



Authors' Reply to Letter to the Editor "Effects of airway evaluation parameters on the laryngeal view grade in mandibular prognathism and retrognathism patients"

Myong-Hwan Karm, Kwang-Suk Seo

Department of Dental Anesthesiology, Seoul National University Dental Hospital, Seoul, Korea

Keywords: Airway; Cephalometry; Retrognathism.



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



We appreciate the thoughtful comments about our article from Prof. Song [1]. Prognathism (or prognathia) is the positional relationship of the mandible and/or maxilla with the skeletal base, where either of the jaws protrudes beyond a predetermined imaginary line in the coronal plane of the skull. Retrognathism (or retrognathia) is a type of malocclusion that refers to the abnormal posterior positioning of the maxilla or mandible, relative to the facial skeleton and soft tissues [2,3]. In general dentistry, oral and maxillofacial surgery, and orthodontics, these are assessed clinically or radiographically (cephalometrics). The clinical diagnosis of prognathism and retrognathism is based on multiple points and lines such as A (subspinale), B (supramentale), M (junction of nasomaxillary and nasofrontal suture), N (nasion), Or (orbitale), P (porion), Pog (pogonion), S (sella), facial plane (N-Pog), AB plane, SN plane, and occlusal plane [4,5]. However, there is no established method for the diagnosis of prognathism and retrognathism. Therefore, clinicians have been diagnosing and treating based on their own methods. In our study, all subjects had a cephalometric

radiograph because they were patients who were receiving dental treatment. Since there is uncertainty in the diagnosis, retrognathism was diagnosed and analyzed using the ANB angle on a cephalometric radiograph in our study. When a cephalometric radiograph was not available, diagnosis was made based on methods that used the points and lines of the soft tissues. However, we do not have a good recommendation. In addition, we think that using the distance between the incision superior and inferior to make a diagnosis would be inappropriate because that varies depending on the angle of the incision, not the maxilla or mandible. There is also no established criteria for classifying the severity of the retrognathism. Hence, we could not measure the relationship between the severity of the retrognathism and grade of the laryngeal view.

We read the article about the ratio of height to thyromental distance (RHTMD) and upper lip bite test (ULBT) that you presented [6]. Thank you for presenting this very interesting article to us. We think that RHTMD and ULBT will help predict a difficult airway, but RHTMD

Received: 2017. March 3. • Revised: 2017. March. 6. • Accepted: 2017. March. 6.

Corresponding Author: Kwang-Suk Seo, Department of Dental Anesthesiology, School of Dentistry, Seoul National University, Daehakro 101, Jongno-gu, Seoul, Korea

Tel: +82-2-2072-0622 Fax: +82-2-766-9427 E-mail: stone90@snu.ac.kr

Copyright© 2017 Journal of Dental Anesthesia and Pain Medicine

would not be related to the retrognathism in our article. Further studies related to ULBT are needed because it would be associated with retrognathism. Thank you for your thoughtful comments on and recommendations for our article.

NOTES: There are no financial or other issues that might lead to conflict of interest.

REFERENCE

1. Karm MH, Chi SI, Kim J, Kim HJ, Seo KS, Bahk JH, et al. Effects of airway evaluation parameters on the laryngeal view grade in mandibular prognathism and retrognathism patients. *J Dent Anesth Pain Med* 2016; 16: 185-91.
2. Chang JZ, Liu PH, Chen YJ, Yao JC, Chang HP, Chang CH, et al. Thin-plate spline analysis of the effects of face mask treatment in children with maxillary retrognathism. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2006; 105: 147-54.
3. van Es RJ, Wittebol-Post D, Beemer FA. Oculodentodigital dysplasia with mandibular retrognathism and absence of syndactyly: A case report with a novel mutation in the connexin 43 gene. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007; 36: 858-60.
4. Delaire J, Schendel SA, Tulasne JF. An architectural and structural craniofacial analysis: A new lateral cephalometric analysis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1981; 52: 226-38.
5. McNamara JA, Jr. A method of cephalometric evaluation. *Am J Orthod* 1984; 86: 449-69.
6. Badheka JP, Doshi PM, Vyas AM, Kacha NJ, Parmar VS. Comparison of upper lip bite test and ratio of height to thyromental distance with other airway assessment tests for predicting difficult endotracheal intubation. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2016; 20: 3-8.