# Stress Corrosion Cracking of Heat Exchanger Tubes in District Heating System

Sangwon Cho<sup>1</sup>, Seon-Hong Kim<sup>1</sup>, Woo-Cheol Kim<sup>2</sup>, and Jung-Gu Kim<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Advanced Materials Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University, 2066, Seobu-Ro, Jangan-Gu, Suwon-Si, Gyonggi-Do, Republic of Korea, 16419

<sup>2</sup>Technical Efficiency Research Team, Korea District Heating Corporation, 92, Gigok-ro, Yongin, Gyeonggi-Do, Republic of Korea, 17099

(Received April 08, 2019; Revised April 25, 2019; Accepted April 26, 2019)

The purpose of this paper is to present failure analysis, of the heat exchanger tube in a district heating system. SS304 stainless steel is used, as material for the heat exchanger tube. The heat exchanger operates in a soft water environment containing a small amount of chloride ions, and regularly repeats operation and standstill period. This causes concentration of chloride ions on the outer surface of the tube, as well as repeat of thermal expansion, and shrinkage of the tube. As a result of microscopic examination, cracks showed transgranular as well as branched propagation, and many pits were present, at the initiation point of each crack. Energy dispersive spectroscopy analysis showed Fe and O peak, as well as Cl peak, meaning that cracks were affected by Cl ion. Failure of the tube was caused by chloride-induced stress corrosion cracking by thermal stress, high temperature, and localized enrichment of chloride ions.

Keywords: Pitting, Heat exchanger tube, Stress corrosion cracking, Corrosion fatigue cracking, Austenitic stainless steel

# 1. Introduction

A district heating system is an urban infrastructure that collectively supplies heating water, which is economically produced by large-scale heat production facilities, such as a combined heat & power (CHP) plant and peak load boiler (PLB) power plant [1-3]. Many different types of heat exchangers are used for these district heating systems. The heat exchanger in a district heating system not only acts to provide heat transfer but also serves to prevent mixing between the thermal fluids. Therefore, damage to the heat exchanger causes various problems such as a decrease in the heat exchange efficiency and the contamination of a thermal fluid. As a result, the importance of maintaining and managing heat exchange facilities has become an issue in order to improve energy production, transportation, storage efficiency and to ensure overall heating quality.

Among various types of heat exchangers, the shell and tube heat exchanger is the most widely used, not only district heating systems, but also various industries such as refineries, petrochemical plants, steelworks and the like. Generally, the shell and tube of heat exchanger are designed with dissimilar materials, which causes various failure cases like mechanical failure, galvanic corrosion and stress corrosion cracking (SCC) [4-6]. In particular, austenitic stainless steel, which is weak against SCC, is mainly used for the tube, SCC can occur in a high temperature environment containing even a small amount of chloride ions [6-8].

The objective of this paper is the failure analysis of a heat exchanger tube in a district heating system. This failure analysis of heat exchanger tubes was conducted by using visual inspection, optical microscopy (OM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS).

## 2. Experimental procedures

## 2.1. Background information

The heat exchanger has been in operation for 18 years. The materials of tube and shell were made of SS304 and A516 gr 60, respectively. Table 1 lists chemical compositions of materials. The outside and inside of the tube consist of steam and district water. The temperature and pressure of steam was 153 °C, 0.1 MPa. The inlet and outlet district heating water temperatures were, 62 °C and 98 °C, respectively, and both were at a pressure 0.5 MPa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: kimjg@skku.ac.kr

······································								
Component	С	Mn	Si	Cr	Мо	Ni	Ν	Fe
Tube (SS304)	0.011	1.02	0.33	18.16	0.32	10.31	0.06	Bal.
Shell (A516 gr 60)	0.23	0.6-0.9	0.13-045	-	-	-	-	Bal.

Table 1 Chemical composition of the failed heat exchanger tube and shell (wt%)



Fig. 1 Photographs of the failed tubes; (a) circumferential and longitudinal direction crack, and (b) circumferential direction crack.

The chemical element analysis of steam and district heating water is listed in Table 2. The tube thickness and outer diameter were 1.4 mm and 19 mm, respectively. This heat exchanger had numerous standby conditions. During this period, the temperature was usually lowered to room temperature, without any special measures. Since the materials of shell and tube are dissimilar, thermal stress occurs in the tube due to the difference in the thermal expansion coefficient. Consequently, tensile and compressive stresses act on the tube due to the repetition of the operation and standstill of the heat exchanger.

## 2.2. Characterization methods

A visual inspection of failed tubes was conducted both inside and outside of the fracture zones, in order to inves-

Table 2 Results of steam (outside) and district heating water (inside) analysis

	рН	SO4 <sup>2-</sup> (ppm)	Cl <sup>-</sup> (ppm)
Outside of tube	7.1	6.61	9.61
Inside of tube	8.8	11.1	28.0

tigate the shape, size, causes of failure. OM was used to observe crack morphologies in the failed tube. For optical analysis, the tubes were mounted, polished to 1  $\mu$ m using diamond suspension and etched in a Vilella's reagent (15 mL HNO<sub>3</sub> + 30 mL HCl + 45 mL glycerol) for 30s. EDS was used to analyze the chemical elements of corrosion products deposited on the cracks, and fractrography of the ruptured surface was observed using SEM.

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Visual inspection

The failure tubes are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Failure of the tube occurred in the whole area of the tubes, and the cracks were formed both the circumferential and longitudinal directions. Cracks appeared not only at the end of the tube connected to the shell but also throughout the tube. The size of the cracks varied from micron scale to complete rupture. Cracks were mostly formed in the circumferential direction, and a few cracks did occur in the longitudinal direction (Figs. 1a and 1b). The fractured surfaces were almost matched with each other, and plastic deformation could not observe. Brown oxide and orange STRESS CORROSION CRACKING OF HEAT EXCHANGER TUBES IN DISTRICT HEATING SYSTEM



(c)

Fig. 2 Corrosion products formed on the tube surface; (a) outside of the tube, (b) inside of the tube, and (c) pits adjacent the crack.



Fig. 3 Cross-sectional views of the failed tubes.

oxide were observed on the outside and inside of the tube, respectively (Figs. 2a and 2b). Many pits were found adjacent to the cracks formed on the tube surface (Fig. 2c). These pits could act as a crack initiation point due to the stress concentration, pitting corrosion could cause tube failure.

## 3.2. Microscopic examination

The cross sections of the failed tubes are shown in Fig. 3. Pits were found on both sides of the tubes. Pits at the outer side of the tube were deeper than those at the inner side. Most of the cracks started from the outside of the tube. At the crack initiation site, the pits were observed,



which verifies that pits acted as initiating points of the cracks. In Fig. 4, the numerous cracks had transgranular branched shape and sharp tip which are typical forms of SCC [7].

#### 3.3. Chemical element analysis of corrosion product

Fig. 5 shows the SEM image and EDS data of the corrosion product adjacent to the crack on the outer surface of tube. The corrosion products were formed with a maximum thickness of about 20  $\mu$ m. The EDS analysis shows not only Fe and O peak but also Cl peak. The enrichment of Cl ion means that cracks were affected by chloride ion. Although the steam and district heating water only



Fig. 4 Microstructure of the outer side of the failed tube wall.

contain a small amount of chloride ion, chloride can locally concentrate on the surface of the tube due to the repetition of the operation and standstill period of the heat exchanger [9-13]. This may lead to chloride-induced pitting corrosion and cracking.

# 3.4. Fractography

Fig. 6 shows SEM fractographs of the failed tube. The interior of the crack was divided into two areas with and without corrosion products (Figs. 6a and 6b), and the fracture mode possesses both cleavage and quasi-cleavage appearance. Striations are observed on the fracture surface with corrosion products, which is an evidence of corrosion fatigue cracking (CFC) [7,14]. The fracture was caused by corrosion cracking accelerated by fatigue of the tube. Generally, the boundary between SCC and CFC phenomenon is not clear, but CFC often regarded as a subset of SCC in the same environment [15,16], so they can occur at the same time. When SCC and CFC and thereby SCC has the most significant influence on the life time



Fig. 5 (a) Corrosion products formed on the cracks and (b) EDS spectra of the corrosion product.



Fig. 6 SEM fractographs of the fracture surface; (a) a region without corrosion products, and (b) a region with corrosion products.

Table 3 Calculation of thermal stress on tube and shell

Young's modulus (GPa)	Thermal ex- pansion co- efficient (/°C)	Operation period			Standstill period			
		Temperature (°C)	Type of stress	Stress (MPa)	Temperature (°C)	Type of stress	Stress (MPa)	
Tube	190-205	17.3x10 <sup>-6</sup>	98	Tensile	46.82	62	Compression	127.9
Shell	190-210	11.7 x10 <sup>-6</sup>	153	Compression	46.83	25	Tensile	127.8

of the material [7].

## 3.5. Calculation of thermal stress

Fig. 7 shows the shell-tube heat exchanger. The tube and shell are welded to the tube sheet and the designed tube and shell length is 4,500 mm (at 25 °C). As shown in Table 1, the tube and shell are made of SS304 and A516 gr 60 materials. Since the tube and shell having different materials are fixed to the tube sheet, thermal stress is generated in the tube and shell according to the temperature change. In accordance with the onset of deformation, the stress applied to the tube is calculated by the following equations, and the information related to thermal stress calculation is listed in Table 3.

$$\Delta \mathbf{l} = l_0 [1 + \alpha (T - T_0)] \tag{1}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta L}{L_2} \tag{2}$$

$$\sigma = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{\epsilon} \tag{3}$$

where  $\Delta l$  is the length increased by temperature change,  $l_0$  is the initial length,  $\alpha$  is thermal expansion coefficient,  $T_0$  is initial temperature,  $\varepsilon$  is the strain due to the difference in thermal expansion coefficient,  $\Delta L$  is the difference in length of shell and tube due to temperature change,  $L_0$  is the length of the shell or the tube at a given temperature,  $\sigma$  is the thermal stress and E is the Young's modulus. If the length of the shell is longer than the tube, tensile stress is generated to the tube. As a result, a tensile stress of 46.9 MPa and a compression stress of 127.9 MPa are generated in the tube during the operation period and the standstill period of the heat exchanger, respectively. Although the stress generated in the tube is a small value to be failed, but it is sufficient to induce SCC. SCC can occur with relatively low stress (~98 MPa) for the SS304 [17].

## 3.6. Failure mechanism

When the operation and standstill periods of the heat exchanger are repeated, the district heating water in the tube always flows, but the wet and dry environments are repeated on the outside of the tube. When the temperature decreases, the water vapour containing chloride ion condenses in the form of water droplets or water film on the surface of the tube. When the temperature rises, the water droplets and water film evaporate and the chloride ion concentration increases [11-13]. In such an environment in which wet and dry are repeated, a very high concentration of chloride can be easily generated locally [9,10]. Consequently, although the concentration of chloride contained in the steam is low, chloride condensation



Fig. 7 Schematic diagram of the shell-tube heat exchanger.

will occur on the outside of the tube surface by repeating the operation and standstill period of the heat exchanger. Thereafter, pitting corrosion occurs on the surface of the tube where chloride is locally concentrated. The formed pit repeatedly undergoes thermal stress and finally cracks initiate from the pit. The failure of the heat exchanger tubes occurred due to the combined effect of pitting and stress.

# 4. Conclusions

In this study, a PLB heat exchanger tube failure was investigated using visual inspection, microscopic examination, EDS analysis and SEM fractography. According to the above investigation, the causes of damage to the heat exchanger tube were inferred as follows:

- 1. The cracks which occurred in the circumferential direction in the heat exchanger tube were affected by the thermal stress generated by the difference in the material of the tube and the shell.
- 2. The cyclic operation and the standstill period resulted in the local enrichment of chloride ions on the tube surface. As a result, pitting corrosion was generated on the chloride-enriched surface, and cracks were initiated from the pits on the outer surface of tube by thermal stress.
- 3. The cracks showed transgranular, branched propagation and sharp edge, and the fracture surface had the form of a brittle fracture without corrosion product. This is a typical form of stress corrosion cracking.
- 4. The failure of the tube occurred due to chloride induced SCC in the presence of thermal stress and pitting.

# Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Korea District Heating Corporation (No. 000000014337).

## References

- 1. B. Atli, B. Benny, and F. R. Hans, *Energ. Convers. Manage.*, **36**, 297 (1995).
- H. Lund, B. Moller, B. V. Mathiesen, and A. Dyrelund, Energy, 35, 1381 (2010).
- M. K. Hong, J. M. Cho, M. J. Song, W. C. Kim, T. B. Ha, and S. Y. Lee, *Corros. Sci. Tech.*, **17**, 287 (2018).
- 4. R. T. Mousavian, E. Hajjari, D. Ghasemi, M. K. Manesh, and K. Ranjbar, *Eng. Fail. Anal.*, **18**, 202 (2011).
- S. Rongjuan, W. Weiqiang, L. Yan, L. Dong, and L. Wei, Eng. Fail. Anal., 45, 398 (2014).
- X. Shugen, W. Chang, and W. Weiqiang, *Eng. Fail. Anal.*, 51, 1 (2015).
- D. A Jones, Principle and Prevention of Corrosion, 2nd ed., p. 238, pp. 257 - 259, Prentice Hall, NJ (1997).
- M. Borchert, G. Mori, M. Bischof, and A. Tomandl, *Corros. Sci. Tech.*, 14, 280 (2015).
- 9. Philip A. Schweitzer, *Fundamentals of Metallic Corrosion; Atmospheric and Media Corrosion of Metals, 2nd ed.*, p.113, CRC Press (2007).
- J. R. Davis, Corrosion: Understanding the Basis, 1st ed., p. 314, ASM International (2000).
- B. Maier and G. S. Frankel, J. Electrochem. Soc., 157, 302 (2010).
- R. Parrott and H. Pitts, Chloride stress corrosion cracking in austenitic stainless steel, http://www.hse.gov.uk/research/rrpdf/rr902.pdf (2011).
- 13. Y, Tsutsumi and A. Nishikata, Corros. Sci., 49, 1394 (2007).
- R. K. Singh Raman, S. Jafari, and S. E. Harandi, *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, **137**, 97 (2005).
- R. H. Jones, Stress-Corrosion Cracking Materials Performance and Evaluation, 2nd ed., p. 1, ASM International, USA (2017).
- A. Visser, G. Mori, M. Panzenbock, and R. Pippan, *Corros. Sci. Tech.*, 14, 172 (2015).
- G. Cragnolino and D. D. Macdonald, *Corrosion*, **38**, 406 (1982).