



Open Access

Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci. Vol. 29, No. 3 : 372-377 March 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.5713/ajas.15.0322

www.ajas.info pISSN 1011-2367 eISSN 1976-5517

Supplementing Vitamin E to the Ration of Beef Cattle Increased the Utilization Efficiency of Dietary Nitrogen

Chen Wei, Shixin Lin, Jinlong Wu¹, Guangyong Zhao*, Tingting Zhang, and Wensi Zheng

State Key Laboratory of Animal Nutrition, College of Animal Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China

ABSTRACT: The objectives of the trial were to investigate the effects of supplementing vitamin E (VE) on nutrient digestion, nitrogen (N) retention and plasma parameters of beef cattle in feedlot. Four growing Simmental bulls, fed with a total mixed ration composed of corn silage and concentrate mixture as basal ration, were used as the experimental animals. Four levels of VE product, i.e. 0, 150, 300, 600 mg/head/d (equivalent to 0, 75, 150, 300 IU VE/head/d), were supplemented to the basal ration (VE content 38 IU/kg dry matter) in a 4×4 Latin square design as experimental treatments I, II, III and IV, respectively. Each experimental period lasted 15 days, of which the first 12 days were for pretreatment and the last 3 days for sampling. The results showed that supplementing VE did not affect the nutrient digestibility (p>0.05) whereas decreased the urinary N excretion (p<0.01), increased the N retention (p<0.05) and tended to increase the microbial N supply estimated based on the total urinary purine derivatives (p = 0.057). Supplementing VE increased the plasma concentrations of VE, glucose and triglycerol (TG) (p<0.05) and tended to increase the plasma concentration of total protein (p = 0.096) whereas did not affect the nutrient digestibility whereas supplementing VE at 150 or 300 IU/head/d did not affect the nutrient digestibility whereas supplementing VE at 150 or 300 IU/head/d increased the N retention and the plasma concentrations of VE and TG (p<0.05) of beef cattle. (Key Words: Vitamin E, Digestibility, Nitrogen Retention, Plasma, Beef Cattle)

INTRODUCTION

Vitamin E (VE) plays important roles in animal growth, development and reproduction (Liu et al., 1995; McDowell et al., 1996; Rooke et al., 2004). In the quality of beef and mutton, it was reported that supplementing VE enhanced the anti-oxidation and palatability characteristics. When 500 IU VE/head/d (equivalent to 76 IU/kg dry matter [DM]) was added to the ration of cross bred steers fed with wet distiller's grain-based diets it reduced the lipid oxidation and drip loss (Bloomberg et al., 2011). When 45 mg VE/head/d was supplemented to the barley-based ration during a 75-day fattening period of *Awassi* male lambs it tended to maintain meat redness of mutton (Macit et al.,

Submitted Apr. 10, 2015; Revised May 11, 2015; Accepted Jul. 4, 2015

2003). In the performance of beef cattle, it was observed that adding up to 500 IU VE/head/d to the finishing ration of cross breed steers (equivalent to 76 IU/kg DM) resulted in a linear increase in carcass-adjusted body weight in average daily gain (Burken et al., 2012) and heifers receiving 570 IU VE/head/d tended to have a higher dressing percentage than receiving 285 IU VE/head/d (Rivera et al., 2002). In *in vitro* rumen fermentation, it was reported that supplementing VE increased the total volatile fatty acid production and improved the growth of rumen microorganisms (Hino et al., 1993; Naziroğlu et al., 2002; Hou et al., 2013). It could be hypothesized that the positive influence of VE on growth and fattening of beef cattle could result from the effects of VE on nutrient digestibility and N metabolism.

In China, the typical rations for beef cattle usually contain more than 50% of roughages including dried corn stover, corn silage, wheat straw, rice straw and other agricultural byproducts which contain a low content of VE.

Copyright © 2016 by Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences

^{*} Corresponding Author: Guangyong Zhao. Tel: +86-10-62733379, Fax: +86-10-62733379, E-mail: zhaogy@cau.edu.cn ¹ DSM China Animal Nutrition Centre, Bazhou, Hebei 065700, China.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In beef production, VE is not supplemented to the rations of beef cattle since it is expensive. It is unclear if supplementing VE to the ration of beef cattle is beneficial to the dietary nutrient digestion and utilization. The objectives of the trial were to study the effects of supplementing VE on nutrient digestion, nitrogen (N) retention and plasma parameters of beef cattle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and feeding

Four Simmental bulls, aged at 12 months, with average live weight of 320±15 kg and fitted with permanent rumen fistulas made of polyethylene, were used as the experimental animals. The cattle were housed in separated pens with rubber mattress in a feedlot and fed with a total mixed ration (TMR) (Table 1) prepared everyday and mixed by hand. The TMR included 8.0 kg/d corn silage and 2.0 kg/d concentrate mixture (DM intake 3.73 kg/d), supplying major nutrients to the cattle at the levels of about 1.1 times of maintenance requirements (Feng, 2000). The corn silage was made from a conventional dent hybrid corn species harvested in October at early milk-line period, chopped to 1-2 cm in length and then filled in a bunker silo (length×width×height: 70 m×25 m×3 m). The silage was used after ensiled for 45 days. The corn silage contained DM 24.43%, organic matter (OM) 89.80% DM; ether extract (EE) 1.97% DM, neutral detergent fibre (NDF) 59.18% DM, acid detergent fibre (ADF) 36.73% DM and crude protein (CP) 7.14% DM. The TMR was divided into

 Table 1. Ingredients and nutritional composition of experimental ration

Items	Content (% DM)			
Ingredients				
Corn	17.82			
Wheat bran	1.86			
Soybean meal	8.10			
Corn gluten meal	18.48			
Sodium chloride	0.48			
Sodium bicarbonate	0.91			
Corn silage	52.35			
Total	100.00			
Nutritional composition ¹				
OM	91.96			
EE	1.93			
СР	11.70			
NDF	41.35			
ADF	22.69			
VE (IU/kg DM)	38.00			

DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; EE, ether extract; CP, crude protein; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber; VE, Vitamin E. ¹Analyzed values.

two equal meals and fed at 7:00 and 17:00, respectively. The cattle had free access to clean drinking water.

Experimental design

Four levels of VE product (all-*rac*- α -tocopheryl acetate, VE purity 50%) from DSM China Ltd, i.e. 0, 150, 300, 600 mg/head/d (equivalent to 0, 75, 150, 300 IU/d or 0, 20.1, 40.2, 80.4 IU/kg DM, 1 mg *all-rac*- α -tocopheryl acetate = 1 International Unit), were supplemented to the basal ration (VE content 38 IU/kg DM) in a 4×4 Latin square design as experimental treatments I, II, III, and IV, respectively. Since the VE content of the TMR was 38 IU/kg DM, the total VE supply to the cattle in four treatments was 142, 217, 292, and 442 IU/d, respectively. Each experimental period was 15 days, of which the first 12 days were for pretreatment and the last 3 days for sampling.

Sampling

During the last 3 days of each experimental period, the faeces and the urine were completely collected at 10:00 daily using the method similar to Dermauw et al. (2013). The faeces from each animal were collected using a plastic bucket and 3% of the faeces were sampled. The urine from each animal was collected using a urine collecting apparatus consisted of a rubber funnel connected to a polyvinyl chloride pipe and a plastic barrel. The rubber funnel was harnessed in the position to the penis by flexible hose straps. An aliquot of 1% of the urine was sampled. On the last sampling day at 11:00, 10 mL of blood sample was taken from the jugular vein of each animal using vacutainers containing Na₂-EDTA (Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Frickenhausen, Germany) and were centrifuged at 2,200×g for 15 min to obtain plasma. All the samples were kept at -20°C for later analysis.

Determinations and chemical analysis

The DM, ash, CP, and EE of the samples were determined according to AOAC (2007). The NDF and ADF of the samples were analyzed using the methods of Van Soest et al. (1991). The OM was calculated by DM minus ash. The VE content of TMR and the VE product was analyzed using high performance liquid chromatography (Agilent 1200 HPLC, Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to China National Standards GB/T 17812-2008 and GB/T 7293-2006, respectively. The urinary purine derivatives (PD) including allantoin and uric acid were analysed using the method of Chen and Gomes (1992) on a spectrophotometer (UV-9100, Beijing Beifen Ruili Instrument Co. Ltd, Beijing, China). The VE concentration in plasma was determined using the VE kit (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengeneering Institute, Nanjing, China). The plasma malondialdehyde (MDA), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-PX), superoxide dismutase (SOD), total antioxidation capacity (T-AOC) and catalase (CAT) were analyzed using the kits HY-50116, HY-60005, HY-60001, and HY-50121, respectively (Beijing Sino-UK Institute of Biological Technology, Beijing, China). The plasma total protein (TP), plasma urea nitrogen, glucose (GLU) and triglycerol (TG) were analyzed using the biuret method, the enzyme coupling ratio method, the GLU oxidative method and the glycerophosphate oxidase-peroxisome method using kits, respectively (Beijing Sino-UK Institute of Biological Technology, China). The plasma insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), growth hormone (GH) and insulin (INS) were analyzed using the radioimmunoassay kits HY-082, HY-10035, and HY-10069, respectively (Beijing Sino-UK Institute of Biological Technology, China). The plasma samples were analyzed twice as duplicates for every plasma parameter to minimize the errors from analytical procedures.

Calculations and statistical analysis

The absorption of microbial purines and the rumen microbial N supply were estimated according to Chen and Gomes (1992):

 $X = (Y - 0.385 \times W^{0.75})/0.85$

where X refers to the absorption of microbial purines, mmol/d; Y, the excretion of PD in urine, mmol/d; $W^{0.75}$, the metabolic body weight of cattle, kg; 0.385, the endogenous

contribution to PD excretion, mmol/kg $W^{0.75}$; 0.85, the recovery rate of absorbed purines in urine.

Rumen microbial N supply (g/d) = $X \times 70/(0.83 \times 0.116 \times 1,000) = 0.727 \times X$

where *X* refers to the absorption of microbial purines, mmol/d; 70, the N content of purine, mg N/mmol; 0.83, the digestibility of microbial purines; 0.116, the ratio of the purine-N in the total N of mixed rumen microbes.

The data were analyzed using the general linear model procedure of SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc, 2003). The model used for the analysis was: $Y = \mu + VE_i + Period_j + Cattle_k + \varepsilon$, where Y = observation, $\mu =$ general mean, VE = effect of V_E (i = 1 to 4), Period = effect of period (j = 1 to 4), and Cattle = effect of cattle (k = 1 to 4), ε = residual error. Differences between treatments were determined by Student-Newman-Keuls multiple-range test and considered to be significant at p<0.05, extremely significant at p<0.01 and tended to be significant at 0.05<p<0.10.

RESULTS

The results in Table 2 show that supplementing VE up to 300 IU/head/d did not affect the digestibility of DM, OM, EE, NDF, ADF, and CP of ration (p>0.05) while it decreased the urinary N excretion (p<0.01). Supplementing VE at 150 or 300 IU/head/d increased the N retention

Table 2. Effects of supplementing vitamin E on nutrient digestibility, N balance, urinary purine derivatives excretion and estimated microbial N supply in beef cattle

Items –	Treatments				CEN	1
	Ι	II	III	IV	SEM	p-value
Nutrient digestibility (%)						
DM	71.8	70.9	71.6	70.9	1.76	0.164
OM	74.1	73.3	74.1	73.3	1.64	0.162
EE	77.3	75.4	77.5	77.0	2.63	0.284
NDF	58.4	56.6	56.6	55.9	4.47	0.641
ADF	57.3	55.0	54.6	54.5	4.25	0.237
СР	69.8	69.5	69.4	69.1	1.90	0.153
N balance (g/d)						
N intake	69.85	69.86	69.86	69.85	0.01	0.455
Faecal N	21.09	21.58	21.23	21.37	1.29	0.187
Urinary N	38.81 ^a	37.17 ^{ab}	36.42 ^{ab}	35.81 ^b	1.55	0.003
N retention	9.96 ^b	11.11 ^{ab}	12.58 ^a	12.68 ^a	2.55	0.027
Urinary PD excretion (mmol/d)						
Allantoin	35.05	35.90	42.50	43.77	4.54	0.074
Uric acid	5.28	5.74	5.38	4.84	0.50	0.187
Total urinary PD	40.33	41.65	47.88	48.62	4.43	0.082
Estimated microbial N (g/d)	9.15	10.49	15.97	16.24	3.47	0.057

SEM, standard error of means; DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; EE, ether extract; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber; CP, crude protein; N, nitrogen; PD, purine derivatives.

n = 4

^{a,b} Values in the same row with different small letter superscripts mean significant difference (p<0.05).

(p<0.05) and tended to increase the urinary PD excretion (p = 0.082) and consequently the estimated rumen microbial N synthesis (p = 0.057) of the cattle.

The results in Table 3 showed that supplementing VE at 150 or 300 IU/head/d increased the plasma concentration of VE and TG (p<0.05) and supplementing VE at 300 IU/head/d increased the plasma concentration of GLU (p<0.05). Supplementing VE up to 300 IU/head/d tended to increase the plasma concentration of TP (p = 0.096) whereas did not affect the plasma antioxidants and hormones including IGF-1, GH and INS of the cattle (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

Vitamin E requirement of beef cattle

McDowell et al. (1996) suggested that the optimal VE requirement of feedlot cattle (growing and finishing) was 200 to 500 IU/head/d. In the present trial, the VE supply from the basal ration for the cattle was 142 IU/head/d (38 IU/kg DM×3.73 DM intake) which was lower than the amount suggested by McDowell et al. (1996). Therefore, supplementing VE at 75, 150, and 300 IU/head/d in treatments II, III, and IV, respectively was presumed to have met the VE requirement of the cattle in the present trial (Table 2).

Nutrient digestibility

Khodamoradi et al. (2013) reported that supplementing

145 mg VE/head/d (equivalent to 7.5 mg/kg DM) to a TMR of lactating Holstein cows had no effects on the digestibility of DM, OM, CP, and EE and on the milk yield and the contents of milk fat, protein and lactose and the N utilization efficiency. The reason could be that the VE content of the basal ration met the VE requirement of the cows or the supplementation level of 145 mg VE/head/d was not high enough to influence the nutrient digestibility and the performance of the cows. The results in the present trial showed that supplementing VE up to 300 IU VE/head/d (equivalent to 80.4 IU/kg DM) did not affect the nutrient digestibility of beef cattle. Chikunya et al. (2004), however, reported that supplementing 500 IU VE (α tocopheryl acetate)/kg DM to the ration of sheep with 50 g fatty acids/kg DM using three lipid sources increased the whole tract digestibility of cellulose. The differences found between different trials could be attributed to the VE requirements of different animal species, the VE contents in the basal rations and the VE doses.

N utilization

The rumen environment of adult ruminants is suitable for the colonization and growth of rumen anaerobic microorganisms. However, a limited amount of oxygen may go into the rumen from ingestion, rumination and drinking water. Some oxygen may also diffuse from the blood into the rumen. Stewart and Bryant (1988) reported that the concentration of oxygen present in rumen fluid could be as high as 3 mmol/L. Therefore, supplementing VE would be

 Table 3. Effects of supplementing vitamin E on plasma parameters in beef cattle

Items		Treatments			
Items I	II	III	IV	SEM	p-value
6.99 ^b	7.18 ^b	7.93 ^a	8.20 ^a	0.76	0.019
3.31	3.77	3.40	3.50	0.29	0.236
863.65	846.40	859.58	861.25	20.86	0.706
56.15	52.33	54.33	53.71	2.57	0.242
8.79	9.05	9.20	9.15	0.53	0.934
47.88	48.23	47.92	48.21	2.59	0.969
69.51	74.47	71.48	70.80	2.29	0.096
3.13	2.57	3.16	3.71	0.76	0.202
3.88 ^b	4.23 ^{ab}	3.96 ^{ab}	4.64 ^a	0.36	0.039
0.14 ^b	0.16 ^{ab}	0.18 ^a	0.18 ^a	0.01	0.034
222.18	198.08	221.85	215.16	35.39	0.854
3.83	3.48	3.87	4.21	0.74	0.923
12.18	12.29	12.12	12.56	1.07	0.957
	3.31 863.65 56.15 8.79 47.88 69.51 3.13 3.88 ^b 0.14 ^b 222.18 3.83	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c }\hline I & II \\ \hline 6.99^b & 7.18^b$ \\ \hline 3.31 & 3.77$ \\ \hline 863.65 & 846.40$ \\ \hline 56.15 & 52.33 \\ \hline 8.79 & 9.05 \\ \hline 47.88 & 48.23 \\ \hline 69.51 & 74.47 \\ \hline 3.13 & 2.57 \\ \hline 3.88^b & 4.23^{ab} \\ \hline 0.14^b & 0.16^{ab} \\ \hline 222.18 & 198.08 \\ \hline 3.83 & 3.48 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c }\hline I & II & III \\ \hline 6.99^b & 7.18^b & 7.93^a \\ \hline 3.31 & 3.77 & 3.40 \\ \hline 863.65 & 846.40 & 859.58 \\ \hline 56.15 & 52.33 & 54.33 \\ \hline 8.79 & 9.05 & 9.20 \\ \hline 47.88 & 48.23 & 47.92 \\ \hline 69.51 & 74.47 & 71.48 \\ \hline 3.13 & 2.57 & 3.16 \\ \hline 3.88^b & 4.23^{ab} & 3.96^{ab} \\ \hline 0.14^b & 0.16^{ab} & 0.18^a \\ \hline 222.18 & 198.08 & 221.85 \\ \hline 3.83 & 3.48 & 3.87 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	IIIIIIIV 6.99^{b} 7.18^{b} 7.93^{a} 8.20^{a} 3.31 3.77 3.40 3.50 863.65 846.40 859.58 861.25 56.15 52.33 54.33 53.71 8.79 9.05 9.20 9.15 47.88 48.23 47.92 48.21 69.51 74.47 71.48 70.80 3.13 2.57 3.16 3.71 3.88^{b} 4.23^{ab} 3.96^{ab} 4.64^{a} 0.14^{b} 0.16^{ab} 0.18^{a} 0.18^{a} 222.18 198.08 221.85 215.16 3.83 3.48 3.87 4.21	IIIIIIIVSEM 6.99^{b} 7.18^{b} 7.93^{a} 8.20^{a} 0.76 3.31 3.77 3.40 3.50 0.29 863.65 846.40 859.58 861.25 20.86 56.15 52.33 54.33 53.71 2.57 8.79 9.05 9.20 9.15 0.53 47.88 48.23 47.92 48.21 2.59 69.51 74.47 71.48 70.80 2.29 3.13 2.57 3.16 3.71 0.76 3.88^{b} 4.23^{ab} 3.96^{ab} 4.64^{a} 0.36 0.14^{b} 0.16^{ab} 0.18^{a} 0.18^{a} 0.01 222.18 198.08 221.85 215.16 35.39 3.83 3.48 3.87 4.21 0.74

SEM, standard error of means; MDA, malondialdehyde; GSH-PX, glutathione peroxidase; SOD, superoxide dismutase; T-AOC, total antioxidation capacity; CAT, catalase; TP, total protein; PUN, plasma urea N; GLU, glucose; TG, triglycerol; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor-1; GH, growth hormone; INS, insulin.

n = 4

^{a,b} Values in the same row with different small letter superscripts mean significant difference (p<0.05).

beneficial to the rumen environment for the colonization and growth of rumen microorganisms.

The results in the present trial showed that supplementing VE at 300 IU/head/d decreased the urinary N excretion and supplementing VE at 150 or 300 IU/head/d increased the N retention of beef cattle. The reasons for the results could be that VE was an antioxidant and maintained the integrity of cell membranes from oxidation (Burton et al., 1990) resulted from oxygen taken in from rumination, drinking water and diffusion through the rumen epithelium from the blood and therefore improved the growth of rumen protozoa and bacteria (Hino et al., 1993; Naziroğlu et al., 2002). The results were in agreement with the increased urinary PD excretion, the estimated microbial N supply and the increasing tendency of the plasma concentration of TP.

Urinary purine derivatives and estimated microbial N supply

The urinary PD excretion was directly associated with the intestinal absorption of purine and could be used for the estimation of rumen microbial N supply to ruminants (Chen and Gomes, 1992). The results in the present trial indicated that supplementing VE to the ration of beef cattle tended to increase the urinary PD excretion and consequently the estimated rumen microbial N supply. The results were in agreement with Chikunya et al. (2004) who reported that supplementing 500 IU VE (α -tocopheryl acetate)/kg DM to the rations of sheep increased the estimated microbial N yield compared to 100 IU/kg DM. The results implied that the rumen microorganisms could possibly require VE which was an antioxidant and VE could protect the integrity of microbial membranes from oxidation (Burton et al., 1990).

Plasma vitamin E and antioxidants

Weiss and Wyatt (2003) reported that supplementing up to 5,500 IU VE/head/d (equivalent to 250 IU VE/kg DM) to the ration of mid-lactation Holstein dairy cows increased the plasma concentration of VE (α -tocopherol). Lindqvist et al. (2011) reported that supplementing 2,400 IU α tocopheryl acetate/head/d (equivalent to total 150 to 169 IU/kg DM) to the ration of Holstein dairy cows during the transition period tended to increase the plasma concentration of VE (a-tocopherol). Chikunya et al. (2004) reported that supplementing 500 IU VE/kg DM to the ration of sheep with 50 g fatty acids/kg DM increased the plasma concentration of VE (α -tocopherol). The results in the present trial indicated that supplementing VE at 150 or 300 IU/head/d increased the plasma VE concentration of beef cattle. The reason for the results could be that VE was not destroyed by ruminal microbes (Leedle et al., 1993). Therefore, supplementing VE increased the plasma concentration of VE. Liu et al. (2008) reported that supplementing 5,000 or 10,000 IU DL-a-tocopheryl acetate/head/d (equivalent to 262 or 563 IU/kg DM) to the ration of Holstein dairy cows did not increase the plasma concentration of GSH-PX, but increased GSH-PX when combined with selenium. In the present trial, supplementing VE up to 300 IU/head/d did not affect the plasma concentration of GSH-PX and other antioxidants including SOD, MDA, T-AOC, and CAT. The results of the present trial were in agreement with Liu et al. (2008) and Bourne et al. (2007). Mahmoud et al. (2013), however, reported that injecting 5 mg sodium selenite and 450 mg VE injections (Viteselen 15, Adwia Company, Tanta, Egypt) two times per week for 1 month increased the serum concentration of GSH-PX of Ossimi rams (VE content in basal ration 15 mg/kg on fed basis). Similarly, Liu et al. (2008) reported that supplementing 5,000 or 10,000 IU DL-α-tocopheryl acetate/head/d (equivalent to 262 or 563 IU/kg DM) to the ration of Holstein dairy cows combined with selenium increased the plasma concentration of GSH-PX. The results indicated that the antioxidants such as the plasma concentration of GSH-PX could not be increased only if the plasma concentration of VE reached a certain level and the combination of VE and selenium was effective to increase the antioxidants of animals.

CONCLUSION

Under present feeding regimes, supplementing VE up to 300 IU/head/d did not affect the nutrient digestibility when the VE content of the basal ration was 38 IU/kg DM. Supplementing VE at 150 or 300 IU/head/d improved the utilization efficiency of dietary N and increased the plasma concentrations of VE, GLU, and TG of beef cattle.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We certify that there is no conflict of interest with any financial organization regarding the material discussed in the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank DSM China Ltd. for financial support for the trial and also thank Beijing Dairy Industry Innovation Team for assistance in research.

REFERENCES

- AOAC. 2007. Official Methods of Analysis. 18th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
- Bloomberg, B. D., G. G. Hilton, K. G. Hanger, C. J. Richards, J. B. Morgan, and D. L. VanOverbeke. 2011. Effects of vitamin E on color stability and palatability of strip loin steaks from

cattle fed distillers grains. J. Anim. Sci. 80:3769-3782.

- Bourne, N., D. C. Wathes, M. McGowan, and R. Laven. 2007. A comparison of the effects of parenteral and oral administration of supplementary vitamin E on plasma vitamin E concentrations in dairy cows at different stages of lactation. Livest. Sci. 106:57-64.
- Burton, G. W. and M. G. Traber. 1990. Vitamin E: antioxidant activity, biokinetics, and bioavailability. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 10:357-382.
- Burken, D. B., R. B. Hicks, D. L. VanOverbeke, G. G. Hilton, J. L. Wahrmund, B. P. Holland, C. R. Krehbiel, P. K. Camfield, and C. J. Richards. 2012. Vitamin E supplementation in beef finishing diets containing 35% wet distillers grains with solubles: Feedlot performance and carcass characteristics. J. Anim. Sci. 90:1349-1355.
- Chen, X. B. and M. J. Gomes. 1992. Estimation of Microbial Protein Supply to Sheep and Cattle Based on Purine Derivatives: An Overview of Technical Details. Occasional publication of International Feed Resources Unit. Rowett Research Institute, Bucksburn, Aberdeen, UK.
- Chikunya, S., G. Demirel, M. Enser, J. D. Wood, R. G. Wilkinson, and L. A. Sinclair. 2004. Biohydrogenation of dietary n-3 PUFA and stability of ingested vitamin E in the rumen, and their effects on microbial activity in sheep. Br. J. Nutr. 91:539-550.
- China National Standard, 2006. GB/T 7293-2006. Feed additive —Vitamin E powder. Standards Press of China, Beijing, China.
- China National Standard, 2008. GB/T 17812-2008. Determination of vitamin E in feeds—High-performance liquid chromatography. Standards Press of China, Beijing, China.
- Dermauw, V., K. Yisehak, E. S. Dierenfeld, G. Du Laing, J. Buyse, B. Wuyts, and G. P. J. Janssens. 2013. Effects of trace element supplementation on apparent nutrient digestibility and utilization in grass-fed zebu (*Bos indicus*) cattle. Livest. Sci. 155: 255-261.
- Feng, Y. L. 2000. The Nutrient Requirements and Feeding Standards of Beef Cattle. China Agricultural University Press, Beijing, China.
- Hino, T., N. Andoh, and H. Ohgi. 1993. Effects of β-carotene and α-tocopherol on rumen bacteria in the utilization of long-chain fatty acids and cellulose. J. Dairy Sci. 76:600-605.
- Hou, J. C., F. Wang, Y. T. Wang, and F. Liu. 2013. Effects of vitamin E on the concentration of conjugated linoleic acids and accumulation of intermediates of ruminal biohydrogenation *in vitro*. Small Rumin. Res. 111:63-70.
- Khodamoradi, S. H., F. Fatahnia, K. Taherpour, V. Pirani, L. Rashidi, and A. Azarfar. 2013. Effect of monensin and vitamin E on milk production and composition of lactating dairy cows. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 97:666-674.

- Leedle, R. A., J. A. Leedle, and M. D. Butine. 1993. Vitamin E is not degraded by ruminal microorganisms: assessment with ruminal contents from a steer fed a high-concentrate diet. J. Anim. Sci. 71:3442-3450.
- Lindqvist, H., E. Nadeau, K. P. Waller, S. K. Jensen, and B. Johansson. 2011. Effects of RRR-α-tocopheryl acetate supplementation during the transition period on vitamin status in blood and milk of organic dairy cows during lactation. Livest. Sci. 142:155-163.
- Liu, Q., M. C. Lanari, and D. M. Schaefer. 1995. A review of dietary vitamin E supplementation for improvement of beef quality. J. Anim. Sci. 73:3131-3140.
- Liu, Z. L., D. P. Yang, P. Chen, W. X. Dong, and D. M. Wang. 2008. Supplementation with selenium and vitamin E improves milk fat depression and fatty acid composition in dairy cows fed fat diet. Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 21:838-844.
- Macit, M., V. Aksakal, E. Emsen, N. Esenbuğa, and M. İ. Aksu. 2003. Effects of vitamin E supplementation on fattening performance, non-carcass components and retail cut percentages, and meat quality traits of *Awassi* lambs. Meat Sci. 64:1-6.
- Mahmoud, G. B., S. M. Abdel-Raheem, and H. A. Hussein. 2013. Effect of combination of vitamin E and selenium injections on reproductive performance and blood parameters of Ossimi rams. Small Rumin. Res. 113:103-108.
- McDowell, L. R., S. N. Williams, N. Hidiroglou, C. A. Njeru, G. M. Hill, L. Ochoa, and N. S. Wilkinson. 1996. Vitamin E supplementation for the ruminant. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 60:273-296.
- Naziroğlu, M., T. Güler, and A. Yüce. 2002. Effect of vitamin E on ruminal fermentation *in vitro*. J. Vet. Med. A 49:251-255.
- Rivera, J. D., G. C. Duff, M. L. Galyean, D. A. Walker, and G. A. Nunnery. 2002. Effects of supplemental vitamin E on performance, health, and humoral immune response of beef cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 80:933-941.
- Rooke, J. A., J. J. Robinson, and J. R. Arthur. 2004. Effects of vitamin E and selenium on the performance and immune status of ewes and lambs. J. Agric. Sci. 142:253-262.
- SAS. 2003. Statistical Analysis System, Version 9.1. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Stewart, C. S. and M. P. Bryant. 1988. The rumen bacteria. In: The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem (Ed. P. N. Hobson). Elsevier Science Publishers, New York, NY, USA. pp. 15-26.
- Van Soest, P. J., J. B. Robertson, and B. A. Lewis. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J. Dairy Sci. 74:3583-3597.
- Weiss, W. P. and D. J. Wyatt. 2003. Effect of Dietary Fat and Vitamin E on α-Tocopherol in milk from dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 86:3582-3591.