### Original Research Article

# Comparison of Protein Profiles of Proso Millet (*Panicum miliaceum*) Seeds of Various Korean Cultivars

Swapan Kumar Roy<sup>1</sup>, Soo-Jeong Kwon<sup>1</sup>, Je-Hyeok Yu<sup>1</sup>, Kabita Sarker<sup>1</sup>, Seong-Woo Cho<sup>2</sup>, Young-Ja Moon<sup>3</sup>, Tae-Wook Jung<sup>4</sup>, Cheol-Ho Park<sup>5</sup>, and Sun-Hee Woo<sup>1,†</sup>

**ABSTRACT** Seed storage proteins are used as carbon and nitrogen sources for the nutritional improvement of seeds. Since the composition of proteins from the Korean cultivars of proso millet is unknown, this study was conducted to obtain a reference map of millet seed proteins and identify the functional characteristics of the identified proteins. Proteins extracted from proso millet seeds of various cultivars were investigated using proteomic techniques such as 2-D electrophoresis coupled with mass fingerprinting; 1152 (differentially expressed) protein spots were detected on the 2-D gels. Among them, 26 reproducible protein spots were analyzed using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight/time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Out of the 26 proteins, 2 proteins were upregulated in all the millet cultivars, while 13 proteins were upregulated and 11 proteins were downregulated in 2 cultivars. Abundance of most of the identified protein species associated with polysaccharide and starch metabolism, transcription, and pathogenesis was significantly enhanced, while that of other protein species involved in glycolysis, stress response, and transduction was severely reduced. Taken together, the results suggest that the differential expression of the proteins from the four millet cultivars may be cultivar-specific. By conducting a proteomic investigation of millet seeds from different cultivars, we sought to better understand the functional categorization of individual proteins on the basis of their molecular functions. We believe that the identified proteins may help in investigating genetic variations in millet cultivars.

Keywords : 2-DE, proso millet, protein profiling, seed protein

**Grains**, the seeds of many grasses, are considered a main source of carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins in a balanced diet. They also provide fiber, which has various health promoting functions such as anti-diabetic effect, cholesterol lowering effect, and prevention of heart disease (Brown *et al.*, 1999; Marlett *et al.*, 2002; Pereira *et al.*, 2004).

Furthermore, seeds play a crucial role in the life cycle of plants; because they are essential for plant reproduction (Yang *et al.*, 2009). Cereal crops are cultivated mainly for the stored protein, oil, and carbohydrates that eventually accumulated in seeds. Seeds storage protein (SSP) is the main source of carbon, nitrogen, sulfur triglycerides and carbohydrate reserves

(Herman and Larkins 1999). SSPs are convinced to be an authentic source as plant proteins that consumed by humans. During the posterior stages of seed development, SSPs are accumulated in seed development and degraded during germination (Jha *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, SSPs play an important role for the development of seedling growth and also important source of protein for humans and animals (Foley *et al.*, 2011).

The proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.) is a cereal plant cultivated for its grain, mostly in Asia and North America. It is a warm-season grass with a short growing season and low moisture requirement that is capable of producing food or

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: Sun-Hee Woo; (Phone) +82-43-261-2515; (E-mail) shwoo@chungbuk.ac.kr <Received 17 January, 2017; Revised 20 February, 2017; Accepted 23 February, 2017>

ⓒ 본 학회지의 저작권은 한국작물학회지에 있으며, 이의 무단전재나 복제를 금합니다.

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup>Department of Crop Science, Chungbuk National University, Cheong-ju 28644, Korea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup>Department of Crop Science and Biotechnology, Chonbuk National University, Jeon-ju 54896, Korea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3)</sup>Department of Food Nutrition and Cookery, Woosong College, Daejeon 34606, Korea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4)</sup>Rural Development Administration, Jeon-ju 54875, Korea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5)</sup>College of Biomedical Science, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 24341, Korea

feed where other grain crops would fail (Baltensperger *et al.*, 1995; Helm *et al.*, 1990). Millet is a general term for a wide range of small seeded cereals (Marçon 1994). The millets of the Poaceae (Gramineae) are small seeded annual grasses, including a wide range of cereals, that may be used both as grain and for forage. Proso millet (2n=4x=36), an allotetraploid self-pollinated cereal with highest water-use efficiency, is the best rotational crop in dryland production system in the semi-arid high plains of the USA and elsewhere in the world (Rajput 2015).

Previous report revealed that millet proteins are good sources of essential amino acids except lysine and threonine, but are relatively high in methionine (Mal et al., 2010). In proso millet grains, protein content is comparable with that of wheat, but the share of essential amino acids (leucine, isoleucine and methionine) is substantially higher in proso millet (Saleh et al., 2013). Proteomic approaches has gained significant attention as a tool of protein identification in plants and many proteomic studies have been conducted on some grains such as soybean (Koo et al., 2011), pea (Bourgeois et al., 2009), peanut (Kottapalli et al., 2008), salicornia (Jha et al., 2012), subclover (Sahnoun-Abid et al., 2009), lupin (Islam et al., 2012), rapeseed (Hajduch et al., 2006), medicago (Gallardo et al., 2003), arabidopsis (Gallardo et al., 2001), wheat (Islam et al., 2002; Majoul et al., 2003; Skylas et al., 2001), sorghum (Mesa-Stonestreet et al., 2010) and barley (Finnie et al., 2004). However, proteome profiling have conducted in the germinating seeds of soybean through one-dimensional gel electrophoresis followed by liquid chromatography combined with tandem mass spectrometry and confirmed 764 proteins belonging to 14 functional groups as well as metabolism related proteins in the largest group (Han et al., 2013). In pea, 156 identified proteins have provided a fine dissection of the seed storage protein which ultimately exposing a large diversity of storage proteins (Bourgeois et al., 2009).

Although, few preliminary reports of millet using 2-D gel electrophoresis have been employed by time, especially towards salinity stress (Veeranagamallaiah *et al.*, 2008), there is still lack of study on protein profiling using electrophoresis with MALDI TOF/TOF MS. In the present study, a high throughput proteome technique was employed for establishing a comprehensive seed proteomes profile from proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.). The proteomic profiling of Proso millet seeds were analyzed using 2D IEF/PAGE electrophoresis with MALDI-TOF/TOF MS for obtaining a reference map, understanding the functional characteristics of the identified proteins and identifying cultivar-specific protein expression changes.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Experimental materials

Four different cultivars (Leebaekchal, Manhongchal, Miryang 7 and Miyang 8) of proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.), harvested in 2013, were obtained from Rural Development Administration (RDA), Miryang, Korea, and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C prior to analysis.

#### Extraction of proteins

Seed proteins were extracted according to previously described methods (Kamal et al., 2011) with a slight modification. Proso millet seeds (500 mg) were ground in a mortar with liquid nitrogen. The samples (seeds) were mixed with the solution I (10% TCA in acetone containing 0.07% 2-mercaptoethanol) and then sonicated for 10 min. After sonication, the suspensions were centrifuged at 20000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The precipitates (residues) were washed twice with the solution II (100% acetone containing 0.07% 2-mercaptoethanol), and then vacuum-dried to dryness at 25°C by speed vac concentrator. The dried sample was suspended in lysis buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 5% CHAPS, and 2 mM tributylphosphine). After incubation, the supernatants were recovered by centrifuging (20,000 x g, for 20 min at 4°C) and stored at -80°C for the analyses. The protein concentration in samples was determined by Bradford assay (Bradford 1976).

### Gel electrophoresis and image analysis

Two-dimensional electrophoresis of proteins in the proso millet was performed according to the previously described protocol (O'Farrell 1975) with minor modification. Sample solutions (400  $\mu$ g) were loaded onto the acidic side of the IEF tube gels (11 cm × 2 mm), and an IEF gel was adopted specifically for pH range 3 to 10 (carrier ampholyte) in addition to the acidic and basic ranges. SDS-PAGE in the second dimension (Nihon Eido, Tokyo, Japan) was executed with 12% separation and 5% stacking gels with 13 cm x 13 cm gel plates. Protein spots in the 2-DE gels were visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue (G-250) staining. Each biological sample was carried out three times and the visualized gels were selected for image analysis in each replication. 2-DE gels were scanned with an image scanner (HP Scanjet G4010, CA, USA; 300 dpi, 32 bits per pixel). Computer assisted 2-DE image analysis was performed with Progenesis SameSpot software version 3.0 (Nonlinear Dynamics Ltd, Durham, NC, USA).

# In-gel digestion

CBB-stained gel slices were washed several times with 30% methanol until the colors were completely removed. Then the gel slices were destained with 10 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>) HCO<sub>3</sub> in 50% ACN (Acetonitrile), squeezed for 10 min with 100% ACN and dried by vacuum centrifugation. After destaining steps, the gel slices were reduced with 10 mM DTT in 100 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)HCO<sub>3</sub> at 56°C for 1hr and then alkylated with 55 mM iodoacetamide (IAA) in 100 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)HCO<sub>3</sub> in the dark for 40min. Then the gel slices were digested with 50 µL trypsin buffer (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI 53711-5399, USA) and incubated at 37°C for 16 hours. After digestion steps, the peptides were extracted with 50 mM ABC (Ammonium Bi-Carbonate) and repeated these steps several times with a solution containing 0.1% formic acid in 50% ACN (Acetonitrile) until 200~250 µL. The solution containing eluted peptides was concentrated up to drying by vacuum centrifugation and the resultant extracts were confirmed by MALDI-TOF-TOF mass spectrometry.

### Mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-TOF/MS) analysis

Selected spots from 2-DE gels were analyzed to evaluate the compatibility between protein extraction and mass spectrometry as well as to disclose the protein classes that populate each 2-DE gels. Mass spectra were acquired in an ABI 4700 Proteomics Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using 3, 5-dimethoxy-4-hydroxycinnamic acid as matrix and the resulting data by the GPS Explorer package (Applied Biosystems). Peptides were dissolved in 0.5% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and desalted with a ZipTip C18 (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Those purified peptides were then eluted directly onto a MALDI plate by using an  $\alpha$ -cyano-4- hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) matrix solution [10 mg per cm3 of CHCA in 0.5% (v/v) TFA + 50% (v/v) acetonitrile; 1:1]. All mass spectra were acquired in the reflection mode with 0 - 4000 m/z by a 4700 proteomics analyzer (Applied Bio-systems, Framingham, MA, USA). External calibration was performed using a standard peptide mixture of des-Arg bradykinin, angiotensin, Glufibrinopeptide B, adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) clip 1-17, ACTH clip 18-39, and ACTH clip 7-38.

# Bioinformatics analysis of proteins

To identify the peptides, acquired MS/MS spectra were evaluated using Mascot Generic File (MGF) with an in-house licensed MASCOT search engine (Mascot v. 2.3.01, Matrix Science, London, UK) against the viridiplantae within the NCBInr database. MASCOT was used with the monoisotopic mass selected, a peptide mass tolerance of 50 ppm, and a fragment iron mass tolerance of 2 Da. The instrument setting was specified as MALDI-TOF/TOF. The carbamidomethylation of cysteines was set as a fixed modification whereas the oxidation of methionines was set as a variable modification. Trypsin was specified as the proteolytic enzyme with one potential missed cleavage. All proteins identified by high-scoring peptides were considered true matches, and at least two peptide matches. Protein hits were validated if the identification involved at least 10 top-ranking peptides with p < 0.05 and peptide scores > 34, and also selected false positive rate < 0.05. When those peptides matched multiple members of a protein family, the presented protein was selected based on the highest score and the greatest number of matching peptides.

Proteins identified from the present study were grouped into functional categories based on gene ontology using data available on the protein information resources (PIR) (http://pir.georgetown.edu) as well as literature sources.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Separation of millet seeds by 2-DE

Protein samples were extracted from the matural proso millet seeds of the four cultivars (Leebaekchal, Manhongchal, Miryang 7 and Miyang 8) and were analyzed to investigate the seed storage proteins using proteomic techniques. The 1152



Fig. 1. Representative gel images from the 2-D electrophoresis analysis of Leebaekchal (A), Manhongchal (B), Miryang 7 (C), and Miyang 8 (D) proso millet seeds. The seeds were fractionated using the TCA-acetone precipitation method, as described in the Materials and Methods section. Proteins were extracted from the seeds of the four proso millet cultivars. For iso-electric focusing, 400 μg samples were loaded onto the acidic side of IEF tube gels (11 cm × 2 mm), which were pre-run at 150 V for 1 h, 300 V for 1 h, and 500 V for 16 h for the first dimension. Second-dimensional electrophoresis (Nihon Eido, Tokyo, Japan) was performed with 12% separation and 5% stacking gels with 13 cm × 13-cm gel plates and then stained with CBB. The differentially expressed protein spots (>1.5-fold difference) are indicated using circles on the 2-D gel map and are statistically significant to a level of 95% per group (Student's t-test) using biological and analytical replicates (n = 3). The MW of each protein was determined using standard markers.



**Fig. 2.** Differential (A) iso-electric point (p*I*) and (B) molecular weight (kDa) of the identified proteins from Leebaekchal, Manhongchal, Miryang 7, and Miyang 8 cultivars of proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum*).

reproducible protein spots were detected in 2D gel, which were mostly placed in the center of 2D gels (Fig. 1). However, the pattern of 26 protein spots was significantly different between the four cultivars when the difference threshold was set to more than 1.5 fold (Fig. 3). Among the 26 identified

proteins, 2 proteins were up-regulated against all millet cultivars. Thirteen proteins (13) were up-related while 11 proteins were down-regulated against two cultivars (Table 1). Interestingly, some of the differentiating proteins identified as a chain form in the gels with similar molecular weights but



Fig. 3. Relative protein intensities of differentially expressed proteins from different proso millet cultivars. The proteins were extracted from seeds of the four proso millet cultivars. Results are presented as mean  $\pm$  SE values of the relative protein intensities of 3 biological replicates. The gels were stained with CBB, and differential abundance of the proteins was determined using Progenesis SameSpot software.



Fig. 4. Protein encoding gene function of 26 differentially expressed proteins from proso millet seeds. Frequency distribution of the identified proteins within functional categories was based on molecular functions (A), cellular components (B), and biological processes (C). Classifications were made using iProClass databases, and assignment by function was based on gene ontology. Blue and red bars indicate induced and reduced proteins, respectively.

different p*I* values. The p*I* of 13 protein spots was between 5 and 6 accounted for 50% of the total number of protein spots (Fig. 2A). In addition, the molecular mass of  $\sim$ 35% of the proteins was between 20 kDa and 30 kDa (Fig. 2B).

# Functional classification of identified proteins

The 26 differentially expressed protein spots in the proso

millet seeds were identified by MASCOT DB and classified into the functional categories. Gene ontology categories were assigned to all 26 proteins according to their molecular function, cellular component and biological processes (Fig. 4 A-C).

The proteins were classified into 8 groups according to molecular function. The nucleic binding group has the greatest number of the identified proteins followed by transferase activity and ion binding (Fig. 4A). The identified proteins were classified into 5 categories according to cellular location (localization). Most of the proteins were localized in the membrane, plastids and cytoplasm (Fig. 4B).

Based on biological function, the identified proteins were classified into the following 10 biological process categories: glycolysis [L-carnitine dehydrogenase (spot 122), enolase (spot 294),]; stress response [Heat shock protein (spot 160), 60 kDa chaperonin alpha subunit (spot 255), 60 kDa chaperonin alpha subunit (spot 256), heat shock protein (spot 404)]; metabolism [GMP synthase (spot 179), uncharacterized protein (spot 322), uncharacterized protein (spot 431), glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase (spot 325), starch synthase, chloroplastic/amyloplastic (spot 400), starch synthase, chloroplastic/amyloplastic (spot 453), methyltransferase (spot 529), uncharacterized protein (spot 952)]; mitotic nuclear division [kinetochore protein (spot 627)]; transcription [XRE family transcriptional regulator (spot 525)]; Biosynthetic pathway [ATP phosphoribosyltransferase (spot 653), Cysteine synthase CysK (spot 653)]; protein repair [methionine-R-sulfoxide reductase (spot 669)]; transduction [Rho3 protein (spot 699)]; pathogenesis [6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase protein (spot 951)]; and unknown functions [uncharacterized protein (spot 664), uncharacterized protein (spot 688), ketoisovalerate oxidoreductase (spot 706), zinc finger (spot 879), calcium ion binding protein, putative (spot 984)]. Among the 10 biological process categories, methbolism group has the largest number of proteins followed by stress response (Fig. 4C).

# Changes in protein expression patterns in millet seeds

Proteomic technologies are the most widely applied for protein identification in cereals (Chi *et al.*, 2010; Chitteti and Peng 2007; Ding *et al.*, 2012; Fan *et al.*, 2011; He *et al.*, 2011; Nwugo and Huerta 2010; Torabi *et al.*, 2009; Yang *et al.*, 2007a; Yang *et al.*, 2006). In this study, 2-DE combined with MALDI-TOF-MS was carried out for protein profiling of proso millet seeds. The identified proteins were classified based on the biological functions; and their expressions were ellustrated in the Fig. 5. Several unknown proteins were not identified due to the lack of genomic sequencing. Some of the identified millet seed protein species associated with primary biological processes are discussed below.



Fig. 5. An overview of the differentially expressed proteins of *Panicum miliaceum* seeds. L-CDH: L-carnitine dehydrogenase; HSP: Heat-shock protein 70; GMP: GMP synthase; CAS: 60-kDa chaperonin alpha subunit; UP: Uncharacterized protein; GPA: Glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase; SS: Starch synthase, chloroplastic/ amyloplastic; XRE FTR: XRE family transcriptional regulator; MT: Methyltransferase; KP: Kinetochore protein; Cys: Cysteine synthase CysK; ATP-PRTase: ATP phosphoribosyltransferase; MSR: Methionine-Rsulfoxide reductase; RP: Rho3 protein; KO: Ketoisovalerate oxidoreductase; ZF: Zinc finger; PGD: 6-Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase protein; CBP: Calcium ion-binding protein, putative.

# Proteins involved in metabolism

Metabolism-related proteins play an important role to maintain seed vigor and are mainly associated with carbohydrate metabolic pathways including glycolysis and the TCA cycle (He *et al.*, 2011). A large number of metabolism-related proteins were found in proso millet seeds. The expression of these proteins was significantly changed by storage.

The abundance of L-carnitine dehydrogenase (Table 1, spot 122) was significantly increased in the Miryang7 cultivar, but decreased in the Manhongchal and Miryang8 cultivars. However, enolase (Table 1, spot 294), which was remarkably identified in the proso millet seeds, was down-regulated in the Miryang7 cultivar but up-regulated in the Manhongchal and Miryang8 during seed storage. Many plastidial glycolytic and Calvin cycle were activated during seed filling in the four oil seeds in *Brassica napus* L., except PGAM and enolase. These activities are required for the carbon dioxide recycling in plant (Schwender *et al.*, 2004). Some studies reported that enolases were decreased during the development and germination in plant seeds (He and Yang 2013; Li *et al.*, 2012).

Guanosine 5'-phosphate synthase (Table 1, spot 179) contributing the formation of GMP and PPi from xantosine-5'P was down-regulated in the Miryang7 and Miryang8 cultivars, but up-regulated in the Manhongchal cultivar during the storage. It appears that GMP synthase substrate (xanthosine- 5'P) could derive from biochemical routes involving a pool of xantine and xantosine-triP or-tetraPi in a salvage pathway (Gallo *et al.*, 2010).

The upregulation of methyltransferase (Table 1, spot 529) was found towards all cultivars of proso millet seeds. Oge *et al.* (2008) exhibited that methyltransferase induces longevity and germination vigour in Arabidopsis.

# Proteins involved in starch metabolism

Three starch metabolism-related proteins such as glucose-1phosphate adenylyltransferase (Table 1, spot 325), starch synthase, chloroplastic/amyloplastic (Table 1, spots 400, 453) are found in the proso millet seeds. The level of glucose-1phosphate adenylyltransferase was increased in the Manhongchal and Miryang8 cultivars but decreased in the Miryang 7 cultivar. Starch synthase, chloroplastic/amyloplastic was up-regulated in the Manhongchal and Miryang8 cultivars but down-regulated in the Miryang7. Emes et al. (2003) demonstrated that grain yield is largely affected by starch accumulation during the grain filling phase in cereals. Starch biosynthesis is initiated with ADP-glucose produced by glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase (ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase/ AGPase) reaction. AGPase, located in plastids, is a key regulatory enzyme in starch biosynthesis (Smidansky et al., 2007). Granule-bound starch synthase (GBSS), one of the key enzymes in the starch biosynthesis, converts UDP-glucose and ADP-glucose in plant tissue (Macdonald and Preiss 1983).

### Proteins involved in stress response

Several proteins including heat shock protein 70 (Table 1,

spots 160, 404), 60 kDa chaperonin alpha subunit (Table 1, spots 255, 256) were found in the proso millet seeds. Heat shock factor binding protein (HSP, spot 160) was up-regulated in the Manhongchal cultivar but down-regulated in the Miryang7 and Miryang8 cultivars during storage of proso millet seeds. HSPs a kind of molecular chaperone, are involved in the reparing of stress-damaged proteins through the protein re-naturation (Sun et al., 2002; Vierling 1991). The expression of heat-shock proteins (HSPs) are associated with desiccation. Guo et al. (2007) suggested that HSP 70 plays an important role in cellular redox regulation . Some studies have demonstrated that several genes, which involved with HSPs, expressed during seed development under heat stress (Crosatti et al., 1999; Wehmeyer and Vierling 2000). Abundance of two HSPs proteins, HSP70 (Table 1, spot 160) and heat shock cognate 60 kDa chaperonin alpha subunit (Table 1, spot 255) were the major HSP proteins in the proso millet seeds that were significantly increased during storage (Table 1, Fig. 3). HSPs is thought to function by restoring protein structure and prohibiting protein denaturation (Wang et al., 2004). Although the expression of HSP 70 decreased during germinatiom, it was still remained in Arabidopsis (Gallardo et al., 2001). Georgopoulos and Welch (1993) reported similar results showing that the expression of these HSP proteins were increased in response to heat stress. Some researchers demonstrated that the desiccation, water stress and heat shock reduced the abundance of these proteins in the creeping bent grass cultivars, which are contrary to our results (Xu and Huang, 2010; Sano et al., 2013). Yacoubi et al., et al. (2011) reported that the HSP 70 and GroEL-like chaperone were up-regulated in Medicago sativa during germination.

### Proteins involved in transcription

XRE family transcriptional regulator (Table 1, spot 525) was up-regulated in the Miryang7 and Miryang8 cultivars but down-regulated in the Manhongchal cultivar of the proso millet seeds. However, Rajjou *et al.* (2004) reported that XRE family transcriptional regulator was up-regulated in Arabidopsis during storage.

# Proteins involved in cysteine biosynthetic pathway

Cysteine synthase, which required for cysteine biosynthesis in plants is one of the most important enzymes in sulfur

 Table 1. Differentially changed proteins identified from seeds of Leebaekchal, Manhongchal, Miryang 7, and Miyang 8 cultivars of Panicum miliaceum by using MALDI-TOF-TOF mass spectrometry.

SN <sup>a</sup>	PA <sup>b</sup>	Protein Description	PS <sup>c</sup>	MW <sup>d</sup>	p <i>I</i> <sup>e</sup>	$\mathrm{PM}^{\mathrm{f}}$	PC <sup>g</sup>	Cultivar-Specific Differential Protein Expression			
								Leebaekchal	Manhongchal	Miryang7	Miryang8
Glycc	olysis										
122	gi 497503398	L-carnitine dehydrogenase	50	52114	7.6	8	26	Control	Х	$\checkmark$	Х
294	gi 90110845	Enolase	105	48285	5.6	10	39	Control	$\checkmark$	Х	$\checkmark$
Stress	s response										
160	gi 527187624	Heat shock protein 70	98	71617	5.1	15	26	Control	$\checkmark$	Х	Х
255	Q2QU06	60 kDa chaperonin alpha subunit	188	61150	5.1	10	42	Control	Х	Х	$\checkmark$
256	Q7X9A7	60 kDa chaperonin alpha subunit	175	61477	5.2	11	52	Control	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
404	gi 48716124	Heat shock protein	163	43113	5.1	14	19	Control	Х	Х	$\checkmark$
Metabolism											
179	gi 512440358	GMP synthase	61	59106	5.7	13	18	Control	$\checkmark$	Х	Х
322	gi 546164593	Uncharacterized protein	67	41967	9.2	17	29	Control	$\checkmark$	Х	$\checkmark$
431	gi 447018072	Uncharacterized protein	64	33647	9.3	10	74	Control	Х	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
529	gi 315426860	methyltransferase	51	19727	10.1	9	29	Control	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
952	A4S6Y3	Uncharacterized protein	46	21594	8.8	9	40	Control	Х	$\checkmark$	Х
Starch metabolism											
325	A7XAQ5	Glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase	58	57690	4.6	16	14	Control	$\checkmark$	Х	$\checkmark$
Polysaccharide metabolism											
400	gi 324021404	Starch synthase, chloroplastic/amyloplastic	285	54585	6	20	32	Control	$\checkmark$	Х	$\checkmark$
453	gi 324021404	Starch synthase, chloroplastic/amyloplastic	230	54585	6	17	32	Control	Х	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Trans	cription										
525	gi 495043419	XRE family transcriptional regulator	60	24849	6.3	9	23	Control	Х	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Biosynthetic pathway											
628	gi 24374432	cysteine synthase CysK	55	34456	5.3	6	20	Control	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Х
653	gi 496152858	ATP phosphoribosyltransferase	54	25027	10.8	8	35	Control	$\checkmark$	Х	Х
Mitotic nuclear division											
627	gi 540385101	Kinetochore protein	59	36866	5.2	6	19	Control	Х	$\checkmark$	Х
Protein Repair											
669	gi 490733491	Methionine-R-sulfoxide reductase	40	15782	3.8	3	97	Control	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Х
Trans	duction										
699	gi 406865556	Rho3 protein	48	23420	9.7	4	13	Control	Х	$\checkmark$	Х
Patho	genesis										
951	gi 323393606	erythrocyte membrane protein 1	50	20974	11.6	9	31	Control	Х	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Unkn	own functions										
664	gi 493302409	Uncharacterized protein	42	34510	8.5	6	32	Control	$\checkmark$	Х	$\checkmark$
688	gi 23490617	Uncharacterized protein	33	2917	5.8	2	97	Control	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Х
706	gi 29345740	ketoisovalerate oxidoreductase subunit	40	26134	5.4	6	20	Control	Х	$\checkmark$	Х
879	gi 170584994	Zinc finger	40	19300	5.3	5	74	Control	$\checkmark$	Х	Х
984	B9SSH4	Calcium ion binding protein, putative	42	15600	12	5	55	Control	Х	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

<sup>a</sup> Represents the spot number on the master gel <sup>b</sup> Accession number according to the UniProt database

<sup>c</sup> Score of the identified peptides

<sup>d</sup> Molecular weight of the identified proteins

<sup>e</sup> Iso-electric point of the identified proteins

<sup>f</sup> Number of matched peptides, the proteins with >2 matched peptides were considered

<sup>g</sup> Protein coverage, the proteins with less than 10% sequence coverage was excluded from the result

The symbol "X" denotes the absence of protein expression and ">>" denotes the presence of protein expressio

assimilation to lead the production of antioxidants and metal chelators, such as glu-tathione, metallothionein, and phytochelatin (Yang *et al.*, 2007b). Cysteine synthase CysK (Table 1, spot 628) was found to be increased towards

Manhongchal and Miryang7 cultivars but decreased against Miryang8 cultivar in the proso millet seeds. Cysteine is first reduced by a sulphur-containing compound and carried out as a sulphur donor for Met (Chronis and Krishnan 2003). Ado-Met synthase is a key enzyme in plant metabolism, catalysing the biosynthesis from Met to Ado-Met. Ado-Met is not only the primary methylgroup donor but also a source of amino-alkyl and amino groups for the biosynthesis of amino acids, polyamines, and hormone ethylene. It also could provide the methylene group used in the biosynthesis of fatty acids that accumulate in many types of seeds (Bouvier *et al.*, 2006).

# Proteins with miscellaneous functions

In the present study, several proteins such as kinetochore protein (Table 1, spot 627), ATP phosphoribosyltransferase (Table 1, spot 653), methionine-R-sulfoxide reductase (Table 1, spot 669), Rho3 protein (Table 1, spot 699), 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase protein (Table 1, spot 951) were found in the proso millet seeds and were differentially expressed during seed storage.

Several unknown proteins in MASCOT DB were up-regulated (Table 1, spots 664, 688, 984) or down-regulated (Table 1, spots 706, 879) during seed storage of the proso millet seeds. Although this study successfully demonstrates the protein profile in the proso millet seeds, there is still lack of study on the function and origin of some proteins. Further studies are needed to understand their origin and function.

# CONCLUSION

The protein profiling of proso millet seeds was performed using high throughput (2-D) IEF-PAGE with MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometer. This study was an initial proteomic investigation of the four Korean cultivars of proso millet seeds and clearly domonstrates the proteome profiling of the proso millet. The 26 protein spots (> 1.5 folds) were found (visualized) in 2-D gel (stained with CBB), and then each protein (spot) was analyzed (identified) by mass spectrometer. The results obtained from the present study suggests that the changes of the differentially expressed proteins from the various millet cultivars are cultivar-specific. Most of them were involved in the starch metabolism, stress response and biosynthetic pathway. However several protein spot were not identified by MASCOT search engine. Further studies will focus on the identification of unknown proteins and changing mechanism during storage in the proso millet seeds.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the research grant of the Chungbuk National University in 2014.

### REFERENCES

- Baltensperger, D., D. J. Lyon, R. Anderson, T. Holman, C. Stymieste, J. Shanahan, L.A. Nelson, K.L. DeBoer, G.L. Hein, and J. Krall. 1995. EC95-137 Producing and Marketing Proso Millet in the High Plains. Historical Materials from University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension. p.709.
- Bourgeois, M., F. Jacquin, V. Savois, N. Sommerer, V. Labas, C. Henry, and J. Burstin. 2009. Dissecting the proteome of pea mature seeds reveals the phenotypic plasticity of seed protein composition. Proteomics. 9:254-271.
- Bouvier F., N. Linka, J. C. Isner, J. Mutterer, A. P. Weber, and B. Camara. 2006. Arabidopsis SAMT1 defines a plastid transporter regulating plastid biogenesis and plant development. The Plant Cell. 18:3088-3105.
- Bradford, M. M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72:248-254
- Brown, L., B. Rosner, W. W. Willett, and F. M. Sacks. 1999. Cholesterol-lowering effects of dietary fiber: a meta-analysis. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 69:30-42.
- Chi, F., P. Yang, F. Han, Y. Jing, and S. Shen. 2010. Proteomic analysis of rice seedlings infected by *Sinorhizobium meliloti* 1021. Proteomics. 10:1861-1874.
- Chitteti, B. R. and Z. Peng. 2007. Proteome and phosphoproteome differential expression under salinity stress in rice (Oryza sativa) roots. J. Proteome Res. 6:1718-1727.
- Chronis, D. and H. B. Krishnan. 2003. Sulfur Assimilation in Soybean. Crop Sci. 43:1819-1827.
- Crosatti, C., P. P. de Laureto, R. Bassi, and L. Cattivelli. 1999. The interaction between cold and light controls the expression of the cold-regulated barley gene cor14b and the accumulation of the corresponding protein. Plant Physiol. 119:671-680.
- Ding, C., J. You, S. Wang, Z. Liu, G. Li, Q. Wang, and Y. Ding. 2012. A proteomic approach to analyze nitrogen-and cytokinin-responsive proteins in rice roots. Mol. Biol. Rep. 39:1617-1626.
- Emes, M., C. Bowsher, C. Hedley, M. Burrell, E. Scrase-Field, and I. Tetlow. 2003. Starch synthesis and carbon partitioning in developing endosperm. J. Expt. Bot. 54:569-575.
- Fan, W., W. Cui, X. Li, S. Chen, G. Liu, and S. Shen. 2011. Proteomics analysis of rice seedling responses to ovine saliva. J. Plant Physiol. 168:500-509.
- Finnie, C., K. Maeda, O. Østergaard, K. Bak-Jensen, J. Larsen, and B. Svensson. 2004. Aspects of the barley seed proteome

during development and germination. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 32:517-519.

- Foley, R.C., L. L. Gao, A. Spriggs, L.Y. Soo, D. E. Goggin, P. M. Smith, C. A. Atkins, and K. B. Singh. 2011. Identification and characterisation of seed storage protein transcripts from *Lupinus angustifolius*. BMC Plant Biol. 11:1.
- Gallardo, K., C. Job, S. P. Groot, M. Puype, H. Demol, J. Vandekerckhove, and D. Job. 2001. Proteomic analysis of Arabidopsis seed germination and priming. Plant Physiol. 126:835-848.
- Gallardo, K., C. Le Signor, J. Vandekerckhove, R. D. Thompson, and J. Burstin. 2003. Proteomics of *Medicago truncatula* seed development establishes the time frame of diverse metabolic processes related to reserve accumulation. Plant Physiol. 133:664-682.
- Gallo, G., R. Alduina, G. Renzone, J. Thykaer, L. Bianco, A. Eliasson-Lantz, A. Scaloni, and A. M. Puglia. 2010. Differential proteomic analysis highlights metabolic strategies associated with balhimycin production in *Amycolatopsis balhimycina* chemostat cultivations. Microb. Cell Fact. 9:1.
- Georgopoulos, C. and W. Welch. 1993. Role of the major heat shock proteins as molecular chaperones. Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. 9:601-634.
- Guo, S., W. Wharton, P. Moseley, and H. Shi. 2007. Heat shock protein 70 regulates cellular redox status by modulating glutathione-related enzyme activities. Cell Stress Chaperones. 12: 45-254.
- Hajduch, M., J. E. Casteel, K. E. Hurrelmeyer, Z. Song, G. K. Agrawal, and J. J. Thelen. 2006. Proteomic analysis of seed filling in *Brassica napus*. Developmental characterization of metabolic isozymes using high-resolution two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. Plant Physiol. 141:32-46.
- Han, C., X. Yin, D. He, and P. Yang. 2013. Analysis of proteome profile in germinating soybean seed, and its comparison with rice showing the styles of reserves mobilization in different crops. PloS One. 8:e56947.
- He, D., C. Han, J. Yao, S. Shen, and P. Yang. 2011. Constructing the metabolic and regulatory pathways in germinating rice seeds through proteomic approach. Proteomics. 11:2693-2713.
- He, D. and P. Yang. 2013. Proteomics of rice seed germination. Front. Plant Sci. 4:10.3389.
- Helm, J. L., A. A. Schneiter, and L. Johnson. 1990. Proso millet in North Dakota. NDSU Extension Service -North Dakota State University (USA).
- Herman, E. M. and B. A. Larkins. 1999. Protein storage bodies and vacuoles. The Plant Cell. 11:601-613.
- Islam, N., S. H. Woo, H. Tsujimoto, H. Kawasaki, and H. Hirano. 2002. Proteome approaches to characterize seed storage proteins related to ditelocentric chromosomes in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Proteomics. 2:1146-1155.
- Islam, S., G. Yan, R. Appels, and W. Ma. 2012. Comparative proteome analysis of seed storage and allergenic proteins

among four narrow-leafed lupin cultivars. Food Chem. 135:1230-1238.

- Jha, B., N. P. Singh, and A. Mishra. 2012. Proteome profiling of seed storage proteins reveals the nutritional potential of *Salicornia brachiata* Roxb., an extreme halophyte. J. Agri. Food Chem. 60:4320-4326.
- Kamal, A. H. M., I. D. Jang, D. E. Kim, T. Suzuki, K. Y. Chung, J. S. Choi, M. S. Lee, C. H. Park, S. U. Park, S. H. Lee, and H. S. Jeong. 2011. Proteomics analysis of embryo and endosperm from mature common buckwheat seeds. J. Plant Biol. 54:81-91.
- Koo, S. C., D. W. Bae, J. S. Seo, K. M. Park, M. S. Choi, S. H. Kim, S. I. Shim, K. M. Kim, J. I. Chung, and M. C. Kim. 2011. Proteomic analysis of seed storage proteins in low allergenic soybean accession. J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem. 54:332-339.
- Kottapalli, K. R., P. Payton, R. Rakwal, G. K. Agrawal, J. Shibato, M. Burow, N. Puppala. 2008. Proteomics analysis of mature seed of four peanut cultivars using two-dimensional gel electrophoresis reveals distinct differential expression of storage, anti-nutritional, and allergenic proteins. Plant Sci. 175:321-329.
- Li, W., Y. Gao, H. Xu, Y. Zhang, and J. Wang. 2012. A proteomic analysis of seed development in *Brassica campestri* L. PloS One. 7:e50290.
- Macdonald, F. D. and J. Preiss. 1983. Solubilization of the starch-granule-bound starch synthase of normal maize kernels. Plant Physiol. 73:175-178.
- Majoul, T., E. Bancel, E. Triboï, J. Ben Hamida, and G. Branlard. 2003. Proteomic analysis of the effect of heat stress on hexaploid wheat grain: Characterization of heat-responsive proteins from total endosperm. Proteomics. 3:175-183.
- Mal, B., S. Padulosi, and S. B. Ravi. 2010. Minor millets in South Asia: learnings from IFAD-NUS Project in India and Nepal. Bioversity International, Maccarese, Rome, Italy and the MS Swaminathan Research Foundation, Chennai, India.
- Marçon, A. 1994. Wheat streak mosaic virus resistance in foxtail millet, *Setaria italica*, L Beauv., and factors related to resistance.
- Marlett, J. A., M. I. McBurney, and J. L. Slavin. 2002. Position of the American Dietetic Association: health implications of dietary fiber. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 102:993-1000.
- Mesa-Stonestreet, D., N. Jhoe, S. Alavi, and S. R. Bean. 2010. Sorghum proteins: the concentration, isolation, modification, and food applications of kafirins. J. Food Sci. 75:R90-R104.
- Nwugo, C. C. and A. J. Huerta. 2010. The effect of silicon on the leaf proteome of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) plants under cadmium-stress. J. Proteome Res. 10:518-528.
- O'Farrell, P. H. 1975. High resolution two-dimensional electrophoresis of proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 250:4007-4021.
- Ogé, L., G. Bourdais, J. Bove, B. Collet, B. Godin, F. Granier, J.-P. Boutin, D. Job, M. Jullien, and P. Grappin. 2008. Protein repair L-isoaspartyl methyltransferase1 is involved in both

seed longevity and germination vigor in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell. 20:3022-3037.

- Pereira, M. A., E. O'Reilly, K. Augustsson, G.E. Fraser, U. Goldbourt, B.L. Heitmann, G. Hallmans, P. Knekt, S. Liu, P. Pietinen, and D. Spiegelman. 2004. Dietary fiber and risk of coronary heart disease: a pooled analysis of cohort studies. Archives of Internal Medicine. 164: 370-376.
- Rajjou, L., K. Gallardo, I. Debeaujon, J. Vandekerckhove, C. Job, and D. Job. 2004. The effect of α-amanitin on the Arabidopsis seed proteome highlights the distinct roles of stored and neosynthesized mRNAs during germination. Plant Physiol. 134:1598-1613.
- Rajput, S. G. 2015. Development and utilization of genomic resources for genetic improvement of proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.). ETD collection for University of Nebraska-Lincoln. AAI3716450.
- Sahnoun-Abid, I., G. Recorbet, H. Zuber, N. Sommerer, D. Centeno, E. Dumas-Gaudot, and S. Smiti-Aschi. 2009. Proteomic characterisation of subclover seed storage proteins during germination. J. Sci. Food Agri. 89:1787-1801.
- Saleh, A. S., Q. Zhang, J. Chen, and Q. Shen. 2013. Millet grains: Nutritional quality, processing, and potential health benefits. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 12:281-295.
- Sano, N., S. Masaki, T. Tanabata, T. Yamada, T. Hirasawa, and M. Kanekatsu. 2013. Proteomic analysis of stress-related proteins in rice seeds during the desiccation phase of grain filling. Plant Biotechnol. 30:147-156.
- Schwender, J., F. Goffman, J. B. Ohlrogge, and Y. Shachar-Hill. 2004. Rubisco without the Calvin cycle improves the carbon efficiency of developing green seeds. Nature 432:779-782.
- Skylas, D. J., L. Copeland, W. G. Rathmell, and C. W. Wrigley. 2001. The wheat-grain proteome as a basis for more efficient cultivar identification. Proteomics. 1:1542-1546.
- Smidansky, E. D., F. D. Meyer, B. Blakeslee, T. E. Weglarz, T. W. Greene, and M. J. Giroux. 2007. Expression of a modified ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase large subunit in wheat seeds stimulates photosynthesis and carbon metabolism. Planta. 225:965-976.
- Sun, W., M. Van Montagu, and N. Verbruggen. 2002. Small heat shock proteins and stress tolerance in plants. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Structure and Expression. 1577:1-9.

- Torabi, S., M. Wissuwa, M. Heidari, M.R. Naghavi, K. Gilany, M.R. Hajirezaei, M. Omidi, B. Yazdi-Samadi, A. M. Ismail, and G.H. Salekdeh. 2009. A comparative proteome approach to decipher the mechanism of rice adaptation to phosphorous deficiency. Proteomics. 9:159-170.
- Veeranagamallaiah, G., G. Jyothsnakumari, M. Thippeswamy, P.C.O. Reddy, G.K. Surabhi, G. Sriranganayakulu, Y. Mahesh, B. Rajasekhar, C. Madhurarekha, and C. Sudhakar. 2008. Proteomic analysis of salt stress responses in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L. cv. Prasad) seedlings. Plant Sci. 175:631-641.
- Vierling, E. 1991. The roles of heat shock proteins in plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 42:579-620.
- Wang, W, B. Vinocur, O. Shoseyov, and A. Altman. 2004. Role of plant heat-shock proteins and molecular chaperones in the abiotic stress response. Trends Plant Sci. 9:244-252.
- Wehmeyer, N. and E. Vierling. 2000. The expression of small heat shock proteins in seeds responds to discrete developmental signals and suggests a general protective role in desiccation tolerance. Plant Physiol. 122:1099-1108.
- Xu, C. and B. Huang. 2010. Differential proteomic responses to water stress induced by PEG in two creeping bentgrass cultivars differing in stress tolerance. J. Plant Physiol. 167:1477-1485.
- Yacoubi, R., C. Job, M. Belghazi, W. Chaibi, and D. Job. 2011. Toward characterizing seed vigor in alfalfa through proteomic analysis of germination and priming. J. Proteome Res. 10:3891-3903.
- Yang, M. F., Y. J Liu, Y. Liu, H. Chen, F. Chen, and S. H. Shen. 2009. Proteomic analysis of oil mobilization in seed germination and postgermination development of *Jatropha curcas*. J. Proteome Res. 8:1441-1451.
- Yang, P., H. Chen, Y. Liang, and S. Shen. (2007a). Proteomic analysis of de-etiolated rice seedlings upon exposure to light. Proteomics. 7:2459-2468.
- Yang, P., Y. Liang, S. Shen, and T. Kuang. 2006. Proteome analysis of rice uppermost internodes at the milky stage. Proteomics. 6:3330-3338.
- Yang, Q., Y. Wang, J. Zhang, W. Shi, C. Qian, and X. Peng. 2007b. Identification of aluminum-responsive proteins in rice roots by a proteomic approach: Cysteine synthase as a key player in Al response. Proteomics. 7:737-749.