



Prediction of nonresponsiveness to mediumdose intravenous immunoglobulin (1 g/kg) treatment: an effective and safe schedule of acute treatment for Kawasaki disease

Kyung Pil Moon, MD, Beom Joon Kim, MD, Kyu Jin Lee, MD, Jin Hee Oh MD, PhD, Ji Whan Han, MD, PhD, Kyung Yil Lee, MD, PhD, Soon Ju Lee, MD, PhD

Department of Pediatrics, The Catholic University of Korea College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Purpose: Medium-dose (1 g/kg) intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) is effective in the majority of patients with Kawasaki disease (KD) but some patients who do not respond to medium-dose IVIG are at high risk for the development of coronary artery lesions (CALs). The purpose of this study was to identify the clinical predictors associated with unresponsiveness to medium-dose IVIG and the development of CALs.

Methods: A retrospective study was performed in 91 children with KD who were treated with mediumdose IVIG at our institution from January 2004 to December 2013. We classified the patients into responders (group 1; n=68) and nonresponders (group 2; n=23). We compared demographic, laboratory, and echocardiographic data between the 2 groups.

Results: Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified 6 variables as predictors for resistance to medium-dose IVIG. We generated a predictive scoring system assigning 1 point each for percentage of neutrophils \geq 65%, C-reactive protein \geq 100 mg/L, aspartate aminotransferase \geq 100 IU/L, and alanine aminotransferase≥100 IU/L, as well as 2 points for less than 5 days of illness, and serum sodium level \leq 136 mmol/L. Using a cutoff point of \geq 4 with this scoring system, we could predict nonresponsiveness to medium-dose IVIG with 74% sensitivity and 71% specificity.

Conclusion: If a patient has a low-risk score in this system, medium-dose IVIG can be recommended as the initial treatment. Through this process, we can minimize the adverse effects of high-dose IVIG and incidence of CALs.

Key words: Kawasaki disease, Medium-dose intravenous immunoglobulins, Coronary artery

Corresponding author: Soon Ju Lee, MD, PhD Department of Pediatrics. Bucheon St. Marv's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea College of Medicine, 327 Sosa-ro, Wonmi-gu, Bucheon 14647. Korea Tel: +82-32-340-7046 Fax: +82-32-340-2673 E-mail: soonjulee@catholic.ac.kr

Received: 15 September, 2015 Revised: 14 October, 2015 Accepted: 5 November, 2015

Introduction

Kawasaki disease (KD) is the most common cause of acquired heart disease in children¹⁾. The standard treatment of acute KD is combination of high-dose (2 g/kg) intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) and oral aspirin². IVIG is a blood product consisting primarily of intact IgG molecules derived from human plasma. During the preparation of IVIG, it is impossible to completely eliminate all toxic substances from the product, including viral agents and anaphylatoxins. Although IVIG therapy is relatively safe, systemic adverse reactions to IVIG occur in 20%-40% of patients³⁾. These reactions seem to be associated with high dose (2 g/kg) of IVIG^{3,4)}. Some clinical studies have shown the effectiveness of medium-dose (1 g/kg) IVIG but patients who do not respond to medium-dose IVIG are at

Copyright © 2016 by The Korean Pediatric Society

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

high risk for the development of coronary artery lesions (CALs)^{5,6)}. The purpose of this study was to identify clinical risk factors associated with nonresponsiveness to medium-dose IVIG and the development of CALs.

Materials and methods

A retrospective study was performed, whose subjects were 91 patients with KD who were treated with medium-dose IVIG at The Catholic University of Korea, Yeouido St. Mary's Hospital from January 2004 to December 2013. We diagnosed the disease according to the guidelines used in Japan and patients with four of the principal symptoms were diagnosed having atypical KD if coronary aneurysm or dilatation was seen on 2-dimensional echocardiography⁷). Patients with typical KD and atypical KD accounted for 95.6% (n=88) and 4.4% (n=4), respectively.

Medium-dose IVIG was infused over 10–12 hours as the initial treatment as soon as the diagnosis of KD was made. If fever persisted or recurred within 48 hours, one or more doses of medium-dose IVIG were given. We classified the patients into 2 groups. One group included responders (group 1), who showed clinical improvement with only one dose of medium-dose IVIG and the other included nonresponders (group 2), who required two or more doses of 1 g/kg IVIG. Patients' characteristics in both groups were compared, including demographic features, laboratory findings, and incidence of CALs.

All laboratory tests were performed before the initial IVIG treatment and included a white blood cell (WBC) count, the percentage of neutrophils, a platelet count, the erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and the concentrations of hemoglobin (Hb), hematocrit, C-reactive protein (CRP), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), serum albumin, sodium and potassium. If laboratory tests were performed twice or more before initial IVIG, the highest values were chosen for analysis in the case of WBC, percentage of neutrophils, ESR, AST, ALT, potassium and CRP, and the lowest values in the case of platelet count, hematocrit, concentration of Hb, serum sodium and albumin.

The coronary arteries were assessed by 2-dimensional echocardiography performed during admission, around 2 weeks, 2 months, and 1 year after the onset of fever, according to the institutional protocol. The echocardiography was performed by the same pediatric cardiologist. The coronary artery was defined as abnormal if its luminal diameter exceeded 3.0 mm in children under 5 years old and 4.0 mm in children \geq 5 years old, or the internal diameter of a segment was at least 1.5 times that of the adjacent segment, or the luminal contours were clearly irregular⁸.

The adverse reactions related to IVIG infusion were classified as mild, moderate, or severe, based on the classification scheme proposed by previous studies^{9,10)}. Mild reactions included headache, fever, chills, nausea, vomiting, hypotension, tachycardia, and myalgia. Mild reactions subsided when the infusion rate was decreased. Moderate reactions included mild reactions that increased in severity such as aseptic meningitis, hemolytic anemia, dermatologic complications. Severe reactions included anaphylactic reaction, renal complications, thrombosis. A severe reaction required the further medical attention.

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS 9.3 (SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Data were expressed as mean±standard deviation or a percentage, as appropriate. Variables with a skewed distribution were logarithmically transformed. For continuous variables, differences between 2 groups were analyzed using unpaired t test. The chi-square test or Fisher exact test was used to compare categorical variables.

We performed logistic regression analysis to identify significant factors that independently predicted nonresponsiveness to medium-dose IVIG, using continuously distributed variables. The model was simplified in a stepwise fashion by removing variables that had a P value of greater than 0.1. Next, we set an optimal cutoff point by receiver-operator characteristics (ROC) curve for the significant quantitative variables that were chosen by logistic regression analysis^{11,12}. The final logistic regression analysis was performed using these cutoff points.

Results

Of the 91 patients, 68 were treated with a single infusion of medium-dose IVIG (group 1; n=68 [74.7%]), and 23 showed improvement with two or more infusions (group 2; n=23 [25.3%]). Five patients (5.5%) of group 2 needed three or more doses of IVIG. When we compared the clinical and demographic features between the 2 groups, there were no significant differences in age, sex distribution, illness days at the initial IVIG administration (Table 1). Group 2 had a higher percentage of neutrophils (64.4% $\pm 14.9\%$ vs. 72.8% $\pm 11.2\%$; reference range, 54%–62%; *P*=0.015), higher serum levels of AST (55.6 IU/L vs. 125.1 IU/L; reference range, 15–55 IU/L: *P*=0.001), ALT (53.1 IU/L vs. 151.9 IU/L; reference range, 5–45 IU/L: *P*=0.001), and CRP (68.8 mg/L vs. 99.3 mg/L; reference range, 0.5–10.0 mg/L: *P*=0.036), and lower serum sodium level (137 \pm 2.4 mmol/L vs. 135.4 \pm 2.5 mmol/L; reference range, 135–145 mmol/L: *P*=0.009) than group 1 (Table 1).

Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified illness days, percentage of neutrophils, CRP, AST, ALT, serum sodium as significant independent predictors for unresponsiveness to medium-dose IVIG. The positive and negative predictive values of this regression model were 53% and 93%, respectively.

Table 2 shows cutoff points of the significant risk factors. The area under the ROC curve of this regression model was 0.84 (95% confidence interval, 0.76–0.92) (Fig. 1). Based on the odds ratios

0 1			
Variable	Group 1 (n=68)	Group 2 (n=23)	P value
Age (mo)	32.4±29.6	39±32.9	0.367
Male sex	36 (52.9)	12 (52.2)	0.949
IVIG day*	6.5±1.4	5.8±1.7	0.084
WBC count (/µL)	14,472.2±5,263.4	15,242.2±4,858.2	0.538
Neutrophils (%)	64.4±14.9	72.8±11.2	0.015
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	11.2±1.0	11.3±0.8	0.716
Hematocrit (%)	33.4±2.8	33.7±2.5	0.683
Platelet count (/µL)	323.5±106.5	299.7±70.9	0.231
ESR (mm/hr)	70.2±28.3	77.4±21.4	0.267
CRP (mg/L)	68.8 (57.2–82.7)	99.3 (78.7–125.2)	0.036
Albumin (g/dL)	3.7±0.4	3.8±0.4	0.656
AST (U/L)	55.6 (43.9–70.3)	125.1 (80.7–193.9)	0.001
ALT (U/L)	53.1 (38.5–73.1)	151.9 (91.7–251.6)	0.001
Sodium (mmol/L)	137±2.4	135.4±2.5	0.009
Potassium (mmol/L)	4.3±0.6	4.3±0.4	0.761

 Table 1. Comparison of clinical and laboratory data between both groups

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation, number (%), or median (range).

Group 1, responders who showed clinical improvement with only on dose of medium-dose IVIG; group 2, nonresponders who required two or more doses of 1g/kg IVIG; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; WBC, white blood cell; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase.

*IVIG days: illness days as of initial IVIG administration.

Table 2. Predictive factors and cutoff values for nonresponsiveness to medium-dose IVIG

Factor	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
Illness days*		
≤5 vs. >6	5.47 (1.55–19.24)	0.008
Percentage of neutrophils (%)		
≥65 vs. <65	0.87 (0.22-3.42)	0.842
C-reactive protein (mg/L)		
≥100 vs. <100	0.54 (0.16–1.84)	0.331
Aspartate aminotransferase (IU/L)		
≥100 vs. <100	0.26 (0.04–1.43)	0.124
Alanine aminotransferase (IU/L)		
≥200 vs. <200	0.88 (0.16-4.85)	0.887
Sodium (mmol/L)		
≤136 vs. >136	3.63 (1.15–11.41)	0.027

Cl, confidence interval; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin.

*Illness days: illness days as of initial IVIG administration

180

of significant factors, we assigned points as follows: 1 point each for percentage of neutrophils \geq 65%, CRP level \geq 100 mg/L, AST level \geq 100 IU/L, and ALT level \geq 200 IU/L, and 2 points for before day 5 of illness and serum sodium \leq 136 mmol/L. The total score of this scoring system is eight. Using a cutoff point of \geq 4 with this prediction scoring system, we could predict nonresponsiveness to medium-dose IVIG with 74% sensitivity and 71% specificity.



Fig. 1. Receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) curves for the regression model with illness days, percentage of neutrophils, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, C-reactive protein, and sodium. The area under the ROC curve of this regression model was 0.84 (95% confidence interval, 0.76–0.92).

Table 3. Incidence of coronary artery lesions in each group

12 (52.2)	0.023
8 (34.8)	0.019
3 (13.0)	0.139
	12 (52.2) 8 (34.8) 3 (13.0)

Values are presented as number (%).

The positive and negative predictive values were 46% and 89%, respectively.

Table 3 shows the incidences of CALs in each group. Group 2 showed higher incidences of CALs at 2 weeks (18 of 68 [26.5%] vs. 12 of 23 [52.2%], *P*=0.023) and 2 months (7 of 68 [10.3%] vs. 8 of 23 [34.8%], *P*=0.019). The 1-year follow-up study showed higher incidence of CALs in group 2, but the difference was not statistically significant.

Discussion

In the treatment of KD, it is well-known that a single infusion of 2-g/kg IVIG alleviates the acute inflammatory response and can effectively prevent CALs, which are the most important complication of KD^{13-15} . However, IVIG therapy is associated with several adverse reactions. Adverse reactions are more likely to occur with a large infusion dose, such as the current standard dose (2 g/kg) in the treatment for acute KD^{3} . Common and mild

side effects associated with IVIG include headaches, flushing, fever, nausea, diarrhea, urticaria, blood pressure change, and tachycardia. Although rare, the serious and potentially fatal side effects include anaphylactic reactions, aseptic meningitis, acute renal failure, and other thrombotic complications. Most studies reported that the rates of adverse events after IVIG administration are between 30% and 40%¹⁶. In this study, only 9% of patients experienced adverse systemic reactions including fever, diarrhea and rash. This result suggests that medium-dose IVIG therapy can reduce the rates of adverse events following IVIG administrations.

In this study, we investigated the incidence of CALs in KD patients treated with medium-dose IVIG, comparing the nonresponder group that required additional dosing to the responder group that showed clinical improvement with a single dose. The results showed a higher incidence of CALs in the nonresponder group at all follow-up studies, but the difference was statistically significant only at 2 weeks and 2 months. Hence, if it is possible to identify the predictive factors of nonresponsiveness to a medium-dose IVIG prior to treatment, it will be possible to administrate high-dose IVIG to the patients at a high risk of CALs, thereby reducing the incidence of CALs.

Various scoring systems have been proposed to identify patients at high risk of coronary artery complications. Representative scoring systems, including the Kobayashi score, the Sano score, and the Egami score, reported that younger age, short illness day, high neutrophils count, high CRP concentration, high AST and ALT, low platelet count, and low serum sodium were risk factors for CALs and IVIG resistance¹⁶⁻¹⁸⁾. The sensitivity and specificity of these scoring systems were 78%, 77%, 78%, respectively and 78%, 86%, 76%, respectively. In this study, we found that high AST, ALT, CRP and percentage of neutrophils; low serum sodium; and administration of IVIG in the early stage of illness are independent risk factors for nonresponsiveness to medium-dose IVIG treatment. These results were similar to those of the previously commented Japanese scoring systems. However, our study has its own significance because it was conducted on children who were treated with medium-dose IVIG.

There are some limitations in this study. First, this is a retrospective study. There might be bias introduced in the results. Second, we adopted the criteria of Japanese Ministry of Health in defining CALs, and it might underdiagnose CALs¹⁹. Finally, this study included a relatively small number of patients because it was conducted at a single center. Prospective or multicenter studies are needed to verify our predictive model.

In conclusion, we suggest that clinicians should assess the clinical and laboratory data of a patient with KD before the start of IVIG therapy and determine the initial dose of IVIG. If the patient has no risk factors, medium-dose IVIG administration is recommend as the initial treatment of KD. Through this process, we can prevent the adverse effects of high-dose IVIG and minimize CALs in KD patients.

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

- Kim JJ, Hong YM, Yun SW, Han MK, Lee KY, Song MS, et al. Assessment of risk factors for Korean children with Kawasaki disease. Pediatr Cardiol 2012;33:513-20.
- Newburger JW, Takahashi M, Gerber MA, Gewitz MH, Tani LY, Burns JC, et al. Diagnosis, treatment, and long-term management of Kawasaki disease: a statement for health professionals from the Committee on Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis, and Kawasaki Disease, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, American Heart Association. Pediatrics 2004;114:1708-33.
- Hamrock DJ. Adverse events associated with intravenous immunoglobulin therapy. Int Immunopharmacol 2006;6:535-42.
- Kazatchkine MD, Kaveri SV. Immunomodulation of autoimmune and inflammatory diseases with intravenous immune globulin. N Engl J Med 2001;345:747-55.
- Sakata K, Hamaoka K, Ozawa S, Niboshi A, Yoshihara T, Nishiki T, et al. A randomized prospective study on the use of 2 g-IVIG or 1 g-IVIG as therapy for Kawasaki disease. Eur J Pediatr 2007;166: 565-71.
- Yeo JS, Choi JW. Effectiveness of medium-dose intravenous immunoglobulin (1 g/kg) in the treatment of Kawasaki disease. Korean Circ J 2010;40:81-5.
- JCS Joint Working Group. Guidelines for diagnosis and management of cardiovascular sequelae in Kawasaki disease (JCS 2013). Digest version. Circ J 2014;78:2521-62.
- Japan Kawasaki Disease Research Committee. Report of subcommittee on standardization of diagnostic criteria and reporting of coronary artery lesions in Kawasaki disease. Tokyo: Ministry of Health and Welfare, 1984.
- 9. Stiehm ER. Adverse effects of human immunoglobulin therapy. Transfus Med Rev 2013;27:171-8.
- Metz CE. Basic principles of ROC analysis. Semin Nucl Med 1978; 8:283-98.
- Cantor SB, Sun CC, Tortolero-Luna G, Richards-Kortum R, Follen M. A comparison of C/B ratios from studies using receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. J Clin Epidemiol 1999;52:885-92.
- 12. Onouchi Z, Kawasaki T. Overview of pharmacological treatment of Kawasaki disease. Drugs 1999;58:813-22.
- 13. Sato N, Sugimura T, Akagi T, Yamakawa R, Hashino K, Eto G, et al. Selective high dose gamma-globulin treatment in Kawasaki disease: assessment of clinical aspects and cost effectiveness. Pediatr Int 1999;41:1-7.
- 14. Newburger JW, Takahashi M, Beiser AS, Burns JC, Bastian J, Chung KJ, et al. A single intravenous infusion of gamma globulin as compared with four infusions in the treatment of acute Kawasaki syndrome. N Engl J Med 1991;324:1633-9.
- Sherer Y, Levy Y, Langevitz P, Rauova L, Fabrizzi F, Shoenfeld Y. Adverse effects of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in 56 patients with autoimmune diseases. Pharmacology 2001;62:133-7.

- Kobayashi T, Inoue Y, Takeuchi K, Okada Y, Tamura K, Tomomasa T, et al. Prediction of intravenous immunoglobulin unresponsiveness in patients with Kawasaki disease. Circulation 2006;113:2606-12.
- 17. Sano T, Kurotobi S, Matsuzaki K, Yamamoto T, Maki I, Miki K, et al. Prediction of non-responsiveness to standard high-dose gamma-globulin therapy in patients with acute Kawasaki disease

before starting initial treatment. Eur J Pediatr 2007;166:131-7.

- Egami K, Muta H, Ishii M, Suda K, Sugahara Y, Iemura M, et al. Prediction of resistance to intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in patients with Kawasaki disease. J Pediatr 2006;149:237-40.
- 19. de Zorzi A, Colan SD, Gauvreau K, Baker AL, Sundel RP, Newburger JW. Coronary artery dimensions may be misclassified as normal in Kawasaki disease. J Pediatr 1998;133:254-8.