

ON CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE INVERSE WEIBULL DISTRIBUTION BASED ON RECORD VALUES

MIN-YOUNG LEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain characterizations of the inverse Weibull distribution based on ratios of lower record values by the property of independence. *Main Facts.*

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 62E10, 60E05.

Key words and phrases : Characterizations, independent and identically distributed, inverse Weibull distribution, independence property, lower record values.

1. Introduction

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with cumulative distribution function(cdf) $F(x)$ and probability density function(pdf) $f(x)$. Let $Y_n = \min\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ for $n \geq 1$. We say X_j is a lower record value of this sequence, if $Y_j < Y_{j-1}$ for $j > 1$. The indices at which the lower record values occur are given by the record times $\{L(n), n \geq 1\}$, where $L(n) = \min\{j \mid j > L(n-1), X_j < X_{L(n-1)}, n \geq 2\}$ with $L(1) = 1$. We assume that all lower record values $X_{L(i)}$ for $i \geq 1$ occur at a sequence $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ of i.i.d. random variables.

A continuous random variable X is called the inverse Weibull distribution with parameters $c > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ if the corresponding probability cdf $F(x)$ of X is given by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-cx^{-\alpha}}, & x > 0, c > 0, \alpha > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The current investigation was induced by the characterizations of Weibull distribution in [3]. They proved that $F(x)$ has a Weibull distribution if and only if $X_{U(m)}/X_{U(n)}$ and $X_{U(n)}$ or $X_{U(n)}/(X_{U(n)} \pm X_{U(m)})$ and $X_{U(n)}$ are independent for $1 \leq m < n$.

Received June 10, 2018. Revised August 6, 2018. Accepted August 13, 2018.

© 2018 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

In this paper we extend the result of Lee and Lim [3] and obtain characterizations of the inverse Weibull distribution by the independence property on the lower record values.

2. Results

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed nonnegative random variables with cdf $F(x)$ which is absolutely continuous with pdf $f(x)$ and $F(x) < 1$ for all $x > 0$. Then $F(x) = e^{-cx^{-\alpha}}$ for all $x > 0$ and $c > 0, \alpha > 0$, if and only if $X_{L(n)}/X_{L(m)}$ and $X_{L(n)}$ are independent for $1 \leq m < n$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed nonnegative random variables with cdf $F(x)$ which is absolutely continuous with pdf $f(x)$ and $F(x) < 1$ for all $x > 0$. Then $F(x) = e^{-cx^{-\alpha}}$ for all $x > 0$ and $c > 0, \alpha > 0$, if and only if $X_{L(n)}/(X_{L(n)} + X_{L(m)})$ and $X_{L(n)}$ are independent for $1 \leq m < n$.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed nonnegative random variables with cdf $F(x)$ which is absolutely continuous with pdf $f(x)$ and $F(x) < 1$ for all $x > 0$. Then $F(x) = e^{-cx^{-\alpha}}$ for all $x > 0$ and $c > 0, \alpha > 0$, if and only if $X_{L(n)}/(X_{L(m)} - X_{L(n)})$ and $X_{L(n)}$ are independent for $1 \leq m < n$.*

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The joint pdf $f_{m,n}(x, y)$ of $X_{L(m)}$ and $X_{L(n)}$ is

$$f_{m,n}(x, y) = \frac{H(x)^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} h(x) \frac{\{H(y) - H(x)\}^{n-m-1}}{\Gamma(n-m)} f(y)$$

where $H(x) = -\ln F(x)$ and $h(x) = -\frac{d}{dx} H(x) = \frac{f(x)}{F(x)}$, for $1 \leq m < n$.

Consider the functions $U = X_{L(n)}/X_{L(m)}$ and $W = X_{L(n)}$. It follows that $x_{L(m)} = w/u, x_{L(n)} = w$ and $J = -w/u^2$. Thus we can write the joint pdf $f_{u,w}(u, w)$ of U and W as

$$f_{U,W}(u, w) = \frac{H(\frac{w}{u})^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} h\left(\frac{w}{u}\right) \frac{\{H(w) - H(\frac{w}{u})\}^{n-m-1}}{\Gamma(n-m)} f(w) \frac{w}{u^2}$$

for $0 < u < 1, w > 0$. If $F(x) = e^{-cx^{-\alpha}}$ for all $x > 0, \alpha > 0, c > 0$, then we get

$$f_{U,W}(u, w) = \frac{c^n \alpha^2}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n-m)} u^{\alpha m-1} (1-u^\alpha)^{n-m-1} w^{-\alpha n-1} e^{-cw^{-\alpha}} \tag{1}$$

for all $0 < u < 1, w > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. After integrating (1) with respect to W , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_U(u) &= \int_0^\infty f_{U,W}(u, w)dw \\
 &= \frac{c^n \alpha^2}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n-m)} u^{\alpha m-1} (1-u^\alpha)^{n-m-1} \int_0^\infty w^{-\alpha n-1} e^{-cw^{-\alpha}} dw \quad (2) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n)\alpha}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n-m)} u^{\alpha m-1} (1-u^\alpha)^{n-m-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < u < 1, \alpha > 0$, and $c > 0$. Also, the pdf $f_W(w)$ of W is given by

$$f_W(w) = \frac{H(w)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)} f(w) = \frac{c^n \alpha}{\Gamma(n)} w^{-\alpha n-1} e^{-cw^{-\alpha}}. \quad (3)$$

From (1), (2) and (3), we obtain $f_{U,W}(u, w) = f_U(u)f_W(w)$. Hence U and W are independent for $1 \leq m < n$.

Now we will prove the sufficient condition. Let us use the transformation $U = X_{L(n)}/X_{L(m)}$ and $W = X_{L(n)}$. The Jacobian of the transformation is $J = -w/u^2$. Thus we can write the joint pdf $f_{U,W}(u, w)$ of U and W as

$$f_{U,W}(u, w) = \frac{H(\frac{w}{u})^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} h\left(\frac{w}{u}\right) \frac{\{H(w) - H(\frac{w}{u})\}^{n-m-1}}{\Gamma(n-m)} f(w) \frac{w}{u^2} \quad (4)$$

for all $0 < u < 1$ and $w > 0$. The pdf $f_W(w)$ of W is given by

$$f_W(w) = \frac{H(w)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)} f(w) \quad (5)$$

for all $w > 0$. Since U and W are independent, we get the pdf $f_U(u)$ of U from (4) and (5) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_U(u) &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n-m)} \frac{\{H(\frac{w}{u})\}^{m-1} h(\frac{w}{u}) \{H(w) - H(\frac{w}{u})\}^{n-m-1}}{H(w)^{n-1}} \frac{w}{u^2} \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n-m)} \left\{ \frac{H(\frac{w}{u})}{H(w)} \right\}^{m-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{H(\frac{w}{u})}{H(w)} \right\}^{n-m-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{H(\frac{w}{u})}{H(w)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n-m)} \left\{ \frac{\ln F(\frac{w}{u})}{\ln F(w)} \right\}^{m-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\ln F(\frac{w}{u})}{\ln F(w)} \right\}^{n-m-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{\ln F(\frac{w}{u})}{\ln F(w)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $H(x) = -\ln F(x)$ and $h(x) = -\frac{d}{dx}H(x)$.

By [2, Lemma, p.48], the pdf $f_U(u)$ of U is a function of u only. Thus we have

$$\ln F(w/u) = G(u) \ln F(w) \quad (6)$$

where $G(u)$ is a function of u only.

By the theory of functional equation [1], the only continuous solution of (6) with the boundary conditions $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} F(x) = 0$ and $F(\infty) = 1$ is $F(x) = e^{-cx^{-\alpha}}$ for all $x > 0, c > 0, \alpha > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. The necessary condition is easy to establish. Now we prove the sufficient condition.

Let us use the transformation $U = X_{L(n)}/(X_{L(n)} + X_{L(m)})$, $W = X_{L(n)}$. The Jacobian of the transformation is $J = -w/u^2$. Thus we can write the joint pdf $f_{U,W}(u, w)$ of U and W as

$$f_{U,W}(u, w) = \frac{H(\frac{w(1-u)}{u})^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} h\left(\frac{w(1-u)}{u}\right) \frac{[H(w) - H(\frac{w(1-u)}{u})^{n-m-1}]}{\Gamma(n-m)} f(w) \frac{w}{u^2} \tag{7}$$

for $0 < u < 1$ and $w > 0$. The pdf $f_W(w)$ of W is given by

$$f_W(w) = \frac{H(w)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)} f(w) \text{ for } w > 0 \tag{8}$$

Since U and W are independent, we get the pdf $f_U(u)$ of U from (7) and (8) as

$$\begin{aligned} f_U(u) &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n-m)} \left\{ \frac{H(\frac{w(1-u)}{u})}{H(w)} \right\}^{m-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{H(\frac{w(1-u)}{u})}{H(w)} \right\}^{n-m-1} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{H(\frac{w(1-u)}{u})}{H(w)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n-m)} \left\{ \frac{\ln F(\frac{w(1-u)}{u})}{\ln F(w)} \right\}^{m-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\ln F(\frac{w(1-u)}{u})}{\ln F(w)} \right\}^{n-m-1} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{\ln F(\frac{w(1-u)}{u})}{\ln F(w)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $H(x) = -\ln F(x)$ and $h(x) = -\frac{d}{dx} H(x)$.

By [2, Lemma, p.48], the pdf $f_U(u)$ of U is a function of u only. Thus we have

$$\ln F(w(1-u)/u) = G(u) \ln F(w) \tag{9}$$

where $G(u)$ is a function of u only.

By the theory of functional equation [1], the only continuous solution of (9) with the boundary conditions $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} F(x) = 0$ and $F(\infty) = 1$ is $F(x) = e^{-cx^{-\alpha}}$ for all $x > 0$, $c > 0$, $\alpha > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. The necessary condition is easy to establish. Now we prove the sufficient condition.

Let us use the transformation $V = X_{L(n)}/(X_{L(m)} - X_{L(n)})$, $W = X_{L(n)}$. The Jacobian of the transformation is $J = -w/v^2$. Thus we can write the joint pdf $f_{V,W}(v, w)$ of V and W as

$$f_{V,W}(v, w) = \frac{H(\frac{w(1+v)}{v})^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} h\left(\frac{w(1+v)}{v}\right) \frac{[H(w) - H(\frac{w(1+v)}{v})^{n-m-1}]}{\Gamma(n-m)} f(w) \frac{w}{v^2}$$

for $v > 0$, $w > 0$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2.. \square

REFERENCES

1. J. Aczel, *Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications*, Academic Press, NY, 1966.
2. M. Ahsanullah, *Record Statistics*, Inc. Dommack, NY, 1995.
3. M.-Y. Lee and E.H. Lim, *On characterizations of the Weibull distribution by the independent property of record values*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **23**(2010), 245–250.

Min-Young Lee received M.S. and Ph.D. from Temple University. Since 1991 he has been at Dankook University. His research interests include characterizations of distribution, order and record Statistics.

Department of Mathematics, Dankook University, Cheonan 330-714, Korea.
e-mail: leemy@dankook.ac.kr