

COLORED PERMUTATIONS WITH NO MONOCHROMATIC CYCLES

DONGSU KIM, JANG SOO KIM, AND SEUNGHYUN SEO

ABSTRACT. An (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) -colored permutation is a permutation of $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$ in which $1, 2, \dots, n_1$ have color 1, and $n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, \dots, n_1 + n_2$ have color 2, and so on. We give a bijective proof of Steinhardt's result: the number of colored permutations with no monochromatic cycles is equal to the number of permutations with no fixed points after reordering the first n_1 elements, the next n_2 element, and so on, in ascending order. We then find the generating function for colored permutations with no monochromatic cycles. As an application we give a new proof of the well known generating function for colored permutations with no fixed colors, also known as multi-derangements.

1. Introduction

Let S_n denote the set of permutations of $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$ be a permutation in S_n . An integer $i \in [n]$ is called a *fixed point* of π if $\pi_i = i$. A *derangement* is a permutation with no fixed points. An integer $i \in [n-1]$ is called a *descent* of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$, and an *ascent* of π if $\pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$. If the set of descents of π is equal to $\{1, 3, 5, \dots\} \cap [n-1]$, then π is called an *alternating permutation*. There are many interesting properties of alternating permutations, see [10].

More generally, if $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ is an n -set with $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n$, a rearrangement $\sigma = s_1s_2 \cdots s_n$ of elements of B is called a permutation of B . Let S_B denote the set of all permutations of B . The statistics *ascent* in S_B can be defined as in S_n , i.e., i is an ascent of σ if $s_i < s_{i+1}$.

In [9, Conjecture 6.3] Stanley conjectured that for $n \geq 2$, the number of alternating permutations of $[2n]$ with maximum number of fixed points, which is n , is equal to the number of derangements of $[n]$. This conjecture was proved by Chapman and Williams [2]. Han and Xin [6, Theorem 1] generalized Stanley's conjecture by enumerating the number of permutations $\pi \in S_n$ such that the set of descents is J and the number of fixed points is $n - |J|$, which is the largest possible, for any set $J \in [n-1]$. They showed that this number is equal to the

Received May 30, 2016; Revised September 7, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A05, 05A15, 05A19.

Key words and phrases. colored permutation, multi-derangement, exponential formula.

number of derangements with a certain condition on descents. They also found a formula for the generating function for the number of such derangements. To be more precise, we need some definitions.

Let $\text{NFIA}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ (respectively $\text{NFID}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$) be the set of permutations $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$ of $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$ such that if π' is the permutation obtained from π by rearranging the first n_1 elements $\pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_{n_1}$, the next n_2 elements $\pi_{n_1+1}\pi_{n_1+2} \cdots \pi_{n_1+n_2}$, and so on, in ascending order (respectively in descending order), then π' has no fixed points. Here, NFIA stands for **No Fixed points in Ascending order** and NFID stands for **No Fixed points in Descending order**. Note that $|\text{NFID}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)|/n_1! \cdots n_k!$ is the number of derangements of $[n]$ such that the first n_1 elements are in ascending order, the next n_2 elements are in ascending order, and so on.

Using symmetric functions, Han and Xin [6, Theorem 9] showed that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} |\text{NFID}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)| \frac{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_k!} = \frac{1}{(1+x_1) \cdots (1+x_k)(1-x_1-\cdots-x_k)}.$$

Eriksen, Freij, and Wästlund [3, Section 2] found a combinatorial proof of (1). Steinhardt [12, Corollary 4.2] proved the following analogous result of (1):

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} |\text{NFIA}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)| \frac{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_k!} = \frac{(1-x_1) \cdots (1-x_k)}{1-x_1-\cdots-x_k}.$$

In this paper we show that the left hand side of (2) has a natural interpretation in terms of colored permutations defined below. The key idea is the compositional formula for multivariate exponential generating functions.

An (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) -colored permutation is a permutation in $S_{n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_k}$ such that $1, 2, \dots, n_1$ have color 1, and $n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, \dots, n_1 + n_2$ have color 2, and so on. A cycle of an (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) -colored permutation is called *monochromatic* if the elements of the cycle have the same color. We denote by $\text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ the set of (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) -colored permutations with no monochromatic cycles (NMCy stands for **No Monochromatic Cycles**).

In Section 2 we show that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} |\text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)| \frac{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_k!} = \frac{(1-x_1) \cdots (1-x_k)}{1-x_1-\cdots-x_k}.$$

In fact we will show a more general formula using permutation statistics, see Theorem 2.1.

For an application of (3) we consider the set $\text{NFCo}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ of (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) -colored permutations π such that i and π_i have different colors for every i . Here, NFCo stands for **No Fixed Colors**. Such permutations are also called multi-derangements. By finding a simple relation between the generating functions for $|\text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)|$ and $|\text{NFCo}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)|$, we obtain a new proof of the following well known formula

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} |\text{NFCo}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)| \frac{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_k!} = \frac{1}{1 - e_2 - 2e_3 - \cdots - (k-1)e_k},$$

where e_i is the i -th elementary symmetric function on x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k , which is defined by

$$e_i := \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_i \leq k} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_i}.$$

We will show a more general formula using permutation statistics, see Theorem 3.1.

Note that by (2) and (3) we have

$$(5) \quad |\text{NFIA}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)| = |\text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)|.$$

Steinhardt [12, Theorem 6.2] also proved (5) but his proof is not bijective, see Remark 1. In Section 4 we give a bijective proof of (5).

2. The generating function for $\text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$

For a permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$ of $[n]$, an *excedance* of π is an integer $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\pi_i > i$. We will denote by $\text{exc}(\pi)$ and $\text{cyc}(\pi)$ the number of excedances of π and the number of cycles of π respectively. Define a generating function for $\text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ by

$$f_{\text{NMCy}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; y, z) := \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} \left(\sum_{\pi \in \text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)} y^{\text{exc}(\pi)} z^{\text{cyc}(\pi)} \right) \frac{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_k!}.$$

In this section we show the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *We have*

$$f_{\text{NMCy}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; y, z) = \left((1 - y)^{1-k} \frac{(1 - ye^{(1-y)x_1}) \cdots (1 - ye^{(1-y)x_k})}{1 - ye^{(1-y)(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)}} \right)^z.$$

Note that if $y \rightarrow 1$ and $z \rightarrow 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain (3).

Recall that for a permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$, an *ascent* of π is an integer $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ such that $\pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$. Let $\text{asc}(\pi)$ denote the number of

ascent of π . It is well known that the two statistics $\text{exc}(\pi)$ and $\text{asc}(\pi)$ are equidistributed in S_n , see [11, Proposition 1.4.3]. Let $A_n(y)$ be the Eulerian polynomial defined by

$$A_n(y) := \sum_{\pi \in S_n} y^{\text{exc}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} y^{\text{asc}(\pi)}.$$

We denote by C_n the set of n -cycles formed with $1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n(y) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{(1-y)e^{(1-y)x}}{1-ye^{(1-y)x}},$$

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{\pi \in C_n} y^{\text{exc}(\pi)} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \log \frac{1-y}{1-ye^{(1-y)x}}.$$

Proof. Equation (6) is well known, see [11, Proposition 1.4.5]. For (7), observe that if we write an n -cycle $\pi \in C_n$ as $\pi = (n, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$, then $\text{exc}(\pi) = 1 + \text{asc}(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in C_n} y^{\text{exc}(\pi)} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} y^{1+\text{asc}(\sigma)} = y A_{n-1}(y).$$

Integrating both sides of (6) with respect to x , we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} A_{n-1}(y) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{y} \log \frac{1-y}{1-ye^{(1-y)x}},$$

which finishes the proof of (7). □

We now prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We claim that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{X^n}{n!} \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_k = n} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_k} x_1^{n_1} \dots x_k^{n_k} \sum_{\pi \in \text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)} y^{\text{exc}(\pi)} z^{\text{cyc}(\pi)}$$

$$= \exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{X^n}{n!} ((x_1 + \dots + x_k)^n - x_1^n - \dots - x_k^n) \sum_{\pi \in C_n} y^{\text{exc}(\pi)} z \right).$$

A k -colored permutation is a permutation in which every integer has color i for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then the left hand side of (8) is equal to

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{X^n}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\pi: \text{ a } k\text{-colored permutation of } [n] \\ \text{with no monochromatic cycles}}} \text{wt}(\pi),$$

where

$$\text{wt}(\pi) = \prod_{i=1}^k x_i^{(\# \text{ elements of color } i \text{ in } \pi)} y^{\text{exc}(\pi)} z^{\text{cyc}(\pi)}.$$

Since a k -colored permutation π is divided into cycles, by the exponential formula [8, Corollary 5.1.6], (9) is equal to

$$\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{X^n}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\pi: \text{ a } k\text{-colored cycle of } [n] \\ \text{ with at least two colors}}} \text{wt}(\pi) \right),$$

which is equal to the right hand side of (8).

Setting $X = 1$ in (8) and using (7), we get the desired formula. □

3. The generating function for $\text{NFCo}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$

Define a generating function for $\text{NFCo}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{\text{NFCo}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; y, z) \\ := & \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} \left(\sum_{\pi \in \text{NFCo}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)} y^{\text{exc}(\pi)} z^{\text{cyc}(\pi)} \right) \frac{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_k!}. \end{aligned}$$

In this section we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *We have*

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & f_{\text{NFCo}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; y, z) \\ = & (1 - ye_2 - (y + y^2)e_3 - \dots - (y + y^2 + \dots + y^{k-1})e_k)^{-z}. \end{aligned}$$

Askey and Ismail [1] showed (10) when $z = 1$ using MacMahon’s master theorem. Foata and Zeilberger [4] showed (10) when $y = 1$ using the β -extension of MacMahon’s master theorem. Kim and Zeng [7] found a combinatorial proof of (10) when $z = 1$. Zeng [13] showed (10) without restriction using the β -extension of MacMahon’s master theorem. Zeng [14] proved (10) by decomposing multi-derangements into “wave segments”.

We will show (10) by finding a relation between $f_{\text{NMCy}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ and $f_{\text{NFCo}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$. We need a multivariate analog of the compositional formula [8, Theorem 5.1.4].

Let $\Pi(n)$ be the set of partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For $\mu \in \Pi(n)$, the number of blocks of μ is denoted by $|\mu|$. We use the convention that the empty product is 1. For instance, if $S = \emptyset$, then $\prod_{i \in S} g(i) = 1$ for any function g . Lemma 3.2 is a multivariate compositional formula. This can be shown by the same arguments as in the proof of [8, Theorem 5.1.4].

Lemma 3.2 (A multivariate compositional formula). *Suppose that*

$$G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} g(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \frac{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_k!}$$

is a multivariate formal power series, and for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

$$F_i(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} f_i(n) \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

is a formal power series. Let

$$H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} h(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \frac{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_k!}$$

be the multivariate formal power series, where

$$h(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) = \sum_{\substack{\mu_i \in \Pi(n_i) \\ i=1,2,\dots,k}} g(|\mu_1|, |\mu_2|, \dots, |\mu_k|) \prod_{\substack{B \in \mu_i \\ i=1,2,\dots,k}} f_i(|B|).$$

Then we have

$$H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = G(F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2), \dots, F_k(x_k)).$$

Proposition 3.3. We have

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & f_{\text{NMCy}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; y, z) \\ &= f_{\text{NFCo}} \left(\frac{e^{(1-y)x_1} - 1}{1 - ye^{(1-y)x_1}}, \dots, \frac{e^{(1-y)x_k} - 1}{1 - ye^{(1-y)x_k}}; y, z \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & f_{\text{NFCo}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; y, z) \\ &= f_{\text{NMCy}} \left(\frac{1}{1-y} \log \frac{1+x_1}{1+yx_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{1-y} \log \frac{1+x_k}{1+yx_k}; y, z \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The second identity is obtained from the first one by substituting $x'_i = \frac{e^{(1-y)x_i} - 1}{1 - ye^{(1-y)x_i}}$, which is equivalent to $x_i = \frac{1}{1-y} \log \frac{1+x'_i}{1+yx'_i}$. Thus it suffices to show (11).

Let $\pi \in \text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$, and consider a cycle γ of π . Since π has no monochromatic cycles, the cycle γ contains more than one colors. We split γ into intervals, $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r$, in such a way that γ is the concatenation of $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r$, and each σ_i is monochromatic, and for each i the color of σ_i differs from that of σ_{i+1} with convention $\sigma_{r+1} = \sigma_1$. We call each σ_i a *maximal monochromatic interval* in γ , and regard it, being a sequence of distinct integers, as a permutation of its elements. Then γ can be regarded as an r -cycle $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r)$ of permutations $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r$.

We now identify γ with the pair (T, τ) , where $T = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r\}$ is the set of maximal monochromatic intervals defined above and τ is the r -cycle $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r)$. It is easy to see that

$$(13) \quad \text{exc}(\gamma) = \text{exc}(\tau) + \sum_{i=1}^r \text{asc}(\sigma_i),$$

where $\text{exc}(\tau)$ is defined based on the linear order on $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ by $\sigma_i > \sigma_j$ if the first element of σ_i is bigger than that of σ_j .

Let $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ be the set of disjoint cycles of $\pi \in \text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$, where each γ_i is identified with (T_i, τ_i) . Then $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m\}$, regarded as a disjoint cycle decomposition, is a permutation of $T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_m$.

Thus we can identify π as a pair (U, ρ) satisfying the following:

- $U := T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_m$ is the set of all monochromatic permutations, i.e., maximal monochromatic intervals from disjoint cycles of π ,
- every element $j \in [n_1 + \dots + n_k]$ appears in exactly one σ in U and
- $\rho := \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_m\}$ is a permutation of U such that σ and $\rho(\sigma)$ have different colors for every $\sigma \in U$, i.e., ρ is a permutation of no fixed color.

Clearly $\text{cyc}(\pi) = \text{cyc}(\rho)$. Also, from (13), we get

$$\text{exc}(\pi) = \text{exc}(\rho) + \sum_{\sigma \in U} \text{asc}(\sigma).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\pi \in \text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)} y^{\text{exc}(\pi)} z^{\text{cyc}(\pi)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mu_i \in \Pi(n_i) \\ i=1,2,\dots,k}} \left(\sum_{\rho \in \text{NFCo}(|\mu_1|, |\mu_2|, \dots, |\mu_k|)} y^{\text{exc}(\rho)} z^{\text{cyc}(\rho)} \right) \prod_{i=1,2,\dots,k} \sum_{\sigma \in S_B} y^{\text{asc}(\sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_B} y^{\text{asc}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{|B|}} y^{\text{asc}(\sigma)},$$

by Lemma 3.2 and (6), we obtain (11). □

We are ready to give a new proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{\text{NFCo}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; y, z) \\ &= f_{\text{NMCy}} \left(\frac{1}{1-y} \log \frac{1+x_1}{1+yx_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{1-y} \log \frac{1+x_k}{1+yx_k}; y, z \right) \\ &= \left((1-y)^{1-k} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - y \exp \left[(1-y) \frac{1}{1-y} \log \frac{1+x_i}{1+yx_i} \right] \right)}{1 - y \exp \left[(1-y) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{1-y} \log \frac{1+x_i}{1+yx_i} \right]} \right)^z \\ &= \left(\frac{1-y}{\prod_{i=1}^k (1+yx_i) - y \prod_{i=1}^k (1+x_i)} \right)^z. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (1+x_i y) = \sum_{i=0}^k e_i y^i,$$

one can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^k (1+x_i y) - y \prod_{i=1}^k (1+x_i) \\ &= (1-y) (1 - y e_2 - (y+y^2) e_3 - \dots - (y+y^2 + \dots + y^{k-1}) e_k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$f_{\text{NFC}_0}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k; y, z) = (1 - ye_2 - (y + y^2)e_3 - \dots - (y + y^2 + \dots + y^{k-1})e_k)^{-z},$$

which completes the proof. □

4. Bijections

In this section we give a bijective proof of (5). We will follow Steinhardt’s approach [12] using Gessel and Reutenauer’s map.

Let $A(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ be the set of derangements $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_n$ of $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$ such that each of the k intervals

$$\pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_{n_1}, \pi_{n_1+1}\pi_{n_1+2} \dots \pi_{n_1+n_2}, \text{ and so on,}$$

is in ascending order. Note that we can consider $\text{NFIA}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ as the set $A(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \times S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k}$.

For example, let $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) = (8, 5, 1)$ and

$$\pi = | \ 8 \ 7 \ 9 \ 12 \ 6 \ 5 \ 11 \ 10 \ | \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 1 \ 14 \ | \ 13 \ | \in \text{NFIA}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k),$$

where we put a bar ‘|’ between $\pi_{n_1+\dots+n_i}$ and $\pi_{n_1+\dots+n_i+1}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, and at the beginning and at the end for visibility. Then π' is the permutation obtained from π by rearranging the integers between two consecutive bars in ascending order:

$$(14) \ \pi' = | \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8 \ 9 \ 10 \ 11 \ 12 \ | \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 14 \ | \ 13 \ | \in A(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k).$$

We divide π into the k subwords of lengths n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k and then consider them as permutations in $S_{n_1}, S_{n_2}, \dots, S_{n_k}$ to get $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} 8 \ 7 \ 9 \ 12 \ 6 \ 5 \ 11 \ 10 &\cong 4 \ 3 \ 5 \ 8 \ 2 \ 1 \ 7 \ 6 = \sigma_1, \\ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 1 \ 14 &\cong 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 1 \ 5 = \sigma_2, \\ z = 13 &\cong 1 = \sigma_3. \end{aligned}$$

Here, for two words $u = u_1 \dots u_n$ and $v = v_1 \dots v_n$ of integers, we write $u \cong v$ if $u_i < u_j$ implies $v_i < v_j$ and vice versa for all i, j . Then we identify π with $(\pi', \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$.

We now review Gessel and Reutenauer’s map [5].

A *necklace* is a cycle of integers with possible repetitions. An *ornament* is a multiset of necklaces. Let $\Omega(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ denote the set of ornaments ω such that i appears n_i times in the necklaces of ω for each i . Let $\eta = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$ be a necklace. Define b_i for all integers i so that $b_i = b_j$ if $i \equiv j \pmod m$. A *period* of η is an integer d such that $b_{i+d} = b_i$ for all i . We say that η is *r-repeating* if $r = m/d$, where d is the smallest period of η . A *primitive* necklace is a 1-repeating necklace. An ornament is called *primitive* if all of its necklaces are primitive. Let $\Omega_0(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ be the set of primitive ornaments in $\Omega(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ with no necklaces containing only one element.

For a permutation π , we define $\phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}(\pi) \in \Omega(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ to be the ornament obtained from the cycles of π by replacing j with i if

$$n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1 \leq j \leq n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + n_i$$

for all $j \in [n]$. In other words, $\phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}(\pi)$ is the ornament obtained from the cycles of π by replacing each element with its color. For example, the permutation π' in (14) has the cycles

$$(1, 5, 9), (2, 6, 10), (3, 7, 11), (4, 8, 12), (13, 14).$$

Thus the image of π' under this map is

$$(15) \quad \phi_{8,5,1}(\pi') = \{(1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2), (2, 3)\}.$$

Proposition 4.1 ([5, Lemma 3.4]). *The map $\phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}$ is a bijection between $A(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ and $\Omega_0(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$.*

By Proposition 4.1, (5) is equivalent to

$$(16) \quad n_1!n_2! \cdots n_k! |\Omega_0(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)| = |\text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)|.$$

Remark 1. In the sketch of proof of [12, Theorem 6.2] Steinhardt states (16) without explanation. However, (16) is nontrivial since $\text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ has no obvious symmetries giving the factor $n_1!n_2! \cdots n_k!$.

We will give a bijective proof of (16). We define the map

$$\psi : \Omega_0(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \times S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k} \rightarrow \text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$$

as follows.

- (1) Let $(\omega, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in \Omega_0(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \times S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_k}$. Any necklace in ω can be represented by the word that is the smallest in lexicographic order among the words read from it. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ be the sequence of words obtained by reading the necklaces in ω such that each γ_i is the smallest word which makes the corresponding necklace and $\gamma_1 \leq \dots \leq \gamma_m$ in lexicographic order.
- (2) For a permutation σ and an integer j , let $\sigma + j$ denote the word obtained from σ by increasing each integer by j . For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $\sigma'_i = \sigma_i + (n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1})$, where $n_0 = 0$.
- (3) Note that, for each i , the integer i appears n_i times in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$. Let ρ_1, \dots, ρ_m be the sequence of words obtained from the sequence $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ by replacing the n_i i 's with the elements of σ'_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$. More precisely, the j -th occurrence of i is replaced with the element in the j -th position in σ'_i .
- (4) Let $S \subset [m]$ be a maximal set subject to $\gamma_i = \gamma_j$ for all $i, j \in S$. Then $S = \{s+1, s+2, \dots, s+r\}$ for some integers s and r . Let $\tau = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_r \in S_r$ be the permutation such that $\tau_i < \tau_j$ if and only if $\rho_{s+i} < \rho_{s+j}$ in lexicographic order. In this case we say that τ and $\rho_{s+1}, \dots, \rho_{s+r}$ are *order-isomorphic*. Let C_S be the set of cycles obtained from the cycles of τ by replacing τ_i with ρ_{s_i} for all i . We define $\psi(\omega, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ to be

the permutation whose cycles are the elements of the union of C_S for all S .

Example 1. Let $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) = (8, 5, 1)$. Let

$$\omega = \{(1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2), (2, 3)\}$$

be the ornament in (15) and $\sigma_1 = 43582176$, $\sigma_2 = 23415$ and $\sigma_3 = 1$ as before. Note that

$$(17) \quad \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_5 = 112, \quad 112, \quad 112, \quad 112, \quad 23,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_1 &= \sigma_1 = 4 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 8 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 7 \quad 6, \\ \sigma'_2 &= \sigma_2 + n_1 = 10 \quad 11 \quad 12 \quad 9 \quad 13, \\ \sigma'_3 &= \sigma_3 + (n_1 + n_2) = 14. \end{aligned}$$

By replacing the eight 1's with σ'_1 , the five 2's with σ'_2 , and the one 3 with σ'_3 in (17), we have

$$\rho_1, \dots, \rho_5 = \mathbf{4 \quad 3} \quad 10, \quad \mathbf{5 \quad 8} \quad 11, \quad \mathbf{2 \quad 1} \quad 12, \quad \mathbf{7 \quad 6} \quad 9, \quad 13 \quad 14,$$

where the elements of σ'_1 are written in bold face. Since $\gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_4$, we consider ρ_1, \dots, ρ_4 which is order-isomorphic to $2314 = (123)(4) \in S_4$. Thus we construct the cycles

$$(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (4, 3, 10, 5, 8, 11, 2, 1, 12), \quad (\rho_4) = (7, 6, 9).$$

Thus,

$$\psi(\omega, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) = (4, 3, 10, 5, 8, 11, 2, 1, 12)(7, 6, 9)(13, 14).$$

Theorem 4.2. *The map*

$$\psi : \Omega_0(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \times S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_k} \rightarrow \text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$$

is a bijection.

Proof. We will show this theorem by constructing the inverse map of ψ .

Let $\pi \in \text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$. We define a map $\pi \mapsto (\omega, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ as follows.

- (1) Let H be the set of words γ on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that
 - $\phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}(\pi)$ contains the necklace $\overbrace{(\gamma, \dots, \gamma)}^j$ for some integer $j \geq 1$,
 - (γ) is primitive and γ is the smallest word among all of its cyclic shifts in lexicographic order,
 where we regard a word γ as a sequence of integers in the natural way.

- (2) For $\gamma \in H$, we define T_γ to be the set of all words ρ satisfying that ρ is a consecutive subsequence in some cycle of π and $\phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}(\rho) = \gamma$. Here, $\phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}(\rho)$ denotes the word obtained from ρ by replacing each number in ρ , say j , with i if

$$n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1 \leq j \leq n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + n_i.$$

- (3) For $\gamma \in H$, let

$$\rho_1^\gamma < \rho_2^\gamma < \dots < \rho_{m_\gamma}^\gamma$$

be the elements of T_γ ordered by lexicographic order. Consider the cycles of π containing the words in T_γ as consecutive subsequences. In these cycles, if we replace the consecutive subsequence which forms ρ_i^γ by i for each i , we obtain cycles consisting of $1, 2, \dots, m_\gamma$. The resulting cycles form a permutation, which we denote by

$$\tau^\gamma = \tau_1^\gamma \tau_2^\gamma \dots \tau_{m_\gamma}^\gamma.$$

Then we define W_γ to be the sequence of the elements in T_γ according to the permutation τ^γ , that is,

$$W_\gamma = \rho_{\tau_1^\gamma}^\gamma, \rho_{\tau_2^\gamma}^\gamma, \dots, \rho_{\tau_{m_\gamma}^\gamma}^\gamma.$$

- (4) Let

$$W = \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_m$$

be the concatenation of the sequence W_γ for all $\gamma \in H$ where we start with the lexicographically smallest γ and proceed with the next smallest one, and so on.

- (5) We now define ω to be the ornament $\{(\gamma_1), \dots, (\gamma_m)\}$ where $\gamma_i = \phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}(\rho_i)$. Here, we consider γ_i as a sequence of integers as before.
 (6) For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we define σ_i to be the permutation in S_{n_i} which is order-isomorphic to the word obtained from W by taking the integers from $n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + 1$ to $n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1} + n_i$.

It is easy to see that $\pi \mapsto (\omega, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ is the inverse map of ψ . □

Combining $\phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}$ and ψ , we obtain a bijective proof of (5).

Example 2. Let $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) = (8, 5, 1)$ and consider

$$\pi = (4, 3, 10, 5, 8, 11, 2, 1, 12)(7, 6, 9)(13, 14) \in \text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k).$$

The map $\pi \mapsto (\omega, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ in the proof of Theorem 4.2 is constructed as follows. Since

$$\phi_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}(\pi) = (1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2)(1, 1, 2)(2, 3) \in \text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k),$$

we have $H = \{112, 23\}$,

$$T_{112} = \{\rho_1^{112} = 2 \ 1 \ 12, \ \rho_2^{112} = 4 \ 3 \ 10, \ \rho_3^{112} = 5 \ 8 \ 11, \ \rho_4^{112} = 7 \ 6 \ 9\},$$

$$T_{23} = \{13 \ 14\}.$$

The cycles of π containing the elements in T_{112} are

$$(4, 3, 10, 5, 8, 11, 2, 1, 12), \quad (7, 6, 9).$$

If we replace the consecutive subsequences “2,1,12”, “4,3,10”, “5,8,11”, “7,6,9” with 1, 2, 3, 4 respectively in these cycles, we obtain $(2, 3, 1) = (1, 2, 3)$ and (4). Thus

$$\tau^{112} = (1, 2, 3)(4) = 2314,$$

and

$$W_{112} = \rho_2^{112}, \rho_3^{112}, \rho_1^{112}, \rho_4^{112} = 4 \ 3 \ 10, \ 5 \ 8 \ 11, \ 2 \ 1 \ 12, \ 7 \ 6 \ 9.$$

Similarly, we have $\tau^{23} = (1) = 1$ and $W_{23} = 13 \ 14$. Thus,

$$W = W_{112}, W_{23} = 4 \ 3 \ 10, \ 5 \ 8 \ 11, \ 2 \ 1 \ 12, \ 7 \ 6 \ 9, \ 13 \ 14.$$

Finally we obtain that

$$\omega = \{(1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2), (2, 3)\}$$

and $\sigma_1 = 43582176$, $\sigma_2 = 23415$ and $\sigma_3 = 1$.

5. Final remarks

As $\text{NFIA}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ has a counterpart $\text{NMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$, the set $\text{NFID}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ has a combinatorial counterpart as follows.

Let $\text{EMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ be the set of (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) -colored permutations in which the sum of the lengths of the monochromatic cycles of each color is even (EMCy stands for **E**venly **M**onochromatic **C**ycles). Using the exponential formula, one can show that

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0} |\text{EMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)| \frac{x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_k!} = \frac{1}{(1+x_1) \cdots (1+x_k)(1-x_1-\cdots-x_k)}.$$

Thus from (1) and (18) we get

$$(19) \quad |\text{NFID}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)| = |\text{EMCy}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)|.$$

We can also prove (19) bijectively, by using the same idea as in Theorem 4.2.

It will be interesting to find a refinement of (18) which is analogous to Theorem 2.1.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful of an anonymous reviewer whose comments have been very helpful in improving the readability of the proof of Proposition 3.3 and Section 4.

The second author was partially supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2013R1A1A2061006) and by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government

(MSIP) (2016R1A5A1008055). The third author was supported by 2015 Research Grant from Kangwon National University(No. 520150211).

References

- [1] R. Askey and M. E. H. Ismail, *Permutation problems and special functions*, *Canad. J. Math.* **28** (1976), no. 4, 853–874.
- [2] R. Chapman and L. K. Williams, *A conjecture of Stanley on alternating permutations*, *Electron. J. Combin.* **14** (2007), no. 1, Note 16, 7 pp.
- [3] N. Eriksen, R. Freij, and J. Wästlund, *Enumeration of derangements with descents in prescribed positions*, *Electron. J. Combin.* **16** (2009), #R32.
- [4] D. Foata and D. Zeilberger, *Laguerre polynomials, weighted derangements, and positivity*, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **1** (1988), no. 4, 425–433.
- [5] I. M. Gessel and C. Reutenauer, *Counting permutations with given cycle structure and descent set*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **64** (1993), no. 2, 189–215.
- [6] G.-N. Han and G. Xin, *Permutations with extremal number of fixed points*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **116** (2009), no. 2, 449–459.
- [7] D. Kim and J. Zeng, *A new decomposition of derangements*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **96** (2001), no. 1, 192–198.
- [8] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 62 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [9] ———, *Alternating permutations and symmetric functions*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **114** (2007), no. 3, 436–460.
- [10] ———, *A survey of alternating permutations*, In *Combinatorics and graphs*, volume 531 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 165–196. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [11] ———, *Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 1*, Second ed., Cambridge University Press, New York/Cambridge, 2011.
- [12] J. Steinhardt, *Permutations with ascending and descending blocks*, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17** (2010), #R14.
- [13] J. Zeng, *Linéarisation de produits de polynômes de Meixner*, Krawtchouk, et Charlier., *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **21** (1990), no. 5, 1349–1368.
- [14] ———, *Weighted derangements and the linearization coefficients of orthogonal Sheffer polynomials*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **65** (1992), no. 1, 1–22.

DONGSU KIM
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
KAIST
DAEJEON 34141, KOREA
E-mail address: dongsu.kim@kaist.ac.kr

JANG SOO KIM
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY
SUWON 16419, KOREA
E-mail address: jangsookim@skku.edu

SEUNGHYUN SEO
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION
KANGWON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
CHUNCHEON 24341, KOREA
E-mail address: shyunseo@kangwon.ac.kr