

Invited Mini Review

Rules for functional microRNA targeting

Doyeon Kim^{1,2}, Hee Ryung Chang^{1,2} & Daehyun Baek^{1,2,3,*}¹Center for RNA Research, Institute for Basic Science, ²School of Biological Sciences, ³Bioinformatics Institute, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are ~22nt-long single-stranded RNA molecules that form a RNA-induced silencing complex with Argonaute (AGO) protein to post-transcriptionally downregulate their target messenger RNAs (mRNAs). To understand the regulatory mechanisms of miRNA, discovering the underlying functional rules for how miRNAs recognize and repress their target mRNAs is of utmost importance. To determine functional miRNA targeting rules, previous studies extensively utilized various methods including high-throughput biochemical assays and bioinformatics analyses. However, targeting rules reported in one study often fail to be reproduced in other studies and therefore the general rules for functional miRNA targeting remain elusive. In this review, we evaluate previously-reported miRNA targeting rules and discuss the biological impact of the functional miRNAs on gene-regulatory networks as well as the future direction of miRNA targeting research. [BMB Reports 2017; 50(11): 554-559]

CANONICAL SITE TYPES

It has been widely accepted that more than 60% of the entire human mRNAs are directly regulated by miRNAs (1-4). Accordingly, miRNAs participate in numerous biological processes, and their activity can lead to various human diseases (5-10). Although understanding the complete rules of how miRNAs recognize and regulate their target mRNAs is essential to learn the biological roles of miRNAs, comprehensive rules for functional miRNA targeting are yet to be determined.

miRNAs interact with their target mRNAs through Watson-Crick base pairing (WCP) at their 5' ends (2, 11-15). Numerous empirical computational analyses have shown that perfect WCPs between the 2-7 nucleotide region at the 5' end of the miRNA and its complementary target site on the mRNA are crucial for miRNA targeting (11, 13, 16). This 6nt region of

miRNA is referred to as "seed", and an additional base pairing at the 8nt position of miRNA or the existence of adenine on the mRNA side corresponding to the 1st nucleotide position of miRNA further improves the miRNA targeting efficacy. Based on these findings, four canonical site types (CSTs) were determined and are indicated as 8mer, 7mer-m8, 7mer-A1, and 6mer, respectively (14, 17).

To measure the impact of CSTs on the whole transcriptome, microarrays were utilized to monitor changes in the transcriptome after ectopic introduction of miRNAs. Accordingly, the widespread impact of the CSTs on the transcriptome was observed as a large number of mRNA targets were directly downregulated (3, 14). Also, whole proteomic analyses and ribosome profiling showed that miRNAs downregulate gene expression mainly through mRNA destabilization rather than translational repression (4, 15, 18). Lewis *et al.* (15) conducted comparative genomic analyses and found that many target sites of the CSTs were conserved across the species (11). Friedman *et al.* (1) used an extended list of vertebrate genomes to show that more than 60% of mammalian genes are conserved targets of miRNAs (1).

In terms of the molecular details of targeting mechanisms, a structural analysis by Schirle *et al.* (19) elucidated the functional mechanism of the CSTs by proposing a mechanistic model for seed pairing. This model includes a pocket to recognize adenine on the mRNA side, which explains why the adenine residue affects the miRNA targeting efficacy (19). The theory of the molecular mechanism for miRNA target recognition was reinforced by a single-molecule study that utilized a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay on human AGO2 (20). The researchers used their results to propose a stepwise model for miRNA target recognition that consists of the initial binding of AGO2 to a target site with WCPs for the miRNA 2-4nt region, which is referred to as the sub-seed recognition motif, and a subsequent step of lateral diffusion for the formation of complete seed pairing.

The broad impact of miRNA targeting and the conservation of miRNA target sites strongly indicate that the CSTs of miRNAs may play biologically important roles. Nonetheless, the response of the transcriptome cannot be fully explained only by the CSTs (12), implying that additional functional site types may exist in addition to the four CSTs.

*Corresponding author. Tel: +82-2-880-4264; Fax: +82-2-887-0244; E-mail: baek@snu.ac.kr

<https://doi.org/10.5483/BMBRep.2017.50.11.179>

Received 6 September 2017

Keywords: Canonical site types, microRNA, miRNA targeting, miRNA, Noncanonical site types

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED NONCANONICAL SITE TYPES

Accumulated evidence from studies over the past decade have expanded the miRNA targeting rules and have led to the discovery of noncanonical site types (NSTs). Aside from CSTs, two NSTs were identified: centered site and offset 6mer (Table 1) (1, 21). Compared to CSTs, NSTs lack perfect WCPs between the seed site of miRNA and the target site of mRNAs and exhibit weaker but significant effects in downregulating target mRNAs.

Recently, a powerful biochemistry technique called AGO crosslinking immunoprecipitation and high-throughput sequencing (AGO CLIP-seq) was developed (22, 23). AGO CLIP-seq provides precise information of AGO protein binding regions on mRNAs. Using this technique, two additional NSTs were

identified: the pivot pairing and single mismatch site types (STs) (24, 25). Pivot pairing ST contains a guanine bulge on the mRNA target site of the seed pairing region, and the single nucleotide mismatch ST includes a single nucleotide mismatch or a wobble pairing on the seed region. The detection accuracy was improved using photoactivatable-ribonucleoside-enhanced CLIP (PAR-CLIP), which incorporates photoreactive nucleoside analogs to facilitate cross-linking (23, 26-29).

Even though AGO CLIP-seq can effectively identify functional NSTs, the technique suffers from a few limitations. First, since this method detects the association among AGO, miRNAs, and mRNAs, it can only provide indirect evidence for the interactions between individual miRNAs and mRNAs. Second, although binding of AGO to the target mRNA is a necessary condition for downregulation of the target mRNA, it is not sufficient for detectable downregulation (22, 24, 29, 30). For instance, a portion of AGOs have the ability to bind to the ORF as well as 3'UTR (22, 25, 27, 31-33). Those ORF-bound AGOs can be detected by AGO CLIP-seq, but most of the mRNAs with ORF-bound AGOs would not be downregulated since the ORF-bound AGOs are likely to get bumped out by translating ribosomes (14, 34, 35). Therefore, the miRNA targets detected by AGO CLIP-seq tend to include a high rate of false positives.

To overcome the first limitation, an advanced technique, CLASH (CrossLinking, ligAtion, and Sequencing of Hybrids), that aims to identify direct interactions between AGO-bound miRNAs and mRNAs was developed (36, 37). CLASH includes an additional step of ligation for a miRNA and its target mRNAs, and it is thus able to provide a more direct profile of miRNA-mRNA interactions. Additional NSTs were proposed to be functional by analyzing improved binding information, generated by CLASH, among AGO protein, mRNA, and miRNA (Table 1) (37, 38). However, even with CLASH, the second limitation still remains unsolved.

Based on the interactions between viral miRNAs and mRNA targets, several additional NSTs that contain an imperfect seed pairing and additional complementary WCPs were reported (Table 1) (39, 40). These results imply the prevalence and potential contribution of the NSTs in functional miRNA targeting and thus emphasize the need to expand the miRNA targeting rules beyond the previously-accepted CSTs. Nonetheless, whether these proposed NSTs truly serve as general rules for functional miRNA targeting remains uncertain because of the aforementioned limitations.

Table 1. Previously reported noncanonical site types

	miRNA	mRNA	Reference
Offset 6mer	3'-NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN-5'O00000Ø.....	Friedman <i>et al.</i>
Pivot pairing87654321.....O00000G.....	Chi <i>et al.</i>
Centered siteO0000000000000.....O00000000000.....	Shin <i>et al.</i>
Single mismatchO0W0000A.....OOX000A.....	Loeb <i>et al.</i>
OOX000A.....OOX000A.....	
OX000W.....OOX000A.....	
OO00XA.....OOX000A.....	
OO00XA.....OOX000A.....	
CLASH siteO00000000000000000000000.....O00000000000000000000000.....	Helwak <i>et al.</i>
Viral miRNA target site	OO0000000000000000XX00000	OO0000000000000000XX00000	Stern-Ginossar <i>et al.</i>
	OO0000000000000000XX00000	OO0000000000000000XX00000	
	OX00DX000000000000X0W000	OX00DX000000000000X0W000	Lin & Ganem

The residue 'O' indicates a Watson-Crick base pairing between miRNA and mRNA target and 'Ø' represents all the other interactions other than a Watson-Crick base pairing. 'W' and 'X' indicate wobble pairing and mismatch, respectively. For the mRNA region responding to the 1st nucleotide position of miRNA, 'A' is assigned if adenine is in that position. A bulge on mRNA side is depicted as a protrusion of a nucleotide on the mRNA strand, and a bulge on miRNA side is represented as the residue 'D'.

SYSTEMATIC EVALUATION OF PREVIOUSLY REPORTED NSTS

In contrast with the prior results that indicate the widespread importance and functional roles of NSTs, a recent study claimed that almost all previously-identified NSTs are in fact not functional (41). In this study, researchers re-examined the efficacies of previously-reported NSTs by observing transcriptome

changes after knocking out, knocking down, and ectopically expressing miRNAs. After careful and systematic evaluations of the transcriptome data, they concluded that even though NSTs were detected in AGO CLIP-seq studies, most of the NSTs except offset 6mer are non-functional and do not show any detectable downregulation of their target mRNAs (24, 36, 37), suggesting that these NSTs may be conditionally functional for a specific cell type or with specific miRNAs.

Although almost all previously-reported NSTs were found to be non-functional, one critical question still remains unexplored: are there any additional functional NSTs? Compared to the astronomical number of interactions that can possibly occur between miRNAs and mRNAs, previous studies have evaluated only a tiny fraction of possible STs (42). The limited scope of the examination could be the reason for past failures in detecting functional STs, calling for a systematic and exhaustive evaluation of all possible interactions between miRNAs and mRNAs based on direct evidence and the discovery of comprehensive rules for functional miRNA targeting.

COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION OF FUNCTIONAL SITE TYPES

Kim et al. (42) systematically determined all possible interactions that can occur between miRNAs and the target mRNAs to expand the number of evaluated STs and utilized large-scale microarray data that measured the transcriptome response when miRNAs are ectopically introduced to evaluate whether these interactions are functional (42). The authors statistically evaluated whether each of the > 2 billion STs is enriched in genes that are highly downregulated when miRNAs are overexpressed. Since the approach the authors adopted was to examine an astronomical number of STs based on direct evidence of actual transcriptome response to miRNA overexpression, their research is free from the limitations of studies based on AGO CLIP-seq.

Through a massive-scale bioinformatics search, the authors discovered three functional NSTs in addition to the CSTs. The newly discovered NSTs consist of previously-identified offset 6mer ST, a novel NST termed as offset 7mer, and another novel NST termed as 6mer-A1 (42). Offset 7mer contains an additional WCP compared to the offset 6mer ST and 6mer-A1 is similar to canonical 7mer-A1 ST with an exception that it is one nucleotide shorter. Kim et al. (42) observed that local contexts that are known to affect miRNA targeting, such as local AU content of the surrounding region of the target site, 3'UTR length of the target mRNA, the target site abundance, and the thermodynamic pairing stability between miRNA and mRNA (11, 14, 17, 43-48), also have significant impact on the proficiency of the three newly-discovered NSTs. The authors searched for additional STs whose target sites with good contexts exhibit detectable downregulation and identified four additional functional NSTs. They named these NSTs context-

dependent noncanonical site types (CDNSTs). When compared to CSTs, the seven newly-discovered NSTs and CDNSTs elicit weaker-but-still-significant target repression (42). Also, NSTs and CDNSTs have more target sites than CSTs, indicating they may exert considerable influence on the regulation of the transcriptome (Table 2).

The newly-discovered NSTs were thoroughly validated through various experiments and computational analyses. In the luciferase assay, an overall 70% of the target mRNAs of NSTs and CDNSTs exhibited significant repression, which confirms their functionality *in vivo* (42). Also, independent microarray data obtained from various human cell lines was evaluated by monitoring the transcriptome response against the overexpressed miRNA to further demonstrate that these NSTs and CDNSTs are generally functional. In addition, the biological significance of the NSTs and CDNSTs was validated by analyzing the miRNA knock-out and knock-down microarray data, which strongly indicates they effectively downregulate their target mRNAs in an endogenous environment.

The impact of the NSTs and CDNSTs on the transcriptome was assessed by estimating the overall amount of mRNA repression mediated by CSTs, NSTs, and CDNSTs. The analysis showed that even though the individual impact of NSTs and CDNSTs was relatively weak, when added together, the

Table 2. Comprehensive rules for functional microRNA targeting

	3'- NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN -5' miRNA 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 seed	
8merOOOOOOA	75
7mer-m8OOOOOO.	227
7mer-A1OOOOOA	185
6merOOOOO.	596
6mer-A1O00000A	512
Offset 7merOO00000.	145
Offset 6merOO0000.	445
CDNST 1O00000.	469
CDNST 2OO0000A	182
CDNST 3OO00000.	934
CDNST 4O00000A	825

of target sites per 10,000 randomly chosen 3'UTRs on average for a mature miRNA

An expanded view of functional miRNA targeting (modified from Fig. 3B of Kim et al.). The normalized numbers of targets for each site type are shown in right side and the representation of interactions follows notations described in Table 1.

overall impact of the NSTs and CDNSTs on the transcriptome was comparable to that of CSTs (42). Moreover, a comparative genomics analysis confirmed that the target sites in 10 out of 11 functional STs are evolutionarily conserved across the vertebrate genome (42). Therefore, novel NSTs and CDNSTs may have physiologically important functions, and the influence of NSTs and CDNSTs should be carefully considered when identifying miRNA targets.

In summary, a massive-scale computational search revealed seven novel functional noncanonical interactions that were validated by multiple lines of strong evidence, suggesting that these NSTs and CDNSTs may serve in important roles in the miRNA-mRNA regulatory network.

DISCUSSION

Since the discovery of miRNA, numerous scientists have attempted to understand miRNA in terms of its biogenesis, functions, and significance. In 2005, Lewis *et al.* discovered CSTs and verified that they are functional *in vivo* (11). This discovery was a scientific breakthrough because CSTs not only exert substantial influence on the whole transcriptome and proteome, but are also evolutionarily conserved, suggesting their biological significance (1, 3, 15). The accumulation of genome-wide data and the development of advanced technologies, such as AGO CLIP-seq and CLASH, have led to a discovery of additional NSTs involved in miRNA targeting (1, 21, 24, 25, 37, 39, 40). Although there are large numbers of previously reported NSTs, these NSTs are not fully accepted as a part of general miRNA targeting rules due to inconsistent results found in various studies (41). Therefore, a recent study made an attempt to systematically and comprehensively evaluate miRNA-target interactions by employing a massive-scale bioinformatics approach (42). In this study, seven potentially functional NSTs and CDNSTs were discovered. Validations via luciferase assays and analyses of independent data suggest that most of these NSTs and CDNSTs may be functional, and the evolutionary conservation and estimated regulatory effect on the transcriptome of NSTs and CDNSTs clearly indicate that expanded miRNA targeting rules could potentially play biologically relevant roles.

A deeper understanding of miRNA targeting rules raises important issues. One major issue is the lack of research on RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) that act as determinants of miRNA targeting and the mechanisms through which these RBPs regulate miRNA targeting proficiencies. Several unique cases were reported in which RBPs influence the proficiency of repression of miRNA target mRNAs (49, 50), but a comprehensive model depicting the interplay between RBPs and miRNA targeting remains to be evaluated. Another issue is the lack of complete understanding of the biological consequences of miRNA targeting on translational regulation. Guo *et al.* (2010) showed that miRNA-mediated gene silencing in a steady state is mainly mediated by mRNA destabilization and

that translational repression contributes little to the overall downregulation (18). However, in a transient state, the translational control appears to be a major mechanism of miRNA targeting (51), and even in the steady state, translational control may play more prominent roles for specific miRNAs (52-54). Hence, discovering miRNA targeting determinants associated with translational repression would provide valuable knowledge to understand miRNA targeting mechanisms more completely.

An expanded repertoire of functional miRNA targets implies that miRNA-target mRNA interactions and their regulatory networks are far more intricate than are currently understood. The comprehensive rules of miRNA targeting revealed in recent studies may lead to a deeper understanding of the complex gene-regulatory network controlled by miRNAs, reduction in the off-targeting effects when designing siRNA/shRNA libraries, and an improvement in the accuracy of miRNA target prediction algorithms.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Institute for Basic Science (Grant number IBS-R008-D1), and by the National Research Foundation, funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning, Republic of Korea (Grant numbers 2014M3C9A3063541 and 2012M3A9D1054622).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicting interests.

REFERENCES

1. Friedman RC, Farh KKH, Burge CB and Bartel DP (2009) Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Res* 19, 92-105
2. Bartel DP (2009) MicroRNAs: Target recognition and regulatory functions. *Cell* 136, 215-233
3. Lim LP, Lau NC, Garrett-Engle P et al (2005) Microarray analysis shows that some microRNAs downregulate large numbers of target mRNAs. *Nature* 433, 769-773
4. Selbach M, Schwanhaussner B, Thierfelder N, Fang Z, Khanin R and Rajewsky N (2008) Widespread changes in protein synthesis induced by microRNAs. *Nature* 455, 58-63
5. Kasinski AL and Slack FJ (2011) MicroRNAs en route to the clinic: progress in validating and targeting microRNAs for cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* 11, 849-864
6. Melo SA and Kalluri R (2013) miR-29b moulds the tumour microenvironment to repress metastasis. *Nat Cell Biol* 15, 139-140
7. Babar IA, Cheng CJ, Booth CJ et al (2012) Nanoparticle-based therapy in an *in vivo* microRNA-155 (miR-155)-dependent mouse model of lymphoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109, E1695-1704
8. Baltimore D, Boldin MP, O'Connell RM, Rao DS and

- Taganov KD (2008) MicroRNAs: new regulators of immune cell development and function. *Nat Immunol* 9, 839-845
9. Cheng CJ, Bahal R, Babar IA et al (2015) MicroRNA silencing for cancer therapy targeted to the tumour microenvironment. *Nature* 518, 107-110
 10. Costinean S, Zaneni N, Pekarsky Y et al (2006) Pre-B cell proliferation and lymphoblastic leukemia/high-grade lymphoma in E(mu)-miR155 transgenic mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103, 7024-7029
 11. Lewis BP, Burge CB and Bartel DP (2005) Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. *Cell* 120, 15-20
 12. Farh KK, Grimson A, Jan C et al (2005) The widespread impact of mammalian MicroRNAs on mRNA repression and evolution. *Science* 310, 1817-1821
 13. Krek A, Grun D, Poy MN et al (2005) Combinatorial microRNA target predictions. *Nat Genet* 37, 495-500
 14. Grimson A, Farh KKH, Johnston WK, Garrett-Engele P, Lim LP and Bartel DP (2007) MicroRNA targeting specificity in mammals: Determinants beyond seed pairing. *Mol Cell* 27, 91-105
 15. Baek D, Villen J, Shin C, Camargo FD, Gygi SP and Bartel DP (2008) The impact of microRNAs on protein output. *Nature* 455, 64-71
 16. Brennecke J, Stark A, Russell RB and Cohen SM (2005) Principles of microRNA-target recognition. *PLoS Biol* 3, e85
 17. Nielsen CB, Shomron N, Sandberg R, Hornstein E, Kitzman J and Burge CB (2007) Determinants of targeting by endogenous and exogenous microRNAs and siRNAs. *RNA* 13, 1894-1910
 18. Guo H, Ingolia NT, Weissman JS and Bartel DP (2010) Mammalian microRNAs predominantly act to decrease target mRNA levels. *Nature* 466, 835-840
 19. Schirle NT, Sheu-Gruttadauria J and MacRae IJ (2014) Gene regulation. Structural basis for microRNA targeting. *Science* 346, 608-613
 20. Chandradoss SD, Schirle NT, Szczepaniak M, MacRae IJ and Joo C (2015) A dynamic search process underlies microRNA targeting. *Cell* 162, 96-107
 21. Shin C, Nam JW, Farh KK, Chiang HR, Shkumatava A and Bartel DP (2010) Expanding the microRNA targeting code: functional sites with centered pairing. *Mol Cell* 38, 789-802
 22. Chi SW, Zang JB, Mele A and Darnell RB (2009) Argonaute HITS-CLIP decodes microRNA-mRNA interaction maps. *Nature* 460, 479-486
 23. Kishore S, Jaskiewicz L, Burger L, Hausser J, Khorshid M and Zavolan M (2011) A quantitative analysis of CLIP methods for identifying binding sites of RNA-binding proteins. *Nat Methods* 8, 559-564
 24. Chi SW, Hannon GJ and Darnell RB (2012) An alternative mode of microRNA target recognition. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 19, 321-327
 25. Loeb GB, Khan AA, Canner D et al (2012) Transcriptome-wide miR-155 binding map reveals widespread non-canonical microRNA targeting. *Mol Cell* 48, 760-770
 26. Hafner M, Landthaler M, Burger L et al (2010) PAR-CLIP—a method to identify transcriptome-wide the binding sites of RNA binding proteins. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE. J Vis Exp* e2034
 27. Hafner M, Landthaler M, Burger L et al (2010) Transcriptome-wide identification of RNA-binding protein and microRNA target sites by PAR-CLIP. *Cell* 141, 129-141
 28. Corcoran DL, Georgiev S, Mukherjee N et al (2011) PARalyzer: definition of RNA binding sites from PAR-CLIP short-read sequence data. *Genome Biol* 12, R79
 29. Majoros WH, Lekprasert P, Mukherjee N et al (2013) MicroRNA target site identification by integrating sequence and binding information. *Nat Methods* 10, 630-633
 30. Konig J, Zarnack K, Luscombe NM and Ule J (2011) Protein-RNA interactions: new genomic technologies and perspectives. *Nat Rev Genet* 13, 77-83
 31. Gottwein E, Corcoran DL, Mukherjee N et al (2011) Viral microRNA targetome of KSHV-infected primary effusion lymphoma cell lines. *Cell Host Microbe* 10, 515-526
 32. Leung AK, Young AG, Bhutkar A et al (2011) Genome-wide identification of Ago2 binding sites from mouse embryonic stem cells with and without mature microRNAs. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 18, 237-244
 33. Skalsky RL, Corcoran DL, Gottwein E et al (2012) The viral and cellular microRNA targetome in lymphoblastoid cell lines. *PLoS Pathog* 8, e1002484
 34. Bartel DP (2004) MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell* 116, 281-297
 35. Gu S, Jin L, Zhang FJ, Sarnow P and Kay MA (2009) Biological basis for restriction of microRNA targets to the 3' untranslated region in mammalian mRNAs. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 16, 144-150
 36. Kudla G, Granneman S, Hahn D, Beggs JD and Tollervey D (2011) Cross-linking, ligation, and sequencing of hybrids reveals RNA-RNA interactions in yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108, 10010-10015
 37. Helwak A, Kudla G, Dudnakova T and Tollervey D (2013) Mapping the human miRNA interactome by CLASH reveals frequent noncanonical binding. *Cell* 153, 654-665
 38. Khorshid M, Hausser J, Zavolan M and van Nimwegen E (2013) A biophysical miRNA-mRNA interaction model infers canonical and noncanonical targets. *Nat Methods* 10, 253-255
 39. Stern-Ginossar N, Elefant N, Zimmermann A et al (2007) Host immune system gene targeting by a viral miRNA. *Science* 317, 376-381
 40. Lin HR and Ganem D (2011) Viral microRNA target allows insight into the role of translation in governing microRNA target accessibility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108, 5148-5153
 41. Agarwal V, Bell GW, Nam JW and Bartel DP (2015) Predicting effective microRNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. *Elife* 4, e05005
 42. Kim D, Sung YM, Park J et al (2016) General rules for functional microRNA targeting. *Nat Genetics* 48, 1517-1526
 43. Ui-Tei K, Naito Y, Nishi K, Juni A and Saigo K (2008) Thermodynamic stability and Watson-Crick base pairing in the seed duplex are major determinants of the efficiency of the siRNA-based off-target effect. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36, 7100-7109
 44. Arvey A, Larsson E, Sander C, Leslie CS and Marks DS

- (2010) Target mRNA abundance dilutes microRNA and siRNA activity. *Mol Syst Biol* 6, 363
45. Garcia DM, Baek D, Shin C, Bell GW, Grimson A and Bartel DP (2011) Weak seed-pairing stability and high target-site abundance decrease the proficiency of *Isy-6* and other microRNAs. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 18, 1139-1146
 46. Didiano D and Hobert O (2006) Perfect seed pairing is not a generally reliable predictor for miRNA-target interactions. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 13, 849-851
 47. Xia T, SantaLucia J Jr, Burkard ME et al (1998) Thermodynamic parameters for an expanded nearest-neighbor model for formation of RNA duplexes with Watson-Crick base pairs. *Biochemistry* 37, 14719-14735
 48. Kim D, Kim J and Baek D (2014) Global and Local Competition between Exogenously Introduced microRNAs and Endogenously Expressed microRNAs. *Mol Cells* 37, 412-417
 49. van Kouwenhove M, Kedde M and Agami R (2011) MicroRNA regulation by RNA-binding proteins and its implications for cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 11, 644-656
 50. Triboulet R and Gregory RI (2010) *Pumilio* turns on microRNA function. *Nat Cell Biol* 12, 928-929
 51. Bazzini AA, Lee MT and Giraldez AJ (2012) Ribosome profiling shows that miR-430 reduces translation before causing mRNA decay in zebrafish. *Science* 336, 233-237
 52. Meijer HA, Kong YW, Lu WT et al (2013) Translational repression and eIF4A2 activity are critical for microRNA-mediated gene regulation. *Science* 340, 82-85
 53. Jangra RK, Yi M and Lemon SM (2010) Regulation of hepatitis C virus translation and infectious virus production by the microRNA miR-122. *J Virol* 84, 6615-6625
 54. Moretti F, Thermann R and Hentze MW (2010) Mechanism of translational regulation by miR-2 from sites in the 5' untranslated region or the open reading frame. *RNA* 16, 2493-2502