

HALF LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS OF AN INDEFINITE TRANS-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD WITH A QUARTER-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION

DAE HO JIN

ABSTRACT. Jin [10] studied lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a quarter-symmetric metric connection. We study further the geometry of this subject. The object of this paper is to study the geometry of half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a quarter-symmetric metric connection.

1. Introduction

A linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is said to be a *quarter-symmetric connection* if its torsion tensor \bar{T} satisfies

$$\bar{T}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})J\bar{Y}, \quad (1.1)$$

where J is a $(1, 1)$ -type tensor field and θ is a 1-form associated with a smooth vector field ζ by $\theta(X) = \bar{g}(X, \zeta)$. Moreover, if this connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is metric, *i.e.*, $\bar{\nabla}\bar{g} = 0$, then $\bar{\nabla}$ is called a *quarter-symmetric metric connection*. The notion of quarter-symmetric metric connection was introduced by Yano-Imai [14]. The geometry of lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifolds with a quarter-symmetric metric connection was studied by Jin [10]. Throughout this paper, denote by \bar{X} , \bar{Y} and \bar{Z} the smooth vector fields on \bar{M} .

Let M be a submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) of codimension 2 with the tangent bundle TM and the normal bundle TM^\perp . Denoted by $Rad(TM) = TM \cap TM^\perp$ the radical distribution. Then M is called

- (1) *half lightlike submanifold* if $rank\{Rad(TM)\} = 1$,
- (2) *coisotropic submanifold* if $rank\{Rad(TM)\} = 2$.

Half lightlike submanifold was introduced by Duggal-Bejancu [4] and later, studied by Duggal-Jin [5]. Its geometry is more general than that of lightlike hypersurface or coisotropic submanifold. Much of its theory will be immediately generalized in a formal way to general lightlike submanifolds.

Received January 20, 2017; Accepted September 19, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C25, 53C40, 53C50.

Key words and phrases. quarter-symmetric metric connection, half lightlike submanifold, indefinite trans-Sasakian structure.

The notion of trans-Sasakian manifold, of type (α, β) , was introduced by Oubina [13]. Sasakian, Kenmotsu and cosymplectic manifolds are important kinds of trans-Sasakian manifold such that

$$\alpha = 1, \beta = 0; \quad \alpha = 0, \beta = 1; \quad \alpha = \beta = 0,$$

respectively. We say that a trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} is an *indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold* if \bar{M} is a semi-Riemannian manifold.

In this paper, we study half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M} \equiv (\bar{M}, J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g})$ with a quarter-symmetric metric connection, in which the tensor field J and the 1-form θ , defined by (1.1), are identical with the structure tensor field J and the structure 1-form θ of the indefinite trans-Sasakian structure $(J, \theta, \zeta, \bar{g})$ on \bar{M} , respectively.

Remark 1. Denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection of \bar{M} with respect to the semi-Riemannian metric \bar{g} . Due to [9], it is known that a linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} is a quarter-symmetric metric connection if and only if $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfies

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{Y} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{Y} - \theta(\bar{X})J\bar{Y}. \tag{1.2}$$

2. Preliminaries

An odd-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is called an *indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold* if there exist a structure set $\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$, a Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and two smooth functions α and β , where J is a $(1, 1)$ -type tensor field, ζ is a vector field, and θ is a 1-form such that

$$\begin{aligned} J^2\bar{X} &= -\bar{X} + \theta(\bar{X})\zeta, & \theta(\zeta) &= 1, & \theta(\bar{X}) &= \epsilon\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \zeta), \\ \theta \circ J &= 0, & \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, J\bar{Y}) &= \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - \epsilon\theta(\bar{X})\theta(\bar{Y}), & & \\ (\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}J)\bar{Y} &= \alpha\{\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \epsilon\theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X}\} \\ &+ \beta\{\bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \epsilon\theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where ϵ denotes $\epsilon = 1$ or -1 according as ζ is spacelike or timelike, respectively. $\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$ is called an *indefinite trans-Sasakian structure of type (α, β)* .

In the entire discussion of this paper, we shall assume that the structure vector field ζ is a spacelike one, *i.e.*, $\epsilon = 1$, without loss of generality.

Replacing the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ by the quarter-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ given by (1.2), the last equation of (2.1) is reduced to

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}J)\bar{Y} = \alpha\{\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X}\} + \beta\{\bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X}\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Replacing Y by ζ to (2.2) and using $J\zeta = 0$ and $\theta(\bar{\nabla}_X\zeta) = 0$, we obtain

$$\bar{\nabla}_X\zeta = -\alpha JX + \beta(X - \theta(X)\zeta). \tag{2.3}$$

Let (M, g) be a half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} equipped with the radical distribution $Rad(TM)$, a screen distribution $S(TM)$ and a coscreen distribution $S(TM^\perp)$ such that

$$TM = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM), \quad TM^\perp = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^\perp).$$

Denote by $F(M)$ the algebra of smooth functions on M and by $\Gamma(E)$ the $F(M)$ module of smooth sections of a vector bundle E over M . Also denote by $(2.1)_i$ the i -th equation of the six equations in (2.1). We use the same notations for any others. Let ξ be a section of $Rad(TM)$. Assume that L is a unit spacelike basis vector field of $S(TM^\perp)$, without loss of generality. Consider the orthogonal complementary distribution $S(TM)^\perp$ to $S(TM)$ in $T\bar{M}$. Certainly ξ and L belong to $\Gamma(S(TM)^\perp)$. Thus we have

$$S(TM)^\perp = S(TM^\perp) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^\perp)^\perp,$$

where $S(TM^\perp)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complementary to $S(TM^\perp)$ in $S(TM)^\perp$. It is known [5] that, for any null section ξ of $Rad(TM)$, there exists a uniquely defined null vector field $N \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp)^\perp)$ satisfying

$$\bar{g}(\xi, N) = 1, \bar{g}(N, N) = \bar{g}(N, X) = \bar{g}(N, L) = 0, \forall X \in \Gamma(S(TM)).$$

Denote by $ltr(TM)$ the vector subbundle of $S(TM^\perp)^\perp$ locally spanned by N . Then we show that $S(TM^\perp)^\perp = Rad(TM) \oplus ltr(TM)$. We call N , $ltr(TM)$ and $tr(TM) = S(TM^\perp) \oplus_{orth} ltr(TM)$ the *null transversal vector field*, *lightlike transversal vector bundle* and *transversal vector bundle* of M with respect to the screen distribution $S(TM)$, respectively.

Denote by X, Y and Z the vector fields on M , unless otherwise specified. As the tangent bundle $T\bar{M}$ of the ambient manifold \bar{M} is satisfied

$$T\bar{M} = TM \oplus tr(TM) = TM \oplus ltr(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^\perp),$$

the Gauss and Weingarten formulae of M are given respectively by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)N + D(X, Y)L, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \tau(X)N + \rho(X)L, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X L = -A_L X + \lambda(X)N, \tag{2.6}$$

where ∇ is the linear connection on M , B and D are the local second fundamental forms of M , A_N and A_L are the shape operators, and τ, ρ and λ are 1-forms on TM . Let P be the projection morphism of TM on $S(TM)$ and η a 1-form such that $\eta(X) = \bar{g}(X, N)$. As $TM = S(TM) \oplus_{orth} Rad(TM)$, the Gauss and Weingarten formulae of $S(TM)$ are given respectively by

$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + C(X, PY)\xi, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi^* X - \tau(X)\xi, \tag{2.8}$$

where ∇^* is the linear connection on $S(TM)$, C is the local screen second fundamental form of $S(TM)$, A_ξ^* is the shape operator.

From the facts that $B(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \xi)$ and $D(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, L)$, we show that B and D are independent of the choice of $S(TM)$ and satisfy

$$B(X, \xi) = 0, \quad D(X, \xi) = -\lambda(X). \tag{2.9}$$

The local second fundamental forms are related to their shape operators by

$$B(X, Y) = g(A_\xi^* X, Y), \quad \bar{g}(A_\xi^* X, N) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$C(X, PY) = g(A_N X, PY), \quad \bar{g}(A_N X, N) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$D(X, Y) = g(A_L X, Y) - \lambda(X)\eta(Y), \quad \bar{g}(A_L X, N) = \rho(X). \quad (2.12)$$

3. Structure equations on M

Călin [2] proved that if ζ is tangent to M , then it belongs to $S(TM)$ which we assume. It is known [7] that, for any half lightlike submanifold M of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} , $J(Rad(TM))$, $J(ltr(TM))$ and $J(S(TM^\perp))$ are vector subbundles of $S(TM)$, of rank 1. There exist two non-degenerate almost complex distributions H_o and H with respect to J such that

$$S(TM) = \{J(Rad(TM)) \oplus J(ltr(TM))\} \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^\perp)) \oplus_{orth} H_o, \\ H = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} J(Rad(TM)) \oplus_{orth} H_o.$$

In this case, the tangent bundle TM is decomposed as follow:

$$TM = H \oplus J(ltr(TM)) \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^\perp)). \quad (3.1)$$

Consider two local null vector fields U and V , a local unit spacelike vector field W on $S(TM)$, and their 1-forms u, v and w defined by

$$U = -JN, \quad V = -J\xi, \quad W = -JL, \quad (3.2)$$

$$u(X) = g(X, V), \quad v(X) = g(X, U), \quad w(X) = g(X, W). \quad (3.3)$$

Let S be the projection morphism of TM on H and F the tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ globally defined on M by $F = J \circ S$. Then JX is expressed as

$$JX = FX + u(X)N + w(X)L. \quad (3.4)$$

Applying J to (3.4) and using (2.1) and (3.2), we have

$$F^2 X = -X + u(X)U + w(X)W + \theta(X)\zeta. \quad (3.5)$$

In the following, we say that F is the *structure tensor field* of M .

Substituting (3.4) into (2.3) and using (2.4), we see that

$$\nabla_X \zeta = -\alpha FX + \beta(X - \theta(X)\zeta), \quad (3.6)$$

$$B(X, \zeta) = -\alpha u(X), \quad D(X, \zeta) = -\alpha w(X). \quad (3.7)$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\bar{g}(\zeta, N) = 0$ and using (2.3), (2.5) and (2.11), we have

$$C(X, \zeta) = -\alpha v(X) + \beta\eta(X). \quad (3.8)$$

Substituting (2.4) and (3.4) into (1.1) and then, comparing the tangent, lightlike transversal and co-screen components, we obtain

$$T(X, Y) = \theta(Y)FX - \theta(X)FY, \quad (3.9)$$

$$B(X, Y) - B(Y, X) = \theta(Y)u(X) - \theta(X)u(Y), \quad (3.10)$$

$$D(X, Y) - D(Y, X) = \theta(Y)w(X) - \theta(X)w(Y), \quad (3.11)$$

where T is the torsion tensor with respect to ∇ . From (3.10) and (3.11), we see that B and D are never symmetric. Replacing Y by ξ to (2.10) and using (2.9)₁, (3.10) and the fact that $S(TM)$ is non-degenerate, we obtain

$$A_\xi^* \xi = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to (3.2) \sim (3.4) by turns and using (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), (2.9) \sim (2.10), (2.12) and (3.2) \sim (3.4), we have

$$B(X, U) = C(X, V), \quad B(X, W) = D(X, V), \quad C(X, W) = D(X, U), \tag{3.13}$$

$$\nabla_X U = F(A_N X) + \tau(X)U + \rho(X)W - \{\alpha\eta(X) + \beta v(X)\}\zeta, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\nabla_X V = F(A_\xi^* X) - \tau(X)V - \lambda(X)W - \beta u(X)\zeta, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\nabla_X W = F(A_L X) + \lambda(X)U - \beta w(X)\zeta, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X F)(Y) &= u(Y)A_N X + w(Y)A_L X - B(X, Y)U - D(X, Y)W \\ &\quad + \alpha\{g(X, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)X\} + \beta\{\bar{g}(JX, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)FX\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

$$(\nabla_X u)(Y) = -u(Y)\tau(X) - w(Y)\lambda(X) - \beta\theta(Y)u(X) - B(X, FY), \tag{3.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X v)(Y) &= v(Y)\tau(X) + w(Y)\rho(X) - \theta(Y)\{\alpha\eta(X) + \beta v(X)\} \\ &\quad - g(A_N X, FY). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

4. Recurrent and Lie recurrent structure tensors

Definition 1. The structure tensor field F of M is said to be *recurrent* [8] if there exists a smooth 1-form ϖ on M such that

$$(\nabla_X F)Y = \varpi(X)FY.$$

Definition 2. A half lightlike submanifold M of a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is said to be *statical* [6] if $\bar{\nabla}_X L \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Remark 2. From (2.6) and (2.12)₂, we show that Definition 2 is equivalent to the conditions: $\lambda = 0$ and $\rho = 0$. The condition $\lambda = 0$ is equivalent to the conception: M is *irrotational*, i.e., $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ [12]. The condition $\rho = 0$ is equivalent to the conception: M is *solenoidal*, i.e., $A_L X \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ [11].

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a quarter-symmetric metric connection. If F is recurrent, then the following six statements are satisfied:*

- (1) F is parallel with respect to the induced connection ∇ on M ,
- (2) \bar{M} is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold, i.e., $\alpha = \beta = 0$,
- (3) M is statical, i.e., $\lambda = 0$ and $\rho = 0$,
- (4) W is parallel vector field with respect to the connection ∇ ,
- (5) H , $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and $J(S(TM^\perp))$ are parallel distributions on M ,
- (6) M is locally a product manifold $C_U \times C_W \times M^\sharp$, where C_U is a null curve tangent to $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$, C_W is a spacelike curve tangent to $J(S(TM^\perp))$, and M^\sharp is a leaf of the distributions H .

Proof. Denote by μ, ν and σ the 1-forms on M such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(X) &= B(X, U) = C(X, V), & \sigma(X) &= D(X, W), \\ \nu(X) &= B(X, W) = D(X, V). \end{aligned}$$

(1) As F is recurrent, from the above definition and (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi(X)FY &= u(Y)A_N X + w(Y)A_L X - B(X, Y)U - D(X, Y)W \\ &+ \alpha\{g(X, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)X\} + \beta\{\bar{g}(JX, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)FX\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Replacing Y by ξ and using (2.9) and the fact that $F\xi = -V$, we get

$$-\varpi(X)V = \lambda(X)W + \beta u(X)\zeta. \tag{4.2}$$

Taking the scalar product with U to (4.2), we obtain $\varpi = 0$. Thus F is parallel with respect to the connection ∇ .

(2) Taking the scalar product with ζ to (4.2), we get $\beta = 0$. Taking the scalar product with U to (4.1) satisfying $\varpi = \beta = 0$, we get

$$u(Y)g(A_N X, U) + w(Y)g(A_L X, U) - \alpha\theta(Y)v(X) = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Replacing Y by ζ to this equation, we have $\alpha = 0$. As $\alpha = \beta = 0$, \bar{M} is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold.

(3) Taking the scalar product with W to (4.2) and with N to (4.1), we have

$$\lambda(X) = 0, \quad \rho(X) = \bar{g}(A_L X, N) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

As $\lambda = 0$, M is irrotational. As $\rho = 0$, M is solenoidal. Thus M is statical.

(4) Taking $Y = U$ and $Y = W$ to (4.3) by turns, we have

$$g(A_N X, U) = C(X, U) = 0, \quad g(A_L X, U) = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Taking the scalar product with V and W to (4.1) by turns, we have

$$B(X, Y) = u(Y)\mu(X) + w(Y)\nu(X), \quad D(X, Y) = w(Y)\sigma(X), \tag{4.6}$$

due to (4.5)₂. Replacing Y by V to the two equations of (4.6), we have

$$B(X, V) = 0, \quad \nu(X) = B(X, W) = D(X, V) = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Taking $Y = U$ and $Y = W$ to (4.1) and using (4.5)₂ and (4.7)₂, we get

$$A_N X = \mu(X)U, \quad A_L X = \sigma(X)W. \tag{4.8}$$

Using (4.7)₂ and the fact that $S(TM)$ is non-degenerate, (4.6)₁ reduces

$$A_\xi^* X = \mu(X)V. \tag{4.9}$$

Substituting (4.8)₁ into (3.14) and (4.8)₂ into (3.16), and using the facts that $\lambda = \rho = \alpha = \beta = 0$ and $FU = FW = 0$, we have

$$\nabla_X U = \tau(X)U, \quad \nabla_X W = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.10)₂, we see that W is parallel vector field with respect to ∇ .

(5) From (4.10), we see that both $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and $J(S(TM^\perp))$ are parallel distributions on M with respect to the connection ∇ , that is,

$$\nabla_X U \in \Gamma(J(\text{ltr}(TM))), \quad \nabla_X W \in \Gamma(J(S(TM^\perp))).$$

On the other hand, taking $Y \in \Gamma(H)$ to (4.1), we have

$$B(X, Y) = 0, \quad D(X, Y) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad \forall Y \in \Gamma(H). \quad (4.11)$$

By straightforward calculations from (2.8), (2.10), (3.4), (3.15), (3.16), (4.7), (4.11) and the facts that $\lambda = 0$ and $FZ \in \Gamma(H_o)$ for $Z \in \Gamma(H_o)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X \xi, V) &= -B(X, V) = 0, & g(\nabla_X \xi, W) &= -\nu(X) = 0, \\ g(\nabla_X V, V) &= 0, & g(\nabla_X V, W) &= -\lambda(X) = 0, \\ g(\nabla_X Z, V) &= B(X, FZ) = 0, & g(\nabla_X Z, W) &= D(X, FZ) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(H_o)$, or equivalently, we get

$$\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(H), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad \forall Y \in \Gamma(H).$$

Thus H is a parallel distribution on M with respect to ∇ .

(6) As $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$, $J(S(TM^\perp))$ and H are parallel distributions and satisfied (3.1), by the decomposition theorem of de Rham [3], M is locally a product manifold $\mathcal{C}_U \times \mathcal{C}_W \times M^\sharp$, where \mathcal{C}_U is a null curve tangent to $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$, \mathcal{C}_W is a spacelike curve tangent to $J(S(TM^\perp))$, and M^\sharp is a leaf of H . \square

Definition 3. The structure tensor field F of M is said to be *Lie recurrent* [8] if there exists a smooth 1-form ϑ on M such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_X F)Y = \vartheta(X)FY,$$

where \mathcal{L}_X denotes the Lie derivative on M with respect to X . The structure tensor field F is called *Lie parallel* if $\mathcal{L}_X F = 0$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a quarter-symmetric metric connection. If F is Lie recurrent, then the following four statements are satisfied:*

- (1) F is Lie parallel,
- (2) $\alpha = 0$, i.e., \bar{M} is not an indefinite Sasakian manifold,
- (3) the 1-forms θ and τ satisfy $d\theta = 0$ and $\tau = -\beta\theta$ on M ,
- (4) the shape operator A_ξ^* satisfies

$$A_\xi^*V = 0, \quad A_\xi^*U = 0.$$

Proof. (1) As $(\mathcal{L}_X F)Y = [X, FY] - F[X, Y]$, using (3.9) and (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(X)FY &= -\nabla_{FY}X + F\nabla_YX - \theta(Y)\{X - \theta(X)\zeta\} \\ &+ u(Y)A_NX + w(Y)A_LX \\ &- \{B(X, Y) - \theta(Y)u(X)\}U - \{D(X, Y) - \theta(Y)w(X)\}W \\ &+ \alpha\{g(X, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)X\} + \beta\{\bar{g}(JX, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)FX\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

by (3.5). Taking $Y = \xi$ to this equation and using (2.9), we have

$$-\vartheta(X)V = \nabla_V X + F\nabla_\xi X + \lambda(X)W + \beta u(X)\zeta. \quad (4.13)$$

Taking the scalar product with V , W and ζ to (4.13) by turns, we have

$$u(\nabla_V X) = 0, \quad w(\nabla_V X) = -\lambda(X), \quad \theta(\nabla_V X) = -\beta u(X). \quad (4.14)$$

Replacing Y by V to (4.12) and using the fact that $\theta(V) = 0$, we have

$$\vartheta(X)\xi = -\nabla_\xi X + F\nabla_V X - B(X, V)U - D(X, V)W + \alpha u(X)\zeta. \quad (4.15)$$

Applying F to this equation and using (3.5) and (4.14), we obtain

$$\vartheta(X)V = \nabla_V X + F\nabla_\xi X + \lambda(X)W + \beta u(X)\zeta.$$

Comparing this equation with (4.13), we get $\vartheta = 0$. Thus F is Lie parallel.

(2) Taking the scalar product with ζ to (4.15) with $\vartheta = 0$, we have

$$g(\nabla_\xi X, \zeta) = \alpha u(X).$$

Replacing X by U to this equation and using (3.14), we obtain $\alpha = 0$.

(3) Applying $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}$ to $\theta(\bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \zeta)$ and using (1.1) and (2.3), we obtain

$$d\theta(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \alpha \bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Y}),$$

due to the fact $\bar{\nabla}$ is metric. As $\alpha = 0$, we see that $d\theta = 0$.

Taking $X = W$ to (4.12) and using (2.12), (3.5), (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(Y)A_N W + w(Y)A_L W - A_L Y - F(A_L F Y) \\ - \lambda(F Y)U - \theta(Y)W = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Taking the scalar product with N and using (2.11)₂ and (2.12)_{1,2}, we have

$$D(FY, U) = w(Y)\rho(W) - \rho(Y). \quad (4.17)$$

Replacing Y by V and using (2.9)₂, we get $\rho(V) = \lambda(U)$, while taking $X = U$ to (4.14)₂ and using (3.14), we have $\rho(V) = -\lambda(U)$. Thus, $\rho(V) = \lambda(U) = 0$. Taking $Y = \xi$ to (4.16), we have $A_L \xi = F(A_L V) + \lambda(V)U$. Multiplying this by V and using (2.9), (2.12) and (3.11), we get $\lambda(V) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\rho(V) = 0, \quad \lambda(U) = 0, \quad \lambda(V) = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

Taking the scalar product with N to (4.12) and using (2.12)₂, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{g}(\nabla_{FY} X, N) + g(\nabla_Y X, U) + w(Y)\rho(X) \\ - \theta(Y)\{\eta(X) + \beta v(X)\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Replacing X by ξ to (4.19) and using (2.8) and (2.10)_{1,2}, we have

$$B(X, U) + \theta(X) - w(X)\rho(\xi) = \tau(FX). \quad (4.20)$$

Replacing X by U and using (3.13)₁ and the fact that $FU = 0$, we get

$$C(U, V) = B(U, U) = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

Replacing X by V to (4.19) and using (2.10), (3.15) and $\rho(V) = 0$, we have

$$B(FX, U) + \tau(X) + \beta\theta(X) = 0.$$

Taking $X = U$, $X = W$ and $X = \zeta$ to this equation by turns, we get

$$\tau(U) = 0, \quad \tau(W) = 0, \quad \tau(\zeta) = -\beta. \tag{4.22}$$

Replacing Y by ξ to (4.17) and using (3.11), we obtain

$$D(U, V) = \rho(\xi). \tag{4.23}$$

Taking $X = U$ to (4.12) and using (2.11), (3.5) and (3.10) \sim (3.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(Y)A_N U + w(Y)A_L U - \theta(Y)U \\ - F(A_N FY) - A_N Y - \tau(FY)U - \rho(FY)W = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Taking the scalar product with V and using (3.13), (4.21) and (4.23), we get

$$B(X, U) + \theta(X) - w(X)\rho(\xi) = -\tau(FX).$$

Comparing this equation with (4.20), we obtain $\tau(FX) = 0$. Replacing X by FY and using (3.5) and (4.22), we have $\tau = -\beta\theta$ on M .

(4) Replacing Y by W to (4.24) and using $FW = 0$, we have $A_L U = A_N W$. Taking the scalar product with U and using (3.13)₃, we have

$$C(W, U) = C(U, W).$$

Taking the scalar product with W to (4.24), we have

$$\rho(FY) = -C(Y, W) + u(Y)C(U, W) + w(Y)D(U, W).$$

Taking the scalar product with U to (4.16) and using (3.13)₃, we have

$$\rho(FY) = C(Y, W) - u(Y)C(U, W) - w(Y)D(U, W).$$

From the last two equations, we obtain $\rho(FY) = 0$. It follows that $\rho(\xi) = 0$.

As $\tau(X) = \beta\theta(X)$, we have $\tau(V) = \tau(\xi) = 0$. Taking $X = \xi$ to (4.13) and using (3.12), we obtain $A_\xi^* V = 0$. From (3.10) and (4.20), we have $B(U, X) = 0$, i.e., $g(A_\xi^* U, X) = 0$. As $S(TM)$ is non-degenerate, we obtain $A_\xi^* U = 0$. \square

5. Indefinite generalized Sasakian space forms

Definition 4. An indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g})$ is called an *indefinite generalized Sasakian space form*, denote it by $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$, if there exist three smooth functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 on \bar{M} such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} &= f_1\{\bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{Y}\} \\ &+ f_2\{\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Z})J\bar{Y} - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, J\bar{Z})J\bar{X} + 2\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Y})J\bar{Z}\} \\ &+ f_3\{\theta(\bar{X})\theta(\bar{Z})\bar{Y} - \theta(\bar{Y})\theta(\bar{Z})\bar{X} \\ &+ \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\theta(\bar{Y})\zeta - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\theta(\bar{X})\zeta\}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where \tilde{R} is the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} .

Remark 3. The notion of generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ was introduced by Alegre *et. al.* [1]. Indefinite Sasakian, Kenmotsu and cosymplectic space forms are important kinds of generalized Sasakian space forms such that

$f_1 = \frac{c+3}{4}, f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c-1}{4}; \quad f_1 = \frac{c-3}{4}, f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c+1}{4}; \quad f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c}{4}$
 respectively, where c is a constant J-sectional curvature of each space forms.

Let \bar{R} be the curvature tensor of the quarter-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} . By directed calculations from (1.1) and (1.2), we see that

$$\bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} = \tilde{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} - \{(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(Y) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(X)\}JZ. \quad (5.2)$$

Denote by R and R^* the curvature tensors of the induced connections ∇ and ∇^* on M and $S(TM)$ respectively. Using the local Gauss-Weingarten formulae, we have the Gauss-Codazzi equations for M and $S(TM)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + B(X, Z)A_N Y - B(Y, Z)A_N X \\ &\quad + D(X, Z)A_L Y - D(Y, Z)A_L X \\ &\quad + \{(\nabla_X B)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z) \\ &\quad + \tau(X)B(Y, Z) - \tau(Y)B(X, Z) \\ &\quad + \lambda(X)D(Y, Z) - \lambda(Y)D(X, Z) \\ &\quad - \theta(X)B(FY, Z) + \theta(Y)B(FX, Z)\}N, \\ &\quad + \{(\nabla_X D)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y D)(X, Z) \\ &\quad + \rho(X)B(Y, Z) - \rho(Y)B(X, Z) \\ &\quad - \theta(X)D(FY, Z) + \theta(Y)D(FX, Z)\}L, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)PZ &= R^*(X, Y)PZ + C(X, PZ)A_\xi^* Y - C(Y, PZ)A_\xi^* X \\ &\quad + \{(\nabla_X C)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y C)(X, PZ) \\ &\quad - \tau(X)C(Y, PZ) + \tau(Y)C(X, PZ) \\ &\quad - \theta(X)C(FY, PZ) + \theta(Y)C(FX, PZ)\}\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\xi &= -\nabla_X^*(A_\xi^* Y) + \nabla_Y^*(A_\xi^* X) + A_\xi^*[X, Y] \\ &\quad - \tau(X)A_\xi^* Y + \tau(Y)A_\xi^* X \\ &\quad + \{C(Y, A_\xi^* X) - C(X, A_\xi^* Y) - 2d\tau(X, Y)\}\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Comparing the tangential and lightlike transversal components of two equations of (5.3) and (5.2) and using (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= f_1\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ &\quad + f_2\{\bar{g}(X, JZ)FY - \bar{g}(Y, JZ)FX + 2\bar{g}(X, JY)FZ\} \\ &\quad + f_3\{[\theta(X)Y - \theta(Y)X]\theta(Z) + [g(X, Z)\theta(Y) - g(Y, Z)\theta(X)]\zeta\} \\ &\quad - \{(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(Y) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(X)\}FZ \\ &\quad + B(Y, Z)A_N X - B(X, Z)A_N Y + D(Y, Z)A_L X - D(X, Z)A_L Y, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\nabla_X B)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z) + \tau(X)B(Y, Z) - \tau(Y)B(X, Z) \quad (5.7) \\
 & + \lambda(X)D(Y, Z) - \lambda(Y)D(X, Z) - \theta(X)B(FY, Z) + \theta(Y)B(FX, Z) \\
 & + \{(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(Y) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(X)\}u(Z) \\
 & = f_2\{u(Y)\bar{g}(X, JZ) - u(X)\bar{g}(Y, JZ) + 2u(Z)\bar{g}(X, JY)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with N to (5.3) and then, substituting (5.4) and (5.2) into the left and right terms and using (2.12)₄, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\nabla_X C)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y C)(X, PZ) - \tau(X)C(Y, PZ) \quad (5.8) \\
 & + \tau(Y)C(X, PZ) - \rho(X)D(Y, PZ) + \rho(Y)D(X, PZ) \\
 & - \theta(X)C(FY, PZ) + \theta(Y)C(FX, PZ) \\
 & + \{(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(Y) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(X)\}v(PZ) \\
 & = f_1\{g(Y, PZ)\eta(X) - g(X, PZ)\eta(Y)\} \\
 & + f_2\{v(Y)\bar{g}(X, JPZ) - v(X)\bar{g}(Y, JPZ) + 2v(PZ)\bar{g}(X, JY)\} \\
 & + f_3\{\theta(X)\eta(Y) - \theta(Y)\eta(X)\}\theta(PZ).
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a quarter-symmetric metric connection. Then α, β, f_1, f_2 and f_3 are satisfied $\beta = 0, \alpha$ is a constant on M and*

$$f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2, \quad f_1 - f_3 = \alpha(\alpha + 1).$$

Proof. Applying ∇_Y to (3.13)₁: $B(X, U) = C(X, V)$ and using (2.1), (2.10)_{1, 2}, (2.11)_{1, 2}, (3.4), (3.7)₁, (3.8), (3.14) and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\nabla_X B)(Y, U) \\
 & = (\nabla_X C)(Y, V) - 2\tau(X)C(Y, V) - \lambda(X)C(Y, W) - \rho(X)B(Y, W) \\
 & - \alpha^2 u(Y)\eta(X) - \beta^2 u(X)\eta(Y) + \alpha\beta\{u(X)v(Y) - u(Y)v(X)\} \\
 & - g(A_\xi^* X, F(A_N Y)) - g(A_\xi^* Y, F(A_N X)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this equation into (5.7) with $Z = U$ and using (3.13)_{2, 3}, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\nabla_X C)(Y, V) - (\nabla_Y C)(X, V) - \tau(X)C(Y, V) \\
 & + \tau(Y)C(X, V) - \rho(X)D(Y, V) + \rho(Y)D(X, V) \\
 & - \theta(X)C(FY, V) + \theta(Y)C(FX, V) \\
 & + (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(Y) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(X) \\
 & + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\{u(X)\eta(Y) - u(Y)\eta(X)\} \\
 & + 2\alpha\beta\{u(X)v(Y) - u(Y)v(X)\} \\
 & = f_2\{u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y) + 2\bar{g}(X, JY)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this equation with (5.8) such that $PZ = V$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{f_1 - f_2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2\}[u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y)] \\
 & = 2\alpha\beta\{u(Y)v(X) - u(X)v(Y)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = \xi, Y = U$ and $X = V, Y = U$ to this equation by turns, we get

$$f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2, \quad \alpha\beta = 0.$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\eta(Y) = \bar{g}(Y, N)$ and using (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = -g(A_N X, Y) + \tau(X)\eta(Y).$$

Applying ∇_Y to (3.8) and using (2.11), (3.6), (3.8), (3.19) and $\alpha\beta = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X C)(Y, \zeta) &= -(X\alpha)v(Y) + (X\beta)\eta(Y) + \alpha^2\theta(Y)\eta(X) + \beta^2\theta(X)\eta(Y) \\ &\quad + \alpha\{g(A_N X, FY) + g(A_N Y, FX) - v(Y)\tau(X) - w(Y)\rho(X)\} \\ &\quad - \beta\{g(A_N X, Y) + g(A_N Y, X) - \tau(X)\eta(Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this equation and (3.8) into (5.8) such that $PZ = \zeta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\{X\beta + [f_1 - f_3 - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \alpha]\theta(X)\}\eta(Y) \\ &\quad - \{Y\beta + [f_1 - f_3 - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \alpha]\theta(Y)\}\eta(X) \\ &= \{X\alpha + \beta\theta(X)\}v(Y) - \{Y\alpha + \beta\theta(Y)\}v(X). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = \zeta, Y = \xi$ and $X = U, Y = V$ to this by turns, we obtain

$$f_1 - f_3 = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) + \alpha - \zeta\beta, \quad U\alpha = 0.$$

Applying ∇_Y to (3.7)₁ and using (3.6) and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X B)(Y, \zeta) &= -(X\alpha)u(Y) - \beta B(Y, X) \\ &\quad + \alpha\{u(Y)\tau(X) + w(Y)\lambda(X) + B(X, FY) + B(Y, FX)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (5.7) such that $Z = \zeta$ and using (3.7) and (3.10), we get

$$\{X\alpha + \beta\theta(X)\}u(Y) = \{Y\alpha + \beta\theta(X)\}u(X).$$

Taking $Y = U$ and using the fact that $U\alpha = 0$, we have $X\alpha + \beta\theta(X) = 0$.

Assume that $\beta \neq 0$. Then $X\alpha \neq 0$ due to $X\alpha = -\beta\theta(X)$. Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\alpha\beta = 0$ and using the fact that $X\alpha = -\beta\theta(X)$, we obtain

$$\alpha X\beta = \beta^2\theta(X).$$

Multiplying β to this result, we get $\beta = 0$. It is a contradiction to $\beta \neq 0$. Thus $\beta = 0$. Therefore, α is a constant, $f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2$ and $f_1 - f_3 = \alpha(\alpha + 1)$. \square

Definition 5. (1) A screen distribution $S(TM)$ is called *totally umbilical* [5] in M if there exists smooth function γ such that $A_N = \gamma P$, or equivalently,

$$C(X, PY) = \gamma g(X, Y).$$

In case $\gamma = 0$, we say that $S(TM)$ is *totally geodesic* in M .

(2) A lightlike submanifold M is called *screen conformal* [6] if there exists non-vanishing smooth function φ on \mathcal{U} such that $A_N = \varphi A_\xi^*$, or equivalently,

$$C(X, PY) = \varphi B(X, PY). \tag{5.9}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a quarter-symmetric metric connection. If one of the following four statements*

- (1) F is recurrent,
- (2) F is Lie recurrent,
- (3) $S(TM)$ is totally umbilical,
- (4) M is screen conformal,

is satisfied, then $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is a flat manifold with an indefinite cosymplectic structure. In case (1), M is also flat. In case (3), $S(TM)$ is totally geodesic.

Proof. (1) By Theorem 4.1, we get (4.8), (4.10) and the results: $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $\lambda = \rho = 0$. Since $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we have $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1.

Taking the scalar product with U to (4.8)_{1,2}, we get

$$C(X, U) = 0, \quad D(X, U) = 0.$$

Applying ∇_X to $C(Y, U) = 0$ and using (4.10)₁, we obtain

$$(\nabla_X C)(Y, U) = 0.$$

Substituting the last equations into (5.8) with $PZ = U$, we have

$$(f_1 + f_2)\{v(Y)\eta(X) - v(X)\eta(Y)\} = 0.$$

Taking $X = V$ and $Y = \xi$ to this result, we obtain $f_1 + f_2 = 0$. Therefore, we see that $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$. Thus $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is flat.

As $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$, (5.6) is reduced to

$$R(X, Y)Z = B(Y, Z)A_N X - B(X, Z)A_N Y + D(Y, Z)A_L X - D(X, Z)A_L Y.$$

Using this, (2.10), (2.12), (4.8), (4.9) and the fact that $\lambda = 0$, we obtain

$$R(X, Y)Z = \{\mu(Y)\mu(X) - \mu(X)\mu(Y)\}u(Z)W + \{\sigma(Y)\sigma(X) - \sigma(X)\sigma(Y)\}w(Z)W = 0,$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. Therefore $R = 0$ and M is also flat.

(2) By Theorem 4.2 and 5.1, we get $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$. Thus \bar{M} is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold. Since $\alpha = 0$, we have $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1. Also, since $\beta = 0$, by (3) of Theorem 4.2, we see that $\tau = 0$. Taking the scalar product with N to (5.6) with $Z = \xi$ and then, comparing this result with the radical component of (5.5) and using (2.9) and (2.12), we have

$$C(Y, A_\xi^* X) - C(X, A_\xi^* Y) = f_2\{u(Y)v(X) - u(X)v(Y)\} + \lambda(X)\rho(Y) - \lambda(Y)\rho(X).$$

Taking $X = U$ and $Y = V$ to this and using (4.18) and the result (4) in Theorem 4.2, we get $f_2 = 0$. Thus $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$ and $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is flat.

(3) Assume that $S(TM)$ is totally umbilical. Then (3.8) is reduced to $\gamma\theta(X) = -\alpha v(X) + \beta\eta(X)$. Replacing X by V, ξ and ζ to this equation by turns, we have $\alpha = 0, \beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 0$ respectively. Since $\alpha = \beta = 0, \bar{M}$ is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold. As $\gamma = 0, S(TM)$ is totally geodesic.

As $\alpha = 0, f_1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1. Taking $PZ = U$ to (5.8) with $C = 0$ and using the facts that $D(X, Uk) = C(X, W) = 0$, we get

$$(f_1 + f_2)\{v(Y)\eta(X) - v(X)\eta(Y)\} = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi$ and $Y = V$ to this equation, we get $f_1 + f_2 = 0$. Thus $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$ and $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is flat.

(4) Replacing Y by ζ to (5.9) and using (3.7)₁ and (3.8), we have

$$\alpha v(X) - \beta \eta(X) = \alpha \varphi u(X).$$

Taking $X = V$ and $X = \xi$ to this equation by turns, we obtain $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ respectively. As $\alpha = \beta = 0, \bar{M}$ is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold. Since $\alpha = 0$, we have $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1.

Applying ∇_X to $C(Y, PZ) = \varphi B(Y, PZ)$, we have

$$(\nabla_X C)(Y, PZ) = (X\varphi)B(Y, PZ) + \varphi(\nabla_X B(Y, PZ)).$$

Substituting this equation into (5.8) and using (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X\varphi - 2\varphi\tau(X)\}B(Y, PZ) - \{Y\varphi - 2\varphi\tau(Y)\}B(X, PZ) & (5.10) \\ & - \{\rho(X) + \varphi\lambda(X)\}D(Y, PZ) + \{\rho(Y) + \varphi\lambda(Y)\}D(X, PZ) \\ & + \{(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(Y) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(X)\}g(\omega, PZ) \\ & = f_1\{g(Y, PZ)\eta(X) - g(X, PZ)\eta(Y)\} \\ & + f_2\{g(\omega, Y)\bar{g}(X, JPZ) - g(\omega, X)\bar{g}(Y, JPZ) + 2g(\omega, PZ)\bar{g}(X, JY)\} \\ & + f_3\{\theta(X)\eta(Y) - \theta(Y)\eta(X)\}\theta(PZ). \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = U - \varphi V$. From (3.13)₁ and (5.9); (3.13)_{2,3} and (5.9), we get

$$B(X, \omega) = 0, \quad D(X, \omega) = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\theta(\xi) = 0$ and $\theta(V) = 0$ by turns and using (2.4), (2.8), (2.10), (3.15) and the fact that $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(\xi) = B(X, \zeta) = 0, \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(V) = \beta u(X) = 0. \tag{5.12}$$

Replacing PZ by ω to (5.10) and using (5.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - 2\varphi\{(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(Y) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(X)\} \\ & = (f_1 + f_2)\{g(\omega, Y)\eta(X) - g(\omega, X)\eta(Y)\} - 4\varphi f_2 \bar{g}(X, JY) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = \xi$ and $Y = V$ to this equation and using (5.12), we get $f_1 + f_2 = 0$. Therefore, $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$ and $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is flat. \square

References

- [1] P. Alegre, D.E. Blair and A. Carriazo, *Generalized Sasakian space form*, Israel J. Math. **141** (2004), 157-183.
- [2] C. Călin, *Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold*, Thesis, University of Iasi (Romania, 1998).
- [3] G. de Rham, *Sur la réductibilité d'un espace de Riemannian*, Comm. Math. Helv. **26** (1952), 328-344.

- [4] K.L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike Submanifolds of codimension 2*, Math. J. Toyama Univ., **15** (1992), 59-82.
- [5] K.L. Duggal and D.H. Jin, *Half-lightlike submanifolds of codimension 2*, Math. J. Toyama Univ., **22** (1999), 121-161.
- [6] D.H. Jin, *A semi-Riemannian manifold of quasi-constant curvature admits some half lightlike submanifolds*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **50** (2013), no. 3, 1041-1048.
- [7] D.H. Jin, *Half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **51** (2014), no. 4, 979-994.
- [8] D.H. Jin, *Indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold of quasi-constant curvature with lightlike hypersurfaces*, Balkan J. Geo. Its Appl., **20** (2015), no. 1, 65-75.
- [9] D.H. Jin, *Geometry of lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite Kaehler manifold with a quarter-symmetric metric connection*, Appl. Math. Sci., **10** (2016), no. 6, 289-299.
- [10] D.H. Jin, *Geometry of lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a quarter-symmetric metric connection*, Appl. Math. Sci., **10** (2016), no. 13, 625-636.
- [11] D.H. Jin and J.W. Lee, *A semi-Riemannian manifold of quasi-constant curvature admits some lightlike submanifolds*, Inter. J. Math. Analysis, **9** (2015), no. 25, 1215-1229.
- [12] D.N. Kupeli, *Singular Semi-Riemannian Geometry*. Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [13] J.A. Oubina, *New classes of almost contact metric structures*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **32** (1985), 187-193.
- [14] K. Yano and T. Imai, *Quarter-symmetric metric connection and their curvature tensors*, Tensor, N.S., **38** (1982), 13-18.

DAE HO JIN
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 DONGGUK UNIVERSITY
 GYEONGJU 780-714, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: jindh@dongguk.ac.kr