

# Urease Characteristics and Phylogenetic Status of *Bacillus* paralicheniformis

Do-Won Jeong<sup>1</sup>, Byunghoon Lee<sup>2</sup>, Hyundong Lee<sup>2</sup>, Keuncheol Jeong<sup>2</sup>, Mihyun Jang<sup>2</sup>, and Jong-Hoon Lee<sup>2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food and Nutrition, Dongduk Women's University, Seoul 02748, Republic of Korea

Received: September 17, 2018 Revised: October 18, 2018 Accepted: October 19, 2018

First published online October 26, 2018

\*Corresponding author Phone: +82-31-249-9656; Fax: +82-31-253-1165; E-mail: jhl@kgu.ac.kr

Supplementary data for this paper are available on-line only at http://jmb.or.kr.

pISSN 1017-7825, eISSN 1738-8872

Copyright© 2018 by The Korean Society for Microbiology and Biotechnology In 2015, Bacillus paralicheniformis was separated from B. licheniformis on the basis of phylogenomic and phylogenetic studies, and urease activity was reported as a phenotypic property that differentiates between the two species. Subsequently, we have found that the urease activity of B. paralicheniformis is strain-specific, and does not reliably discriminate between species, as strains having the same urease gene cluster were identified in B. licheniformis and B. sonorensis, the closest relatives of B. paralicheniformis. We developed a multilocus sequence typing scheme using eight housekeeping genes, adk, ccpA, glpF, gmk, ilvD, pur, spo0A, and tpi to clearly identify B. paralicheniformis from closely related Bacillus species and to find a molecular marker for the rapid identification of B. paralicheniformis. The scheme differentiated 33 B. paralicheniformis strains from 90 strains formerly identified as B. licheniformis. Among the eight housekeeping genes, spo0A possesses appropriate polymorphic sites for the design of a B. paralichenofomis-specific PCR primer set. The primer set designed in this study perfectly separated B. paralicheniformis from B. licheniformis and B. sonorensis.

**Keywords:** Bacillus paralicheniformis, Bacillus licheniformis, Bacillus sonorensis, urease, MLST, spo0A

### Introduction

The genus *Bacillus* is the most populous bacterial group in soybean-based fermented foods of Asia. *Bacillus licheniformis* is a dominant *Bacillus* species identified from doenjang, a Korean fermented soybean paste, which is ripened at NaCl concentrations of >12% (w/w). We hypothesized that *B. licheniformis* would be a potential doenjang starter candidate among the identified *Bacillus* species from doenjang based on its appearance frequency and salt tolerance on tryptic soy agar (TSA) supplemented with 14% (w/v) NaCl [1].

Recently, Dunlap *et al.* separated *Bacillus paralicheniformis* from *B. licheniformis* on the basis of phylogenomic and phylogenetic studies [2]. They reported that urease activity is a phenotypic property that can differentiate between the two species. Urease activity, which converts urea into ammonia and carbamate, has been found in several bacteria, as well as fungi, plants, and some invertebrates. It

has also been shown to be an important virulence factor in several pathogenic bacteria [3, 4]. The existence of urease is well known in *Helicobacter* spp., including all *Helicobacter* pylori isolated from gastritis patients [3, 4]. About 2% of Clostridium perfringens strains, an etiologic factor of gas gangrene, were reported to harbor urease genes localized on plasmids [5], and a strain of Vibrio parahaemolyticus, a species generally considered non-ureolytic which also produces urease, has been isolated [6]. Numbers of studies on the pathologic effect of bacterial ureases in human diseases have been performed, and urease involvement in several diseases, including urinary stones occurrence, catheter blockage, pyelonephritis, ammonia encephalopathy, hepatic coma, and gastritis has been reported [4].

The possession of a potential virulence factor is driving the need to clearly identify *B. paralicheniformis* from closely related *Bacillus* species. Because *B. paralicheniformis'* closest relative, *B. licheniformis*, is often purposely introduced in many bioindustries, including food industries, we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Food Science and Biotechnology, Kyonggi University, Suwon 16227, Republic of Korea

reexamined the validity of using urease as a *B. paralicheniformis* taxonomic index, and developed a new taxonomic marker that can discriminate the species from its nearest phylogenetic relatives.

### **Materials and Methods**

### **Bacillus Strains and Cultures**

Ninety strains isolated from Korean soybean-based fermented foods, and identified as *B. licheniformis* were subjected to multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and urease characterization. The isolate sources follow: meju, a spontaneously fermented and

dried soybean brick; doenjang, a fermented soybean paste; ganjang, a soy sauce made from meju; gochujang, a fermented red pepper paste made with meju; and cheonggukjang, a soybean food fermented with *Bacillus* species (Table 1). The soybean-based fermented foods were collected from several districts in Korea. Among the 90 strains, 62 strains were isolated, identified, and stored in our stock cultures [7, 8], 23 strains were kindly provided by the Microbial Institute for Fermentation Industry (http://mifi.kr), and five strains were kindly provided by the Korea Food Research Institute (http://www.kfri.re.kr). Reference strains, *B. licheniformis* DSM 13<sup>T</sup> (KACC 10476<sup>T</sup>), *B. licheniformis* ATCC 9789 (KCTC 1659), *B. paralicheniformis* KJ-16<sup>T</sup> (KACC 18426<sup>T</sup>), *B. paralicheniformis* NCIMB 8874 (KCTC 3056), and *B. sonorensis* 

**Table 1.** Polymorphism of eight housekeeping genes in the strains used in this study and corresponding sequence type (ST), frequency, and urease activity.

	Allelic profile					E	Chili	C1-	Urease	Reference			
ST	adk	ссрА	glpF	gmk	ilvD	pur	spo0A	tpi	Frequency	Geographical origin Sample		activity	strain
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Jeolla (1) Cheonggukjang (1)		-	
2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	JK (1) NA (1)		-	DSM 13 <sup>T</sup>
3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	Gyeonggi (1), Jeolla (1)	Doenjang (1), Ganjang (1)	-	14ADL4
4	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	30	Jeolla (30)	Doenjang (30)	-	
5	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	Jeolla (2)	Doenjang (2)	-	
6	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	9	Gangwon (3), Jeolla (5), Seoul (1)	Cheonggukjang (2), Doenjang (2), Gochujang (2), Meju (3)	-	
7	2	1	1	1	3	1	2	1	2	Jeolla (2)	Cheonggukjang (1), Gochujang (1)	-	
8	2	1	1	1	3	1	3	1	1	Seoul (1)	Meju (1)	_	
9	2	1	1	3	2	2	1	1	1	Jeolla (1)	Doenjang (1)	_	
10	2	1	1	3	3	1	1	1	3	Gangwon (1), Jeolla (2)	Cheonggukjang (1), Doenjang (1), Gochujang (1)	-	
11	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	Jeolla (1)	Doenjang (1)	_	
12	2	2	1	1	1	1	4	1	1	UK (1)	Milk (1)	-	ATCC 9789
13	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	Gangwon (2), Jeolla (2)	Cheonggukjang (1), Doenjang (1), Gochujang (1), Ganjang (1)	-	
14	2	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	Gangwon (1)	Doenjang (1)	-	
15	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Jeolla (1)	Doenjang (1)	-	
16	3	1	3	4	4	3	5	2	1	Seoul (1)	Meju (1)	-	
17	3	1	4	5	5	4	5	2	1	NA (1)	Septic wound (1)	+	NCIMB 8874
18	3	2	3	4	4	3	5	2	21	Gyeonggi (20), Jeolla (1)	Doenjang (14), Meju (7)	-	14DA11
19	3	2	3	4	4	3	6	2	1	Gyeonggi (1)	Doenjang (1)	_	
20	3	2	3	4	4	5	5	2	3	Gyeonggi (3)	Doenjang (1), Meju (2)	_	
21	3	2	3	4	6	3	5	2	1	Gyeonggi (1)	Meju (1)	-	
22	3	2	3	6	7	6	7	2	1	Chungbuk (1)	Cheonggukjang (1)	+	KJ-16 <sup>T</sup>
23	3	5	3	5	4	2	5	3	6	Gangwon (3), Gyeonggi (3)	Doenjang (5), Ganjang (1)	+	
24	3	5	5	5	8	7	5	2	1	Gangwon (1)	Doenjang (1)	-	

Jeolla, Gangwon, Seoul, Gyeonggi, and Chungbuk are administrative districts in South Korea. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of isolates. NA: not available.

NBRC 101234<sup>T</sup> (KCTC 13918<sup>T</sup>) were purchased from the Korean Agricultural Culture Collection (http://genebank.rda.go.kr/) and the Korean Collection for Type Cultures (http://kctc.kribb.re.kr/). Reference strains *B. licheniformis* 14ADL4 and *B. paralicheniformis* 14DA11 were isolated from doenjang; the complete genome sequences have been published [9, 10]. *B. sonorensis* strain SRCM101395 was kindly provided by the Microbial Institute for Fermentation Industry. All *Bacillus* isolates were cultured in TSA (Difco, USA) and tryptic soy broth (TSB; Difco) at 30°C for 24 h.

#### **Urease Activity Test**

The strain phenotypic urease activities were tested using Christensen's urea agar (KisanBio, Korea). The agar plates were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. Colonies cultured on TSA were inoculated onto urea agar plates and incubated at 37°C for 24 h, and color changes from yellow to purple-red were monitored.

#### Target Gene Amplification and Sequencing

We performed MLST using the following eight housekeeping genes: *adk* (encoding adenylate kinase), *ccpA* (catabolite control protein A), *glpF* (glycerol facilitator), *gmk* (guanylate kinase), *ilvD* (dihydroxyacid dehydratase), *pur* (phosphoribosyl aminoimidazole carboxamide formyltransferase), *spo0A* (stage 0 sporulation protein A), and *tpi* (triosephosphate isomerase). The target genes *adk*, *ccpA*, and *spo0A* were selected from a previously published *B. licheniformis* MLST scheme [11]; the others were selected from a *B. cereus* group study [12]. *B. licheniformis* DSM 13<sup>T</sup> (GenBank accession number: GCA\_000011645.1), *B. licheniformis* 14ADL4

(GCA\_002966955.1), *B. paralicheniformis* KJ-16<sup>T</sup> (GCA\_001042485.2), and *B. paralicheniformis* 14DA11 (GCA\_002393225.1) genome sequences were used to design PCR primers to amplify the internal regions of the eight genes, generating fragments of 475–627 bp (Table 2).

Genomic DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany) after cell wall lysis with 1 mg/l lysozyme (Sigma, USA) at 37°C for 1 h. Amplification of the housekeeping genes for MLST was performed using specific primer sets (Table 2) and a T3000 Thermocycler (Biometra, Germany). Samples were preheated at 95°C for 5 min, and then amplified by 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 63°C for 45 sec, and 72°C for 60 sec. Reactions included template DNA (10 ng), 1 U of Taq polymerase (InClone, Korea), 0.5 µM each primer, 10 mM dNTPs, and 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. The PCR products were purified using a PCR purification kit (SolGent, Korea), and sequenced by GenoTech (Korea) with the specific primer sets used for their original amplification. Sequence similarities were identified using the BLASTX program at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website. All sequence data produced in this study have been deposited in the NCBI database under accession numbers MH349499-MH349537.

### Multilocus Sequence Typing and Phylogenetic Analysis

The sequences of the eight genes were edited, and the complementary sense and antisense fragments were aligned using LaserGene 7.1 software (DNASTAR, USA). The gene sequences were manually concatenated in the order *adk*, *ccpA*, *glpF*, *gmk*, *ilvD*, *pur*, *spo0A*, *tpi*, and then aligned using the multiple sequence

**Table 2.** Genes and PCR primers used for the MLST of 90 strains formerly identified as *B. licheniformis*.


Gene	Target gene	Forward (5′–3′)	Reverse (5'–3')	Amplicon size (bp)	Analyzed sequence size (bp)	No. of alleles	No. of polymorphic sites	Reference
adk	Adenylate kinase	GGTAAAGGGAC ACAGGCTGA	TCGAGTAAAGG CTGGGTTTG	518	438	3	6	[11]
ссрА	Catabolite control protein A	TATGATGTAGCA CGCGAAGC	TATCCCCAAGCG CTCTTTTA	604	517	5	24	[11]
glpF	Glycerol facilitator	CGGAACGATGCT GCTCATCG	CAATCGGAAGG ACAAAATGGGC	578	505	5	21	This study
gmk	Guanylate kinase	CGTTCTCTCCGG CCCTTCCG	CGGCGAGAACG ATTGCTTTG	533	415	6	15	This study
ilvD	Dihydroxyacid dehydratase	GACTGTAACGGG GAAAACGC	GCCGGGCCAGTT TGCTTTTC	563	497	8	22	This study
pur	Phosphoribosyl aminoimidazole carboxamide formyltransferase	GCGCTGCAGCG AAAAACCAC	GCGGTTCAGGGC GATGATGC	536	477	7	29	This study
spo0A	Stage 0 sporulation protein A	GAAGTGCTTGGT GTCGCATA	TGTGTAGCCGAA AAGTGACG	627	541	7	30	[11]
tpi	Triosephosphate isomerase	GCCCTGCGGCTT TGAAAGAC	CTTGCTCCGCCA ACAAGAGC	475	413	3	8	This study

alignment program ClustalW [13]. Phylogenetic analysis of the aligned sequences was performed using the maximum likelihood method in MEGA 7 [14]. Bootstrapping values are estimated from 1,000 repeated calculations. Reference strain gene sequences were obtained from NCBI. Allele sequences from each gene were designated allele numbers, and used to generate sequence types (STs) for each isolate.

#### Results

### Phylogenetic Position of *B. paralicheniformis* Based on the 16S rRNA Gene

Our phylogenetic analysis of *B. paralicheniformis* strains near complete 16S rRNA gene sequences, together with those from other *Bacillus* species/strains, revealed that *B. paralicheniformis* is closely related not only to *B. licheniformis*, but also to *B. sonorensis* (Fig. 1). The type strains of the three species shared >99.5% 16S rRNA gene sequence identity.



**Fig. 1.** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree based on entire 16S rRNA gene sequences from *Bacillus paralicheniformis* and its relatives.

Branches with bootstrap values lower than 50% were collapsed. A nucleotide/site distance scale is shown under the tree.

We identified five polymorphic sites, positions 67, 70, 86, 88, and 280, on the 16S rRNA gene sequence that can differentiate *B. paralicheniformis* from *B. licheniformis* and *B. sonorensis* (Table S1). However, the nearly identical 16S rRNA gene sequences shared among the three species necessitates the development of additional phylogenetic markers to differentiate between the species unequivocally.

### Urease Gene Clusters in *B. paralicheniformis* and Its Relatives

To confirm that urease activity can be used as a phenotypic property to differentiate *B. paralicheniformis* from *B. licheniformis* and *B. sonorensis*, we tested the urease activity of reference strains of the three species, in the same manner as per Dunlap *et al.* [2]. Unlike the Dunlap *et al.* study, tested *B. paralicheniformis* strains exhibited varying levels of urease activity, in a strain-specific manner, down to none, in our study. However, as in the previous report's species-specific manner, none of the *B. licheniformis* or *B. sonorensis* strains exhibited the activity (Fig. S1). Among the 90 strains tested in our study, eight strains exhibited phenotypic urease activity (Table 1).

To shed light on the genetic background behind the strain-specific urease activity of B. paralicheniformis, we analyzed urease genomic data for B. paralicheniformis, B. licheniformis, and B. sonorensis obtained from NCBI. As of our analysis (July 7, 2018), the genome sequences of 76, 17, and five strains of B. licheniformis, B. paralicheniformis, and B. sonorensis, respectively, had been deposited at NCBI. Among the 76 B. licheniformis strains, ten strains harbor the urease gene cluster ureABCEFGDH, and two strains possess the gene cluster ureABC; however, the remainder do not have a urease gene cluster. Notably, among the 12 strains having a urease gene cluster, the taxonomic position of nine is questionable based on 16S rRNA gene polymorphic sites and housekeeping genes (Table 3). Among the 17 B. paralicheniformis strains, all possess ureABCEFGDH, except strain NMSW12 (GCA\_002998255.1), which does not possess a urease gene. Considering that the strain NMSW12 published genome is incomplete, we cannot rule out the possibility of the strain possessing the gene. Among the five B. sonorensis strains, four harbor the urease gene cluster ureABC, and one has ureABCEFGDH (Fig. S2). These results demonstrate that most B. licheniformis strains do not harbor a urease gene cluster, and that the general urease gene cluster types of B. paralicheniformis and B. sonorensis are ureABCEFGDH and ureABC, respectively. However, unusual cases can be found within each species.

**Table 3.** Strains harboring a type of urease gene cluster identified from 76 *B. licheniformis* strains with published genomes.

Strain	Urease type	Sequence type									Identification
Strain	Orease type	16S rRNA	adk	ccpA	glpF	gmk	ilvD	pur	spo0A	tpi	identification
LMG 7559	ureABCEFGDH	P	P	P/L	P	P	P	NS	P	P	B. paralicheniformis
LMG 6934	ureABCEFGDH	P	P	NS	NS	P	P	NS	P	P	B. paralicheniformis
YNP2-TSU	ureABCEFGDH	L	L	L	L	L	NS	NS	L	L	B. licheniformis
YNP3-TSU	ureABCEFGDH	L	L	L	L	L	NS	NS	L	L	B. licheniformis
B4121	ureABCEFGDH	P	P	P/L	NS	P	NS	NS	NS	P	B. paralicheniformis
7510	ureABCEFGDH	P	P	NS	P/L	P	P	P	P	P	B. paralicheniformis
B4123	ureABCEFGDH	P	P	P/L	NS	P	P	NS	P	P	B. paralicheniformis
B4125	ureABCEFGDH	P	P	P/L	P/L	P	P	P	P	P	B. paralicheniformis
S 16	ureABCEFGDH	L	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	B. licheniformis
S27	ureABCEFGDH	P	P	P/L	P/L	P	P	P	P	NS	B. paralicheniformis
SRCM101441	ureABC	S	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	B. subtilis
127185/2	ureABC	S	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	B. subtilis


Genetic information was retrieved from the NCBI database on July 7, 2018.

Abbreviations: P, B. paralicheniformis sequence type; L, B. licheniformis sequence type; P/L, a common sequence type possessed by B. licheniformis and B. paralicheniformis; S, B. subtilis sequence type; NS, not identified sequence type in this study.

### Discrimination of *B. licheniformis* and *B. paralicheniformis* Based on MLST

MLST is a highly discriminative typing method appropriate for genetically coherent organisms that can provide a measure of genetic relatedness among strains [15]. MLST data, as well as its constituent housekeeping gene sequences, have often been used to distinguish between species exhibiting close phylogenetic relatedness.

We used eight MLST housekeeping genes to discriminate between B. licheniformis and B. paralicheniformis (Table 2), and applied the scheme to 90 isolates formerly identified as B. licheniformis. Our analysis was based on eight phylogenomically defined reference strains: B. licheniformis (DSM 13<sup>T</sup>, 14ADL4, and ATCC 9789), B. paralicheniformis (KJ-16<sup>T</sup>, 14DA11, and NCIMB 8874), and B. sonorensis (NBRC 101234<sup>T</sup> and SRCM101395). The number of different variants for each gene ranged from three to eight, but each variant gene had >95% identity to its counterpart in B. paralicheniformis KJ-16<sup>T</sup> (Tables 1 and 2). The most variable locus, spo0A, had 30 polymorphic sites, and adk was the least variable, with only six polymorphic sites. We generated a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree based on a concatenated alignment of the eight gene sequences for the 90 strains. The tree divides into two primary groups, with each group including appropriate B. licheniformis and B. paralicheniformis reference strains (Fig. 2). Two B. sonorensis reference strains cluster in a separate branch of the tree. Among the 90 strains we subjected to MLST, 33 strains were reidentified as B. paralicheniformis.



**Fig. 2.** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree based on the sequence types of 90 strains formerly identified as *B. licheniformis*.

The reference strains of this study are indicated in parentheses.

## spo0A, an Auxiliary Marker for B. paralicheniformis Identification

Although MLST clearly discriminates between

B. licheniformis, B. paralicheniformis, and B. sonorensis, it is not a simple and rapid method, compared with qualitative PCR methods. Among the eight housekeeping genes, adk and tpi have fewer polymorphic sites than the others. Furthermore, some ccpA and glpF sequence types are commonly shared by *B. licheniformis* and *B. paralicheniformis*. Therefore, these four genes are not sufficiently informative to discriminate between the species. However, we identified a sufficient number of polymorphic sites in the spo0A sequence to discriminate between the three species. We, therefore, constructed a spo0A-specific PCR primer set for the rapid identification of *B. paralicheniformis* (Forward, 5-CATCGCGATGAATTCTGA-3; Reverse: 5-CATGGA GAACTTAGTCGGC-3). The primer set perfectly separated B. paralicheniformis from B. licheniformis and B. sonorensis (Fig. S3), which demonstrates the potential utility of spo0A as a molecular marker for the rapid identification of bacilli. Analysis of 16S rRNA and spo0A gene sequences together allow for the accurate and rapid identification of B. licheniformis, B. paralicheniformis, and B. sonorensis, apart from other bacilli. A spo0A-specific PCR amplification against the 90 strains tested in our study further corroborates our MLST results (data not shown).

### **Discussion**

The precise discrimination of similar bacterial species, and a concurrent increase of novel species identification, have been made possible by rapid progress in molecular taxonomic methodology. The introduction of phylogenomic approaches has enhanced the accuracy of bacterial taxonomic studies and clarified the consolidation and separation of closely related species [2, 16, 17]. The precise identification of B. paralicheniformis is more relevant than merely determining taxonomic position: most of the species' strains possess a urease gene cluster, which is considered a pathogen virulence factor. Furthermore, its closest relative, B. licheniformis, is actively used in many bioindustries. B. licheniformis can be intentionally added to foods or feeds in the European Union, because the European Food Safety Authority qualifies the species as a safe biological agent [18], and the US Food and Drug Administration allows the species to be used for genetically modified organism enzyme production.

We found urease activity and urease gene cluster possession not to be a species-specific property between *B. paralicheniformis* and *B. licheniformis*, as the latter harbors a urease gene cluster, albeit rarely. The *B. paralicheniformis* urease gene cluster may not be involved in human disease,

and rather in nitrogen utilization, considering its habitat. The majorities of *Bacillus* spp., including *B. paralicheniformis*, have been isolated from soil and the rhizosphere [19, 20]. However, we cannot speculate on the results were this species to be used for human or animal food production, because no report of its safety aspects has yet been published. Therefore, prudency dictates that *B. paralicheniformis* be excluded from human and animal use, until further study.

### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2016R1D1A1B01011421 and NRF-2016R1D1A1B03930239). Mihyun Jang was supported by Kyonggi University's Graduate Research Assistantship 2018. We thank Steven M. Thompson, from the Edanz Group (www.edanzediting.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest to declare.

### References

- 1. Jeong DW, Kim HR, Jung G, Han S, Kim CT, Lee JH. 2014. Bacterial community migration in the ripening of doenjang, a traditional Korean fermented soybean food. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **24**: 648-660.
- Dunlap CA, Kwon SW, Rooney AP, Kim SJ. 2015. Bacillus paralicheniformis sp. nov., isolated from fermented soybean paste. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 65: 3487-3492.
- 3. Mobley HL, Island MD, Hausinger RP. 1995. Molecular biology of microbial ureases. *Microbiol. Rev.* **59:** 451-480.
- Konieczna I, Zarnowiec P, Kwinkowski M, Kolesinska B, Fraczyk J, Kaminski Z, et al. 2012. Bacterial urease and its role in long-lasting human diseases. Curr. Protein Pept. Sci. 13: 789-806.
- Dupuy B, Daube G, Popoff MR, Cole ST. 1997. Clostridium perfringens urease genes are plasmid borne. Infect. Immun. 65: 2313-2320.
- 6. Lam S, Yeo M. 1980. Urease-positive *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* strain. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **12:** 57-59.
- 7. Jeong DW, Heo S, Ryu S, Blom J, Lee JH. 2017. Genomic insights into the virulence and salt tolerance of *Staphylococcus equorum*. *Sci. Rep.* **7:** 5383.
- 8. Jeong DW, Jeong M, Lee JH. 2017. Antibiotic susceptibilities and characteristics of *Bacillus licheniformis* isolates from

- - traditional Korean fermented soybean foods. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 75: 565-568.
- 9. Lee JH, Jeong DW. 2017. Complete genome sequence of Bacillus paralicheniformis 14DA11, exhibiting resistance to clindamycin and erythromycin. Genome Announc. 5: e01216-17.
- 10. Jeong DW, Lee B, Lee JH. 2018. Complete genome sequence of Bacillus licheniformis 14ADL4 exhibiting resistance to clindamycin. Kor. J. Microbiol. 54: 169-170.
- 11. Madslien EH, Olsen JS, Granum PE, Blatny JM. 2012. Genotyping of B. licheniformis based on a novel multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) scheme. BMC Microbiol. 12: 230.
- 12. Priest FG, Barker M, Baillie LW, Holmes EC, Maiden MC. 2004. Population structure and evolution of the Bacillus cereus group. J. Bacteriol. 186: 7959-7970.
- 13. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22: 4673-4680.
- 14. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33: 1870-1874.
- 15. Maiden MC. 2006. Multilocus sequence typing of bacteria.

- Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 60: 561-588.
- 16. Dunlap CA, Kim SJ, Kwon SW, Rooney AP. 2016. Bacillus velezensis is not a later heterotypic synonym of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens; Bacillus methylotrophicus, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum and 'Bacillus oryzicola' are later heterotypic synonyms of Bacillus velezensis based on phylogenomics. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 66: 1212-1217.
- 17. Fan B, Blom J, Klenk HP, Borriss R. 2017. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Bacillus velezensis, and Bacillus siamensis form an "Operational Group B. amyloliquefaciens" within the B. subtilis species complex. Front. Microbiol. 8: 22.
- 18. EFSA. 2016. Update of the list of QPS-recommended biological agentsintentionally added to food or feed as notified to EFSA 5:suitability of taxonomic units notified to EFSA untilSeptember 2016. EFSA J. 15: 4663.
- 19. Wang Y, Liu H, Liu K, Wang C, Ma H, Li Y, et al. 2017. Complete genome sequence of Bacillus paralicheniformis MDJK30, a plant growth-promoting Rhizobacterium with antifungal activity. Genome Announc. 5: e00577-17.
- 20. Daas MS, Rosana ARR, Acedo JZ, Douzane M, Nateche F, Kebbouche-Gana S, et al. 2018. Draft genome sequence of Bacillus paralicheniformis F47, isolated from an Algerian salty lake. Genome Announc. 6: e00190-18.