

Coefficient Estimates for a Subclass of Bi-univalent Functions Defined by Sălăgean Type q -Calculus Operator

PRAKASH NAMDEO KAMBLE

Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad 431004, India

e-mail: kamble.prakash69@gmail.com

MALLIKARJUN GURULLINGAPPA SHRIGAN*

Department of Mathematics, Dr. D Y Patil School of Engineering and Technology, Pune 412205, India

e-mail: mgshrigan@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce and investigate a new subclass of bi-univalent functions defined by *Sălăgean q -calculus operator* in the open disk \mathbb{U} . For functions belonging to the subclass, we obtain estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$. Some consequences of the main results are also observed.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the family of functions *analytic* in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\},$$

which are normalized by the condition:

$$f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$$

and given by the following Taylor-Maclaurin series:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

* Corresponding Author.

Received March 7, 2018; revised September 25, 2018; accepted October 2, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Key words and phrases: analytic functions, bi-univalent functions, coefficient bounds, Sălăgean q -differential operator, Sălăgean derivative.

Also let \mathcal{S} be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form given by (1.1), which are univalent in \mathbb{U} . The Koebe one-quarter theorem [7] ensures that the image of \mathbb{U} under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Hence every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$f^{-1}(f(w)) = w, \quad \left(|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{U} . Let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1). For a brief history and interesting examples of functions in the class Σ , see [28] (see also [4]). From the work of Srivastava *et al.* [28], we choose to recall the following examples of functions in the class Σ :

$$\frac{z}{1-z}, \quad -\log(1-z), \quad \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right).$$

However, familiar Koebe function is not a member of Σ .

The class of bi-univalent functions was investigated by Lewin [13], who proved that $|a_2| < 1.51$. In 1981, Styer and Wright [30] showed that $|a_2| > 4/3$. Subsequently, Brannan and Clunie [3] improved Lewin's result to $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Netanyahu [14], showed that $\max_{f \in \Sigma} |a_2| = \frac{4}{3}$. In 1985, Branges [2] proved Bieberbach conjecture which showed that

$$|a_n| \leq n; \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} - 1),$$

N being positive integer.

The problem of finding coefficient estimates for the bi-univalent functions has received much attention in recent years. In fact, the aforecited work of Srivastava *et al.* [28] essentially revived the investigation of various subclasses of bi-univalent function class Σ in recent years and that it leads to a flood of papers on the subject (see, for e.g., [6, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29]); it was followed by such works as those by Tang *et al.* [31], Xu *et al.* [32, 33] and Lashin [12], and others (see, for e.g., [1, 5, 8]). The coefficient estimate problem involving the bound of $|a_n| (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\})$ for each $f \in \Sigma$ is still an open problem.

In the field of geometric function theory, various subclasses of the normalized analytic function class \mathcal{A} have been studied from different view points. The q -calculus as well as the fractional calculus provide important tools that have been used in order to investigate various subclasses of \mathcal{A} . Historically speaking, the

firm footing of the usage of the q -calculus in the context of geometric function theory which was actually provided and q -hypergeometric functions were first used in geometric function theory in a book chapter by Srivastava (see, for details, [18, pp. 347 et seq.]). Ismail *et al.* [10] introduced the class of generalized complex functions via q -calculus on some subclasses of analytic functions. Recently, Purohit and Raina [16] investigated applications of fractional q -calculus operator to define new classes of functions which are analytic in unit disk \mathbb{U} (see, for details, [9]).

For $0 < q < 1$, the q -derivative of a function f given by (1.1) is defined as

$$(1.2) \quad D_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z} & \text{for } z \neq 0, \\ f'(0) & \text{for } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

We note that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_q f(z) = f'(z)$. From (1.2), we deduce that

$$(1.3) \quad D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1},$$

where as $q \rightarrow 1^-$

$$(1.4) \quad [k]_q = \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q} = 1 + q + \dots + q^{k-1} \rightarrow k.$$

Making use of the q -differential operator for function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we introduced the Sălăgean q -differential operator as given below

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} D_q^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D_q^1 f(z) &= z D_q f(z) \\ D_q^n f(z) &= z D_q (D_q^{n-1} f(z)) \\ D_q^n f(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q^n a_k z^k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\lim_q \rightarrow 1^-$

$$(1.6) \quad D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

the familiar Sălăgean derivative [17].

Recently, Kamble and Shrigan [11] introduce the following two subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ and obtained estimate on first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in these subclasses as follows.

Definition 1.1.([11]) For $0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 < q < 1, \lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{q, \mu}(n, \alpha, \lambda)$ if the following

conditions are satisfied

$$(1.7) \quad f \in \Sigma \text{ and } \left| \arg \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n f(z))' \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \left| \arg \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n g(w))' \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2},$$

where the function g is given by

$$(1.9) \quad g(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots$$

and D_q^n is the Sălăgean q -differential operator.

Theorem 1.2. ([11]) *Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{q,\mu}(n, \alpha, \lambda)$. Then*

$$(1.10) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha(2(2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n - (\lambda^2 + 2\lambda + \mu)[2]_q^{2n}) + (\lambda + \mu)^2[2]_q^{2n}}}$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{(\lambda + \mu)^2[2]_q^{2n}} + \frac{2\alpha}{(2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n},$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 < q < 1, \lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Definition 1.3. ([11]) For $0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 < q < 1, \lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{q,\mu}(n, \beta, \lambda)$ if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(1.12) \quad f \in \Sigma \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n f(z))' \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right\} > \beta$$

and

$$(1.13) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n g(w))' \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right\} > \beta.$$

Theorem 1.4. ([11]) *Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{q,\mu}(n, \beta, \lambda)$. Then*

$$(1.14) \quad |a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{4(1 - \beta)}{|2[3]_q^n + (\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n}(2\lambda + \mu)|}}, \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{(\lambda + \mu)[2]_q^n} \right\}$$

and

$$(1.15) \quad |a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(\lambda+\mu)^2[2]_q^{2n}} + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(2\lambda+\mu)[3]_q^n}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{(1-\beta) \{ |4[3]_q^n + [2]_q^{2n}(\mu-1)| - [2]_q^{2n}(|\mu-1|) \}}{|2[3]_q^n + (\mu-1)[2]_q^{2n}|(2\lambda+\mu)[3]_q^n} \right\},$$

where $0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 < q < 1, \lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Remark 1.5. By appropriately specializing the parameters in Definition 1.1 and 1.3, we can get several known subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ . For example:

- (i) For $n = 0$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain the bi-univalent function classes

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{1,\mu}(0, \alpha, \lambda) = \mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\mu}(\alpha, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{1,\mu}(0, \beta, \lambda) = \mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\mu}(\beta, \lambda) \text{ (see [21]);}$$

- (ii) For $\mu = 1, n = 0$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain the bi-univalent function classes

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{1,1}(0, \alpha, \lambda) = \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{1,1}(0, \beta, \lambda) = \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\beta, \lambda) \text{ (see [8]);}$$

- (iii) For $\mu = 1$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$ we obtain the bi-univalent function classes

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{1,1}(n, \alpha, \lambda) = \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(n, \alpha, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{1,1}(n, \beta, \lambda) = \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(n, \beta, \lambda) \text{ (see [15]);}$$

- (iv) For $\mu = 1, n = 0, \lambda = 1$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain the bi-univalent function classes

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{1,1}(0, \alpha, 1) = \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{1,1}(0, \beta, 1) = \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}(\beta) \text{ (see [28]);}$$

- (v) For $\mu = 0, n = 0, \lambda = 1$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain the bi-univalent function classes

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{1,0}(0, \alpha, 1) = \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{1,0}(0, \beta, 1) = \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\beta) \text{ (see [4]).}$$

This paper is a sequel to some of the aforementioned works (especially see [11, 32, 33]). Here we introduce and investigate the general subclass $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda, \mu, n, q)$ ($0 < q < 1, \lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$) of the analytic function class \mathcal{A} , which is given by Definition 1.6 below.

Definition 1.6. Let $h, p : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic functions and

$$\min\{\operatorname{Re}(h(z)), \operatorname{Re}(p(z))\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad \text{and} \quad h(0) = p(0) = 1.$$

Also let the function f given by (1.1), be in the analytic function class \mathcal{A} . We say that

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda, \mu, n, q) \quad (0 < q < 1, \lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0 \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

if the following conditions satisfied:

(1.16)

$$f \in \Sigma \text{ and } (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n f(z))' \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \in h(\mathbb{U}) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$(1.17) \quad (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n g(w))' \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \in p(\mathbb{U}) \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where the function g is given by (1.9).

If $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{h,p}(\lambda, \mu, n, q)$, then

(1.18)

$$f \in \Sigma \text{ and } \left| \arg \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n f(z))' \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$$

and

$$(1.19) \quad \left| \arg \left((1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n g(w))' \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$$

or

$$(1.20) \quad f \in \Sigma \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n f(z))' \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \right\} > \beta$$

and

$$(1.21) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n g(w))' \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} \right\} > \beta.$$

where the function g is given by (1.9).

Our paper is motivated and stimulated especially by the work of Srivastava *et al.* [21, 28]. Here we propose to investigate the bi-univalent function subclass $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{h,p}(\lambda, \mu, n, q)$ of the function class Σ and find estimates on the initial coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in the new subclass of the function class Σ using Sălăgean q -differential operator.

2. A Set of General Coefficient Estimates

In this section, we derive estimates on the initial coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in subclass $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{h,p}(\lambda, \mu, n, q)$ given by Definition 1.6.

Theorem 2.1. Let the function $f(z)$ given by Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda, \mu, n, q)$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad |a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2(\lambda + \mu)^2 [2]_q^{2n}}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{2(2\lambda + \mu) |(\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n} + 2[3]_q^n}} \right\}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad |a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2(\lambda + \mu)^2 [2]_q^{2n}} + \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{4(2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n}, \frac{(\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n} + 4[3]_q^n |h''(0)| + |\mu - 1|[2]_q^{2n} |p''(0)|}{4(2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n |(\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n} + 2[3]_q^n}} \right\},$$

where $0 < q < 1, \lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. It follows from (1.16) and (1.17) that

$$(2.3) \quad (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n f(z))' \left(\frac{D_q^n f(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} = h(\mathbb{U})$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^\mu + \lambda (D_q^n g(w))' \left(\frac{D_q^n g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} = p(\mathbb{U})$$

Comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 in (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.5) \quad (\lambda + \mu)[2]_q^n a_2 = h_1,$$

$$(2.6) \quad (\mu - 1) \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) [2]_q^{2n} a_2^2 + (2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n a_3 = h_2,$$

$$(2.7) \quad -(\lambda + \mu)[2]_q^n a_2 = p_1$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad -(2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n a_3 + (4[3]_q^n + (\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n}) \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) a_2^2 = p_2.$$

From (2.5) and (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad h_1 = -p_1$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad 2(\lambda + \mu)^2 [2]_q^{2n} a_2^2 = h_1^2 + p_1^2.$$

Also, from (2.6) and (2.8), we find that

$$(2.11) \quad \{(\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n} + 2[3]_q^n\} (2\lambda + \mu)^2 a_2^2 = h_2 + p_2.$$

Therefore, we find from the equations (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2(\lambda + \mu)^2 [2]_q^{2n}}}$$

and

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{2(2\lambda + \mu) |(\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n} + 2[3]_q^n|}},$$

respectively. So we get the desired estimate on the coefficients $|a_2|$ as asserted in (2.1).

Next, in order to find the bound on the coefficient $|a_3|$, we subtract (2.8) from (2.6), we get

$$(2.12) \quad 2(2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n a_3 - 2[3]_q^n (2\lambda + \mu) a_2^2 = h_2 - p_2.$$

Upon substituting the value of a_2^2 from (2.10) into (2.12), we arrive at

$$a_3 = \frac{h_1^2 + p_1^2}{2(\lambda + \mu)^2 [2]_q^{2n}} + \frac{h_2 - p_2}{2(2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n}.$$

We thus find that

$$(2.13) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2(\lambda + \mu)^2 [2]_q^{2n}} + \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{4(2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n}.$$

On the other hand, upon substituting the value of a_2^2 from (2.11) into (2.12), we arrive at

$$a_3 = \frac{\{(\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n} + 4[3]_q^n\} h_2 + (\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n} p_2}{2(2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n \{(\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n} + 2[3]_q^n\}}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$(2.14) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{|(\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n} + 4[3]_q^n| |h''(0)| + |\mu - 1| [2]_q^{2n} |p''(0)|}{4(2\lambda + \mu)[3]_q^n |(\mu - 1)[2]_q^{2n} + 2[3]_q^n|}.$$

This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

3. Corollaries and Consequences

By Setting $\mu = 1$, $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $n = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we deduce the following consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 3.1. *Let the function $f(z)$ given by Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \geq 1$). Then*

$$(3.1) \quad |a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2(1+\lambda)^2}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{4(1+2\lambda)}} \right\}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad |a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2(1+\lambda)^2} + \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{4(1+2\lambda)}, \frac{|h''(0)|}{2(1+2\lambda)} \right\}.$$

By Setting $\mu = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $n = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we deduce the following.

Corollary 3.2. ([5]) *Let the function $f(z)$ given by Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}$. Then*

$$(3.3) \quad |a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{4}} \right\}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad |a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2}{8} + \frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{8}, \frac{3|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{8} \right\}.$$

Remark 3.3. Corollary 3.2 is an improvement of the following estimates obtained by Xu *et al.* [33].

Corollary 3.4. ([33]) *Let the function $f(z)$ given by Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \geq 1$). Then*

$$(3.5) \quad |a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{4(1+2\lambda)}}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{|h''(0)|}{2(1+2\lambda)}.$$

By Setting $\lambda = 1$, $\mu = 1$, $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $n = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we deduce the following Corollary 3.5.

Corollary 3.5.([32]) *Let the function $f(z)$ given by Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}$. Then*

$$(3.7) \quad |a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|}{12}}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{|h''(0)|}{6}.$$

4. Concluding Remarks and Observations

The main objective in this paper has been to derive first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficient estimates for functions belonging to a new subclass $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda, \mu, n, q)$ of analytic and bi-univalent function in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} . Indeed, by using *Sălăgean q -calculus operator*, we have successfully determined the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficient estimates for functions belonging to a new subclass $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda, \mu, n, q)$.

By means of corollaries and consequences which we discuss in the preceding section by suitable specializing the parameters λ and μ , we have also shown already that the results presented in this paper would generalize and improve some recent works of Xu *et al.* [32, 33] and other authors.

Acknowledgements. We thank the referees for their insightful suggestions and scholarly guidance to revise and improve the results as in present form.

References

- [1] Ş. Altinkaya and S. Yalçın, *Faber polynomial coefficient bounds for a subclass of bi-univalent functions*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **61(1)**(2016), 37–44.
- [2] L. D. Branges, *A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture*, Acta. Math., **154**(1985), 137–152.
- [3] D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie, *Aspect of contemporary complex analysis*, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute (University of Durham, Durham; July 1-20, 1979), pp. 79–95, Academic Press, New York and London, 1980.
- [4] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, *On some classes of bi-univalent functions*, Mathematical Analysis and its Applications(Kuwait, 1985), 53–60, KFAS Proc. Ser. **3**, Pergamon Press, Elsevier science Limited, Oxford, 1988.
- [5] S. Bulut, *Coefficient estimates for a class of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Novi Sad J. Math., **43(2)**(2013), 59–65.
- [6] M. Çağlar, E. Deniz and H. M. Srivastava, *Second Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Turkish J. Math., **41**(2017), 694–706.

- [7] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band **259**, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1983.
- [8] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24**(2011), 1569–1573.
- [9] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [10] M. E. H. Ismail, E. Merkes and D. Styer, *A generalization of starlike functions*, Complex Variables Theory Appl., **14**(1990), 77–84.
- [11] P. N. Kamble and M. G. Shrigan, *Initial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions*, Far East J. Math., **105**(2)(2018), 271–282.
- [12] A. Y. Lashin, *On certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., **24**(2016), 220–225.
- [13] M. Lewin, *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18**(1967), 63–68.
- [14] E. Netanyahu, *The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **32**(1969), 100–112.
- [15] S. Porwal and M. Darus, *On a new subclass of bi-univalent functions*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., **21**(2013), 190–193.
- [16] S. D. Purohit and R. K. Raina, *Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with fractional q -calculus operators*, Math. Scand., **109**(1)(2011), 55–70.
- [17] G. S. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Complex Analysis - Fifth Romanian Finish Seminar, Bucharest, **1**(1983), 362–372.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava, *Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions*, in Univalent Functions; Fractional Calculus; and Their Applications (H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Editors), Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), 329–354, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989.
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, Ş. Altinkaya and S. Yalçın, *Hankel determinant for a subclass of bi-univalent functions defined by using a symmetric q -derivative operator*, Filomat, **32**(2018), 503–516.
- [20] H. M. Srivastava and D. Bansal, *Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., **23**(2015), 242–246.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava, S. Bulut, M. Çağlar and N. Yağmur, *Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Filomat, **27**(5)(2013), 831–842.
- [22] H. M. Srivastava, S. S. Eker and R. M. Ali, *Coefficient bounds for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Filomat, **29**(2015), 1839–1845.
- [23] H. M. Srivastava, S. S. Eker, S. G. Hamadi and J. M. Jahangiri, *Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by the Tremblay fractional derivative operator*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., **44**(1)(2018), 149–157.

- [24] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, *Coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions*, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform., **41**(2015), 153–164.
- [25] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, *Initial coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), **36**(2016), 863–871.
- [26] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, *Coefficient estimates for some general subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Afr. Mat., **28**(2017), 693–706.
- [27] H. M. Srivastava, S. B. Joshi, S. S. Joshi and H. Pawar, *Coefficient estimates for certain subclasses of meromorphically bi-univalent functions*, Palest. J. Math., **5**(2016), 250–258.
- [28] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23**(2010), 1188–1192.
- [29] H. M. Srivastava, F. Müge Sakar and H. Özlem Güney, *Some general coefficient estimates for a new class of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined by a linear combination*, Filomat, **32**(4)(2018), 1313–1322.
- [30] D. Styer and J. Wright, *Results on bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **82**(1981), 243–248.
- [31] H. Tang, H. M. Srivastava, S. Sivasubramanian and P. Gurusamy, *The Fekete-Szegő functional problems for some classes of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions*, J. Math. Inequal., **10**(2016), 1063–1092.
- [32] Q.-H. Xu, Y.-C. Gui and H. M. Srivastava, *Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **25**(2012), 990–994.
- [33] Q.-H. Xu, H.-G. Xiao and H. M. Srivastava, *A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems*, Appl. Math. Comput., **218**(2012), 11461–11465.