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AbStract. In this paper, we prove existence of radial positive solutions for the following boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\triangle_{p} u=\lambda f(u(x)), & x \in \Omega \\ u(x)=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda>0, \Omega$ denotes a ball in $\mathbf{R}^{N} ; f$ has more than one zero and $f(0)<0$ (the nonpositone case).
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## 1. Introduction

Let us consider the the existence of radial positive solutions of the problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\triangle_{p} u=\lambda f(u(x)), & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u(x)=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda>0, \Omega$ denotes a ball in $\mathbf{R}^{N} ; f(0)<0, f$ has more than one zero and is not strictly increasing entirely on $[0, \infty) . \triangle_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)(1<p \leq N)$ is the $p$-Laplacian operator of $u$.

The problem (1.1) arises in the theory of quasiregular and quasiconformal mappings or in the study of non-Newtonian fluids. In the latter case, the quantity $p$ is a characteristic of the medium. Media with $p>2$ are called dilatant fluids and these with $p<2$ are called pseudoplastics(see[18,19]). If $p=2$, they are Newtonian fluid. When $p \neq 2$, the problem becomes more complicated certain nice properties inherent to the case $p=2$ seem to be lost or at least difficult to verify. The main differences between $p=2$ and $p \neq 2$ can be founded in $[9,11]$.

[^0]In recent years, the asymptotic behavior, existence and uniqueness of the positive solutions for the quasilinear eigenvalue problems:

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=\lambda f(u), & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\ u(x)=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

with $\lambda>0, p>1, \Omega \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$ have been considered by a number of authors, see $[5-15,20-24,26-28]$ and the references therein. In [11], Guo and Webb proved existence and uniqueness results of (1.2) for $\lambda$ large when $f \geq 0$, $\left(f(x) / x^{p-1}\right)^{\prime}<0$ for $x>0$ and $f$ satisfies some p-sublinearity conditions at 0 and $\infty$, generalizing a result in [11] where $\Omega$ is a ball. When $p=2$, uniqueness results for semilinear equations were obtained in $[29,30]$ where the assumption $(f(x) / x)^{\prime}<0$ is required only for large $x$. Similar results for systems were discussed in [31]. Related results for the superlinear case when $f \geq 0$ can be found in $[26,32]$. When $p=2, f(0)<0, f(s)$ has only one zero and $\Omega$ being a unit ball or an annulus in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ the related results have been obtained by Castro and Shivaji [2], Arcoya and Zertiti[1]. The case when $f(0)<0$ and $p=2$ was treated in [33], in which uniqueness of positive solution to single equation of (1.1) for $\lambda$ large was established for sublinear $f$. See also [34] where this result was extended to the case when $\Omega$ is any bounded domain with convex outer boundary.

In this paper, we study this problem for $p \neq 2, f(0)<0$ and $\Omega$ being a unit ball in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$. It extends and complements previous results in the literature [1].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 , we recall some facts that will be needed in the paper and give the main results. In section 3, we give the proofs of the main results in this paper.

## 2. Main results

We consider radial solution of (1.1), then, the existence of radial positive solutions of (1.1) is equivalent to the existence of positive solutions of the problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}(r)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda r^{N-1} f(u(r)), & r \in(0,1)  \tag{2.1}\\ u^{\prime}(0)=0, u(1)=0 & \end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is the unit ball of $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ and $\lambda>0$. Here $f:[0,+\infty) \longrightarrow R$ satisfies the following assumptions:
(H1) $f \in C^{1}([0,+\infty), R)$ such that $f^{\prime} \geq 0$ on $[\beta,+\infty)$, where $\beta$ is the greatest zero of $f$;
(H2) $f(0)<0$;
(H3) $\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^{q}}=+\infty$, where $p-1<q<p^{*}-1, p^{*}=\frac{N p}{N-p}$ if $1<p<N$; $p^{*}=\infty$ if $p \geq N$;
(H4) For some $k \in(0,1), \lim _{d \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\frac{d^{p-1}}{f(d)}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}\left(F(k d)-\frac{N-p}{N p} d f(d)\right)=+\infty$, where $F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(s) d s$.
Remark 2.1. We note that in hypothesis (H1), there is no restriction on the function $f(u)$ for $0<u<\beta$.

Remark 2.2. If $f$ satisfies (H1), any nonnegative solution $u$ of (2.1) is positive in $\Omega$, radial symmetric and radially decreasing, that is

$$
\begin{cases}u>0, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.2}\\ u=u(r), & r=\|x\| \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}<0, & \text { in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

By a modification of the method given in [1], we obtain the following results.
Theorem 2.1. Let assumptions (H1)-(H4) be satisfied. Then there exists a positive real number $\lambda_{0}$ such that if $\lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{0}\right]$, problem (1.1) has at least one radial positive solution which is decreasing on $[0,1]$.

The proof of the theorem is based on the following preliminaries and four Lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. Let $u(r)$ be a solution of (2.1) in $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \subset(0, \infty)$ and let a be an arbitrary constant, then for each $r \in\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ we have
$\frac{d}{d r}\left[r^{N}\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}+F(u)+\frac{a}{r} u u^{\prime}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}\right\}\right]=r^{N-1}\left[N F(u)-a u f(u)+\left(a+1-\frac{N}{p}\right)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right]$.
Remark 2.3. The identity of Pohozaev type was obtained by Ni and Serrin [6].
By a modification of the method given in [1], we first introduce the notations and the following preliminaries. Let $F$ be defined as $F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(s) d s$, and $\theta$ denotes the greatest zero of $F$.

From (H4), we have $\gamma \geq\left\{\frac{\beta}{k}, \frac{\theta}{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p N F(k d)-(N-p) d f(d) \geq 1, \text { for } \forall d \geq \gamma \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $d \in R, \lambda \in R$, we define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E(r, d, \lambda)=\frac{p-1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}(r, d, \lambda)\right|^{p}+\lambda F(u(r, d, \lambda)) \\
H(r, d, \lambda)=r E(r)+\frac{N-p}{p} u u^{\prime}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we show the following Pohozaev identity on $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1}^{N-1} H\left(r_{1}, d, \lambda\right)-r_{0}^{N-1} H\left(r_{0}, d, \lambda\right) \\
= & \int_{r_{0}}^{r_{1}} \lambda r^{N-1}\left\{N F(u(r, d, \lambda))-\frac{N-p}{p} f(u(r, d, \lambda)) u(r, d, \lambda)\right\} d r . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, for $d \geq \gamma$, there exists $t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u\left(t_{0}, d, \lambda\right)=k d, 0<k<1 \\
k d \leq u(r, d, \lambda) \leq d, \forall r \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next from (H1), we obtain that $f$ is nondecreasing on $[k d, d] \subset(\beta,+\infty)$, and from (2.1) we have $u^{\prime}(r, d, \lambda)=-\left(\lambda r^{1-N} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} f(u(s)) d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, then we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{\lambda r f(k d)}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq-u^{\prime} \leq\left(\frac{\lambda r f(d)}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
$$

Integrating on $\left[0, t_{0}\right]$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\left(\frac{d^{p-1}}{\lambda f(d)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq t_{0} \leq C_{1}\left(\frac{d^{p-1}}{\lambda f(k d)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=\left[\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}(1-k)^{p-1} N\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}>0$.
Hence, taking $r_{0}=0, r_{1}=t_{0}$ in (2.4), and using (2.5)-(2.6), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{0}^{N-1} H\left(t_{0}, d, \lambda\right) & =\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \lambda r^{N-1}\left(N F(u)-\frac{N-p}{p} f(u) u\right) d r \\
& \geq \lambda\left[N F(k d)-\frac{N-p}{p} d f(d)\right] \frac{t_{0}^{N}}{N}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \geq \lambda C_{1}^{N}\left[N F(k d)-\frac{N-p}{p} d f(d)\right]\left(\frac{d^{p-1}}{\lambda f(d)}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}} \\
& \geq C_{2} \lambda^{1-\frac{N}{p}}\left[N F(k d)-\frac{N-p}{p} d f(d)\right]\left(\frac{d^{p-1}}{f(d)}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{2}=C_{1}^{N}$
Lemma 2.3. There exists $\lambda_{1}>0$ such that if $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$, then $u(r, \gamma, \lambda) \geq \beta$, for $\forall r \in[0,1]$.
Proof. Let $r^{*}=\sup \{0 \leq r \leq 1: u(r, \gamma, \lambda) \geq \beta\}$. For $u$ is decreasing on [ $0, r^{*}$ ], then $\beta \leq u(r, \gamma, \lambda) \leq u(0, \gamma, \lambda)=\gamma, \forall r \in\left[0, r^{*}\right]$
Moreover, since $f \geq 0$ on $[\beta,+\infty)$ and $u^{\prime}(r, \gamma, \lambda)=-\left(\lambda r^{1-N} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} f(u(s)) d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u^{\prime}(r, \gamma, \lambda)\right| & =\left|\lambda r^{1-N} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} f(u(s)) d s\right|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\
& \leq\left|\lambda r^{1-N} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} f(\gamma) d s\right|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq\left|\frac{\lambda f(\gamma)}{N}\right|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for $\lambda<\lambda_{1}=\frac{N(\gamma-\beta)^{p-1}}{f(\gamma)}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(r, \gamma, \lambda)\right| \leq \gamma-\beta \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by using the mean value theorem and (2.8), there exists $\tilde{r} \in\left(0, r^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
u\left(r^{*}, \gamma, \lambda\right)-u(0, \gamma, \lambda)=u^{\prime}(\tilde{r}, \gamma, \lambda)\left(r^{*}-0\right) \geq-(\gamma-\beta) r^{*}
$$

Assume that $r^{*}<1$, we have

$$
u\left(r^{*}, \gamma, \lambda\right)>-(\gamma-\beta) r^{*}+\gamma=\beta
$$

which contradicts the definition of $r^{*}$. Then, the lemma is proved for $r^{*}=1$.
Lemma 2.4. There exists $\lambda_{2}>0$ such that for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{2}\right)$

$$
u(r, d, \lambda)^{2}+u^{\prime}(r, d, \lambda)^{2}>0, \forall r \in[0,1], \forall d \geq \gamma
$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, we have the following Pohozaev identity on $\left(r, t_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{N-1} H(r)=t_{0}^{N-1} H\left(t_{0}\right)+\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{r} s^{N-1}\left[N F(u)-\frac{N-p}{p} f(u) u\right] d s \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Extending $f$ by $f(x)=f(0)<0$, for $x \in(-\infty, 0]$, then there exists $B<0$ such that

$$
N F(s)-\frac{N-p}{p} f(s) s \geq B, \forall s \in R .
$$

For sufficiently large $\gamma$, from (H4), we deduce

$$
\left(F(k d)-\frac{N-p}{N p} d f(d)\right)\left\{\frac{d^{p-1}}{f(d)}\right\}^{\frac{N}{p}} \geq 1, \forall d \geq \gamma
$$

By (2.7) and (2.9), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
r^{N-1} H(r) & =t_{0}^{N-1} H\left(t_{0}\right)+\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{r} s^{N-1}\left[N F(u)-\frac{N-p}{p} f(u) u\right] d s \\
& \geq C_{2} \lambda^{1-\frac{N}{p}}\left\{F(k d)-\frac{N-p}{N p} f(d) d\right\}\left\{\frac{d^{p-1}}{f(d)}\right\}^{\frac{N}{p}}+\lambda B \frac{r^{N}-r_{0}^{N}}{N} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, there exists $\lambda_{2}$ such that

$$
r^{N-1} H(r) \geq C_{2} \lambda^{1-\frac{N}{p}}+\frac{\lambda B}{N}=\lambda\left(C_{2} \lambda^{-\frac{N}{p}}+\frac{B}{N}\right)>0, \forall r \in\left[t_{0}, 1\right]
$$

Hence, for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{2}\right)$ and $r \in[0,1], H(t)>0, \forall d \geq \gamma$. This also implies that $u(r, d, \lambda)^{2}+u^{\prime}(r, d, \lambda)^{2}>0$, for all $t \in[0,1]$ and all $d \geq \gamma$.
Lemma 2.5. For $r \in[0,1]$, there exists $d \geq \gamma$ such that $u(r, d, \lambda)<0$.
Proof. By contradiction, let $d \geq \gamma$, we assume that $u(r, d, \lambda) \geq 0$ for $\forall r \in[0,1]$.
Let $\bar{r}=\sup \{r \in(0,1): u(\cdot, d, \lambda)$ is decreasing on $(0, r)\}$. Define $\omega$ be the solution of the following equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\left|\omega^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} \omega^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{N-1}{r}\left(\left|\omega^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} \omega^{\prime}\right)+\delta\left(|\omega|^{p-2} \omega\right)=0, \quad r \in(0,1)  \tag{2.11}\\
\omega(0)=1, \omega^{\prime}(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\delta$ is chosen such that the first zero of $\omega$ is $\frac{\bar{r}}{4}$ and $\omega$ satisfies $\frac{1}{\left|\omega^{\prime}\right|} \omega<\frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} u$, $r \in(0,1)$.

From (H3), there exists $d_{0} \geq \gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(s)}{s^{q}} \geq \frac{\delta}{\lambda}, \forall s \geq d_{0} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\left|(d \omega)^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}(d \omega)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{N-1}{r}\left(\left|(d \omega)^{\prime}\right|^{p-2}(d \omega)^{\prime}\right)+\delta\left(|d \omega|^{p-2}(d \omega)\right)=0 \\
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{N-1}{r}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)+\lambda f(u)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $v=d \omega$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1} \delta v^{p-1} \\
-\left(r^{N-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=r^{N-1} \lambda f(u)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
r^{N-1}\left(u^{p-1}|v|^{\prime p-2} v^{\prime}-v^{p-1}|u|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)+\int_{0}^{r}(p-1) s^{N-1}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} v^{p-2}-\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{p-2}\right) u^{\prime} v^{\prime} d s \\
=\int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1}\left(\frac{\lambda f(u)}{u^{p-1}}-\delta\right) u^{p-1} v^{p-1} d s . \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose $u(r, d, \lambda) \geq d_{0}$ for all $r \in\left[0, \frac{\bar{r}}{4}\right]$, from (2.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1}\left[\frac{\lambda f(u)}{u^{p-1}}-\delta\right] u^{p-1} v^{p-1} d s>0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from the quality of $\omega$,i.e. $\frac{1}{\left|\omega^{\prime}\right|} \omega<\frac{1}{\left|u^{\prime}\right|} u, r \in(0,1)$, we know that $\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} v^{p-2}-\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{p-2}<0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r}(p-1) s^{N-1}\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} v^{p-2}-\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{p-2}\right) u^{\prime} v^{\prime} d s<0 . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.13)-(2.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{p-1} v^{\prime p-1}-v^{p-1} u^{\prime p-1}>0, \forall r \in\left[0, \frac{\bar{r}}{4}\right] . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $v\left(\frac{\bar{r}}{4}\right)=0, v^{\prime}\left(\frac{\bar{r}}{4}\right)<0$,

$$
u^{p-1}\left(\frac{\bar{r}}{4}\right) v^{\prime p-1}\left(\frac{\bar{r}}{4}\right)-v^{p-1}\left(\frac{\bar{r}}{4}\right) u^{\prime p-1}\left(\frac{\bar{r}}{4}\right)<0,
$$

which is contradiction with (2.16).
Hence, there exists $\hat{r}$ in $\left(0, \frac{\bar{r}}{4}\right)$ such that $u(\hat{r}, d, \lambda)=d_{0}$.
And since $d_{0} \geq \gamma>\beta$, there exists $r_{1} \in(\hat{r}, \bar{r})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \leq u(r, d, \lambda) \leq d_{0}, \forall r \in\left(\hat{r}, r_{1}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we consider $t_{0}$ defined in (2.5), also $t_{0}<\bar{r}$.
On $\left[0, t_{0}\right]$, from (H1), $F$ is nondecreasing on $[\beta,+\infty)$ and $u(r, d, \lambda) \geq k d \geq$ $\beta, \forall r \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(r, d, \lambda)=\frac{p-1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}(r, d, \lambda)\right|^{p}+\lambda F(u(r, d, \lambda)) \geq \lambda F(k d), \forall r \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $u(r, d, \lambda) u^{\prime}(r, d, \lambda) \leq 0, \forall r \in\left(t_{0}, \bar{r}\right]$ then

$$
r^{N} E(r, d, \lambda)=r^{N-1} H(r, d, \lambda)-\frac{N-p}{p} r^{N-1} u u^{\prime}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} \geq r^{N-1} H(r, d, \lambda)
$$

Hence, by (2.10) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{N} E(r, d, \lambda) \geq C_{2} \lambda^{1-\frac{N}{p}}\left\{F(k d)-\frac{N-p}{N p} f(d) d\right\}\left\{\frac{d^{p-1}}{f(d)}\right\}^{\frac{N}{p}}+\lambda B \frac{r^{N}-r_{0}^{N}}{N} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (H4), (2.18), (2.19)

$$
\lim _{d \longrightarrow+\infty} E(r, d, \lambda)=+\infty, \forall r \in[0, \bar{r}]
$$

Therefore, there exists $d_{1} \geq d_{0}$ such that for $d \geq d_{1}$, we get

$$
E(r, d, \lambda) \geq \lambda F\left(d_{0}\right)+\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{d_{0}^{p}}{\left(r_{1}-\hat{r}\right)^{p}}
$$

By (2.17), (2.18)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{p-1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}(r, d, \lambda)\right|^{p} & =E(r, d, \lambda)-\lambda F(u(r, d, \lambda)) \\
& \geq \lambda F\left(d_{0}\right)-\lambda F(u(r, d, \lambda))+\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{d_{0}^{p}}{\left(r_{1}-\hat{r}\right)^{p}}, \forall r \in\left(\hat{r}, r_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Which implies

$$
u^{\prime}(r, d, \lambda) \leq-\frac{d_{0}}{r_{1}-\hat{r}}, \forall r \in\left(\hat{r}, r_{1}\right)
$$

The mean value theorem gives us $C \in\left(\hat{r}, \frac{\hat{r}+r_{1}}{2}\right)$ such that

$$
u\left(\frac{\hat{r}+r_{1}}{2}\right)-u(\hat{r})=u^{\prime}(C) \frac{r_{1}-\hat{r}}{2} \leq-\frac{d_{0}}{r_{1}-\hat{r}} \cdot \frac{r_{1}-\hat{r}}{2}=-\frac{d_{0}}{2}
$$

hence $u\left(\frac{\hat{r}+r_{1}}{2}\right) \leq 0$ and since $u^{\prime}\left(\frac{\hat{r}+r_{1}}{2}\right) \leq-\frac{d_{0}}{r_{1}-\hat{r}}<0$, there exists $T \in(0,1)$ such that $u(T, d, \lambda)<0$, which contradicts with the assuming, the lemma is proved.

## 3. Proof of the Main Results

The proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\lambda_{0}=\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}$, for $\forall \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$. Define $\hat{d}=\sup \{d \geq \gamma: u(r, d, \lambda) \geq 0, \forall r \in(0,1]\}$. From Lemma 2.3, we obtain that the set $\{d \geq \gamma: u(r, d, \lambda) \geq 0, \forall r \in(0,1]\}$ is nonempty. From Lemma 2.5 implies that $\hat{d}<+\infty$.

Then we claim that $u(r, \hat{d}, \lambda)$ is the solution of problem (1.1). Moreover, the solution $u(r, \hat{d}, \lambda)$ satisfies the following properties:
(i) $u(r, \hat{d}, \lambda)>0$, for all $r \in[0,1)$;
(ii) $u(1, \hat{d}, \lambda)=0$;
(iii) $u^{\prime}(1, \hat{d}, \lambda)<0$;
(iv) $u$ is decreasing in $[0,1]$.

For (i). By contradiction, if there exists $0 \leq R_{1}<1$ such that $u\left(R_{1}, \hat{d}, \lambda\right)=0$, from Lemma 2.4, $u^{\prime}\left(R_{1}, \hat{d}, \lambda\right) \neq 0$, then we can suppose $u^{\prime}\left(R_{1}, \hat{d}, \lambda\right)<0$.

Hence from $u\left(R_{1}, \hat{d}, \lambda\right)=0, u(1, \hat{d}, \lambda)=0$ and $u^{\prime}\left(R_{1}, \hat{d}, \lambda\right)<0$, we find there exists $R_{2} \in\left(R_{1}, 1\right)$ such that $u\left(R_{2}, \hat{d}, \lambda\right)<0$ which contradicts with the definition of $\hat{d}$,

So $u(r, \hat{d}, \lambda)>0$, for all $r \in[0,1)$.
For (ii). By contradiction, we assume $u(1, \hat{d}, \lambda)>0$, then from (i) there exists $\eta$ such that $u(r, \hat{d}, \lambda)>\eta$, for $\forall r \in(0,1)$, moreover, there exists $\delta>0$ such that
$u(t, \hat{d}+\delta, \lambda) \geq \frac{\eta}{2}$ for $\forall t \in(0,1]$, which is a contradiction with the definition of $\hat{d}$, (ii) is proved.

For (iii). From (2.1), $u^{\prime}(r, d, \lambda)=-\left(\lambda r^{1-N} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} f(u(s)) d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Taking into account Lemma 2.3 and (H1), we have for $\forall \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right), u(r, \gamma, \lambda) \geq \beta$ and $f(s)>0$, for $\forall s \in(\beta,+\infty)$, which implies for $\forall \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right), \hat{d} \geq d \geq \gamma$,

$$
u^{\prime}(r, d, \lambda)=-\left(\lambda r^{1-N} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} f(u(s)) d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}<0, \forall r \in(0,1] .
$$

So $u^{\prime}(1, \hat{d}, \lambda)<0,(i v)$ is also proved.
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