded, indurated, ulcerated, necrotic, and recurrent right-sided facial swelling after an excisional biopsy had been performed 2 months previously. B. Transverse ultrasonographic images reveal a large, soft-tissue mass lesion seen at the right mandibular region with evident bone destruction. C. The lymph nodes are seen to be enlarged with distorted architecture, including a destroyed fatty hilum and thickened cortex with a healthy right submandibular salivary gland (arrows). D. The intact left side of the mandible.

These findings are similar to those of another study that reported the same ultrasonographic features of benign swellings.¹¹

The study of Bhardwaj et al.¹¹ found a benign mass lesion in the left maxilla, which demonstrated a hypoechoic internal echo pattern with areas of calcifications and was histopathologically diagnosed as desmoplastic ameloblastoma. Two other cases were diagnosed histopathologically as lipomas, and showed a hypoechoic internal echo pattern with homogenous echoes on ultrasonographic examinations.

Group V constituted 3 cases, which were diagnosed by histopathology as 2 squamous cell carcinomas and 1 rhabdomyosarcoma (Fig. 4). Ultrasonography identified all of them correctly with 100% diagnostic accuracy, while the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography in detecting malignancies in other studies was 82%. Another study stated that the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography in differentiating benign and malignant lesions was 67%, in contrast to this study and other studies that reported accuracy to be as high as 80-88%.^{22,23}

In this study, the most studied malignant neoplasms had mixed echogenicity, while other authors concluded that malignant tumors showed a complex echotexture with a heterogeneous internal echo pattern and irregular boundaries.^{10,22,24,25}

In conclusion, ultrasonography is a recommended imaging tool for diagnosing maxillofacial swellings. Ultrasonographic features together with Doppler function greatly aid in making an accurate diagnosis of an oral and/or maxillofacial swelling. If the ultrasonographic features of an oral and/or maxillofacial lesion include an irregular shape, hypoechoicity, and heterogenous lesion architecture, it is likely to be an inflammatory or infected lesion. The features of very clear boundaries, homogenous lesion architecture, and enhanced posterior enhancement are suggestive of cystic lesions. Lymph node features include ill-defined boundaries, homogenous lesion architecture, and central necrosis. Ultrasonographic features of heterogenous lesion architecture, with mixed tissue characteristics and enhanced posterior echoes, are suggestive of benign lesions. However, irregular lesion shapes, with heterogenous lesion architecture and eccentric necrosis, suggest a malignant neoplasm.

References

- Oysu C, Aslan I, Bilgic B, Yazicioglu E. Malignant triton tumour of the parapharyngeal space. J Laryngol Otol 2001; 115: 573-5.
- Pallagatti S, Sheikh S, Puri N, Mittal A, Singh B. To evaluate the efficacy of ultrasonography compared to clinical diagnosis, radiography and histopathological findings in the diagnosis of maxillofacial swellings. Eur J Radiol 2012; 81: 1821-7.
- 3. Chandak R, Degwekar S, Bhowte RR, Motwani M, Banode P, Chandak M, et al. An evaluation of efficacy of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of head and neck swellings. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2011; 40: 213-21.
- Akinbami BO, Ugboko VI, Owotade EJ, Obiechina AE, Adetiloye VO, Ayoola O. Applications of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of soft tissue swellings of the cervicofacial region. West Afr J Med 2006; 25: 110-8.
- Sangeetha P, Maragathavalli G. Ultrasonographic characterization of jaw swellings - a systematic review. IOSR J Dent Med Sci 2014; 13: 74-85.
- Brooks SL, Brand JW, Gibbs SJ, Hollender L, Lurie AG, Omnell KA, et al. Imaging of the temporomandibular joint: a position paper of the American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1997; 83: 609-18.
- Thomas AE, Kurup S, Jose R, Soman C. Facial swelling as a primary manifestation of multiple myeloma. Case Rep Dent 2015; 2015: 319231.
- Paesani D, Westesson PL, Hatala MP, Tallents RH, Brooks SL. Accuracy of clinical diagnosis for TMJ internal derangement and arthrosis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1992; 73: 360-3.
- Bagewadi SB, Mahima VG, Patil K. Ultrasonography of swellings in orofacial region. J Indian Acad Oral Med Radiol 2010; 22: 18-26.
- Oeppen RS, Gibson D, Brennan PA. An update on the use of ultrasound imaging in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2010; 48: 412-8.
- 11. Bhardwaj D, Rakareddi M, Barthunia B, Mishra R, Singla M,

Chavda S. Efficacy of ultrasonography in head and neck swellings and compare with histopathological and clinical diagnosis. IOSR J Dent Med Sci 2017; 16:104-16.

- Raitz R, Correa L, Curi M, Dib L, Fenyo-Pereira M. Conventional and indirect digital radiographic interpretation of oral unilocular radiolucent lesions. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2006; 35: 165-9.
- Shimizu M, Ussmüller J, Hartwein J, Donath K. A comparative study of sonographic and histopathologic findings of tumorous lesions in the parotid gland. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1999; 88: 723-37.
- Rastogi A, Sharma K, Gauba N. Evaluation of efficacy of ultrasound in diagnosis of neck swellings. Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2018; 4: 169-75.
- Sivarajasingam V, Sharma V, Crean SJ, Shepherd JP. Ultrasound-guided needle aspiration of lateral masticator space abscess. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1999; 88: 616-9.
- 16. Baurmash HD. Ultrasonography in the diagnosis and treatment of facial abscesses. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1999; 57: 635-6.
- Mehdizadeh M, Movahedian B, Mehdizadeh M, Babasafari M, Mohammadi P. Comparison ultrasound, indirect digital panoramic radiography in differtional radiolucent mandible lesions. Res J Biol Sci 2009; 4: 1169-70.
- Bassiony M, Yang J, Abdel-Monem TM, Elmogy S, Elnagdy M. Exploration of ultrasonography in assessment of fascial space spread of odontogenic infections. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2009; 107: 861-9.
- 19. Dangore SB, Degwekar SS, Bhowate RR. Evaluation of the efficacy of colour Doppler ultrasound in diagnosis of cervical lymphadenopathy. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2008; 37: 205-12.
- Shah JS, Asrani VK. Clinical applications of ultrasonography in diagnosing head and neck swellings. J Oral Maxillofac Radiol 2017; 5: 7-13.
- Shahidi S, Shakibafard A, Zamiri B, Mokhtare MR, Houshyar M, Mahdian S. The feasibility of ultrasonography in defining the size of jaw osseous lesions. J Dent (Shiraz) 2015; 16: 335-40.
- 22. de Ru JA, van Leeuwen MS, van Benthem PP, Velthuis BK, Sie-Go DM, Hordijk GJ. Do magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound add anything to the preoperative workup of parotid gland tumors? J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007; 65: 945-52.
- 23. Ariji Y, Sakuma S, Izumi M, Sasaki J, Kurita K, Ogi N, et al. Ultrasonographic features of the masseter muscle in female patients with temporomandibular disorder associated with myofascial pain. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2004; 98: 337-41.
- 24. Karthik R, Mohan N, Ravikumar PT, Fenn SM, Gokulraj S, Subbulakshmi C. Ultrasonography in maxillofacial imaging - a review. Int J Maxillofac Imaging 2016; 2: 63-8.
- 25. Nawaz MK. Role of ultrasound as a diagnostic tool in superficial facial space infections. Int J Sci Study 2015; 3: 41-7.