Honam Mathematical J. **40** (2018), No. 2, pp. 227–237
http://dx.doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2018.40.2.227

DERIVATIONS OF A NON-ASSOCIATIVE GROWING ALGEBRA

Seul Hee Choi

Abstract. There are various papers on finding all the derivations of a non-associative algebra and an anti-symmetrized algebra. We find all the derivations of a growing algebra in the paper. The dimension of derivations of the growing algebra is one and every derivation of the growing algebra is outer. We show that there is a class of purely outer algebras in this work.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all non-negative integers and $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers. Let $\mathbb{N}^+$ be the set of all positive integers. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic zero and $\mathbb{F}^\bullet$ the set of all non-zero elements in $\mathbb{F}$. Throughout the paper, we will assume that e is not the element of the field $\mathbb{F}$. For $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$, throughout the paper, m denotes a non-negative integer such that $m \leq n+t$. For fixed integers, $i_1, \cdots, i_m$ and for given irreducible polynomials $f_1, \cdots, f_m \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \cdots, x_{n+t}]$, define $[f_1^{i_1}, \cdots, f_m^{i_m}]$ as the set $Poly_m = P_m = \{f_1^{i_1} \cdots f_m^{i_m}, f_1^{i_1} \cdots f_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}}, \cdots, f_2^{i_2} \cdots f_m^{i_m}, \cdots, f_1^{i_1}, \cdots, f_m^{i_m}\}$. For any subset P of P_m, define the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm[P]}, n, t] := \mathbb{F}[e^{\pm[P]}, x_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, x_n^{\pm 1}, x_{n+1}, \cdots, x_{n+t}]$, which is spanned by

$$\mathbf{B} = \{e^{a_1 f_1} \cdots e^{a_r f_r} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_{n+t}^{j_{n+t}} | f_1, \cdots, f_r \in P, a_1, \cdots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}, j_1, \cdots, j_n \in \mathbb{Z}, j_{n+1}, \cdots, j_{n+t} \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

We then denote $\partial_{h_1}^{r_1} \cdots \partial_{h_r}^{r_r}$ by the composition of the partial derivatives $\partial_{h_1}, \cdots, \partial_{h_r}$ on $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm[P]}, n, t]$ with appropriate exponents where $1 \leq h_1, \cdots, h_r \leq n+t$ and ∂_h^0, $1 \leq h \leq n+t$, denotes the identity map on $\mathbb{F}[e^{\pm[P]}, n, t]$. For any $\alpha_u \in P \subset P_m$, let $\mathfrak{A}_{\alpha_u}$ be an additive subgroup

Received October 17, 2017. Revised May 1, 2018. Accepted May 12, 2018.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B40, 17B56.
Key words and phrases. outer, non-associative algebra, derivation.

of $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathfrak{A}_{\alpha_u}$ contains $\mathbb{Z}$. Consider now the (free) $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $N(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ (resp. $N(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_{k^+}$) whose basis is the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_1 = \{ & e^{a_1 f_1} \cdots e^{a_r f_r} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_{n+t}^{j_{n+t}} \partial_{h_1}^{r_1} \cdots \partial_{h_r}^{r_r} | a_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, a_r \in \mathfrak{A}_{\alpha_r}, \\ & f_1, \cdots, f_r \in P, h_1, \cdots, h_r \leq n+t, r_1 + \cdots + r_r \leq k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (\text{ resp. } \mathbb{N}^+)\} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

If we define the multiplication $*$ on $N(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ as follows:

$$f\partial_{h_1}^{p_1} \cdots \partial_{h_r}^{p_r} * g\partial_{u_1}^{v_1} \cdots \partial_{u_q}^{v_q} = f(\partial_{h_1}^{p_1} \cdots \partial_{h_r}^{p_r}(g))\partial_{u_1}^{v_1} \cdots \partial_{u_q}^{v_q} \tag{2}$$

for any $f\partial_{h_1}^{p_1} \cdots \partial_{h_r}^{p_r}, g\partial_{u_1}^{v_1} \cdots \partial_{u_q}^{v_q} \in N(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$, then we define the combinatorial non-associative algebra $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ whose underlying vector space is $N(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ and whose multiplication is $*$ in (2) (see [1], [5], [13] and [14]). The non-associative subalgebra $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_{<k>}$ of the algebra $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ is generated by

$$\{f\partial_{h_1}^{r_1} \cdots \partial_{h_r}^{r_r} | f \in \mathbf{B}, 1 \leq h_1, \cdots, h_r \leq n+t, r_1 + \cdots + r_r = k \in \mathbb{N}^+\}. \tag{3}$$

The non-associative subalgebra $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_{[k]}$ of the algebra $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ is generated by

$$\{f\partial_h^k | f \in \mathbf{B}, 1 \leq h \leq n+t\}. \tag{4}$$

For an algebra A and $l \in A$, an element $l_1 \in A$ is a right (resp. left) identity of l, if $l * l_1 = l$ (resp. $l_1 * l = l$) holds. The set of all right identities of $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_{[1]}$ is $\{\sum_{1 \leq u \leq n+t} x_u \partial_u + \sum_{1 \leq u \leq n+t} c_u \partial_u | c_u \in \mathbb{F}\}$. There is no left identity of $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_{k^+}$. The algebra $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ has the left identity 1. If A is an associative $\mathbb{F}$-algebra, then the antisymmetrized algebra of A is a Lie algebra relative to the commutator $[x, y] := xy - yx$, (See [9]). For a general non-associative $\mathbb{F}$-algebra N we define in the same way its antisymmetrized algebra N^-. In case N^- is a Lie algebra we shall say that N is Lie admissible. For $S \subset N^-$, an element l is ad-diagonal with respect to S if for any $l_1 \in S$, $[l, l_1] = cl_1$ for $c \in \mathbb{F}$. The algebra $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_{[1]}$ is Lie admissible (see [8] and [15]). Since the cardinality $|P|$ of P is 2^m, for all $\alpha \in P_m$, if $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ is $\mathbb{Z}$, then the algebra $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_{P_m}}, n, t)_k$ is $\mathbb{Z}^{2^m}$-graded as follows:

$$WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_{P_m}}, n, t)_k = \bigoplus_{(a_1, \cdots, a_{m^2})} N_{(a_1, \cdots, a_{m^2})} \tag{5}$$

where $N_{(a_1, \cdots, a_{2^m})}$ is the vector subspace of $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_{P_m}}, n, t)_k$ spanned by

$$\{e^{a_1 f_1} \cdots e^{a_r f_r} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_{n+t}^{j_{n+t}} | j_1, \cdots, j_n \in \mathbb{Z}, j_{n+1}, \cdots, j_{n+t} \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

This implies that $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ and $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_{k^+}$ are appropriate graded algebras as (5) (see [11]). Thus throughout the paper, the

$(0, \cdots, 0)$-homogeneous component N_0 of $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ is the subalgebra $WN(0, n, t)_k$ of $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$. For any standard basis element $e^{a_1 f_1} \cdots e^{a_r f_r}\ x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_{n+t}^{j_{n+t}} \partial_{t_1}^{r_1} \cdots \partial_{t_r}^{r_r}$ of $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_{P_m}}, n, t)_k$, define the homogeneous degree as follows:

$$hd(e^{a_1 f_1} \cdots e^{a_r f_r} x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_{n+t}^{j_{n+t}} \partial_{t_1}^{r_1} \cdots \partial_{t_r}^{r_r}) = \sum_{u=1}^{n+t} |j_u|$$

where $|j_u|$ is the absolute value of j_u for $1 \leq u \leq n+t$. For any element $l \in WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$, define $hd(l)$ as the highest homogeneous degree of each monomial of l. Note that the set of all right annihilators of $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ is the subalgebra T_{n+t} of $WN(e^{\mathfrak{A}_P}, n, t)_k$ which is spanned by $\{\partial_{t_1}^{r_1} \cdots \partial_{t_r}^{r_r} | 1 \leq t_1, \cdots, t_r \leq n+t, r_1 + \cdots + r_r \leq k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For a given algebra A, $Out(A)$ (resp. $Inn(A)$) is the set of all the outer (resp. inner) derivations of A and $Der(A)$ is the set of all the derivations of A. An algebra A is purely outer, if every derivation of A is outer i.e., $Der(A) = Out(A)$. There are various papers on studying the derivations of a non-associative algebra and an anti-symmetrized algebra (see [1], [2], [3], [5], [6], [7], [10], [12], [14]). We find all the derivations of a growing algebra in section 2.

2. Derivations of the non-associative algebra $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$

For this section, the set of all right annihilators T_3 of

$$WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$$

is spanned by $\{\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3\}$. The algebra $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded (see [5]). The algebra $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ and the Lie algebra $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}{}^{-}$ are simple (see [3] and [11]).

Note 1. For any basis elements ∂_u, $x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_u$, $e^{p x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_u$, $r_i \geq 1$, $1 \leq u \leq 3$, of $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_1$, and for any $c \in \mathbb{F}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, if we define an $\mathbb{F}$-linear map D_c from the algebra $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_1$ to itself as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & D_c(\partial_u) = 0, \\ (6) \qquad & D_c(x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_u) = 0, \\ & D_c(e^{p x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_u) = pc e^{p x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_u, \end{aligned}$$

then the map D_c can be linearly extended to a non-associative algebra derivation of
$WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ (see [4], [6], [8] and [10]). $\square$

Lemma 2.1. *For any derivation D of $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ and for any basis elements ∂_u, $x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k \partial_u$, $1 \leq u \leq 3$, of $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$, we have that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D(\partial_u) = 0,\\
&D(x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k \partial_u) = i c_{0,0,0,1} x_1^{i-1} x_2^j x_3^k \partial_u + j d_{0,0,0,2} x_1^i x_2^{j-1} x_3^k \partial_u\\
&+ k r_{0,0,0,3} x_1^i x_2^j x_3^{k-1} \partial_u
\end{aligned}
$$

hold with appropriate coefficients where $1 \leq u \leq 3$.

Proof. Let D be the derivation in the lemma. Since the algebra $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, $D(\partial_1)$ is the sum of terms in different homogeneous components of $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ in (5). So $D(\partial_1)$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(\partial_1) = &\sum_{r_1, r_2, r_3, i, j, k \geq 0} \alpha_{r_1, r_2, r_3, i, j, k, 1}\, e^{p x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}} x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\, \partial_1\\
&+ \sum_{r_1, r_2, r_3, i, j, k \geq 0} \alpha_{r_1, r_2, r_3, i, j, k, 2}\, e^{p x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}} x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\, \partial_2\\
&+ \sum_{r_1, r_2, r_3, i, j, k \geq 0} \alpha_{r_1, r_2, r_3, i, j, k, 3} e^{p x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3}} x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\, \partial_3
\end{aligned}
$$

with appropriate coefficients. Since ∂_1 centralizes itself, we have that $D(\partial_1)$ is in the right annihilator of ∂_1, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\partial_1 * D(\partial_1)\\
&= \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\geq 0} pr_1\alpha_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,1}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i+r_1-1}x_2^{j+r_2}x_3^{k+r_3}\partial_1\\
&+ \sum_{i\geq 1,r_1,r_2,r_3,j,k\geq 0} i\alpha_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,1}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i-1}x_2^{j}x_3^{k}\partial_1\\
&+ \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\geq 0} pr_1\alpha_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,2}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i+r_1}x_2^{j+r_2}x_3^{k+r_3}\partial_2\\
&+ \sum_{i\geq 1,r_1,r_2,r_3,j,k\geq 0} i\alpha_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,2}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i-1}x_2^{j}x_3^{k}\partial_2\\
&+ \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\geq 0} pr_1\alpha_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,3}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i+r_1}x_2^{j+r_2}x_3^{k+r_3}\partial_3\\
&+ \sum_{i\geq 1,r_1,r_2,r_3,j,k\geq 0} i\alpha_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,3}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i-1}x_2^{j}x_3^{k}\partial_3\\
&= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{7}
$$

with appropriate coefficients. By (7), we have that $\alpha_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,1}$, $\alpha_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,2}$, and $\alpha_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,3}$, are zeros, $r_1, r_2, r_3, i, j, k \geq 0$. Thus $D(\partial_1)$ is zero. Similarly we can prove that $D(\partial_2)$ and $D(\partial_3)$ are also zeros. Since ∂_1 centralizes $x_1\partial_1$, we can also prove that

$$D(x_1\partial_1) = c_1\partial_1 + c_2\partial_2 + c_3\partial_3.$$

Since $x_1\partial_1$ is an idempotent, we can prove that $c_2 = 0$, $c_3 = 0$. This implies that $D(x_1\partial_1) = c_1\partial_1$. Since $D(\partial_1 * x_1^2\partial_1) = 2D(x_1\partial_1)$, we are also able to prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(x_1^2\partial_1) &= 2c_1x_1\partial_1 + \sum_{j,k} t_{0,j,k,1}x_2^jx_3^k\partial_1\\
&\quad + \sum_{j,k} t_{0,j,k,2}x_2^jx_3^k\partial_2 + \sum_{j,k} t_{0,j,k,3}x_2^jx_3^k\partial_3
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_{0,j,k,1}, t_{0,j,k,2}, t_{0,j,k,3} \in \mathbb{F}$ for all j and k. Since $D(x_1\partial_1 * x_1^2\partial_1) = 2D(x_1^2\partial_1)$, we have that $t_{0,j,k,1} = t_{0,j,k,2} = t_{0,j,k,3} = 0$ for all j and k. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D(x_1\partial_1) = c_1\partial_1,\\
&D(x_1^2\partial_1) = 2c_1x\partial_1
\end{aligned}
$$

hold. By $D(\partial_1 * x_1^3\partial_1) = 3D(x_1^2\partial_1)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_1^3\partial_1) &= 3c_1x_1^2\partial_1 + \sum_{j,k} s_{0,j,k,1}x_2^jx_3^k\partial_1 \\ &+ \sum_{j,k} s_{0,j,k,2}x_2^jx_3^k\partial_2 + \sum_{j,k} s_{0,j,k,3}x_2^jx_3^k\partial_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_{0,j,k,1}, s_{0,j,k,2}, s_{0,j,k,3} \in \mathbb{F}$ for all j and k. By $D(x_1\partial_1 * x_1^3\partial_1) = 3D(x_1^3\partial_1)$, we have that $s_{0,j,k,1} = s_{0,j,k,2} = s_{0,j,k,3} = 0$ for all j and k and $D(x_1^3\partial_1) = 3c_1x_1^2\partial_1$. Since $D(x_1^2\partial_1 * x_1^{i-1}\partial_1) = (i-1)D(x_1^i\partial_1)$, by induction on i of $x_1^i\partial_1$, we are able to prove that

$$D(x_1^i\partial_1) = ic_1x_1^{i-1}\partial_1.$$

Similarly we are also able to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_2^j\partial_2) &= jd_2x_2^{j-1}\partial_2, \\ D(x_3^k\partial_3) &= jh_3x_3^{j-1}\partial_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since ∂_u, $1 \le u \le 3$, is in the left annihilator of $x_1\partial_2$, we can prove that $D(x_1\partial_2) = \alpha_1\partial_1 + \alpha_2\partial_2 + \alpha_3\partial_3$. By $D(x_1\partial_1 * x_1\partial_2) = D(x_1\partial_2)$, we can also prove that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = 0$, $\alpha_2 = c_1$. This implies that $D(x_1\partial_2) = c_1\partial_2$. Since $D(x_1^2\partial_1 * x_1^{i-1}\partial_2) = (i-1)D(x_1^i\partial_2)$, by induction on i of $x_1^i\partial_2$, we can prove that

$$D(x_1^i\partial_2) = ic_1x_1^{i-1}\partial_2.$$

Similarly we are able to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_1^i\partial_3) &= ic_1x_1^{i-1}\partial_3, \\ D(x_2^j\partial_u) &= jd_2x_2^{j-1}\partial_u, \\ D(x_3^k\partial_u) &= kh_3x_3^{k-1}\partial_u \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \le u \le 3$. By $D(x_1^i\partial_2 * x_2^{j+1}\partial_u) = (j+1)D(x_1^ix_2^j\partial_u)$, we have that

$$D(x_1^ix_2^j\partial_u) = ic_1x_1^{i-1}x_2^j\partial_u + jd_2x_1^ix_2^{j-1}\partial_u$$

where $1 \le u \le 3$. Since $D(x_1^ix_2^j\partial_3 * x_3^{k+1}\partial_u) = (k+1)D(x_1^ix_2^jx_3^k\partial_u)$, we are also able to prove that

$$D(x_1^ix_2^jx_3^k\partial_u) = ic_1x_1^{i-1}x_2^jx_3^k\partial_u + jd_2x_1^ix_2^{j-1}x_3^k\partial_u + kh_3x_1^ix_2^jx_3^{k-1}\partial_u.$$

where $1 \le u \le 3$. So we have proven the lemma. □

Lemma 2.2. *For any derivation D of the algebra $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}},0,3)_{[1]}$ and for basis elements $x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}\partial_u$, $e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u$, $1\le u\le 3$, of $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}},0,3)_{[1]}$, we have that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D(x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u)=0,\\
&D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u)=c_{r_1,r_2,r_3}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u,
\end{aligned}
$$

hold where $c\in\mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Let D be the derivation in the lemma. Since the algebra $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}},0,3)_{[1]}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, $D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1)$ is the sum of terms in different homogeneous components of $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}},0,3)_{[1]}$ in (5). Assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1) &= \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\ge 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,1}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_1\\
&+ \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\ge 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,2}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_2\\
&+ \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\ge 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,3}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_3
\end{aligned}
$$

with appropriate coefficients. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D(\partial_1 * e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1)=r_1D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{r_1-1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1)\\
&\quad=\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\ge 0} pr_1a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,1}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{r_1+i-1}x_2^{r_2+j}x_3^{r_3+k}\partial_1\\
&\quad+\sum_{i\ge 1,r_1,r_2,r_3,j,k\ge 0} ia_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,1}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i-1}x_2^j x_3^k\partial_1\\
&\quad+\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\ge 0} pr_1a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,2}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{r_1+i-1}x_2^{r_2+j}x_3^{r_3+k}\partial_2\\
&\quad+\sum_{i\ge 1,r_1,r_2,r_3,j,k\ge 0} ia_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,2}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i-1}x_2^j x_3^k\partial_2\\
&\quad+\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,,i,j,k\ge 0} pr_1a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,3}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{r_1+i-1}x_2^{r_2+j}x_3^{r_3+k}\partial_3\\
&\quad+\sum_{i\ge 1,r_1,r_2,r_3,j,k\ge 0} ia_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,3}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i-1}x_2^j x_3^k\partial_3
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1 * x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1) = r_1 D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{r_1-1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1)\\
&\quad = D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1) * x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1 + e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1 * D(x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1)\\
&\quad = r_1 \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\geq 0} a_{i,j,k,1} e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{i+r_1-1}x_2^{j+r_2}x_3^{k+r_3}\partial_1\\
&\qquad + r_2 \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\geq 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,2} e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{i+r_1}x_2^{j+r_2-1}x_3^{k+r_3}\partial_1\\
&\qquad + r_3 \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k\geq 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,3} e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{i+r_1}x_2^{j+r_2}x_3^{k+r_3-1}\partial_1\\
&\qquad + (r_1-1)r_1 c_1 e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{r_1-2}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1\\
&\qquad + r_1 r_2 d_2 e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{r_1-1}x_2^{r_2-1}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1\\
&\qquad + r_1 r_3 h_3 e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{r_1-1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3-1}\partial_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}
$$

By comparing (8) and (9), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&p = 1,\\
&a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,2} = a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,3} = 0,\ r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k \geq 0,\\
&a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,i,j,k,1} = 0,\ i \geq 1, \text{ and}\\
&c_1 = d_2 = h_3 = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1) = \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,j,k\geq 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,j,k,1} e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_2^j x_3^k \partial_1, \tag{10}
$$

and we also have

$$
D(x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k \partial_u) = 0
$$

where $1 \leq u \leq 3$. Similarly we can prove that

$$
D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u) = \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,j,k\geq 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,j,k,1} e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_2^j x_3^k \partial_u. \tag{11}
$$

where $2 \leq u \leq 3$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D(\partial_2 * e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1) = r_2 D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2-1}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1)\\
&= r_2 \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,j,k\geq 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,j,k,1} e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2+j-1}x_3^{r_3+k}\partial_1\\
&+ \sum_{j\geq 1,r_1,r_2,r_3,k\geq 0} j a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,j,k,1} e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}} x_2^{j-1}x_3^k\partial_1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_2 * x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1) = r_2 D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2-1}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1)$$
$$= r_2 \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,j,k\geq 0} a_{0,j,k,1}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_!^{r_1}x_2^{r_2+j-1}x_3^{r_3+k}}\partial_1,$$

we have that $a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,j,k,1} = 0$, $j \geq 1$. This implies that

$$D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1) = \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,k\geq 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,0,k,1}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_3^k\partial_1. \tag{12}$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u) = \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,k\geq 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,0,k,1}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_3^k\partial_u. \tag{13}$$

where $2 \leq u \leq 3$. Since

$$D(\partial_3 * e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1) = r_3 D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3-1}\partial_1)$$
$$= \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,k\geq 0} a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,0,k,1}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3+k-1}\partial_1$$
$$+ \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3\geq 0,k\geq 1} k a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,0,k,1}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_3^{k-1}\partial_1$$

and

$$D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_3 * x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}\partial_1) = r_3 D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3-1}\partial_1)$$
$$= \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3,k\geq 0} r_3 a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,0,k,1}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3+k-1}\partial_1.$$

Thus we have that $a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,0,k,1} = 0$, $k \geq 1$. This implies that

$$D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1) = a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,0,0,1}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1. \tag{14}$$

By (14) and $D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1 * x_1\partial_u) = D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u)$, we can prove that

$$D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u) = a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,0,0,1}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u,$$

where $2 \leq u \leq 3$. Putting $c_{r_1,r_2,r_3} = a_{r_1,r_2,r_3,0,0,0,1}$, we have that $D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u) = c_{r_1,r_2,r_3}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u$. Therefore we have proven the lemma. □

Theorem 2.3. *For any derivation D of the algebra $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ and for basis elements*

$e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u$, $1 \le u \le 3$, *of* $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$, *we have that*

$$D(e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u) = pc_{r_1,r_2,r_3}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u$$

hold where $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $c \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Let D be the derivation in the lemma.

By $D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_1 * x_1^{i+1}x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u) = (i+1)D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u)$, we are able to prove that $D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u) = c_{r_1,r_2,r_3}e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u$ for $1 \le u \le 3$, with appropriate coefficients. By

$D(e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i-r_1+1}x_2^{j-r_2}x_3^{k-r_3}\partial_1 * e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u) = r_1 D(e^{2x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u)$,

we prove that

$$D(e^{2x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u) = 2c_{r_1,r_2,r_3}e^{2x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u.$$

By induction on $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ of $e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u$ and

$D(e^{(p-1)x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^{i-r_1+1}x_2^{j-r_2}x_3^{k-r_3}\partial_1 * e^{x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}\partial_u) = r_1 D(e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u)$,

we are able to prove that

$$D(e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u) = pc_{r_1,r_2,r_3}e^{px_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k\partial_u.$$

Therefore the proof is completed. □

Theorem 2.4. *For any* $D \in Der_{non}(WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]})$, D *is the linear sum of the derivations* D_c *as shown in Note 1 where* $c \in \mathbb{F}$. *Every derivation of the algebra* $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ *is outer.*

Proof. The proofs of the theorem are straightforward by Lemma 2.2, Theorem 2.3, and the fact that the derivation of Note 1 cannot be inner. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 2.5. *The dimension of* $Der_{non}(WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]})$ *of the algebra* $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ *is one. For any derivation* D *of* $Der_{non}(WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]})$, $D(\mathfrak{A}) = 0$ *holds where* $\mathfrak{A}$ *is the zero-homogeneous component of* $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ *in (5) (see* [9]*).*

Proof. The proofs of the corollary are straightforward by Lemma 2.2 and Note 1. □

Proposition 2.6. *If* A *is not a purely outer algebra, then algebra* A *and* $WN(e^{\pm x_1^{r_1}x_2^{r_2}x_3^{r_3}}, 0, 3)_{[1]}$ *are not isomorphic.*

Proof. The proof of the proposition is straightforward by Theorem 2.4. □

References

[1] Seul Hee Choi and Ki-Bong Nam, *The Derivation of a Restricted Weyl Type Non-Associative Algebra*, Hadronic Journal, **28(3)**, (2005), 287-295.

[2] Seul Hee Choi, *A growing algebra containing the polynomial ring*, Honam Mathematical Journal, **32(3)**, (2010), 467-480.

[3] Seul Hee Choi, *An algebra with right identities and its antisymmetrized algebra*, Honam Mathematical Journal, **29(2)**, (2007), 213-222.

[4] Seul Hee Choi, *New algebras using additive abelian groups I*, Honam Mathematical Journal, **31(3)**, (2009), 407-419.

[5] Seul Hee Choi and Ki-Bong Nam, *Weyl type non-associative algebra using additive groups I*, Algebra Colloquium, **14(3)** (2007), 479-488.

[6] Seul Hee Choi and Ki-Bong Nam, *Derivations of a restricted Weyl Type Algebra I*, Rocky Mountain Math. Journals, **37(6)**, (2007), 67-84.

[7] Seul Hee Choi, Hong Goo Park, Moon-Ok Wang, and Ki-Bong Nam, *Combinatorial algebra and its antisymmetrized algebra I*, Algebra Colloquium, **22(1)**, (2015), 823-834.

[8] Seul Hee Choi, Jongwoo Lee, and Ki-Bong Nam, *Derivations of a restricted Weyl type algebra containing the polynomial ring*, Communication in Algebra, **36(9)**, (2008), 3435 - 3446.

[9] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1987), 7-21.

[10] T. Ikeda, N. Kawamoto and Ki-Bong Nam, *A class of simple subalgebras of Generalized W algebras*, Proceedings of the International Conference in 1998 at Pusan (Eds. A. C. Kim), Walter de Gruyter Gmbh Co. KG, (2000), 189-202.

[11] V. G. Kac, *Description of the filtered Lie algebras with which graded Lie algebras of Cartan type are associated*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom, **38**, (1974), 832-834.

[12] Jongwoo Lee and Ki-bong Nam, *Non-Associative Algebras containing the Matrix Ring*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **429(1)**, (2008), Pages 72-78.

[13] Ki-Bong Nam, *Generalized W and H Type Lie Algebras*, Algebra Colloquium **6(3)**, (1999), 329-340.

[14] Ki-Bong Nam, *On Some Non-Associative Algebras Using Additive Groups*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, **27**, Springer Verlag, (2003), 493-500.

[15] R. D. Schafer, *Introduction to nonassociative algebras*, Dover, (1995), 128-138.

Seul Hee Choi
Department of Mathematics, Jeonju University,
Jeonju, Jeonbuk 55069, Korea.
E-mail: chois@jj.ac.kr