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#### Abstract

Rectification is an essential procedure for simplifying the disparity extraction of stereo matching algorithms by removing vertical mismatches between left and right images. To support real-time stereo matching, studies have introduced several look-up table (LUT)- and computational logic (CL)-based rectification approaches. However, to support high-resolution images, the LUT-based approach requires considerable memory resources, and the CL-based approach requires numerous hardware resources for its circuit implementation. Thus, this paper proposes a multi-level accumulation-based rectification method as a simple CL-based method and its circuit implementation. The proposed method, which includes distortion correction, reduces addition operations by $29 \%$, and removes multiplication operations by replacing the complex matrix computations and high-degree polynomial calculations of the conventional rectification with simple multi-level accumulations. The proposed rectification circuit can rectify $1,280 \times 720$ stereo images at a frame rate of 135 fps at a clock frequency of 125 MHz . Because the circuit is fully pipelined, it continuously generates a pair of left and right rectified pixels every cycle after 13 -cycle latency plus initial image buffering time. Experimental results show that the proposed method requires significantly fewer hardware resources than the conventional method while the differences between the results of the proposed and conventional full rectifications are negligible.
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## 1. Introduction

$\mathbf{I}_{n}$n recent years, stereo vision has been the focus of considerable attention because of its use in various applications such as autonomous vehicles, intelligent robots, three-dimensional (3D) broadcasting systems, and mobile devices [1-5]. The main mechanism of stereo vision is the reconstruction of 3D information of a scene captured from two points of view. To acquire 3D distance, stereo vision finds disparity between corresponding points of a stereo image pair taken from two viewpoints of the same scene. However, it is a so-called "correspondence problem," requiring a very time-consuming procedure. To simplify the procedure of a time-consuming matching point search, rectification, which aligns epipolar lines in parallel with the x-axis using parameters obtained from calibration [6], should be performed as a preprocessing step in the stereo vision. With rectification, the search space for finding the corresponding pixel pair between a pair of stereo images can decrease from two to one dimension. Because every stereo vision algorithm requires rectification of input images, the cost of rectification is important [7].
The process of rectification finds the pixel coordinates of the input image corresponding to the pixel coordinates of the rectified image and then determines the pixel values of the rectified image through interpolation using the pixel values of the input image [8]. To find correspondence between the pixel coordinates of the input and rectified images, rectification can use two mapping schemes: forward or inverse mapping. Forward mapping computes the rectified target pixel locations from given pixel locations in the input image [9], and inverse mapping computes the pixel locations of the input image from given pixel locations in the rectified image [10, 11]. Forward mapping often produces undesired holes or overlaps [12] and requires a more complex interpolation process than inverse mapping [9]. Hence, inverse mapping is usually used in rectification. Also, the Camera Calibration Toolbox for MATLAB [13], widely used for camera calibration and rectification, uses the inverse mapping scheme because of its simplicity.

Inverse mapping rectification, which maps ( $x_{r}, y_{r}$ ), the coordinates of the rectified image, onto ( $x, y$ ), the coordinates of the input image, entails the following procedure: First, $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, undistorted coordinates in the normalized camera coordinate system, which corresponds to the input image, are calculated as

$$
x_{n}=\frac{x_{c}}{z_{c}}, y_{n}=\frac{y_{c}}{z_{c}} \text {, and }\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{c}  \tag{1}\\
y_{c} \\
z_{c}
\end{array}\right]=R^{T} \times K K_{\text {rect }}^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{r} \\
y_{r} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \text {, }
$$

where ( $x_{c}, y_{c}, z_{c}$ ) are coordinates in the camera coordinate system that corresponds to the input image, $K K_{\text {rect }}$ is the $3 \times 3$ intrinsic parameter matrix of the ideally aligned camera, and $R$ is the $3 \times 3$ rectification rotation matrix. Second, for distortion correction, $\left(x_{d i s t}, y_{\text {dist }}\right)$, the distorted coordinates in the normalized camera coordinate system, are calculated as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{\text {radial }}  \tag{2}\\
y_{\text {radial }}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(1+k c_{1} \cdot q^{2}+k c_{2} \cdot q^{4}+k c_{5} \cdot q^{6}\right) \cdot x_{n} \\
\left(1+k c_{1} \cdot q^{2}+k c_{2} \cdot q^{4}+k c_{5} \cdot q^{6}\right) \cdot y_{n}
\end{array}\right],
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{\text {tangential }}  \tag{3}\\
y_{\text {tangential }}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \cdot k c_{3} \cdot x_{n} \cdot y_{n}+k c_{4} \cdot\left(q^{2}+2 \cdot x_{n}{ }^{2}\right) \\
k c_{3} \cdot\left(q^{2}+2 \cdot y_{n}{ }^{2}\right)+2 \cdot k c_{4} \cdot x_{n} \cdot y_{n}
\end{array}\right],
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{\text {dist }}  \tag{4}\\
y_{\text {dist }}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{\text {radial }}+x_{\text {tangential }} \\
y_{\text {radial }}+y_{\text {tangential }}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $q^{2}$ is equal to $\left(x_{n}^{2}+y_{n}^{2}\right), k c_{1}, k c_{2}, k c_{3}, k c_{4}$, and $k c_{5}$ are distortion coefficients determined by camera calibration, and $\left(x_{\text {radial }}, y_{\text {radial }}\right)$ and ( $x_{\text {tangential }}, y_{\text {tangential }}$ ) are coordinates subject to radial distortion and tangential distortion, respectively. Finally, $(x, y)$, the pixel coordinates of the input image, are calculated as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x  \tag{5}\\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]=K K \times\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{\text {dist }} \\
y_{\text {dist }} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{i x} & 0 & c c_{i x} \\
0 & f c_{i y} & c c_{i y} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \times\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{\text {dist }} \\
y_{\text {dist }} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f c_{i x} \cdot x_{\text {dist }}+c c_{i x} \\
f c_{i y} \cdot y_{\text {dist }}+c c_{i y} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $K K$ is the $3 \times 3$ intrinsic parameter matrix of the input camera. Elements $f c_{i x}$ and $f c_{i y}$ of $K K$ are the focal length parameters of the camera, and the other elements $c c_{i x}$ and $c c_{i y}$ of $K K$ are the principal point parameters of the camera. Rectification entails complex matrix calculations for coordinate transformation, shown in (1) and (5), and requires the calculations of seventh-degree polynomials for distortion correction, shown in (2), (3), and (4). To reduce the computational complexity of CL-based rectification, this paper ${ }^{1}$ proposes a multi-level accumulation-based rectification method and its circuit implementation. The proposed rectification method replaces complex matrix multiplications and high-degree polynomial calculations of the conventional rectification with multi-level accumulations by modifying conventional rectification equations to difference sequences.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses previous rectification methods and proposes a multi-level accumulation-based rectification method, and Section 3 proposes the circuit implementation of the proposed rectification method. Section 4 presents experimental results and analysis, and Section 5 concludes the paper.

## 2. Rectification Method

### 2.1 Related Work

Fusiello, Trucco, and Verri proposed a compact rectification algorithm that reduces computational overhead [14]. Jin et al. adopted an inverse-mapping rectification method that uses the transformation matrix [10], which presents corresponding relationships between pixels in the input image and those in the rectified image using

[^1]\[

x=\frac{x_{h}}{z_{h}}, y=\frac{y_{h}}{z_{h}} , and\left[$$
\begin{array}{l}
x_{h}  \tag{6}\\
y_{h} \\
z_{h}
\end{array}
$$\right]=H^{-1} \times\left[$$
\begin{array}{c}
x_{r} \\
y_{r} \\
1
\end{array}
$$\right],
\]

where $\left(x_{h}, y_{h}, z_{h}\right)$ are homogeneous coordinates in the input image and

$$
H^{-1}=K K \times R^{T} \times K K_{\text {rect }}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13}  \tag{7}\\
h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\
h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

However, these rectification methods, unfortunately, cover only coordinate transformation without addressing distortion correction.

For real-time rectification, researchers have proposed two kinds of rectification hardware circuits. One is the look-up table (LUT)-based rectification circuit [8, 9, 15, 16], and the other is the computational logic (CL)-based rectification circuit [10, 11, 17]. Because of their relatively simple implementation, LUT-based hardware circuits are commonly used. However, the LUT-based approach, used with high-resolution images, requires significant memory resources [16]. Although CL-based rectification circuits do not require large memory resources, they have significantly higher computational overhead and latency than LUT-based circuits. This is because they require complex matrix multiplications for coordinate transformation and high-degree polynomial calculations for distortion correction.

To reduce memory usage for the look-up table, Jawed et al. adopted a look-up table reduction method using offline computation. As a result, the $1,024 \times 768$ entries of the original look-up table declined to $65 \times 65$ entries [8]. Because the method proposed by Jawed et al. is oriented to a specific camera system, it cannot be generally applied. Akin et al. introduced compressed LUT-based rectification, which solves the problem of using external memory blocks to store the large amount of coordinate mapping information [9]. However, this rectification method still requires considerable memory resources. In addition, because it ignores the fractional precision of computed coordinates, accuracy loss occurs in the disparity estimation. Vancea and Nedevschi proposed a parameterized rectification circuit design based on a hardware description language that can generate diverse hardware configurations based on adjustable parameters such as the resolution of images and the number of bits to store sub-pixel precision [15]. When the resolution is $640 \times 512$, however, it requires two $64-\mathrm{MB}$ SDRAM blocks. Zicari proposed a CL-based rectification architecture with radial and tangential distortion correction [17]. However, to support complex matrix multiplications for coordinate transformation and high-degree polynomial calculations for distortion correction, this architecture requires numerous hardware resources.
To reduce the computational overhead of CL-based rectification, Hyun and Moon proposed a simplified rectification method [18]. When the rectified image has a resolution of $M \times N$, shown in Fig. 1, rectification processes pixels in the order shown in Fig. 2. Using the linearity of the pixel-unit calculation, Hyun and Moon dramatically reduced the complexity of mathematical calculations for CL-based rectification by replacing matrix multiplications with simple accumulations, shown in the following equation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{i}  \tag{8}\\
y_{i}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{i-1} \\
y_{i-1}
\end{array}\right]+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
h_{11} \\
h_{21} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
h_{12}-(M-1) \cdot h_{11} \\
h_{22}-(M-1) \cdot h_{21}
\end{array}\right] \text {, when the row coordinate increases }, ~, ~, ~, ~, ~}
\end{array}\right. \text {, }
$$

where $i$ is an index equal to $\left(M \times y_{r}+x_{r}\right),\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, referred to as index $i$, are pixel coordinates in the input image corresponding to the pixel coordinates $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ in the rectified image, and $h_{11}, h_{12}, h_{21}$, and $h_{22}$ are the elements of $H^{-1}$, defined in (7). However, this method neglects distortion correction because it assumes that the camera is calibrated. Thus, it is not suitable for common uncalibrated cameras with lens distortion.


Fig. 1. Coordinates in the rectified image with a resolution of $M \times N$ (adapted from [18])


Fig. 2. Sequence of the pixel-unit calculation with a resolution of $M \times N$ (adapted from [18])
For the rectification of distorted stereo images, Son and Moon proposed accumulation-based rectification with radial distortion correction [19]. However, to reduce computational overhead, this method simplifies the radial distortion correction process, shown as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{\text {radial }}  \tag{9}\\
y_{\text {radial }}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(1+k c_{1} \cdot q^{2}+k c_{2}\right) \cdot x_{n} \\
\left(1+k c_{1} \cdot q^{2}+k c_{2}\right) \cdot y_{n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Because this method erroneously assumes that $q^{4}$ in (2) is nearly equal to 1 , it could not correct radial distortion properly and lost pixel information at image borders.

### 2.2 Proposed Rectification Method

The proposed rectification method uses the inverse mapping scheme to map rectified image coordinates $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ onto input image coordinates $(x, y)$. To reduce computational
complexity, we applied reasonable approximations to distortion correction. Tangential distortion occurs when the lens and the image sensor are not parallel. Nowadays, because of improvements in manufacturing technology, the effects of tangential distortion are negligible [20]. In addition, two coefficients, $k c_{1}$ and $k c_{2}$, are typically sufficient for distortion correction, and $k c_{5}$ is meaningful only in cases of severe distortion, such as in wide-angle lenses [21]. Table 1 shows the distortion coefficients of the camera examples provided by BoofCV [22] and Camera Calibration Toolbox for MATLAB of Caltech [13], and the camera used in this paper. We extracted the distortion coefficients using the stereo camera calibrator APP in MATLAB [23] with two radial distortion models. One model uses two radial distortion coefficients, $k c_{1}$ and $k c_{2}$, while the other model uses three coefficients, $k c_{1}, k c_{2}$, and $k c_{5}$. Table 2 shows tangential distortions calculated from the coefficients of Table 1. As shown in Table 2, the tangential distortions are small enough to be neglected. Table 3 shows coordinate differences between the rectification results from the two radial distortion models. In the BoofCV and Caltech camera examples, even though the maximum coordinate differences are significant, the average differences are less than 0.32 , and the percentages of $x$ and $y$ coordinates whose differences are greater than one are less than $8.4 \%$ and $4.43 \%$, respectively. Furthermore, in the case of the camera used in this paper, the average $x$ and $y$ coordinate differences fall below 0.084 , and the percentages of $x$ and $y$ coordinates whose differences are over one are less than $1.6 \%$. These results confirm that the radial distortion model using two coefficients is sufficient for radial distortion correction.

Table 1. Extracted distortion coefficients of stereo cameras

| Camera |  | Coefficient values |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Two radial distortion coefficients |  | Three radial distortion coefficients |  |
|  |  | Left camera | Right camera | Left camera | Right camera |
| BoofCV | $k_{1}$ | -0.3548 | -0.3545 | -0.3693 | -0.3545 |
|  | $k_{2}$ | 0.1608 | 0.1488 | 0.2458 | 0.1517 |
|  | $\mathrm{kc}_{3}$ | 0.0002 | 0.0004 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 |
|  | $\mathrm{kc}_{4}$ | -0.0011 | -0.0018 | -0.0008 | -0.0020 |
|  | $\mathrm{kc}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | -0.1529 | -0.0247 |
| Caltech | $\mathrm{kc}_{1}$ | -0.2915 | -0.2883 | -0.2765 | -0.2974 |
|  | $k c_{2}$ | 0.1143 | 0.1045 | -0.0052 | 0.1545 |
|  | $\mathrm{kc}_{3}$ | 0.0009 | -0.0002 | 0.0009 | -0.0002 |
|  | $\mathrm{kc}_{4}$ | -0.0003 | 0.0002 | -0.0002 | 0.0002 |
|  | ${ }^{\prime} c_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.2524 | -0.0746 |
| Used in this paper | $k_{1}$ | -0.1232 | -0.1356 | -0.1281 | -0.1411 |
|  | $k_{2}$ | 0.5464 | 0.8740 | 0.7613 | 1.2750 |
|  | $\mathrm{kc}_{3}$ | 0.0003 | -0.0049 | 0.0003 | -0.0049 |
|  | $k_{4}$ | 0.0020 | -0.0041 | 0.0020 | -0.0041 |
|  | $\mathrm{kc}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | -6.6711 | -7.6942 |

Table 2. Tangential distortions in stereo cameras

| $*$ <br> Camera | Tangential distortions with the <br> model of two radial distortion <br> coefficients |  |  | Tangential distortions with the <br> model of three radial distortion <br> coefficients |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Maximum | Minimum | Average | Maximum | Minimum | Average |
| BoofCV | $x_{\text {tangential }}$ | $-6.46 \mathrm{e}-10$ | -0.001832 | -0.000490 | $-4.66 \mathrm{e}-10$ | -0.001437 | -0.000371 |
|  | $y_{\text {tangential }}$ | 0.000863 | 0.000443 | 0.000706 | 0.000739 | -0.000242 | 0.000081 |
|  | $x_{\text {tangential }}$ | 0.000119 | -0.001011 | -0.000133 | 0.000168 | -0.000918 | -0.000107 |
|  | $y_{\text {tangential }}$ | 0.001134 | $3.00 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 0.000293 | 0.001091 | $2.92 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 0.000289 |
| Used in <br> this paper | $x_{\text {tangential }}$ | 0.000475 | $4.29 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 0.000130 | 0.000477 | $4.30 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 0.000130 |
|  | $y_{\text {tangential }}$ | 0.000192 | -0.000001 | 0.000012 | 0.000194 | -0.000099 | 0.000012 |

Table 3. Coordinate differences of rectification results from two radial distortion models

| Camera | Maximum difference |  | Average difference |  | Percentage of <br> difference $>$ 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right |  |
| BoofCV | $x$ | 7.1202 | 2.4299 | 0.2791 | 0.1078 | $6.90 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ |
|  | $y$ | 4.9533 | 1.7144 | 0.1700 | 0.0592 | $2.84 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ |
| Caltech | $x$ | 11.1673 | 2.1692 | 0.3163 | 0.0856 | $8.38 \%$ | $0.57 \%$ |
|  | $y$ | 7.3509 | 1.4598 | 0.1827 | 0.0608 | $4.43 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ |
| Used in <br> this paper | $x$ | 0.4662 | 2.6390 | 0.0171 | 0.0839 | $\fallingdotseq 0 \%$ | $1.59 \%$ |

Therefore, conventional rectifications commonly use only two coefficients, $k c_{1}$ and $k c_{2}$ for radial distortion correction [24, 25]. Thus, (2), (3), and (4) can be simplified as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{\text {radial }}  \tag{10}\\
y_{\text {radial }}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(1+k c_{1} \cdot q^{2}+k c_{2} \cdot q^{4}\right) \cdot x_{n} \\
\left(1+k c_{1} \cdot q^{2}+k c_{2} \cdot q^{4}\right) \cdot y_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{\text {dist }}  \tag{11}\\
y_{\text {dist }}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{\text {radial }} \\
y_{\text {radial }}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In addition, (1) can be rewritten as

$$
x_{n}=\frac{x_{c}}{z_{c}}, y_{n}=\frac{y_{c}}{z_{c}} \text {, and }\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{c}  \tag{12}\\
y_{c} \\
z_{c}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\
c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\
c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33}
\end{array}\right] \times\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{r} \\
y_{r} \\
z_{r}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{11} \cdot x_{r}+c_{12} \cdot y_{r}+c_{13} \\
c_{21} \cdot x_{r}+c_{22} \cdot y_{r}+c_{23} \\
c_{31} \cdot x_{r}+c_{32} \cdot y_{r}+c_{33}
\end{array}\right] \text {, }
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13}  \tag{13}\\
c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\
c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33}
\end{array}\right]=R^{T} \times K K_{r e c t}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\
r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\
r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \times\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
f c_{r x} & 0 & c c_{r x} \\
0 & f c_{r y} & c c_{r y} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{-1}
$$

where elements $f c_{r x}$ and $f c_{r y}$ of $K K_{r e c t}$ are the focal length parameters of the rectified image, and the other elements $c c_{r x}$ and $c c_{r y}$ of $K K_{r e c t}$ are the principal point parameters of the rectified image. Because $c_{31}$ and $c_{32}$ are almost equal to 0 and $c_{33}$ is very close to $1, z_{c}$ of (12) can be approximated to 1 [18]. This approximation is justified by Table 4, which shows the third-row vectors of the coefficient matrix of (13) for the camera examples provided by BoofCV [22] and Camera Calibration Toolbox for MATLAB [13], and the camera used in this paper. For this reason, by removing division by $z_{c}$, (12) can be simplified as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{n}  \tag{14}\\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{c} \\
y_{c}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{11} \cdot x_{r}+c_{12} \cdot y_{r}+c_{13} \\
c_{21} \cdot x_{r}+c_{22} \cdot y_{r}+c_{23}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{11} \cdot x_{r}+g\left(y_{r}\right) \\
c_{21} \cdot x_{r}+h\left(y_{r}\right)
\end{array}\right],
$$

where

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
g\left(y_{r}\right)  \tag{15}\\
h\left(y_{r}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{12} \cdot y_{r}+c_{13} \\
c_{22} \cdot y_{r}+c_{23}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Table 4. Third-row vectors of the coefficient matrix of actual stereo cameras (updated from [18])

| Camera |  | $c_{31}$ | $c_{32}$ | $c_{33}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BoofCV | Left | -0.00000651 | 0.00000082 | 1.00183437 |
|  | Right | 0.00000919 | -0.00000082 | 0.99730203 |
| Caltech | Left | 0.00000913 | -0.00000742 | 0.99865517 |
|  | Right | -0.00000113 | 0.00000745 | 0.99855221 |
| Used in this <br> paper | Left | 0.00000532 | -0.00000191 | 0.99772009 |
|  | Right | 0.00000952 | 0.00000196 | 0.99401412 |

According to the pixel-processing sequence for rectification, shown in Fig. 2, column coordinate $x_{r}$ of the rectified image increases by 1 for every pixel calculation while inverse mapping rectification is carried out within one row with fixed row coordinate $y_{r}$. By contrast, row coordinate $y_{r}$ of the rectified image increases by 1 only after the last column pixel calculation. This procedure is iterated from pixel $(0,0)$ to the last pixel $(M-1, N-1)$ in the rectified image with a resolution of $M \times N$. Because $y_{r}$ is fixed during the rectification processing in one row, $g\left(y_{r}\right)$ and $h\left(y_{r}\right)$ are constants. Using this feature, (10), (11), (13), (14), and (15), (5) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=a_{x} \cdot x_{r}^{5}+b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}^{4}+c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}^{3}+d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}^{2}+e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}+f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \\
& y_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=a_{y} \cdot x_{r}^{5}+b_{y}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}^{4}+c_{y}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}^{3}+d_{y}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}^{2}+e_{y}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}+f_{y}\left(y_{r}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}, y_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}\right)$ are the coordinates of the input image that correspond to rectified
pixel coordinates $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{x}=f c_{i x} \cdot\left(k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{5}+2 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{3} \cdot c_{21}^{2}+k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21}^{4}\right) \\
& b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)=f c_{i x} \cdot\left(5 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{4} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{3} \cdot c_{21} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21}^{3} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+k c_{2} \cdot c_{21}^{4} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)\right) \\
& c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)= f c_{c_{i x}} \cdot\left(k c_{1} \cdot c_{11}^{3}+k c_{1} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21}^{2}+10 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{3} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}\right. \\
&+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+12 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+2 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{3} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \\
&\left.+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21}^{3} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)\right) \\
& d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)= f c_{i x} \cdot\left(3 \cdot k c_{1} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)+2 \cdot k c_{1} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+k c_{1} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)\right. \\
&+10 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{3}+2 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{3}+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \\
&+12 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{3} \\
&\left.+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)= f c_{c_{i x}} \cdot\left(3 \cdot k c_{1} \cdot c_{11} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+k c_{1} \cdot c_{11} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+2 \cdot k c_{1} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)\right. \\
&+5 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{4}+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{3} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \\
&\left.+k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{4}+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{3}+c_{11}\right) \\
& f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)= f c_{i x} \cdot\left(k c_{1} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+k c_{1} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{3}+k c_{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{4}\right. \\
&\left.+2 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{3} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+k c_{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{5}+g\left(y_{r}\right)\right)+c c_{i x}, \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{y}=f c_{i y} \cdot\left(k c_{2} \cdot c_{21}^{5}+2 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot c_{21}^{3}+k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{4} \cdot c_{21}\right) \\
& b_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)= f c_{i y} \cdot\left(5 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21}^{4} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{3} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21}^{3} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)+k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{4} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)\right) \\
& c_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)= f c_{i y} \cdot\left(k c_{1} \cdot c_{21}^{3}+k c_{1} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot c_{21}+10 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21}^{3} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}\right. \\
&+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+12 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+2 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21}^{3} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \\
&\left.+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot c_{21} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{3} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)\right) \\
& d_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)= f c_{i y} \cdot\left(3 \cdot k c_{1} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+2 \cdot k c_{1} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)+k c_{1} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)\right. \\
&+10 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{3}+2 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{3}+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right) \\
&+12 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{3} \\
&\left.+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11}^{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)\right) \\
& e_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)= f c_{i y} \cdot\left(3 \cdot k c_{1} \cdot c_{21} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+k c_{1} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2}+2 \cdot k c_{1} \cdot c_{11} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)\right. \\
&+5 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{4}+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{3} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+6 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \\
&\left.+k c_{2} \cdot c_{21} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{4}+4 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot c_{11} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{3}+c_{21}\right) \\
& f_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)= f c_{i y} \cdot\left(k c_{1} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)+k c_{1} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{3}+k c_{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{4} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+2 \cdot k c_{2} \cdot g\left(y_{r}\right)^{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{3}+k c_{2} \cdot h\left(y_{r}\right)^{5}+h\left(y_{r}\right)\right)+c c_{i y} \cdot \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Within one row, because column coordinate $x_{r}$ increases by 1 for every pixel calculation, $x_{r}$ can be used as an index of sequence. Thus, (16) can be converted to difference sequences whose general forms are fifth-degree polynomials, shown in Fig. 3. Thus, we propose a rectification method that uses multi-level accumulations defined as

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}, x_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}=f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \\
y_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=y_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta y_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}, y_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}=f_{y}\left(y_{r}\right),  \tag{19}\\
\Delta x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta^{2} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta x_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}=a_{x}+b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \\
\Delta y_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta y_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta^{2} y_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta y_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}=a_{y}+b_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)+c_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)+d_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)+e_{y}\left(y_{r}\right),  \tag{20}\\
\Delta^{2} x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta^{2} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta^{3} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta^{2} x_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}=30 a_{x}+14 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+6 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+2 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \\
\Delta^{2} y_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta^{2} y_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta^{3} y_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta^{2} y_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}=30 a_{y}+14 b_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)+6 c_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)+2 d_{y}\left(y_{r}\right),  \tag{21}\\
\Delta^{3} x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta^{3} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta^{4} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta^{3} x_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}=150 a_{x}+36 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+6 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \\
\Delta^{3} y_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta^{3} y_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta^{4} y_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta^{3} y_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}=150 a_{y}+36 b_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)+6 c_{y}\left(y_{r}\right),  \tag{22}\\
\Delta^{4} x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta^{4} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+120 a_{x}, \Delta^{4} x_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}=240 a_{x}+24 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \\
\Delta^{4} y_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta^{4} y_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+120 a_{y}, \Delta^{4} y_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}=240 a_{y}+24 b_{y}\left(y_{r}\right) . \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Delta^{n} x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}$ and $\Delta^{n} y_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}$ are the $n$ th-order difference sequences.
$x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=a_{x} \cdot x_{r}^{5}+b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}^{4}+c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}^{3}+d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}^{2}+e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \cdot x_{r}+f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$
$x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)} \quad \Delta x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta^{2} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)} \quad \Delta^{2} x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta^{2} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta^{3} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}$
$x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}$
$\Delta x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}$
$\Delta^{2} x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}$
$f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$
$a_{x}+b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$
$30 a_{x}+14 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+6 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+2 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$
$a_{x}+b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$
$31 a_{x}+15 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+7 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+3 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \quad 180 a_{x}+50 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+12 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+2 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$
$32 a_{x}+16 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+8 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+4 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+2 e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \quad 211 a_{x}+65 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+19 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+5 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \quad 570 a_{x}+110 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+18 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+2 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$
$243 a_{x}+81 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+27 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+9 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+3 e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \quad 781 a_{x}+175 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+37 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+7 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) 1320 a_{x}+194 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+24 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+2 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$
$1024 a_{x}+256 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+64 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+16 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+4 e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right) \quad 2101 a_{x}+369 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+61 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+9 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$
$3125 a_{x}+625 b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+125 c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+25 d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+5 e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)+f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$
$\Delta^{3} x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta^{3} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta^{4} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}$
$\Delta^{4} x_{\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)}=\Delta^{4} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}+\Delta^{5} x_{\left(x_{r}-1, y_{r}\right)}$
$x_{r}$
$x_{r}$
$x_{r}$
0
1

Because $f c_{i x}, f c_{i y}, k c_{2}, c_{11}$, and $c_{21}$ are constants, $a_{x}$ and $a_{y}$ in (17) and (18) are also constants. In addition, during rectification within one row, because $g\left(y_{r}\right)$ and $h\left(y_{r}\right)$ are uniquely determined as constants, all of the coefficients, $b_{x}\left(y_{r}\right), c_{x}\left(y_{r}\right), d_{x}\left(y_{r}\right), e_{x}\left(y_{r}\right)$, $f_{x}\left(y_{r}\right), b_{y}\left(y_{r}\right), c_{y}\left(y_{r}\right), d_{y}\left(y_{r}\right), e_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)$, and $f_{y}\left(y_{r}\right)$, are also fixed as constants according to (17) and (18). As a result, the initial values of sequences, $x_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta x_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}$, $\Delta^{2} x_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta^{3} x_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta^{4} x_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}, y_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta y_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta^{2} y_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}, \Delta^{3} y_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}$, and $\Delta^{4} y_{\left(0, y_{r}\right)}$ are also fixed as constants within one row according to (19) to (23). Thus, the proposed method carries out rectification by simple multi-level accumulations of constants when column coordinate $x_{r}$ increases with row coordinate $y_{r}$ fixed within one row.
Whenever row coordinate $y_{r}$ increases by 1 after the last column pixel calculation, $g\left(y_{r}\right)$ and $h\left(y_{r}\right)$ change, shown as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g\left(y_{r}\right)=g\left(y_{r}-1\right)+c_{12}, & g(0)=c_{13} \\
h\left(y_{r}\right)=h\left(y_{r}-1\right)+c_{22}, & h(0)=c_{23} . \tag{24}
\end{array}
$$

When $g\left(y_{r}\right)$ and $h\left(y_{r}\right)$ change, the coefficients defined in (17) and (18), which depend on $g\left(y_{r}\right)$ and $h\left(y_{r}\right)$, must be recalculated. Hence, the initial values of the sequences defined in (19) to (23), which depend on the coefficients defined in (17) and (18), must be also recalculated. These recalculations, which occur at every row change, may cause critical performance degradation in the proposed method because the coefficient calculations of (17) and (18) and the initial value calculations from (19) to (23) are very complex. Fortunately, these values can be precalculated because $y_{r}$ is an integer predetermined for every row, so we precalculate them and save the initial values of sequences in the memory, shown in Section 3.

As a result, using only simple multi-level accumulations, the proposed method produces the same rectified image with rectification using (5), (10), (11), (14), and (15). As shown in Table 5, by replacing complex matrix multiplications and high-degree polynomial calculations with multi-level accumulations, the proposed rectification method, compared to rectification using (5), (10), (11), (14), and (15), reduces addition operations by $29 \%$ and removes multiplication operations.

Table 5. Comparison between the numbers of operations of the rectification method using (5), (10),
(11), (14), and (15) and those of the proposed one

| Method | Number of operations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Addition | Multiplication |
| Using (5), (10), (11), (14), and (15) | $1280 \times 720$ | $4,300,800$ | $4,147,200$ |
|  | $640 \times 480$ | $12,902,400$ | $18,432,000$ |
|  | $1920 \times 1080$ | $29,030,400$ | $41,472,000$ |
| Using the proposed multi-level <br> accumulations | $640 \times 480$ | $3,067,200$ | - |
|  | $1280 \times 720$ | $9,208,800$ | - |
|  | $1920 \times 1080$ | $20,725,200$ | - |

## 3. Proposed Rectification Circuit

This section presents a hardware circuit of the proposed rectification method based on multi-level accumulations explained in Section 2. The proposed rectification hardware circuit, shown in Fig. 4, includes four main components: an address counter, image line buffers, a coordinate calculator, and a bilinear interpolator.


Fig. 4. Proposed rectification hardware architecture
The address counter generates rectified image coordinates $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ in the order of the pixel processing sequence shown in Fig. 2. The coordinate calculator, which consists of row and column coordinate accumulators and an initial value memory, computes corresponding input image coordinates $(x, y)$ for each rectified image coordinate pair $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$. The outputs of the coordinate calculator, $(x, y)$, which are non-integers and separated into an integral part, $\left(x_{\text {int }}, y_{\text {int }}\right)$, and a fractional part, $\left(x_{\text {frac }}, y_{\text {frac }}\right)$, are sent to the bilinear interpolator. The row and column coordinate accumulators, with the same architecture, perform multi-level accumulations of (19) to (23), shown in Fig. 5. When column coordinate $x_{r}$ of the rectified image equals 0 , that is, at the first column of each row, the start signal in Fig. 5 is asserted and the initial values of (19) to (23) are loaded into the registers in Fig. 5. For $x_{r}$ other than 0, the row and column coordinate accumulators perform multi-level accumulations using the previous results in the registers. The initial values of sequences vary according to the rectified row coordinate $y_{r}$, described in Section 2, so we precalculated all of the initial values and stored them in the initial value memory shown in Fig. 6. These precalculated initial values, which are read from the initial value memory by using $y_{r}$ as an address whenever the first column pixel of each row in the rectified image is processed, are sent to the row and column coordinate accumulators.


Fig. 5. Row and column coordinate accumulators


Fig. 6. Initial value memory


Fig. 7. Bilinear interpolator
The value of the rectified image pixel $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ is equal to that of corresponding input image pixel ( $x, y$ ). However, because the computed coordinates ( $x, y$ ) are non-integers, the pixel value of $(x, y)$ should be calculated by interpolation with the values of the four neighboring pixels, $\left(x_{\text {int }}, y_{\text {int }}\right),\left(x_{\text {int }}+1, y_{\text {int }}\right),\left(x_{\text {int }}, y_{\text {int }}+1\right)$, and $\left(x_{\text {int }}+1, y_{\text {int }}+1\right)$ of the input image
[18]. The bilinear interpolator reads these four values from the image line buffers, which store the pixel values of the input images, and conducts bilinear interpolation with interpolation weights calculated from fractional coordinates $\left(x_{f r a c}, y_{f r a c}\right)$, shown in Fig. 7. The bilinear interpolator generates the value of rectified image pixel $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$, as the final output of the proposed rectification circuit.

## 4. Experimental Results and Analysis

To evaluate the proposed rectification method, we used MATLAB to model five rectification methods, described in Table 6. Methods 1 and 2 are rectification methods including both radial and tangential distortion corrections, while method 4 is one without any distortion correction. Method 1 uses three radial distortion coefficients, $k c_{1}$, $k c_{2}$, and $k c_{5}$, while method 2 uses only two radial distortion coefficients, $k c_{1}$ and $k c_{2}$. Method 3 and the proposed method are similar, for both include radial distortion correction using two radial distortion coefficients but omit tangential distortion correction, but they also differ. That is, while method 3 uses the matrix multiplications of (1) and (5) and the polynomial calculations of (10) and includes division by $z_{c}$ for normalization, the proposed method uses the multi-level accumulations of (19) to (23) and skips division by $z_{c}$.

We extracted camera calibration parameters for rectification using the stereo camera calibrator APP in MATLAB [23] and precalculated the initial values of the sequences in (19) to (23) for the proposed method using these parameters. Then we performed rectifications of the five methods in Table 6 using the example stereo images with a resolution of $640 \times 480$ provided by BoofCV, shown in Fig. 8, as input images. In addition, we extracted depth maps from the rectified images of the five methods using the semi-global matching function provided by MATLAB [26].

Table 6. Rectification methods for the experiments

| Method | Description | Use of techniques |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rectification with radial and tangential distortion <br> corrections using three radial distortion coefficients | (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) |
| 2 | Rectification with radial and tangential distortion <br> corrections using two radial distortion coefficients | (1), (3), (4), (5), (10) |
| 3 | Rectification with radial distortion correction using <br> two radial distortion coefficients | (1), (5), (10), (11) |
| 4 | Rectification without any distortion correction | $(6)$ |
| Proposed | Rectification using multi-level accumulations | (19), (20), (21), (22), (23) |


(a) Chair01

(b) Garden02

Fig. 8. Example images of BoofCV

To analyze the influence of the radial distortion model on rectification results, we extracted depth maps from the rectification results of methods 1 and 2. Fig. 9 illustrates depth maps extracted from the rectified images of methods 1 and 2, and Fig. 10 shows differences between depth maps from methods 1 and 2. In Fig. 10, the larger the difference is, the brighter it appears, so black represents no difference. Shown in Fig. 10, depth maps from methods 1 and 2 exhibit small differences only at the boundaries of objects. Again, this confirms that the radial distortion model using two coefficients is sufficient for radial distortion correction.


Fig. 9. Depth maps extracted from the rectified images of methods 1 and 2


Fig. 10. Differences between the depth maps of methods 1 and 2
To analyze the effects of tangential distortion on rectification, we compared the rectification results of methods 3 and 4 with those of method 2. Table 7 shows the differences between the coordinates of methods 2 and 3 and methods 2 and 4 . These differences are calculated as those between the input image coordinates ( $x, y$ ) derived from the same coordinates ( $x_{r}, y_{r}$ ) of the left rectified image by the compared methods. The differences between methods 2 and 3 are minor, as shown in Table 7. Method 3 differs from method 2 only because it excludes tangential distortion correction from rectification. The minor differences between methods 2 and 3 confirm that tangential distortion correction can be omitted. By contrast, the differences between methods 2 and 4 are significant, showing the considerable effects of radial distortion.

Table 7. Coordinate differences between rectification methods

| Coordinate | Difference between methods 2 and 3 |  | Difference between methods 2 and 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| $x$ | 0.9602 | 0.2568 | 58.9331 | 12.7432 |
| $y$ | 0.4541 | 0.0821 | 41.7381 | 8.6591 |

Fig. 11 illustrates depth maps extracted from the rectified images of all of the methods except method 1. The differences between the depth maps of method 2 and the other methods are shown in Fig. 12. Compared to the depth maps of method 2, those of method 3 and the proposed method exhibit minor differences at the boundaries of objects. Again, this finding confirms that tangential distortion correction can be omitted. By contrast, differences between the depth maps of methods 2 and 4 are considerably large in the entire area, indicating that radial distortion correction should be included in the rectification process for accurate stereo matching.


Fig. 11. Depth maps extracted from the rectified images of methods $2,3,4$, and the proposed method

(c) Between method 2 and the proposed method

Fig. 12. Differences between the depth maps of method 2 and the other methods
The differences between the rectification results of method 3 and those of the proposed method result from the fact that method 3 includes division by $z_{c}$ for normalization while the proposed method skips it. Therefore, to confirm that division by $z_{c}$ is negligible, we compared the results of method 3 to those of the proposed method. As shown in Table 8, the differences between the input image coordinates ( $x, y$ ) derived from the same rectified coordinates ( $x_{r}, y_{r}$ ) by the two methods are negligible. Also, Figs. 11(b), 11(d), and $\mathbf{1 3}$ show that the depth maps extracted from the rectified images of both method 3 and the proposed method are almost the same. These results of the comparison confirm that division by $z_{c}$ in (12) can be skipped.

Table 8. Coordinate differences between method 3 and the proposed method

| Coordinate | Maximum | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | 0.6322 | 0.1888 |
| $y$ | 0.4051 | 0.1051 |



Fig. 13. Differences between the depth maps of method 3 and the proposed method
To evaluate the rectification performance of the proposed method in a real environment, we used a real camera to compare the rectification results of method 2 to those of the proposed method. Not only does method 2 produce well-rectified images, shown in Fig. 14, but the proposed method also produces such images. Table 9 shows that differences between input image coordinates $(x, y)$ derived by the two methods for the same rectified coordinates $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ are negligible. The results of comparison show that the proposed method, which reduces computational overhead by excluding tangential distortion correction and skipping division by $z_{c}$, produces almost the same rectification results as method 2, which performs full rectification. Besides excluding tangential distortion correction and skipping division by $z_{c}$, the proposed method additionally reduces computational overhead by replacing the matrix computations and the high-degree polynomial calculations of conventional rectification with simple multi-level accumulations.

(b) Left and right rectified images of method 2

(c) Left and right rectified images of the proposed method

Fig. 14. Rectification results of method 2 and the proposed method
Table 9. Differences between the coordinates of method 2 and the proposed method when real scene

| images are used |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinate Maximum Average |  |  |
| $x$ | 0.9174 | 0.6102 |
| $y$ | 0.6438 | 0.1377 |

To evaluate the effects of the proposed multi-level accumulations, we compared the proposed method and the method using (5), (10), (11), (14), and (15), denoted as method 5 below. Method 5 corresponds exactly to method 3 , except for skipping division by $z_{c}$. It differs from the proposed method only by using conventional matrix multiplications and polynomial calculations for rectification instead of the proposed multi-level accumulations. Thus, the comparison between the proposed method and method 5 is suitable for the evaluation of the effects of multi-level accumulations. For the comparison, we implemented the two methods in hardware circuits using Xilinx FPGA Virtex-7 XC7V2000T. The two circuits have the same architecture except for their coordinate calculators. The coordinate calculator of the proposed rectification circuit uses multi-level accumulations for coordinate calculations while that of the method 5 circuit uses conventional matrix multiplications and polynomial calculations. The stereo camera used in these hardware implementations has a resolution of $1,280 \times 720$, a frame rate of 30 fps and a pixel clock of 37.125 MHz , with which the two rectification circuits are synchronized.
Fig. 15 shows the rectification results of the circuit based on method 5 and the proposed circuit, which confirm that both circuits generate the same results. The two circuits were implemented using only slices and block RAMs of the FPGA, and Fig. 16 shows the hardware use of the two rectification circuits. While the proposed circuit, shown in Fig. 16, consumes only $68 \%$, $38 \%$, and $72 \%$ of slice LUTs, slice registers, and slices required by the circuit of method 5, respectively, the two circuits require the same number of block RAMs. The two circuits exhibit similar performance, continuously generating a pair of left and right rectified pixels at every clock cycle because they are fully pipelined. However, while the circuit based on method 5 requires additional latency of 145 cycles in addition to the initial image buffering time, the proposed circuit requires additional latency of only 13 cycles. This is because the proposed method, compared to method 5, reduces additions by $29 \%$ and removes multiplications by replacing complex matrix multiplications and high-degree polynomial calculations with simple multi-level accumulations.

(b) Left and right rectified images of the proposed rectification circuit

Fig. 15. Rectification results of the rectification hardware circuits


Fig. 16. Hardware usage of method 5 and proposed rectification circuits
Table 10. Results of the comparison of the most recent rectification hardware implementations

| System | Image size | Device | \# DSP | \# BRAM | External <br> memory | \# Slice | Max. <br> fps | Max. <br> frequency <br> (MHz) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed | $1280 \times 720$ | Xilinx <br> XC7V2000T | 0 | 40 | no | 5588 | 135 | 125 |
| Method 5 | $1280 \times 720$ | Xilinx <br> XC7V2000T | 0 | 40 | no | 7738 | 217 | 200 |
| $[8]$ | $1024 \times 768$ | Altera <br> Stratix III | $2 \times 23$ | $2 \times 342,776$ <br> bits | no | \# LE $=$ <br> $2 \times 901$ <br> \# Reg <br> $2 \times 259$ | 30 | 90 |
| $[10]$ | $640 \times 480$ | Xilinx <br> XC4VLX200 | n.a | 64 | no | 4035 | 230 | 93 |
| $[15]$ | $640 \times 512$ | Xilinx <br> V600EFG680 | 0 | 72 | $2 \times 64-M B$ <br> SDRAMs | 6912 | 85 | 90 |
| $[17]$ | $1280 \times 720$ | Xilinx <br> XC4VLX60 | 0 | 64 | no | 9977 | 120 | 111 |

Table 10 shows the results of the comparison of the most recent rectification hardware implementations. Because the maximum frame rates of all the implementations, except for [8] in Table 10, are greater than 60 fps , which the frame rate of the camera input images is usually less than or equal to, the maximum frame rate comparison is meaningless. Direct comparison of hardware costs is also difficult because the implementations of Table 10 use different FPGA devices, image sizes, and distortion corrections. However, it is easily shown that the proposed rectification circuit, compared with the others, requires the lowest hardware costs, considering that the proposed circuit supports the highest resolution and corrects image distortion. Overall, the effect of the proposed multi-level accumulations is significant, so the proposed rectification circuit is the most practical with the lowest hardware costs.

## 5. Conclusion

This paper proposed a multi-level accumulation-based rectification method and its optimized hardware circuit for the stereo matching system. The proposed method reduces computational overhead by excluding tangential distortion correction from the rectification process and skipping division for translating 3D coordinates into 2D coordinates, inspired by previous studies, while producing almost the same results with full rectification. Furthermore, we also reduced computational overhead by replacing the complex matrix multiplications and the high-degree polynomial calculations of conventional rectification with simple multi-level
accumulations. The proposed multi-level accumulations reduced the number of addition operations by $29 \%$ and removed multiplication operations. In addition, we implemented the proposed method in a hardware circuit using Xilinx FPGA Virtex-7 XC7V2000T, which can process $1,280 \times 720$ images at a frame rate of 135 fps at a maximum clock frequency of 125 MHz. Results of the implementation showed that the proposed multi-level accumulations have the effect of saving slice LUTs, slice registers, and slices of the FPGA by $32 \%, 62 \%$, and $28 \%$, respectively. The overall results showed that the proposed rectification circuit, compared to conventional rectification circuits, reduces computational overhead and hardware costs while maintaining rectification performance. Future work will cover the implementation of rectification circuits in an ASIC and an analysis of the implementation results for an accurate cost comparison.
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