

UNIQUENESS AND VALUE SHARING PROBLEMS IN CLASS A OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE* AND RAJESHWARI S.

ABSTRACT. *In this paper, we study the uniqueness and value sharing problems in class A of meromorphic functions. We obtain significant results which improve as well as generalize the result of C.C Yang and Xinhou Hua [10].*

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 65H05, 65F10.

Key words and phrases : Uniqueness, Meromorphic function, Differential polynomials.

1. Introduction

In this paper, a meromorphic function always means a function which is meromorphic in the whole complex plane. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be nonconstant meromorphic functions, $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$. We say that f and g share the value a CM if $f(z) - a$ and $g(z) - a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities. We shall use the standard notations of value distribution theory, $T(r, f)$, $m(r, f)$, $N(r, f)$, $\overline{N}(r, f)$, ... (Hayman[14], Yang[18], Laine[16] and Nevanlinna[17]). We denote by $S(r, f)$ any function satisfying $S(r, f) = o\{T(r, f)\}$, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, possibly outside of finite measure.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are non-constant meromorphic functions and a be a finite complex number. We denote by $\overline{N}_L(r, f)$ the counting function for the poles of both f and g about which f has larger multiplicity than g , where multiplicity is not counted. Similarly, we have the notation for $\overline{N}_L(r, g)$.

We denote by \mathcal{A} the class of meromorphic functions f in \mathbb{C} which satisfy the condition $\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) = S(r, f)$. Clearly all functions in \mathcal{A} are transcendental meromorphic functions.

In 1920's R. Nevanlinna[17] proved the following result (the Nevanlinna four value theorem.)

Received April 27, 2016. Revised July 27, 2016. Accepted August 3, 2016. *Corresponding author.

© 2017 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

Theorem A. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If f and g share four distinct values CM, then f is a Mobius transformation of g .

For instance, $f = e^z$, $g = e^{-z}$ share $0, \pm 1, \infty$, and $f = \frac{1}{g}$.

In 1997, Yang and Hua[10], obtained following result.

Theorem B. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 11$ an integer and $a \in C - \{0\}$. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share the value a CM, then either $f = dg$ for some $(n+1)$ th root of unity d or $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c, c_1 and c_2 are constants and satisfy $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -a^2$.

2. Some Lemmas

Lemma 2.1([6]). Let f be a meromorphic function of finite order and P a homogeneous differential polynomial in f of degree n . If $\Theta(0, f) = \Theta(\infty, f) = 1$, then

$$T(r, p) \sim nT(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.2 ([11]). Let $f_j (j = 1, 2, 3)$ be meromorphic functions that satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 f_j = 1$$

Assume that f_1 is not a constant, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 N_2(r, \frac{1}{f_j}) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{N}(r, f_j) < (\lambda + 0(1))T(r), r \in I,$$

where $\lambda < 1$, $T(r) = \max\{T(r, f_1), T(r, f_2), T(r, f_3)\}$, $N_2(r, \frac{1}{f_j})$ is the counting function of zeros of $f_j (j = 1, 2, 3)$, where a multiple zero is counted two times and a simple zero is counted once. Then $f_2 = 1$ or $f_3 = 1$.

Lemma 2.3([13]). Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function. Then

$$N(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

where k is a positive integer.

Lemma 2.4([13]). Let F and G be two distinct non-constant meromorphic functions, and let c be a complex number such that $c \neq 0, 1$. If F and G share 1 and c IM, and if $\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + \bar{N}(r, F) = S(r, F)$ and $\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \bar{N}(r, G) = S(r, G)$, then F and G share $0, 1, c, \infty$ CM.

Lemma 2.5 ([17]). If f and g are distinct non-constant meromorphic functions that share four values a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 CM, then f is Mobius transformation of g : two of the shared values, say a_1 and a_2 are picard exceptional values and the cross ratio $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = -1$.

Lemma 2.6([13]). If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and k is a positive integer, then

$$T(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}) = S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.7([14]). Let f be a non-constant meromorphic functions and a_1, a_2, a_3 be three distinct small meromorphic functions of f , then

$$T(r, f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f - a_j}) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.8([14]). Suppose that f is a non-constant meromorphic function, $k \geq 2$ is an integer. If

$$N(r, f) + N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) = S(r, \frac{f'}{f}),$$

then $f = e^{az+b}$, where $a \neq 0, b$ are constants.

Following lemmas play a prominent role in improving our results.

Lemma 2.9. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq m + k + 1$ and k be a positive integer. If $f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $g^n [P(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then

$$T(r, g) \leq \left(\frac{n + m - k}{n - m - k} \right) T(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Proof. Let $G = g^n [P(g)]^{(k)}$. Then it is a polynomial of degree $(n + m - k)$. By lemma 2.1, we have

$$(n + m - k)T(r, g) \sim T(r, G). \tag{1}$$

Applying Lemma 2.7 to $T(r, G)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m - k)T(r, g) &\leq \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G - 1}) + S(r, G) \\ &= \bar{N}(r, g^n [P(g)]^{(k)}) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^n [P(g)]^{(k)}}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^n [P(g)]^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, g^n [P(g)]^{(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}(r, g^n [P(g)]^{(k)}) &\leq \bar{N}(r, g^n) + N(r, [P(g)]^{(k)}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, g) + mN(r, g) + k\bar{N}(r, g) \\ &= mN(r, g) + (k + 1)\bar{N}(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

and $S(r, G) = S(r, g)$, (by(2.1))

So,

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m - k)T(r, g) &\leq mN(r, g) + (k + 1)\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) \\ &\quad + N(r, \frac{1}{[P(g)]^{(k)}}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g^n [P(g)]^{(k)} - 1}) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

Since $f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $g^n[P(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, it implies that $f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1$ and $g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} - 1$ have same zeros with same multiplicities, using this with Lemma 2.3, we obtain that

$$(n + m - k)T(r, g) \leq mN(r, g) + (k + 1)\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) + mN(r, \frac{1}{g}) \\ + k\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}) + S(r, g) \quad (2)$$

By hypothesis, we have

$$\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) = S(r, f),$$

$$\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) = S(r, g).$$

Using Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}) \leq T(r, \frac{1}{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}) \\ = T(r, f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}) + O(1). \\ \sim (n + m - k)T(r, f) + O(1).$$

So,

$$\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}) \leq (n + m - k)T(r, f) + O(1). \quad (3)$$

using (3), (2) becomes

$$(n + m - k)T(r, g) \leq mN(r, g) + mN(r, \frac{1}{g}) + (n + m - k)T(r, f) + S(r, g). \\ \leq 2mT(r, g) + (n + m - k)T(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ (n - m - k)T(r, g) \leq (n + m - k)T(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ T(r, g) \leq (\frac{n + m - k}{n - m - k})T(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma. \square

Lemma 2.10. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, $n \geq m + 1$ and k be a positive integer. If $f^n[P(f)]^k$ and $g^n[P(g)]^k$ share 1 CM, then $S(r, f) = S(r, g)$.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.9, we have

$$T(r, g) \leq (\frac{n + m - k}{n - m - k})T(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Similarly, we have

$$T(r, f) \leq (\frac{n + m - k}{n - m - k})T(r, g) + S(r, f)$$

using above two inequalities we easily obtain

$$S(r, f) = S(r, g).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma. □

Lemma 2.11. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq m + 1$ and k be a positive integer. If $f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} = 1$, then $f = c_3e^{pz}$ and $g = c_4e^{-pz}$ where c_3, c_4 and p are constants such that $(-1)^k(c_3c_4)^{n+1}p^{2k} = 1$.

Proof. Let

$$F = f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} \text{ and } G = g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} \tag{4}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$T(r, F) \sim (n + m - k)T(r, f),$$

$$T(r, G) \sim (n + m - k)T(r, g)$$

clearly $S(r, F) = S(r, f)$ and $S(r, G) = S(r, g)$. By Lemma 2.10, we have

$$S(r, f) = S(r, g).$$

Thus

$$S(r, F) = S(r, f) = S(r, g) = S(r, G). \tag{5}$$

By hypothesis, we have

$$f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} = 1 \text{ or } FG = 1. \tag{6}$$

From 6 and f and g are transcendental functions, it follows that

$$N(r, \frac{1}{f}) = 0 \text{ and } N(r, \frac{1}{g}) = 0 \tag{7}$$

By hypothesis, we have

$$\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) = S(r, f) \tag{8}$$

$$\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) = S(r, g)$$

(6) can be expressed as

$$f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} = \frac{1}{g^n[P(g)]^{(k)}}$$

So we deduce that

$$N(r, f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^n[P(g)]^{(k)}}\right) \tag{9}$$

Using (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}) &= N(r, f^n) + N(r, [P(f)]^{(k)}) \\ &= nN(r, f) + mN(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) \\ &= (n + m)N(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) \\ &= (n + m)N(r, f) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

Using this with Lemma 2.3 with (5), (7) and (8), (9) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m)N(r, f) + S(r, f) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^n}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{[P(g)]^{(k)}}\right) \\ &\leq (n+m)N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, g) \\ &= S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$N(r, f) = S(r, f). \quad (10)$$

Similarly

$$N(r, g) = S(r, g). \quad (11)$$

By (7), (8) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &= \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}}\right) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{[P(f)]^{(k)}}\right) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + mN\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &= S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) = S(r, F) \quad (12)$$

Similarly

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) = S(r, G) \quad (13)$$

Moreover by using (8) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}(r, F) &= \bar{N}(r, f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + N(r, [P(f)]^{(k)}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + mN(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) \\ &= S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\bar{N}(r, F) = S(r, F) \quad (14)$$

Similarly

$$\bar{N}(r, G) = S(r, G) \quad (15)$$

It follows from (12)-(15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}(r, F) &= S(r, F), \\ \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + \bar{N}(r, G) &= S(r, G). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In view of (6), we know that F and G share 1 and -1 IM, together this with (16) and Lemma 2.4 implies that F and G share $1, -1, 0, \infty$ CM, thus by Lemma 2.5, we get that 0 and ∞ are picard values of F and G . Thus we deduce from (4) that both f and g are transcendental entire functions. By (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= e^{\alpha(z)}, \\ g(z) &= e^{\beta(z)} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\alpha(z)$ and $\beta(z)$ are non constant entire functions.

Then $T(r, \frac{f'}{f}) = T(r, \frac{e^{\alpha'}}{e^{\alpha}}) = T(r, \alpha')$. We claim that $\alpha(z) + \beta(z) = c$, c is a constant.

From (17), we know that either α and β are transcendental functions or both α and β are polynomials.

From (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, \frac{1}{[P(f)]^{(k)}}) &= N(r, g^n [P(g)]^{(k)} f^n) \\ &\leq nN(r, g) + N(r, [P(g)]^{(k)}) + nN(r, f) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this and (6), we get

$$N(r, f) + N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) = 0.$$

If $k \geq 2$, suppose that α is a transcendental entire function. From Lemma 2.7, we have $f = e^{\alpha(z)} = e^{az+b}$, it implies that $\alpha(z) = az + b$, a polynomial, which is a contradiction.

Thus α and β polynomials.

We deduce from (17) that

$$[P(f)]^{(k)} = [(\alpha')^k + P_{(k-1)(\alpha')}]p(e^{\alpha}).$$

$$[P(g)]^{(k)} = [(\beta')^k + Q_{(k-1)(\beta')}]p(e^{\beta}).$$

where $P_{(k-1)(\alpha')}$ and $Q_{(k-1)(\beta')}$ are differential polynomials in α' and β' of degree at most $(k - 1)$ respectively. Thus by (6) we obtain that

$$[(\alpha')^k + P_{(k-1)(\alpha')}][(\beta')^k + Q_{(k-1)(\beta')}]p(e^{(n+m-k)(\alpha+\beta)}) = 1 \tag{18}$$

we deduce from (18) that $\alpha(z) + \beta(z) = c$, c is a constant.

If $k = 1$, from (17) we get,

$$(\alpha')(\beta')p(e^{(n+m-k)(\alpha+\beta)}) = 1. \tag{19}$$

Let $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$. If α and β are transcendental entire functions, then γ is not a constant and (19) implies that

$$(\alpha')(\gamma' - \alpha')p(e^{(n+m-k)\gamma}) = 1. \quad (20)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \gamma') &= m(r, \gamma') \\ &= m(r, \frac{p(e^{(n+m-k)\gamma'})}{p(e^{(n+m-k)\gamma})}\gamma') \\ &= m(r, \frac{(p(e^{(n+m-k)\gamma}))'}{p(e^{(n+m-k)\gamma})}) = S(r, p(e^{(n+m-k)\gamma})) \end{aligned}$$

Thus (20) implies that Since

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, p(e^{(n+m-k)\gamma})) &= T(r, \frac{1}{(\alpha')(\gamma' - \alpha')}) \\ &\leq T(r, (\alpha')(\gamma' - \alpha')) + O(1) \\ &\leq 2T(r, \alpha') + S(r, p(e^{(n+m-k)\gamma})). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$T(r, p(e^{(n+m-k)\gamma})) = O(T(r, \alpha')).$$

Thus $T(r, \gamma') = S(r, \alpha')$. In view of (20) and by Lemma 2.7, we get

$$T(r, \alpha') \leq \bar{N}(r, \alpha') + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{\alpha'}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{\alpha' - \gamma'}) + S(r, \alpha').$$

Since α and β are transcendental entire function and in view of (20), we obtain $T(r, \alpha') \leq S(r, \alpha')$ and this implies that α' is a constant, which is a contradiction. Thus α and β are both polynomials and $\alpha(z) + \beta(z) = c$, for a constant c . Hence from (18), we get

$$(\alpha')^{2k} = 1 + P_{(2k-1)}(\alpha') \quad (21)$$

where $P_{(2k-1)}(\alpha')$ is differential polynomial in α' From (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2kT(r, \alpha') &= T(r, (\alpha')^{2k}) = m(r, (\alpha')^{2k}) \\ &\leq m(r, P_{(2k-1)}(\alpha')) + O(1) \\ &= m(r, \frac{P_{(2k-1)}(\alpha')}{(\alpha')^{2k-1}}(\alpha')^{2k-1}) + O(1) \\ &\leq m(r, \frac{P_{(2k-1)}(\alpha')}{(\alpha')^{2k-1}}) + m(r, (\alpha')^{2k-1}) + O(1) \\ &\leq (2k-1)T(r, \alpha') + S(r, \alpha') \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $T(r, \alpha') \leq S(r, \alpha')$, which implies that α' is a constant. Thus $\alpha = pz + c_1$, $\beta = -pz + c_2$. By (17), we represent f and g as $f = c_3e^{pz}$ $g = c_4e^{-pz}$.

Where c_3, c_4 and p are constants such that $(-1)^k(c_3c_4)^{n+1}p^{2k} = 1$.

This completes the proof of Lemma. □

3. Main Results

The Theorem B motivate us to think that, whether there exists a similar result, if $f^n f'$ is replaced in Theorem B by $f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}$. In this paper, we prove significant result which improves as well as generalize Theorem B in class \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 1. If $f, g \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq m + k + 1$ and k be a positive integer. Then $f^n [P(f)]^{(k)} = 1$ has infinitely many zeros.

Proof. Let $F = f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}$. By Lemma 2.1 and 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m - k)T(r, f) &\sim T(r, f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}}) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n [P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}) + S(r, f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}(r, f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f^n) + N(r, [P(f)]^{(k)}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + mN(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) \\ &\leq mN(r, f) + (k + 1)\bar{N}(r, f) \\ \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}}) &\leq \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n}) + N(r, \frac{1}{[P(f)]^{(k)}}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}}) \end{aligned}$$

and $(n + m - k)T(r, f) \sim T(r, f^n [P(f)]^{(k)})$. So $S(r, f^n [P(f)]^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$, substituting above inequalities in (22), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m - k)T(r, f) &\leq mN(r, f) + (k + 1)\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{[P(f)]^{(k)}}) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n [P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 2.3, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m - k)T(r, f) &\leq mN(r, f) + (k + 1)\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + mN(r, \frac{1}{f}) \\ &\quad + k\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n [P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

By hypothesis, we have $\bar{N}(r, f) = S(r, f)$, $\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) = S(r, f)$
Therefore (23) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m-k)T(r, f) &\leq mN(r, f) + mN(r, \frac{1}{f}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 2mT(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}) + S(r, f) \\ (n-m-k)T(r, f) &\leq \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1$ has infinitely many zeros for $n \geq m+k+1$.
This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Theorem 2. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, $n \geq m+k+4$ and k be a positive integer. If $f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $g^n[P(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then either $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^{n+1} = 1$ or $f(z) = c_3 e^{pz}$, $g(z) = c_4 e^{-pz}$ where c_3, c_4 and p are constants such that $(-1)^{(k)}(c_3 c_4)^{n+1} p^{2k} = 1$.

Proof. By hypothesis, $f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $g^n[P(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM. Let

$$H(z) = \frac{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}{g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} - 1} \quad (24)$$

Then $H(z)$ is a meromorphic function satisfying $T(r, H) = O(T(r, f) + T(r, g))$,
by the first fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.1.

From (24), we see that the zeros and poles of $H(z)$ are multiple and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}(r, H) &\leq \bar{N}_L(r, f) \\ \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{H}) &\leq \bar{N}_L(r, g) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} \\ f_2 &= -Hg^n[P(g)]^{(k)}, \quad f_3 = H \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

then by using (24), we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 + f_2 + f_3 &= f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - Hg^n[P(g)]^{(k)} + H \\ &= f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - H[g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} - 1] \\ &= f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - \left(\frac{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}{g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} - 1} \right) [g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} - 1] \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that f_1 is non-constant and by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^3 N_2(r, \frac{1}{f_j}) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{N}(r, f_j) \\
 &= N_2(r, \frac{1}{f_1}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{f_2}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{f_3}) + \bar{N}(r, f_1) \\
 &+ \bar{N}(r, f_2) + \bar{N}(r, f_3) \\
 &\leq N_2(r, \frac{1}{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{g^n[P(g)]^{(k)}}) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{H}) \\
 &+ \bar{N}(r, f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, g^n[P(g)]^{(k)}) + \bar{N}(r, H).
 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Noting that

$$\bar{N}(r, f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}) \leq mN(r, f) + (k+1)\bar{N}(r, f)$$

$$\bar{N}(r, g^n[P(g)]^{(k)}) \leq mN(r, g) + (k+1)\bar{N}(r, g)$$

using this with (25) and Lemma 2.3, (27) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^3 N_2(r, \frac{1}{f_j}) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{N}(r, f_j) \\
 &= 2\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{[P(f)]^{(k)}}) \\
 &+ 2\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) + N(r, \frac{1}{[P(g)]^{(k)}}) + (k+1)\bar{N}(r, f) + mN(r, g) \\
 &+ (k+1)\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, H) \\
 &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + mN(r, \frac{1}{f}) + k\bar{N}(r, f) \\
 &+ 2\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) + mN(r, \frac{1}{g}) + k\bar{N}(r, g) + 2\bar{N}_L(r, g) \\
 &+ mN(r, f) + (k+1)\bar{N}(r, f) + mN(r, g) + (k+1)\bar{N}(r, g) \\
 &+ \bar{N}_L(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &= 2(\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g})) + m(N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g})) \\
 &+ (2k+1)(\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g)) + m(N(r, f) + N(r, g)) \\
 &+ 2\bar{N}_L(r, g) + \bar{N}_L(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Since $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) = S(r, f)$

$\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) = S(r, g)$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^3 N_2(r, \frac{1}{f_j}) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{N}(r, f_j) \\
& \leq m(N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g})) + m(N(r, f) + N(r, g)) + 2\bar{N}_L(r, g) \\
& \quad + \bar{N}_L(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Noting that

$$2\bar{N}_L(r, g) + \bar{N}_L(r, f) \leq 2\bar{N}(r, f) = S(r, f)$$

or

$$2\bar{N}_L(r, g) + \bar{N}_L(r, f) \leq 2\bar{N}(r, g) = S(r, g).$$

Thus (29) becomes

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 N_2(r, \frac{1}{f_j}) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{N}(r, f_j) \leq 2m(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

for $m = 1$, using Lemma 2.9 and 2.10, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^3 N_2(r, \frac{1}{f_j}) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{N}(r, f_j) & \leq 2mT(r, f) + 2m\frac{(n+m-k)}{(n-m-k)}T(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
& = \left[2m + 2m\frac{(n+m-k)}{(n-m-k)} \right] T(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
& = 2m \left[1 + \frac{n+m-k}{n-m-k} \right] T(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
& = 2m \left[\frac{n-m-k+n+m-k}{n-m-k} \right] T(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
& = \frac{4m(n-k)}{n-m-k} T(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
& \leq \frac{4m(n-k)}{(n-m-k)(n+m-k)} T(r) + S(r, f) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{4m(n-k)}{(n-m-k)(n+m-k)} + O(1) \right) T(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq m+k+4$, $\frac{4m(n-k)}{(n-m-1)(n+m-1)} < 1$, using Lemma 2.2, we get $f_2 = 1$ or $f_3 = 1$. Next we consider two cases:

Case 1. $f_2 = 1$ i.e., $-Hg^n[P(g)]^{(k)} = 1$ using (24) we have

$$\frac{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}{g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} - 1} g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} = 1$$

by simple computing, we get

$$f^n[P(g)]^{(k)} g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} = 1.$$

By Lemma 2.11, we get the conclusion of Theorem 2.

Case 2. $f_3 = 1$ i.e., $H = 1$ using (24), we have

$$\frac{f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} - 1}{g^n[P(g)]^{(k)} - 1} = 1$$

i.e.,

$$f^n[P(f)]^{(k)} = g^n[P(g)]^{(k)}. \quad (30)$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$T(r, f^n[P(f)]^{(k)}) = T(r, g^n[P(g)]^{(k)})$$

$$(n + m)T(r, f) = (n + m)T(r, g)$$

$$T(r, f) = T(r, g) \quad (31)$$

and also

$$S(r, f) = S(r, g). \quad (32)$$

Let $h = \frac{g}{f}$. Then by (30), we have

$$h^n = \frac{[P(f)]^{(k)}}{[P(g)]^{(k)}},$$

$$h^{(n+1)} = \frac{g[P(f)]^{(k)}}{f[P(g)]^{(k)}}.$$

Suppose that h is not a constant.

By (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, h) &= T(r, \frac{g}{f}) \\ &\leq T(r, g) + T(r, f) + O(1) \\ &\leq 2T(r, f) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$S(r, h) = S(r, f).$$

Similarly

$$S(r, h) = S(r, g).$$

Thus, by (32)

$$S(r, h) = S(r, f) = S(r, g).$$

By the first fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, h^{(n+1)}) &= T\left(r, \frac{g[P(f)]^{(k)}}{f[P(g)]^{(k)}}\right) \\ (n+1)T(r, h) &\leq T\left(r, \frac{[P(f)]^{(k)}}{f}\right) + T\left(r, \frac{g}{[P(g)]^{(k)}}\right) + O(1) \\ &= T\left(r, \frac{[P(f)]^{(k)}}{f}\right) + T\left(r, \frac{[P(g)]^{(k)}}{g}\right) + O(1) \\ &= S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &= S(r, h). \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction since $n \geq m + k + 4$. Therefore h is a constant. Since f and g are transcendental meromorphic functions, we have $h \neq 0$.

Let $t = \frac{1}{h}$, which implies that $f = tg$, From (30), we obtain $t^{n+1} = 1$. This completes the proof of the Theorem 2. \square

REFERENCES

1. W.L. Xiong, *Uniqueness of an entire function and its differential polynomial*, J. Math. (Wuhan) **22** (2002), no. 2, 203–206.
2. Yang Le, *The multiple values of meromorphic functions and of combinations of functions*, Chinese Math.-Acta **5** (1964), 460–470.
3. H.C.Xie, *The problems on multiple values and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*. J.Fujian Normal Univ. **10** (1983), 1-10.
4. H.S. Gopalakrishna and S. S. Bhoosnurmath, *Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions*, Tamkang J. Math. **16** (1985), no. 4, 49–57.
5. H.S. Gopalakrishna and S. S. Bhoosnurmath, *Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions*, Math. Scand. **39** (1976), no. 1, 125–130.
6. H.S. Gopalakrishna and S. S. Bhoosnurmath, *On the deficiencies of differential polynomials*, J. Karnatak Univ. Sci. **18** (1973), 329–335.
7. H.X. Yi, *A note on the questions of multiple values and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Chinese Quart. J. Math. **2** (1987), no. 1, 99–104.
8. H.X.Yi, *On the uniqueness of the family \mathcal{F} of meromorphic functions*, Chinese Quart. J. Math. **3** (1988), no. 4, 58–61.
9. H.X.Yi, *The multiple values of meromorphic functions and uniqueness*. Chin. Ann. Math.(A) **10** (1989), no. 4, 421-427.
10. C.-C. Yang and X. Hua, *Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **22** (1997), no. 2, 395–406.
11. L.-Z. Yang and J.-L. Zhang, *Non-existence of meromorphic solutions of a Fermat type functional equation*, Aequationes Math. **76** (2008), no. 1-2, 140–150.
12. R. Nevanlinna, *Einige Eindeutigkeitsätze in der Theorie der Meromorphen Funktionen*, Acta Math. **48** (1926), no. 3-4, 367–391.
13. C.-C. Yang and H.-X. Yi, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions*, Mathematics and its Applications **557**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.
14. W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
15. X.B.Zhang, J.F.Xu and H.X.Yi, *Value-sharing of meromorphic functions and Fang's problem*. arXiv:1009.2132v1 [math.CV] **11** Sep. 2010.

16. I. Laine, *Nevanlinna theory and complex differential equations*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **15**, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
17. R. Nevanlinna, *Les theorems de picard-Borel et la theorie des fonctions meromorphes*, Collection de Monographies sur la Theorie des Fonctions publie sous la direction de M. Emile Borel. 1929.
18. L. Yang, *Value distribution theory*, translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese original, Springer, Berlin, 1993.

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE

Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-56.
e-mail: harinapw@gmail.com

RAJESHWARI S.

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-56.
e-mail: rajeshwaripreetham@gmail.com